

University of Huddersfield Repository

Gibbs, Graham R., Clarke, Dawn, Teal, Andrew and Lewins, Ann

E-learning as apprenticeship for large numbers

Original Citation

Gibbs, Graham R., Clarke, Dawn, Teal, Andrew and Lewins, Ann (2009) E-learning as apprenticeship for large numbers. In: C-SAP E-learning conference, 16th January 2009, London. (Unpublished)

This version is available at http://eprints.hud.ac.uk/id/eprint/5785/

The University Repository is a digital collection of the research output of the University, available on Open Access. Copyright and Moral Rights for the items on this site are retained by the individual author and/or other copyright owners. Users may access full items free of charge; copies of full text items generally can be reproduced, displayed or performed and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided:

- The authors, title and full bibliographic details is credited in any copy;
- A hyperlink and/or URL is included for the original metadata page; and
- The content is not changed in any way.

For more information, including our policy and submission procedure, please contact the Repository Team at: E.mailbox@hud.ac.uk.

http://eprints.hud.ac.uk/

E-learning as apprenticeship for large numbers

Graham R Gibbs, Dawn Clarke, Andrew Teal Centre for Research in the Social Sciences, University of Huddersfield (with Ann Lewins (U. Surrey) and Colm Crowley (U. Greenwich))



University of HUDDERSFIELD

11





The Challenge

Using e-learning to teach Qualitative Data Analysis (QDA) is a challenge > Skill > No facts Traditional, intensive teaching methods > Abstract > Creative



RLOS (= Resusable Learning Objects) usually found in:

Concrete, factual based, non-contested topics

Chemical properties and reactions

Beams in engineering

- BUT QDA more like
 - Physical skill (gymnastics)
 Creativity (textile design)
 - Contested (philosophy)

How is QDA taught?

Hammersley, 2004, three approaches
1. The craft approach
2. The professional approach
3. Bricoleur
All reject
4. The procedural approach

Craft approach

Learning 'at Nellie's knee'
 Form of apprenticeship with senior researcher
 Small numbers
 Focus on practical skills

Skills caught not taught (Leonard, 2000)



Professional approach

> Qual. Res. seen as non-partisan, neutral
> Focus on practical tasks
> Do professional job
> Meet criteria of soundness
> Meet ethical guidelines



Bricoleur

Post-modern/constructivist approach
Qual. Res. seen as an art
Creativity and montage
Use any methods & learn by doing
Res. not neutral
Self-taught, questions all assumptions



Procedural

What students and Govt. want
Steps or stages
Reduces anxiety
Not creative, thus problem dealing with the abstract
Good for govt. regulation (learning outcomes etc.)

Response to massification

- Procedural approach easier to teach and manage
- Craft approach etc. cannot deal with large numbers (Qual. Res. very popular)
 Plus, diversification of approaches.
 New text books esp. on QDA
 BUT learners want to see fine detail of real cases

University of HUDDERSFIELD

REQUALLO to the rescue!

> HEA funded
> 6 exemplars based on real researchers
> Across disciplines and methods
> Produce RLOs - reusable learning objects
> Addresses Hammersley approaches in 5 ways:-

Elicitation of accounts

- Researchers talk about thinking and creativity involved in actual analysis
- > Use text, video and audio. Learners get experience like apprentices
- Making suggestions not possible (unlike senior researcher) but does include commentary
- E.g. Frances on medical-based perspective.
- Frances on initial template



Promotes comparison

Case by case and subject by subject.
Students see how explanations are created
Like apprenticeship. Teacher explains how this example is like or unlike novice's example.

A kind of reverse construct elicitation

King on template analysis vs. Frances



Includes procedures

Steps to go through, moderated by how researchers modify them
Exemplars, rather than explicit stages
Steps illustrate thinking and creativity
Learners must come up with own ideas
E.g. Frances on revising her codes

Feedback

Each exemplar contains assessments/tests/exercises/notes
Provide frequent feedback
Repeatable at student demand
Builds confidence, reduces anxiety
E.g. test on getting the idea.

Granularity

- Units, exemplars, assets, examples can be used in different pedagotic/methodological contexts
- > Role of metadata and guides e.g. learning outcomes.
- Still working on best solution to give flexibility and adaptability
- >E.g. <u>Template analysis video</u>



Conclusions

- Teaching QDA means teaching creativity
 RLOs can support this
- RLOs can give information and feedback close to what experts give
- Procedures not infallible steps, rather they illustrate thinking and creativity
- RLO not perfect, BUT usable with large numbers & anyway cannot assume that experts in apprenticeship model are always supportive.

