

University of Huddersfield Repository

Stapleford, Katharine, Caldwell, Elizabeth F. and Tinker, Amanda

Talking academic writing: A conversation analysis of one-to-one writing tutorials with students from vocational backgrounds

Original Citation

Stapleford, Katharine, Caldwell, Elizabeth F. and Tinker, Amanda (2017) Talking academic writing: A conversation analysis of one-to-one writing tutorials with students from vocational backgrounds. In: 9th Conference of the European Association of Teaching Academic Writing (EATAW), 19th-21st June 2017, Royal Holloway, University of London. (Unpublished)

This version is available at http://eprints.hud.ac.uk/id/eprint/32380/

The University Repository is a digital collection of the research output of the University, available on Open Access. Copyright and Moral Rights for the items on this site are retained by the individual author and/or other copyright owners. Users may access full items free of charge; copies of full text items generally can be reproduced, displayed or performed and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided:

- The authors, title and full bibliographic details is credited in any copy;
- A hyperlink and/or URL is included for the original metadata page; and
- The content is not changed in any way.

For more information, including our policy and submission procedure, please contact the Repository Team at: E.mailbox@hud.ac.uk.

http://eprints.hud.ac.uk/





Talking Academic Writing: a Conversation Analysis of One-to-One Tutorials

Katharine Stapleford Dr Elizabeth Caldwell Dr Amanda Tinker

EATAW Conference Royal Holloway, University of London 19-21 June, 2017



An ALDinHE funded project

Outline

- Background to project
- Conversational Analysis (CA)
- Tutorial analysis overall phases
- Giving feedback in tutorials
- General reflections and questions



ALDin**HE** Association for Learning Development in Higher Education



Background to Project





ALDinHE Association for Learning Development in Higher Education



Conversation Analysis (CA)

- Talk in interaction (institutions) from sociology
- Dynamic context

LEEDS

- Comparative/distinctiveness
- Sequencing/turn-taking
- Turn activity and design
- Lexical choice and formulation
- Detailed linguistic analysis
- Drew and Heritage (1992); Sidnell (2010); Sidnell and Stivers (2013)
- Little CA of academic skills tutorials







Tutorial analysis - overall phases



So what are we looking at today then?

I've done part of it but I'm not quite sure I'm going in the right direction

I didn't finish my assignment cos I need a little bit of help from you.

She was saying we have to include theoretical aspects so it was a bit tricky for me.

Openings

Rapport building Opening 1 (general) Problem presentation (often with an account) Establishing prior knowledge **Reference to previous tutorials** Practicalities/locating documents Checking brief/identifying task Student reports progress so far **Opening 2 (focus)** Problem reformulation

> I'm struggling to linking up to um I'm struggling to link, to link up some words. It just becomes repetitive.

So before you came here what academic writing did you do?

So you're producing an action plan...and a commentary

So what would you like to focus on today?

So is there something specific you're unsure of?

Discourse marker 'So' (Bolden, 2008; Stokoe & Sikvekand, 2016**)**

Responding to Problem/Task

Tutor Activities

Questioning/eliciting Formulation/reformulation (So...) Preference (boundaries/roles) (Schegloff, 1998, 2007; Stokoe, 2013) Display (expertise) (Parry, 2004; MacKiewicz, 2005) Evaluation (indirect, questions, tag questions) Suggesting (mitigated, modal verbs) **Reader expectations** Modelling (academic conventions) Praise Directive/instructional (You need to ...)

Reassurance (*Well I think you seem to be on the right lines*) and to start to signal closure

Student Activities

Continuers (yeah)

Agreement (minimal responses – *right, ok*)

Formulation

Reformulation of problem

Disagreement/challenge (less common)

Accounts (saving face after evaluation) *I ran out of time...*

Just shoved it in today cos it came to my mind

...just a draft...

Closings

Summarise Actions for student Formulation/agreement Display of gratitude

Arranging/negotiating appointments (preference) General chit-chat (sometimes) Thanks So, I think the main things you need to do then are to reduce this, make it clear what you're focusing on, what are the major issues..what are the theories. And make sure every paragraph is very clear..

I'm sure it will be fine after we discussed last time. Um, and then if you've made those changes, cos I can't do too much with one assignment because is has to be your work, so I can't...

Giving feedback in writing tutorials

- •How do tutors highlight issues in writing?
- •How do solutions / corrections happen?
- •How do tutors find the balance between being overly directive, or being too vague?
- •How is rapport maintained?
- •How does the tutor encourage the student to have the confidence and skills to self-correct?

Extract example

Academic Skills Tutorial: 1-1 (T & S) Up to 45 mins

Tutor: experienced ESOL teacher / teacher trainer

Student: final year undergraduate; near native speaker; return visit to the tutor

The start of the tutorial...

So what would you like to focus on today?

Well remember that I can't correct your grammar, that's for you...

Oh right, okay.

Erm I would like you to look at my work and correct my grammar and to see if...

No not correct, feed, give me feedback.

Sorry, I always say that.



The inferential path

Distance between what people mean and what they say

The shorter the path, the more direct

(Mackiewicz & Riley 2003)

Longer paths are often more polite, but have more potential for misunderstanding, esp with L2s





- T: this↑ (2.5) I'm not su↑re↓ abou::t (.5) th<u>at</u> (1) final sentence↓ (.)
- S: >the last one↓<
- T: mmm::↓↓ °what do you think↓°(2)
- S: umm:: ((paper shuffling noises))(6)
- T: <u>how</u> does it link↓=

S: =OH<u>kay</u> >what1< ih-ih- I was <just trying to sup<u>port</u>> (2) <u>dis</u> what I put in↓

1) Signal the problem Inherent (mild) evaluation (Mackiewicz 2005)

T implies problem is with reader, and not necessarily with text or student. Evaluation is a face-threatening act, but mitigated by context – student is seeking feedback

2) T hands over to student

"What do you think?"

T tries to elicit problem from student (and implicitly tries to gain agreement that S there is a problem)

Empowering, student centred

Gives opportunity for S to respond

But – what happens if S can't see the problem?

(cf Kim & Silver 2016)

3) T prompts and guides

If S doesn't know what the issue is, T provides a more specific prompt

"How does it link?"

T may have to reformulate - be more direct, and give a stronger evaluation

"Yeah, it doesn't link very well, in my

eves

How do solutions happen?



Evaluations often followed by suggestions (Thonus 1999)

"In making suggestions, [tutors] insert themselves into the writer's composing process and, consequently, may make writers defensive about changing their writing."

(Mackiewicz. 2005, p. 365)

Finding (negotiating?) solutions



Agreeing on a solution

Evaluation and suggestion reformulated several times, T gets progressively more direct

but you need to make it clearer

So maybe you, it might be better if you put it at the beginning. Until, T tentatively suggests a practical solution

(cf Stokoe & Sikveland 2016)

The tutorial path

- •Feedback in tutorials highlights the effect of the writing on the reader.
- Lengthening the inferential path through elicitation of the problem scaffolds S in developing their skills in appraising their own writing
- •Solutions become less important than process
- Time-consuming
- Must be done skilfully

General Reflections

- Did you recognise these phases and activities of the tutorial?
- How typical is the feedback example?
- How could this be used for Continuing Professional Development?
- Questions?







References

- Bolden, G.B. (2009). 'So what's up?' Using the discourse marker so to launch conversational business. *Research, Language and Social Interaction*, 41(3), 302-337.
- Drew, P. & Heritage, P. (1992). *Talk at work*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Mackiewicz, J & Riley, K. (2003). The technical editor as diplomat: linguistic strategies for balancing clarity and politeness. *Technical Communication*, *50*(1), 83-94.
- Mackiewicz, J. (2005). Hinting at what they mean: indirect suggestions in writing tutors' interactions with engineering students. *IEEE transactions on professional communication*, *48*(4), pp. 365-376.
- Kim, Y. & Silver, R.E. (2016). Provoking reflective thinking in post observation conversations. *Journal of Teacher Education*. 67(3), pp. 203-219.
- Parry, R.H. (2004). Communication during goal-setting in physiotherapy treatment sessions. *Clincial Rehabilitation* 18, 668-682.
- Sidnell, J. (2010). Conversation analysis: an introduction. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Sidnell, J. & Stivers, T. (2013) The handbook of conversation analysis. Oxford: Wiley- Blackwell
- Stokoe, E., & Sikveland, R. (2016). Formulating solutions in mediation. *Journal of Pragmatics*, *105*, 101-113.
- Thonus, T. (1999). Dominance in academic writing tutorials: gender language proficiency, and the offering of suggestions. *Discourse & Society 10*(2), 225-248.