

## **University of Huddersfield Repository**

Shah, Paras, Racasan, Radu and Bills, Paul J.

Comparison of Different Additive Manufacturing Methods Using Optimized Computed Tomography

#### **Original Citation**

Shah, Paras, Racasan, Radu and Bills, Paul J. (2016) Comparison of Different Additive Manufacturing Methods Using Optimized Computed Tomography. In: Dimensional X-Ray Computed Tomography Conference, 10th May 2016, National Physical Laboratory, London, UK. (Unpublished)

This version is available at https://eprints.hud.ac.uk/id/eprint/28302/

The University Repository is a digital collection of the research output of the University, available on Open Access. Copyright and Moral Rights for the items on this site are retained by the individual author and/or other copyright owners. Users may access full items free of charge; copies of full text items generally can be reproduced, displayed or performed and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided:

- The authors, title and full bibliographic details is credited in any copy;
- A hyperlink and/or URL is included for the original metadata page; and
- The content is not changed in any way.

For more information, including our policy and submission procedure, please contact the Repository Team at: E.mailbox@hud.ac.uk.

http://eprints.hud.ac.uk/



Comparison of Different Additive Manufacturing Methods Using Optimized

**Computed Tomography** Paras Shah, Radu Racasan, Paul Bills



The Centre for Precision Technologies, School of Computing & Engineering, University of Huddersfield E-mail: P.Shah@hud.ac.uk, R.Racasan@hud.ac.uk, P.J.Bills@hud.ac.uk

## Introduction

# Methodology

Additive manufacturing (AM) allows for fast fabrication of three dimensional objects with the use of considerably less resources, less energy consumption and shorter supply chain than would be the case in traditional manufacturing. AM has gained significance due to its cost effective method which boasts the ability to produce components with a previously unachievable level of geometric complexity in prototyping and end user industrial applications, such as aerospace, automo tive and medical industries. However these processes currently lack reproducibility and repeatability with some 'prints' having a high probability of requiring rework or even scrapping due to out of specification or high porosity levels, leading to fail ure due to structural stresses.

This study presents an artefact that is optimised for characterisation of form using computed tomography (CT) with representative geometric dimensioning and tolerancing features and internal channels and structures comparable to cooling channels in heat exchangers. Furthermore the optimisation of the CT acquisition conditions for this artefact are presented in light of feature dimensions and form analysis. This poster investigates the accuracy and capability of CT measurements compared with reference measurements from coordinate measuring machine (CMM), as well as focus on the evaluation of different AM methods.

## **Project Outline**

- Detail the development of a CT-specific artefact, produced using representative industrial AM technologies. This has been developed with a view to encompassing the optimization of the measurement technique such that a reliable and robust comparison of the different AM methods can be accomplished.
- Deviation analysis is carried out on each of the AM artefacts and a comparison of deviations in form of AM artefacts is presented.
- Measurements from CMM will be used as a reference and compared to features extracted from CT scanned data.
- An outline validation of the CT scanning method using CMM data is presented, uncertainty budget is determined and compensation factor calculated.

Additive Manufacturing Methods		SLA	SLS	FDM	]
Additive Manufacturing Methods	Machine Make/	3D Systems:	3D Systems:	Hewlett Packard:	
Three AM methods used in this study including printing pa-	Model	iPro8000	sPro60	Design jet 3D colour	
<ul> <li>rameters and material characteristics are as follows:</li> <li>1. Direct photo-chemical alteration of liquid polymer or Stereolithography (SLA) utilises vector scanning ultraviolet lasser scanning to solidify liquid photopolymer built on a lowering or hiring bed depending on machine design to produce a three dimensional object.</li> </ul>	Material	ClearvueLiquid Polymer	PA12 Powder	ABS Filament	
	Solid Dentistry	1.17g/cm <sup>3</sup>	1.01g/cm <sup>3</sup>	1.08g/cm <sup>3</sup>	1
	Layer Thickness	0.1mm	0.1mm	0.25mm	
	Thermal Expan- sion	70 μm/m-°C	82.6 μm/m-°C	88.2 μm/m-°C	<u>Re</u>
duce a three-dimensional object.					Vis

ISO and VDI/VDE guidelines have not currently been applied widely to directly assess and characterise of AM samples using CT, so this paper details and seeks to do this, applying these principles to AM materials constructed using different methods. All features on the AM artefact are measured and compared to CMM data to assess stability and variability, deviation is studied and CT data is re-evaluated. A comprehensive GD&T strategy is created and from this a template is generated and applied to scanned data using best fit algorithms to register samples. Through this determined method the compatibility of geometrical features including form, dimension are investigated.

Sample Preparation	Image Acquisition	Image Reconstruction	Image Analysis
<ul> <li>Stabilise and Secure Sample</li> <li>Determine orientation</li> <li>Placement for maximum magnification</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>X-ray Controller</li> <li>kV Control - X-ray Penetration</li> <li>Power or Current Control - X-ray Intensity</li> <li>Focus Adjustment</li> <li>Sample Setup</li> <li>Exposure</li> <li>Gain</li> <li>Projection Setup</li> <li>Shading Correction</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Registration</li> <li>Centre of Rotation</li> <li>Beam Hardening</li> <li>Noise reduction</li> <li>Calibration</li> <li>Volume Reconstruction</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Surface Extraction</li> <li>Calibration <ul> <li>Region of Intrest</li> </ul> </li> <li>Volume Analysis <ul> <li>Measurements</li> <li>Templates</li> <li>Comparison</li> <li>Defect Detection</li> <li>Export to .SLT (Optional)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

# **CMM Uncertainty Determination**

To obtain reference measurements for each sample, an average of 20 measurements for each feature per sample was determined as per ISO 15530-3. The part was taken off and realigned for each measurement to ensure unique measurements unbiased to the previous iteration. Measurements were taken in a temperature controlled environment in the range of 20°C/±2°C and to account for minor temperature variations.



2. High powered lasers are used to sinter chosen regions of microscopic polymeric, metallic or ceramic powder particles, in sequential two-dimensional cross sectional layers, selective laser sintering (SLS)

3. Fused deposition modelling (FDM) used thermoplastic extrusion to build a thin tread like spool of polymer to create a cross section of the part layer by layer, similar to a hot glue gun or gas metal arc welding.

# **Artefact Design**

This benchmarking artefact has potential to be implemented in testing some process limitations due to the feature sizes ranging from 2mm to 8mm. Methods that can be benchmarked including both metal and polymer AM, scaling of the artefact may be required. 44 GD&T features have been designed in an arrangement beneficial to the process of CT scanning. This cylindrical artefact will provide even attenuation of x-rays in hope to maximise detail and resolution while taking a series of projection



# **Artefact Design**

The term additive manufacturing and subsequent pro-Cube cess's shown in Table 1, termed by National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) & American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM F42) committee gained wider affiliation in the early 2000s. The terminology describes a process of sequential layering of material from a digital model, to produce 3D physical Solid objects. AM is not only used for prototyping.

FEATURE	PURPOSE
Flat Base	Flatness and straightness

Squareness, parallelism, linear accuracy and repeatability Roundness, cylindricity, accuracy and repeatability of ra-Cylindrical Hole dius (internal)

Sphereness, relative accuracy and repeatability of a Sphere continuously changing sloping surface

Roundness, cylindricity, accuracy and repeatability of ra-Cylinder dius (external)

# <u>ts</u>

deviation analysis was performed using Catia (Dassault Systemes, etc), with the use of the digitized shape editor module the original .STL file is compared to a .STL export from VGS. With an average of 24 million fitting points and VGS best fit registration at a maximum quality level of 50, models are overlaid and form deviation was analysed. Green areas represent deviation ±200 from original desired form. Red and purple areas depict regions that contain greater material or warping outside the desired form.



# Results

0.3

The CT measurements are less accurate and the level of uncertainty is greater than that taken using CMM. Furthermore any influencing factor contributing to the inaccuracy of a CT measurement is in this case usually lower than the voxel size of the scan; this includes thermal drift, mechanical stability, magnification and object orientation to name a few, these factors when combined contribute to the overall noise of the measurement system and is difficult to compensate for. This paper explores the application of deviation analysis of an AM artefact optimised for the use in CT with error comparison to CMM reference measurements. Visual deviation, using software to superimpose scanned CT data to original CAD models allowed for visual



44 GD&T features have been designed in an arrangement beneficial to the process of CT scanning. This cylindrical artefact will provide even attenuation of xrays in hope to maximise detail and resolution while taking a series of projection along its central axis. This benchmarking artefact has potential to be implemented in testing some process limitations due to the feature sizes ranging from 2mm to 8mm. Methods that can be benchmarked including both metal and polymer AM, scaling of the artefact may be required. This field has been established by the means of designing test samples which encompass various GD&T characteristics.

Current artefacts produced for the calibration of CT dimensional metrology include tetrahedron or Calotte Cube and ruby spheres in various configurations, these Cut Cuboid Perpendicularity artefacts are used for geometrical characterization by measuring form and dimension. Artefacts can also be included in traceability and stability reports, allowing Cut Cylindricity end users to track machine performance over time as Boss Cuboid Perpendicularity well as suitability for prototyping or end usage. Conversely current generation AM artefacts are not optimized for the use in CT, features are designed for the Boss Cylindricity intent of CMM verification and measurements. Consequently measurement of such artefacts with CT leads to lower resolution scan than desired due to the overall aspect ratio of the artefact and uneven x-ray attenuation. **Boss Cuboid Flatness** 

Hollow Roundness, cylindricity and coaxiality of cylinders Cylinder

#### Concity, sloping profile and taper Cone

Angled Angularity, accuracy and repeatability of angled surfaces Surfaces



BCF

BCF1-4

Flatness



comparison of a variety of AM methods, which provided a means to preliminary analyse the form that is created as well as its differences in feature position. Three AM methods were analysed for form and dimensional accuracy, with a goal to assess the capability of CT scanning and software reconstruction and measurement abilities to the gold standard CMM method. The comparison evidently demonstrates colorations between different measurement techniques with few outliers, with a comparison of surface determination methods explored. The next step would be to investigate CT scanning statistically while exploring the black box potential of the contributing uncertainty factors.

## Further work

Image correction of CT using calibrated ball bars and ball palates to readjust reading, account for scanning errors and will look at scan orientation and whether or not thermal focal drift, 3D scaling errors, machine manipulator geometrical errors are greater than the voxel size of the scan to make definitive differences to measurements. Artefact design for the manufacturing with metallic materials will provide unique obstacles, which will be explored in the future. Further studies will look at a single AM method such as metal manufacturing with varying machine parameters in more detail.

### Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the European Research Council (ERC-ADG-228117) funding and UK's Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) funding of the EPSRC Centre for Innovative Manufacturing in Advanced Metrology (Grant Ref: EP/I033424/1)