



University of HUDDERSFIELD

University of Huddersfield Repository

Dunn, Lynda, Tyas, Moira and Garside, Joanne

Pre-Registration Students Reactions To Simulation As An Education Approach Within An Operating Department Practitioner Curriculum – A Qualitative Review

Original Citation

Dunn, Lynda, Tyas, Moira and Garside, Joanne (2016) Pre-Registration Students Reactions To Simulation As An Education Approach Within An Operating Department Practitioner Curriculum – A Qualitative Review. *Clinical Simulation in Nursing*, 12 (5). pp. 147-151. ISSN 1876-1399

This version is available at <http://eprints.hud.ac.uk/id/eprint/26899/>

The University Repository is a digital collection of the research output of the University, available on Open Access. Copyright and Moral Rights for the items on this site are retained by the individual author and/or other copyright owners. Users may access full items free of charge; copies of full text items generally can be reproduced, displayed or performed and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided:

- The authors, title and full bibliographic details is credited in any copy;
- A hyperlink and/or URL is included for the original metadata page; and
- The content is not changed in any way.

For more information, including our policy and submission procedure, please contact the Repository Team at: E.mailbox@hud.ac.uk.

<http://eprints.hud.ac.uk/>

1 **Background**

2

3 Operating Department Practitioners (ODPs) provide an essential contribution to the
4 multidisciplinary teamwork undertaken in the perioperative environment throughout the
5 anaesthetic, surgical and post anaesthetic recovery phases of the patients' hospital treatment.

6 The aim of this study was to explore ODP students' experiences and emotional responses to
7 simulation teaching and learning strategies during their pre-registration curriculum.

8

9 Simulated teaching is well documented as a learning and assessment strategy
10 throughout industry and health by offering safe, low-risk and interactive learning for students
11 to develop a range of skills and competence in order to develop clinical performance (Ulrich
12 and Mancini 2013). Although minimal evidence is available for ODP curricula, parallels can
13 be drawn between trends that have been observed in nurse education, regarding the increase in
14 student numbers and the limited placement capacity. In nursing the United Kingdom's (UK)
15 Nursing and Midwifery Council (NMC) took an innovative response by allowing healthcare
16 educators the opportunity to replace up to 300 curriculum 'practice' hours with simulated
17 learning (NMC, 2007).

18

19 The Health and Care Professions Council (HcPC), UK's registering body for all Allied
20 Health Professionals, including ODPs, outlines specific knowledge and skills in their standards
21 of proficiency, which must be demonstrated in order for a qualified ODP to practice safely and
22 register with them (HcPC, 2014). It is plausible to assume that not every ODP student will
23 receive equal exposure to, and be able to perform safely when presented with critical clinical
24 situations in the practice environment, due to the unpredictable nature of such events. And
25 even when the events do occur, the novice student may not be allowed involvement in the care

26 due to inexperience (Halstead, 2006). In this situation simulation is often used as a learning
27 and assessment strategy to enable all ODP students' equal exposure and opportunities to gain
28 knowledge and experience of unpredictable and high-risk situations. An example of local
29 context includes a requirement for the ODP students to undertake an assessment based on a
30 real time cardiac arrest scenario whereby they must act as the team leader and make decisions
31 based on the physiological reaction of the patient to their actions. This assessment is placed in
32 the last term of a two year diploma programme and progression to qualification is dependent
33 on success. Pre-registration ODPs on this educational pathway learn core and psychomotor
34 skills using simulation in preparation for their first clinical placements, for example, aseptic
35 techniques, surgical gowning and gloving. Simulation approaches involving more complex
36 problem solving skills such as a patient scenario, using high fidelity technology, is not
37 introduced until later in the curriculum. The assessment is run in real time and graded against
38 a predetermined applied academic criteria linked to standardised patient scenarios. Anecdotal
39 evidence suggests that ODP students find this simulation-based assessment particularly
40 stressful and often become overcome with nervousness, which subsequently impacts on their
41 performance.

42

43 Ulrich and Mancini (2013) suggest that one of the main benefits of simulation is that
44 learners can take risks and discover consequences whilst implementing care in a safe
45 environment. Moule (2011) concurs with our experience, arguing that simulation can also
46 leave some students feeling exposed and anxious, which can have a negative effect on self-
47 esteem, and can be further compounded by ultra realistic environments, which in turn can affect
48 the overall learning process (Hellaby, 2013). Emotional response can be subjective to each
49 individual and is dependant on their learning style and previous experiences (Bland, Topping
50 and Tobbell, 2014).

51

52 Sample

53

54 Prior to any data collection, ethical approval was gained through University Ethics
55 Procedures. Five participants (n=5) were purposively recruited from a cohort of 21, all of whom
56 had experience of being involved in the curriculum simulation sessions. In order to avoid bias
57 in the selection process the first students to reply were selected to take part in the focus group
58 interview. All participants gave informed consent to their inclusion in the study. Participant
59 confidentiality was assured.

60

61 Method

62

63 The aim of this study was to gain a range of in depth views to further understand ODP
64 student perspectives and experiences of simulation as a learning and assessment strategy within
65 the ODP curriculum. To effectively address this a qualitative approach was utilised informed
66 by principles of the phenomenology approach (Green and Thorogood, 2014).

67

68 Data was collected using a 40 minute focus group interview one week prior to the
69 simulated assessment and a follow up questionnaire three weeks after its completion. Both
70 methods used open-ended questioning formats in order to produce data that best represented
71 the detailed feelings and thoughts of the participants (Galletta, 2013). Due to group interaction
72 focus groups often allow generation of rich descriptive data (Liamputtong, 2011). Table 1.
73 Provides the questions asked in the focus group interview which were informed by a
74 background literature review.

75

76 As the researcher was known to the group, Tufford and Newman's (2012) bracketing
77 technique was employed, therefore holding in abeyance the researchers experiences, theories,
78 biases and assumptions in order to allow the data to be viewed as it actually appears. Further
79 to this, transparency was achieved by allowing the participants to read the transcripts and
80 findings to verify that they were a true representation of the data. To gain a more holistic
81 impression of the students' views, a questionnaire was undertaken four weeks after the initial
82 focus group interview to allow the participants to reflect on the interview and gain further
83 experience of simulation see table 2.

84

85 The data was transcribed verbatim. The six phases of thematic analysis devised by
86 Braun and Clarke (2006) was used as a guide to structure the data analysis procedure. Initial
87 analysis was undertaken by the primary researcher (LD) and independently reviewed by JG
88 resulting in the following themes as presented in the results section. .

89

90 **Results**

91

92 **Emotional response**

93

94 Emotional reactions linked particularly to the social learning elements of simulation
95 became apparent with described feelings of anxiety and nervousness; this pressure being linked
96 to '*performing in front of peers*' and the potential to appear '*foolish*' or '*unknowledgeable*'.
97 When comparing simulation to clinical practice the students found it challenging to attach an
98 emotional bond during simulation using manikins, therefore in the absence of an actual patient.
99 Further to this, they described the difficulty in recreating the 'adrenaline drive' they would feel
100 in the clinical environment. This was attributed to the 'safety net' of knowing that their actions

101 could not directly harm anyone. This was demonstrated by the following interaction between
102 three students:

103

104 *“it’s a different type of emotion, you can probably almost compare the stress*
105 *levels but you can’t compare the drive behind them ...because that’s a*
106 *person...yeah ...because you will have more adrenaline drive when you’re*
107 *doing it in real life ...whereas this is you’ve got the stress of doing it...you’re*
108 *more likely to go I’ve never done this before I need another Sim man”*

109

110 Interestingly continued exposure to simulation developed familiarity, which was
111 linked to reduced nervousness and subsequent increase in confidence levels. This being said,
112 the ODP students also defended that they would rather make mistakes in front of their peers
113 than in clinical practice.

114 *“although saying that I would rather have the simulation here and make the mistakes*
115 *with you guys my friends than do it out on practice”*

116

117 Reid-Searl et al. (2011) made comparative conclusions in that the use of simulation
118 helped some students to overcome the fear of making mistakes in clinical placement areas.
119 Likewise Yeun et al. (2014) supports this discussion demonstrating that students display less
120 anxiety in the clinical environment after being allowed the opportunity to practise first in the
121 educational environment.

122

123 **Learning styles**

124

125 The social learning aspects of simulation were highlighted as motivation for the
126 students to prepare themselves and become familiar with the underpinning theories behind the
127 simulation scenarios.

128

129 *“I suppose if you know you are going to simulate it, you almost do learn it maybe even*
130 *read a bit about it before... whereas if you just know you are going to sit in front of a*
131 *Powerpoint it might just be a case of you might just turn up”*

132

133 This being said it was expressed that establishing clear up front criteria was essential in
134 order for this to be successful.

135

136 Social learning theory allows the learner to recreate their own meaning by interacting
137 with both the social and physical environment, which occurs through the observation of peers
138 and active participation by the student (Peddle, 2011). The data highlighted that the sample
139 ODP students favoured a more ‘*hands on*’ approach to learning and that this supported
140 information retention.

141

142 *“I find it a lot better learning practically via simulation and stuff than sitting in front*
143 *of a Powerpoint, but that’s just because it’s my learning style it might not suit everybody*
144 *but I find I remember it better when I’ve practically done something”*

145

146 Ferstein (2014) argues that we are only able to remember and interpret information
147 that has been processed using emotional memory, therefore giving learning tasks emotional
148 importance should enhance the ability for the brain to remember and interpret information
149 (Nielsen and Harder, 2013).

150

151 **Authenticity**

152

153 An authentic learning environment was deemed essential and some students may be
154 distracted by the artificial appearance of the environment or equipment used. It was however
155 suggested that interaction with the manikin using the voice function increased the students'
156 ability to overlook these issues.

157

158 *“I found learning using the simulation suite easier to relate to as it was in a clinical*
159 *setting and the patient actually interacted.”*

160

161 It is well documented that the aim of simulation is for the learner to actively experience
162 and immerse themselves in a realistic situation (Baxter et al., 2009; Hellaby, 2013). This is
163 often linked to the fidelity of the activity; low-level fidelity often being associated with task
164 orientated activity such as hand washing or injection techniques, through to high-level fidelity
165 utilising manikins capable of more lifelike characteristics and displaying physiological
166 responses to interaction and stimuli (Baxter et al., 2009). A caveat to this however, could be
167 that the engineered and psychological fidelity of a scenario may be directly proportional to the
168 stress experienced by the student, as was the case in a study by Baxter et al. (2009); as the
169 fidelity of the scenario increased, so did the students' stress levels experienced. It is prudent
170 to note that an authentic assessment environment does not necessarily equate to a valid
171 assessment (Schuwirth and Van der Vleuten, 2003). This also depends on consistent grading
172 of the assessment and explicit criteria requiring a fine balance between authenticity, reliability
173 and validity when developing appropriate assessment scenarios (Schuwirth and Van der
174 Vleuten, 2003).

175

176 **Assessment preparation**

177

178 Overall students felt that simulation as a learning and assessment strategy was a good
179 measure of applied knowledge.

180

181 *“Simulation assessment requires candidates to revise and demonstrate skills - learn the*
182 *subject ...pass the exam”*

183

184 They highlighted that they would prepare more thoroughly for a simulated assessment
185 than other strategies, although they would also prefer more opportunities to practise the
186 assessment. Nervousness and feelings of stress and pressure were associated with simulated
187 assessments although this was viewed both positively, with regard to emulating the challenging
188 clinical environment, and negatively in that it affects the students’ individual performance.

189

190 *“I personally feel that simulation is an effective assessment strategy in that it is a*
191 *practical exam that mimics some of the stress/emotion that would be felt in a real*
192 *situation.”*

193

194 The evidence thus suggests that the benefits of incorporating stress levels into a
195 simulated assessment closely match those experienced in clinical practice areas and outweigh
196 the detrimental learning impact (Gantt 2013). Furthermore, this equips the students with
197 lifelong learning skills in the way of developing coping mechanisms (Demaria et al., 2010).

198

199 **Conclusion**

200

201 This research highlighted emotional links to simulation as a learning and assessment
202 strategy with nervousness and pressure being associated with both the social learning aspects
203 of simulation and performance. However, the students also found that simulation gave them
204 increased confidence when on clinical placement. This is convergent with findings in research
205 undertaken in other health professions (Johannesson et al., 2013), which indicates that whilst
206 ODP is a specialised profession with very little existing literature pertaining to the education
207 of students using simulation, parallels can be drawn from similar professions and findings
208 generalised inter-professionally.

209

210 The ODP students interestingly argued that they would be more likely to prepare
211 themselves before learning through simulation than they would other teaching and learning
212 approaches, due to their peers and the pressures of the social learning environment.

213

214 The goal of all health professions is ultimately to improve patient safety through
215 improved standards of care, this has already seen the development of a UK project by the
216 Association for Simulated Practice in Healthcare (ASPiH) to develop a framework and produce
217 national guidance on simulation as a learning strategy to inform curriculums in all health
218 professions (Anderson et al., 2014). Furthermore the UK's Department of Health (DH) (2011)
219 have identified that "*the use of simulation integrated into healthcare education and*
220 *professional development curricula is recognised as one of the core approaches that will help*
221 *support attainment of strategic workforce development goals*" (pg. 8). With a predicted
222 increase in ODP student numbers and aligned shortfall of practice placement areas, it can be
223 assumed that the uptake of simulation as a learning and assessment strategy in the ODP
224 curriculum will have to imitate the models seen in other health professions in order to meet the

225 professional standards of the HcPC (2014). With increasing pressure on education institutions
226 to ensure safety improvements in the reliability of standard care processes, it is vital to
227 introduce parity and equal learning opportunities in order to reduce variability and improve the
228 reliability of practice (Healthcare Foundation 2011). Further to this the infrequency of clinical
229 emergencies in practice placement areas and inequity of exposure and involvement to these
230 amongst ODP students highlights the need for simulation based training to be fully integrated
231 into the educational curriculum.

232

233 This research did not set out to champion an existing simulation program, however, by
234 investigating the experiences of ODP students on the diploma pathway, improvements can be
235 made to future healthcare education curricula.

236

237 Limitations of this study include the number of participants as a group size of between six
238 and ten people provides optimal interaction (Yearous, 2006). While this yielded some
239 interesting data, it cannot be said for certain that even more in depth data could have been
240 gained from a larger group.

241

242 This paper suggests the following recommendations to enhance the educational benefits
243 and quality assurance of simulation within the ODP curriculum and clinical practice:

244

- 245 - Establish a transparent and strategic structure to the simulation approach within the
246 ODP curriculum;
- 247 - Increase the frequency of, and exposure of ODP students to simulation;
- 248 - Design simulation scenarios that have clinical relevance and mimic the authenticity of
249 the clinical environment;

250 - Further exploratory research is recommended.