



University of HUDDERSFIELD

University of Huddersfield Repository

Becker, Christoph, Chitchyan, Ruzanna, Duboc, Leticia, Easterbrook, Steve, Mahaux, Martin, Penzenstadler, Birgit, Rodriguez-Navas, Guillermo, Salinesi, Camille, Seyff, Norbert, Venters, Colin, Calero, Coral, Kocak, Sedef Akinli and Betz, Stefanie

The Karlskrona manifesto for sustainability design

Original Citation

Becker, Christoph, Chitchyan, Ruzanna, Duboc, Leticia, Easterbrook, Steve, Mahaux, Martin, Penzenstadler, Birgit, Rodriguez-Navas, Guillermo, Salinesi, Camille, Seyff, Norbert, Venters, Colin, Calero, Coral, Kocak, Sedef Akinli and Betz, Stefanie (2014) The Karlskrona manifesto for sustainability design. Documentation. Cornell University.

This version is available at <http://eprints.hud.ac.uk/id/eprint/22200/>

The University Repository is a digital collection of the research output of the University, available on Open Access. Copyright and Moral Rights for the items on this site are retained by the individual author and/or other copyright owners. Users may access full items free of charge; copies of full text items generally can be reproduced, displayed or performed and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided:

- The authors, title and full bibliographic details is credited in any copy;
- A hyperlink and/or URL is included for the original metadata page; and
- The content is not changed in any way.

For more information, including our policy and submission procedure, please contact the Repository Team at: E.mailbox@hud.ac.uk.

<http://eprints.hud.ac.uk/>

The Karlskrona manifesto for sustainability design

Version 0.3, October 2014

Introduction

As software practitioners and researchers, we are part of the group of people who design the software systems that run our world. Our work has made us increasingly aware of the impact of these systems and the responsibility that comes with our role, at a time when information and communication technologies are shaping the future. We struggle to reconcile our concern for planet Earth and society with the work that we do. Through this work we have come to understand that we need to redefine the narrative on sustainability and the role it plays in our profession.

What is sustainability, really? We often define it too narrowly. Sustainability is at its heart a systemic concept and has to be understood on a set of dimensions, including social, environmental, economic, human, and technical.

Sustainability is fundamental to our society. The current state of our world is unsustainable in more ways that we often recognize. Technology is part of the dilemma and part of possible responses. We often talk about the immediate impact of technology, but rarely acknowledge its indirect and systemic effects. These effects play out across all dimensions of sustainability over the short, medium and long term.

Software in particular plays a central role in sustainability. It can push us towards growing consumption of resources, growing inequality in society, and lack of individual self-worth. But it can also create communities and enable thriving of individual freedom, democratic processes, and resource conservation. As designers of software technology, we are responsible for the long-term consequences of our designs. Design is the process of understanding the world and articulating an alternative conception on how it should be shaped, according to the designer's intentions. Through design, we cause change and shape our environment.

We recognize that

there is a rapidly increasing awareness of the fundamental need and desire for a more sustainable world, and there is a lot of genuine goodwill - but this alone can be ineffective unless we come to understand that...

There is a narrow perception of sustainability that frames it as protecting the environment or being able to maintain a business activity. **Whereas** as a systemic property, sustainability does not apply simply to the system we are designing, but most importantly to the environmental, economic, human, technical and social contexts of that system, and the relationships between them.

There is a perception that sustainability is a distinct discipline of research and practice with a few defined connections to software. **Whereas** sustainability is a pervasive concern that translate into discipline-specific questions in each area it applies.

There is a perception that sustainability is a problem that can be solved, and that our aim is to find the "one thing" that will save the world. **Whereas** it is a "wicked problem" - a dilemma to respond to intelligently and learn in the process of doing so; a challenge to be addressed, not a problem to be solved.

There is a perception that there is a trade-off to be made between present needs and future needs, reinforced by a common definition of sustainable development, and hence that sustainability requires sacrifices in the present for the sake of future generations. **Whereas** it is possible to prosper on this planet while simultaneously improving the prospects for prosperity of future generations.

There is a tendency to focus on the immediate impacts of any new technology, in terms of its functionality and how it is used. **Whereas** the following orders of effects have to be distinguished: *Direct, first order effects* are the immediate opportunities and effects created by the physical existence of software technology and the processes involved in its design and production. *Indirect, second order effects* are the opportunities and effects arising from the application and usage of software. *Systemic, third order effects*, finally, are the effects and opportunities that are caused by large numbers of people using software over time.

There is a tendency to overly discount the future - in fact, the far future is discounted so much that it is considered for free (or worthless). Discount rates mean that long-term impacts matter far less than current costs and benefits. **Whereas** the consequences of our actions play out over multiple timescales, and the cumulative impacts may be irreversible.

There is a tendency to think that taking small steps towards sustainability is sufficient, appropriate, and acceptable. **Whereas** incremental approaches can end up reinforcing existing behaviours and lure us into a false sense of security. However, current society is on a path that is so far from sustainability that deeper transformative changes are needed.

There is a tendency to treat sustainability as a desirable quality of the system that should be considered once other priorities have been established. **Whereas** sustainability is not in competition with a specific set of quality attributes against which it has to be balanced - it is a fundamental concern and a precondition for the continued existence of the system, and it influences many of the goals to be considered in systems design.

There is a desire to identify a distinct completion point to a given project, so that success can be measured at that point, with respect to a pre-ordained set of criteria. **Whereas** measuring success at one point in time fails to capture the effects that play out over multiple timescales, and so tells us nothing about long-term success. Criteria for success change over time as we experience those impacts.

There is a narrow conception of the roles of system designers, developers, users, owners, and regulators and their responsibilities, and there is a lack of agency of these actors in how they can fulfill these responsibilities. **Whereas** sustainability imposes a distinct responsibility on each one of us, and that responsibility comes with a right to know the system design and its status, so that each participant is able to influence the outcome of the technology application in both design and use.

There is a tendency to interpret the codes of ethics for software professionals narrowly to refer to avoiding immediate harm to individuals and property. **Whereas** it is our responsibility to address the potential harm from the 2nd and 3rd-order effects of the systems we design as part of our design process, even if these are not readily quantifiable.

As a result, even though the importance of sustainability is increasingly understood, in the majority of cases where software systems are created, they are done so unsustainably and often decrease overall sustainability instead of increasing it.

Thus, we propose the following initial set of **principles and commitments**:

Sustainability is systemic. Sustainability is never an isolated property. Systems thinking has to be the starting point for the transdisciplinary common ground of sustainability.

Sustainability has multiple dimensions. We have to include those dimensions into our analysis if we are to understand the nature of sustainability in any given situation.

Sustainability transcends multiple disciplines. Working in sustainability means working with people from across many disciplines, addressing the challenges from multiple perspectives.

Sustainability applies to both a system and its wider contexts. There are at least two spheres to consider in system design: the sustainability of the system itself and how it affects overall sustainability of the wider system of which it will be part of.

System visibility is a necessary precondition and enabler for sustainability design. Strive to make the status of the system and its context visible at different levels of abstraction and perspectives to enable participation and informed responsible choice.

Sustainability requires action on multiple levels. Seek interventions that have the most leverage on a system and consider the opportunity costs: Whenever you are taking action towards sustainability, consider whether this is the most effective way of intervening in comparison to alternative actions (leverage points).

It is possible to meet the needs of future generations without sacrificing the prosperity of the current generation. Innovation in sustainability can play out as decoupling present and future needs. By moving away from the language of conflict and the trade-off mindset, we can identify and enact choices that benefit both present and future.

Sustainability requires long-term thinking. Consider multiple timescales, including longer-term indicators in assessment and decisions

Signed,

Christoph Becker, Faculty of Information, University of Toronto and Information and Software Engineering Group, Vienna University of Technology

Ruzanna Chitchyan, Department of Computer Science, University of Leicester

Leticia Duboc, Department of Computer Science, State University of Rio de Janeiro

Steve Easterbrook, Department of Computer Science, University of Toronto

Martin Mahaux, FUNDP Namur

Birgit Penzenstadler, Institute for Software Research, University of California, Irvine

Guillermo Rodriguez-Navas, Division of Embedded Systems, Malardalen University

Camille Salinesi, Centre De Recherche En Informatique, Université Paris 1

Norbert Seyff, Department of Informatics, University of Zurich

Colin Venters, School of Computing and Engineering, University of Huddersfield

Coral Calero, Instituto de Tecnologías y Sistemas de la Información, University of Castilla-La Mancha

Sedef Akinli Kocak, Environmental Applied Science and Management, Data Science Lab. Ryerson University.

Stefanie Betz, Business Information Systems, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology.