



# *University of* **HUDDERSFIELD**

## **University of Huddersfield Repository**

Siddiqui, Kalim

The myth of free trade

### **Original Citation**

Siddiqui, Kalim (1995) The myth of free trade. The Nation.

This version is available at <http://eprints.hud.ac.uk/id/eprint/16771/>

The University Repository is a digital collection of the research output of the University, available on Open Access. Copyright and Moral Rights for the items on this site are retained by the individual author and/or other copyright owners. Users may access full items free of charge; copies of full text items generally can be reproduced, displayed or performed and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided:

- The authors, title and full bibliographic details is credited in any copy;
- A hyperlink and/or URL is included for the original metadata page; and
- The content is not changed in any way.

For more information, including our policy and submission procedure, please contact the Repository Team at: [E.mailbox@hud.ac.uk](mailto:E.mailbox@hud.ac.uk).

<http://eprints.hud.ac.uk/>

**Kalim Siddiqui**

The recent call for so-called "free trade"



Martial Arts—p6

the poor, underdeveloped countries of food

lines of the earth, the state of Bangladesh,

... was reported in Belfast in 1770 as being

where they were assigned the role of produc-

Continued on page 5

13.1.95

the Nation

# The myth of free trade

for their markets on colonial powers that were beyond their control. This was essence what came to be called 'free trade'. Now, the question is how far the trading relations that exist today can be expected to change in the future. The fact is that it is the economic development of the North which has plundered the whole globe and most particularly the non-renewable resources of the Third World — oil and gas and minerals and forests.

Although the colonies of Latin America freed themselves from Spanish rule, but they fell under economic power of capital of United States and Britain, which were being invested in these countries in primary production like mining, plantations and ranching, not in manufacturing. The massive current debts of these countries are reminder of their continuing dependency.

The problem for most of the Third World, particularly Africa countries, is that they have nothing else to offer on the world market except products of the land, including minerals, and often only one product from each country. Most of the Third World countries rely for over 80 % of their export earnings on agricultural products. This is true for all Central American and Caribbean countries and for all Sub-Saharan African countries except Nigeria. Many of these countries the proportion of agricultural products in their exports was over 80 % and only one crop was involved — sugar from Jamaica, Cuba, Fiji, Mauritius; coffee from Ecuador, tea from Sri Lanka; cotton from Sudan; cocoa from Ghana; beef from Botswana and Paraguay, Banana from Honduras and Guatemala. As a result there are countries where over a third of the cultivated land, often most productive and irrigated land, is devoted to export crops. And export crops have frequently been grown without thought for the condition of the soil. Chemical fertilizers have been used together with pesticides which have poisoned the land and rivers.

Some of the products exported from the Third World, like coffee, tea and cocoa, have no nutritive value, but others like banana,

pineapples, and various tropical fruits, palm oil, coconuts, ground-nuts, soya, sugar and many tropical fish are important sources of both calories and protein. It is mainly bread grains that are imported from the industrialised countries. Many Third World countries have inadequate supplies of food for their own people and yet they are net exporter of food. The result is serious protein shortages in the diets of many Third World people. According to WHO, about 60 % are said to be short of protein and 20 % to be short of calories in 1993.

Although, the export of manufactured products from the Third World has increased from 6% in 1970 to nearly 16 % in 1992, but this is still very low and most of these were supplied mainly from the new industrialising countries — South Korea, Taiwan, Singapore and Hong Kong. It is true that world trade has grown at a rate faster than world production. For this reason neo-liberal economists argue that foreign trade is an 'engine' of economic growth. But what is overlooked by them is that the question of benefit of this growth and its unevenness and to the squandering of non-renewable resources and the destruction of the global eco-system.

World prices of manufactured goods have risen fairly consistently over the last 100 years. Although the primary product prices have also risen slowly, but they have moved up and down more sharply during the same period. For example, in the 1930s the primary products prices dropped down to 73 % of the manufactures; and today they are only 65 % in terms of manufactured products. This means today the Third World have had to supply twice the volume of primary products for the same volume of manufactured goods.

The unequal trading relations between manufacturers and primary producers have been incorporated into the operations of the large TNCs, whose size and importance have steadily increased in last decades. The dominant position of these companies throughout the Third World, with their control over both buying and selling of the goods entering international trade, provides the ex-

planation for the weakness of the millions of small Third World producers in the world market. The strength of the TNCs and the reason for their growth, lies in control over sources of capital. For the poor countries it is a vicious circle of indebtedness. Since capital is supplied from the North, the profit flows back to the North and it is they not the Third World that capital accumulates. The money that is being lent to the Third World comes from the accumulated profits of the giant companies. These giant companies not only have capital but also have latest technology. This gives them a competitive edge over all others and determines the growth path of production.

A study by UNCTAD has shown that for major commodities entering world trade in 1980, the proportion of total which was marketed by the 15 largest TNCs ranged between 70 % and 90 %, and that in most cases only 6 giant TNCs accounted for nearly the whole of the market. In some markets there are just two or three giant firms dominating the market. It is in that case obviously quite easy for them to act in collusion. They can therefore manipulate the market, squeezing it by withholding supplies to raise the prices or flooding the market to lower the prices.

What this means for the Third World countries is shown by the fact that, for example, a third of Guatemala's coffee crop is exported by Aron to the US and Dalgely controls Ghana's exports of cocoa to UK. The giant manufacturing companies are also involved. General Foods, for example, which along with Nestle controls three-quarters of the coffee markets. A report from the Congressional Research Service of the US in 1983 stated that there were two ways of manipulating prices: one was to spread false information, the second was to corner the market. All that this requires, observed a former vice-chairman of the Chicago Mercantile Exchange, 'is a great deal of money and brokers who are willing to look the other way'. He was testifying at the hearings into widespread speculation and manipulation

which had led to a jump in soya bean prices from dollar 3.31 to dollar 12.90 in the course of one month in 1973, which he said was the result of manipulative practices.

It is the cultivators, especially small growers, who suffer most from these swings, gaining little from the upswings and often heavily on the downswings. It is worth noting here that the grower's share in the coffee prices in the US, for example, varied from 35 % in 1972 to 16 % in 1980. Today, the growers share is rarely more than a tenth, and coffee workers on the estates receive no more than four cents in the dollar of the retail price for coffee. In addition, a recent study by the London based charity Christian Aid shows that less than 2 % of the price consumers pay for bananas goes back to the plantation workers and only 5 % goes to the farmers of the Third World. Nearly 90 % goes to intermediaries in the food chain — importers, wholesalers, freight companies and retailers.

In the Third World countries, the role of domestic landed families also must not be ignored. An outstanding example was the Somoza family in Nicaragua which, before their overthrow in 1979, owned a quarter of all land, a third of industrial and commercial capital and nearly all the mines and off-shore fishing rights. Elsewhere in Central America most coffee plantations are controlled by the nation's wealthiest families, which often constitute the ruling political dynasty. Links between the big domestic landed families in the Third World and TNCs of the North were forged in the colonial periods.

Capitalism could not operate without markets and the profit motive, the aim to accumulate capital, that provides the driving force of all capitalist markets. If people start with different amount of capital those born rich will have an advantage which becomes cumulative. The current GATT agreement on so-called free trade, will undermine sovereignty of the Third World countries and put them on the mercy of import dependency and chronic food shortages, increased foreign exchange crisis and thus will broaden the gap between the rich and poor countries.

13.1.95

The Nation