

# **University of Huddersfield Repository**

Dhimish, Mahmoud, Holmes, Violeta and Dales, Mark

Parallel fault detection algorithm for grid-connected photovoltaic plants

# **Original Citation**

Dhimish, Mahmoud, Holmes, Violeta and Dales, Mark (2017) Parallel fault detection algorithm for grid-connected photovoltaic plants. Renewable Energy, 113. pp. 94-111. ISSN 0960-1481

This version is available at http://eprints.hud.ac.uk/id/eprint/33465/

The University Repository is a digital collection of the research output of the University, available on Open Access. Copyright and Moral Rights for the items on this site are retained by the individual author and/or other copyright owners. Users may access full items free of charge; copies of full text items generally can be reproduced, displayed or performed and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided:

- The authors, title and full bibliographic details is credited in any copy;
- A hyperlink and/or URL is included for the original metadata page; and
- The content is not changed in any way.

For more information, including our policy and submission procedure, please contact the Repository Team at: E.mailbox@hud.ac.uk.

http://eprints.hud.ac.uk/

2

3

4 5

7

1

# Parallel Fault Detection Algorithm for Grid-Connected Photovoltaic Plants

# Mahmoud Dhimish, Violeta Holmes, Mark Dales

6 Department of Computing and Engineering, University of Huddersfield, Huddersfield, United Kingdom

## 8 Abstract

9 In this work, we present a new algorithm for detecting faults in grid-connected photovoltaic (GCPV) 10 plant. There are few instances of statistical tools being deployed in the analysis of PV measured data. The

11 main focus of this paper is, therefore, to outline a parallel fault detection algorithm that can diagnose

12 faults on the DC-side and AC-side of the examined GCPV system based on the t-test statistical analysis

13 method. For a given set of operational conditions, solar irradiance and module's temperature, a number of

14 attributes such as voltage and power ratio of the PV strings are measured using virtual instrumentation

15 (VI) LabVIEW software.

The results obtained indicate that the parallel fault detection algorithm can detect and locate accurately different types of faults such as, faulty PV module, faulty PV String, Faulty Bypass diode, Faulty Maximum power point tracking (MPPT) unit and Faulty DC/AC inverter unit. The parallel fault detection algorithm has been validated using an experimental data climate, with electrical parameters based on a 1.98 and 0.52 kWp PV systems installed at the University of Huddersfield, United Kingdom.

# 21 Keywords: Photovoltaic System, Photovoltaic Faults, Fault Detection, LabVIEW

# 22 1. INTRODUCTION

23 In recent years the photovoltaic market has developed rapidly throughout the world. A significant factor leading to this large growth in the PV industry is the reduction of PV generation costs. In addition, most 24 25 developed countries have implemented specific governmental strategies to encourage the introduction of 26 grid connected PV plants. Successful examples can be seen in Germany, Japan and Kenya [1]. This important growth has not, however, been accompanied by similarly significant improvements in the field 27 28 of PV system fault diagnosis and detection. Most PV systems, currently in use, operate without any 29 supervisory mechanism. These tend to be PV systems with power outputs below 25 kWp [2]. However, 30 following the fast growth of PV installations, fault detection techniques nowadays are important to be 31 deployed in smaller scale PV systems which do tend to be 25KWp or less.

The need for higher performance, efficiency and reliability for grid-connected PV (GCPV) systems has led to a recent interest in fault detection algorithms. Different factors can be responsible for the production losses in a PV system, including; maximum power point tracking (MPPT) error [3-4], wiring losses and ageing [5], shading effect [6-7], dust effect [8], snow accumulation on the surface of the solar panels [9] and faulty dc-ac inverters [10].

There are existing techniques which have been developed for fault detection in grid connected PV plants.
Some use satellite data [11] for fault detection using GITEL approach which facilitate the detection of

39 several faulty conditions in PV systems such as partial shading effect, faulty PV modules and faulty PV

string. However, some algorithms do not require any climate data (Solar irradiance and module
 temperature) but instead use earth capacitance measurements in a technique established by Takashima et

42 al [12], this approach follows three PV performance diagnosis layers, starting with passive diagnosis part,

then fault separation method and ending with active layer which contains the fault location in a PV string.

Other fault detection algorithm techniques are based on a diagnostic signal which indicates a possible fault occurring in the GCPV plant such as short circuit fault in any bypass diodes in PV string, shunted bypass diode fault and connection resistance fault between PV modules [13]. In [14], the authors proposed a reliable fault detection method for grid-connected PV plants. The method was developed using two algorithms based on artificial neural network (ANN) and I-V characteristics of the examined PV system.

The development of a fault detection algorithm which allows the detection of seven different fault modes 50 on the DC-side of a GCPV system is presented by M. Dhimish & V. Holmes [15]. The algorithm uses the 51 52 t-test statistical approach for identifying the presence of system fault conditions. However in [16], the fault detection algorithm focuses on the AC-side of the GCPV system. The approach uses the  $\pm 3$  standard 53 54 deviation statistical analysis technique. Hot-spot detection in photovoltaic substrings using AC 55 parameters characterization was developed by [17], this approach is used to detect the number of shaded 56 modules in a PV string, moreover, and the algorithm proves that the hot spot detection can be achieved 57 with two frequency measurements: one for the higher frequency capacitive region and one for the low 58 frequency dc impedance region. Nevertheless, the analysis of the current and voltage indicators in a 59 GCPV system operating in partial shading faulty conditions is created by Silvestre et al [18], this 60 approach is using the relationship between the ratios of the current in case of one faulty string and faultfree operation mode. In addition to the ratio between the voltage ratios in case of one bypassed PV 61 62 module and fault-free operation mode.

In this work, we present the development of a fault detection algorithm which allows the parallel detection of faults occurring on both the DC and AC sides of the examined GCPV plant. The algorithm uses the theoretical and measured power outputs from the GCPV plant. Initially, the measured output power is compared with the theoretical power. Subsequently, a statistical t-test technique is used to check the location of the fault which has occurred on the system. Two parameters are calculated and used in order to determine the type of fault: The power ratio between the simulated and measured power (PR); and the ratio between the simulated and measured voltage (VR).

The algorithm was developed and validated using online and historical field measurements from a 1.98 kWp PV plant located in Huddersfield, United Kingdom. The parallel fault detection algorithm was validated with data that include measurements taken during the faulty operation of the GCPV plant. Fig. 1 shows all types of faults which can be identified by the proposed parallel fault detecting algorithm. It can be observed that faults occurring in GCPV plants can be classified into three main categories:

- Faults in the data acquisition
- Faults in the DC-side of the GCPV system
- Faults in the AC-side of the GCPV system

A software tool is designed using Virtual Instrumentation (VI) LabVIEW to automatically display and
monitor the possible faults occurring within the GCPV plant. A LabVIEW VI is also used to log the
measured power, voltage and current data for the entire GCPV system.

The main contribution of this work is the development and implementation of a simple, fast and reliable fault diagnosis algorithm for GCPV plants. The statistical t-test method is used to determine the location of the fault in the PV system, there is, therefore, no requirement to compare the measured data with a
specific simulation threshold as described in [13, 14 and 16]. In practice, the parallel detection algorithm
is capable of localizing and identifying faults occurring in: A PV module in a PV string; Two PV modules
in a PV string; A faulty PV string; A Faulty MPPT; Shading effect with faulty bypass diodes; A faulty
DC/AC inverter unit and; Data acquisition errors. Fault data analysis is performed using two algorithms
operating in parallel:

- Algorithm 1, is implemented to detect faults in the DC-side of the GCPV plant
- Algorithm 2, is implemented to detect faults in the AC-side of the GCPV plant



Fig. 1. Different Type of Faults Occurring in the examined GCPV Plant

91 This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 presents the data acquisition in the GCPV plant. Section 3 92 describes the methodology used, Fault detection algorithm and diagnosis rules are presented, while

section 4 lists the results and discussion of the work. Finally, section 5 describes the conclusion and future

94 work.

# 95 2. GCPV PLANT AND DATA ACQUISITION

The PV system used in this work comprises a grid-connected PV plant containing 9 polycrystalline 96 silicon PV modules each with a nominal power of 220 Wp. The photovoltaic modules are organized in 3 97 strings and each string is made up of 3 series-connected PV modules. Using a photovoltaic connection 98 99 unit which is used to enable or disable the connected of any PV modules from the entire GCPV plant, each photovoltaic string is connected to a Maximum Power Point Tracker (MPPT) which has an output 100 efficiency not less than 98.5%. subsequently, each MPPT unit is connected to a DC/AC inverter unit 101 manufactured by Victron Energy. The efficiency of the DC/AC unit is in the range 94% to 88%. The DC 102 103 current and voltage are measured using the internal sensors which are part of the Flexmax MPPT unit and DC/AC inverter. 104

A Vantage Pro monitoring unit is used to receive the global solar irradiance measured by a Davis Weather
 Station which includes a pyranometer. The Hub 4 communication manager is used to facilitate the

acquisition of module temperatures using the Davis external temperature sensor, and the electrical data
 for each photovoltaic string. Finally, VI LabVIEW software is used to implement the data logging and
 monitoring functions of the GCPV system. Fig. 2 illustrates the overall system architecture of the GCPV

- 110 plant.
- 111 The SMT6 (60) P solar module manufactured by Romag has been used in this work. The tilt angle of the
- 112 GCPV plant installation is  $42^{\circ}$ . The electrical characteristics of the solar module are shown in Table 1.
- Additionally, the standard test condition (STC) for these so10lar panels are: Solar Irradiance=  $1000 \text{ W/m}^2$
- 114 And Module Temperature =  $25 \, ^{\circ}C$ .



Fig. 2. The GCPV Plant Installed at the Huddersfield University, United Kingdom

ELECTRICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF SMT6 (60	)) P PV MODULE			
Solar Panel Electrical Characteristics	Value			
Peak Power	220 W			
Voltage at maximum power point $(V_{mp})$	28.7 V			
Current at maximum power point $(I_{mp})$	7.67 A			
Open Circuit Voltage (V <sub>OC</sub> )	36.74 V			
Short Circuit Current (Isc)	8.24 A			
Number of cells connected in series	60			
Number of cells connected in parallel	1			
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$ , $\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{sh}}$	0.53 Ohms, 1890 Ohms			
dark saturation current (Io)	$2.8\times 10^{10}\text{A}$			
Ideal diode factor (n)	1.5			
Boltzmann's constant (K)	$1.3806 \times 10^{\text{-}23}J.K^{\text{-}1}$			

 TABLE 1

 Electrical Characteristics of SMT6 (60) P PV Module

#### 115 3. METHODOLOGY

#### 116 3.1. DC and AC Output Modelling

117 The DC side of the GCPV system is modelled using 5-parameters model. The voltage and current 118 characteristics of the PV module can be obtained using the single diode model [19] as the following:

119 
$$I = I_{ph} - I_o \left( e^{\frac{V + IR_s}{nsV_t}} - 1 \right) - \left( \frac{V + IR_s}{R_{sh}} \right)$$
(1)

120 Where  $I_{ph}$  is the photo-generated current at STC,  $I_o$  is the dark saturation current at STC,  $R_s$  is the 121 module series resistance,  $R_{sh}$  is the panel parallel resistance, *ns* is the number of series cells in the PV 122 module and  $V_t$  is the thermal voltage and it can be defined based on:

$$V_t = \frac{n K T}{q}$$
(2)

124 Where A the ideal diode factor, k is Boltzmann's constant and q is the charge of the electron.

125 The five parameter model is determined by solving the transcendental equation (1) using Newton-126 Raphson algorithm [20] based only on the datasheet of the available parameters shown previously in 127 Table 1. The power produced by PV module in watts can be easily calculated along with the current (I) 128 and voltage (V) that is generated by equation (1), therefore,  $P_{theoretical} = IV$ .

129 The Power-Voltage (P-V) curve analysis of the tested PV module is shown in Fig. 3. The maximum 130 power and voltage for each irradiance level under the same temperature value can be expressed by the P-131 V curves. The purpose of using the analysis for the P-V curves, is to generate the expected output power

132 of the examined PV module, therefore, it can be used to predict the error between the measured PV data

and the theoretical power and voltage performance, this method is used with all examined PV modules.



Fig. 3. Power-Voltage (P-V) curve modelling under various irradiance levels

The efficiency of an inverter converting the DC current and voltage into the AC Current and voltage has been modelled with the standard approach given by [21]. The DC/AC inverter units which are used in this work have a theoretical maximum and minimum conversion efficiency limits of 94% and 88% respectively. The algorithm is using the max and the min thresholds of the conversion ratios for the DC/AC inverter, therefore, the algorithm could be adapted with a different DC/AC inverter which has different conversion limits.

140 Based on the output of the MPPT units, VI LabVIEW software is used to simulate the theoretical 141 thresholds for the DC/AC inverters, the power ratio of the DC/AC unit can be calculated using the 142 following:

143

$$AC Power Ratio = \frac{Output AC Power}{\frac{Rated DC Power \times Total Insolation}{Irradiance Levle}}$$
(3)

144

Where rated DC power = the DC power at STC, Total insolation = total solar energy received by the PV

Where rated DC power = the DC power at STC, Total insolation = total solar energy received by the PV system over interval of time which the AC power ratio is calculated.

- 147
- 148

# 149 3.2. GCPV Parallel Fault Detection Approach

The main objective of the parallel fault detection algorithm is to detect and determine when and where a fault has occurred in the examined GCPV plant. The algorithm uses the climate data that is collected using the Davis weather station and the internal sensors of the MPPT and the DC/AC inverter unit. Furthermore, LabVIEW software simulates the I-V and P-V theoretical curves of the GCPV system as shown in Fig. 4(A).

The t-test statistical analysis method is used to compare the theoretical and measured output power of the GCPV system. The t-test is evaluated using (4) where  $\overline{x}$  is the mean of the samples,  $\mu$  is the population mean, n is the sample size and SD is the standard deviation of the entire data. The real-time measurements are taken by averaging 60 samples taken at 1 second intervals. The results obtained for power, voltage and current are calculated at one minute intervals for each sample set. To determine whether the t-test analysis is significant, a threshold value of 2.68 was used as shown in Fig. 4(B).

The parallel fault detection algorithm will be activated if the value of the t-test is higher than 2.68. Fig.
4(C) describes the parallel fault detection algorithm, which contains two different algorithms:

Algorithm 1, is used to diagnosis the faults on the DC-side of the GCPV system. This algorithm candetect multiple faults such as:

- Faulty PV module in a PV string And Two faulty PV modules in a PV string
- Faulty PV String
- Partial Shading (PS) with and without faulty bypass diodes in the PV string
- Faulty MPPT

173

Algorithm 2, is used to detect the faults on the AC-side of the GCPV system. There are two faults that can
be detected using this algorithm: faulty DC/AC inverter or output power efficiency reduction in the
DC/AC inverters. Faulty data acquisition is detected if there is a delay in the data logging or a faulty
simulation by the VI LabVIEW software.

$$t = \frac{(\overline{x} - \mu)\sqrt{n}}{SD} \tag{4}$$



Fig. 4. Fault Detection in the GCPV Plant. (A) General Algorithm Using T-test Statistical Technique, (B) T-test Statistical Confidence Interval Limits, (C) Parallel Fault Detection Algorithm

(5)

#### 3.3. Fault Detection Algorithm 1

Fault detection algorithm 1 is used to determine the type of fault occurred on the DC-side of the examined
GCPV system. Two ratios have been identified. Power ratio (PR) and voltage ratio (VR) have been used
to categorize the region where the fault has occurred. Both ratios can be calculated using the following
expressions:

$$PR = \frac{P_{theoretical}}{P_{measured} \times MPPT \text{ efficiency}}$$

$$VR = \frac{V_{theoretical}}{V_{measured \times MPPT} \text{ efficiency}}$$
(6)

180 Where  $P_{theoretical}$  is the theoretical output power generated by the GCPV system,  $P_{measured}$  is the 181 measured output power from PV string,  $V_{theoretical}$  is the theoretical output voltage generated by the 182 GCPV system and  $V_{measured}$  is the measured output DC voltage from PV string.

Based on the analysis of the PR for the GCPV system, numerical calculations for the DC-side fault rules is expressed in Fig. 5. Since the internal sensors of the MPPT have a conversion error rate of 98.5%, the power ratios are calculated at 1.5% error tolerance of the theoretical power which presents the maximum error condition for the examined GCPV system. The values are calculated according to the set of conditions shown in Fig. 5. 188 The voltage ratios are used to identify the fault type occurring in the GCPV system. Fig. 6 describes the 189 relationship between the power ratios and voltage ratios. Faults can be detected according to the following

- 190 conditions:
- 191 1) Sleep mode will start during the night when PR=0.

GCPV System >> Max P theoretical: 1982 Wn: Max V theoretical: 86.1

- 192 2) If  $1.015228 \ge PR \ge 1$ : it means that the GCPV system operates at the normal operation mode.
- 1933) If  $1.5233 \ge PR \ge 1.5$ : in this case two categories of faults can be identified: if  $2.47445 \ge VR \ge$ 1941.5: It indicates that there is a faulty PV module in the string, otherwise, a partial shading195condition has occurred in the PV string.
- 4) If 3 > PR > 1.5223: in this case if the voltage ratio is between 2.47445 and 1.5, a faulty PV module and a partial shading effect on the PV string has arisen. However, if the voltage ratio is outside of the range 2.47445 1.5, partial shading is the only condition affecting the PV string.
- 1995) If  $1000 > PR \ge 3$ : This case can determine various faults such as: Faulty PV module with PS200effect on the PV string, partial shading effect on the PV string, two faulty modules in the PV201string, two faulty modules in the PV string and partial shading effect.
- 6) If PR = 1000, where the GCPV plant has a failure in a PV string or a failure in a MPPT unit.

The theoretical short circuit current  $I_{sc}$  of the GCPV system is 8.18A. For all partial shading conditions if the measured short circuit current is less than 98.5% of the theoretical short circuit value which is equal to 8.0573A, a faulty bypass diode has been detected in the GCPV system, this is acknowledged by shading identification region (SIR) in Fig. 6.

- 207 The decision between any cases are illustrated in Fig. 6, for example, when a power ratio (PR) is equal to
- 208 1.5, the algorithm has to decide the fault type, which leads to specify the measured voltage ratio (VR).
- 209 Therefore, if the VR is between 2.47 and 1.5, faulty photovoltaic module is detected in the GCPV plant
- 210 otherwise, partial shading has been occurred as specified by SIR identification region.



Fig. 5. Diagnosis the Faults in the DC-Side of the GCPV Based on the Power Ratios Rule

- 211 It is expected that fault detection algorithm 1 can be used on GCPV plants. By applying, (5) for the power
- ratio calculations and, (6) for the voltage ratio calculations, it is possible to generate a fault detection rule. 212
- Moreover, fault condition regions strongly depend on the number of modules present in each PV string. 213
- 214 In this work, all calculations are based on the use of three module PV strings. Therefore, in order to apply the first algorithm, for fault detection on the DC-side of the GCPV system, it is necessary to consider the
- 215
- percentage of MPPT error and the number of modules in each PV string. 216
- 217 The estimate for the maximum percentage error varies from one GCPV plant to another. A value of
- 98.5% has been used as a maximum, in this case, because the MPPT generates a measured power greater 218
- 219 than 98.5% of the theoretical simulated power. Hence, the maximum measured error tolerance for the
- 220 voltage and power ratio can be evaluated accurately up to 1.5%. In order to make this rule applicable to
- 221 other GCPV plants, it is necessary to use the percentage of MPPT error for that particular plant.



Fig. 6. Diagnosis the error in the DC-Side Faulty Conditions Based on The Voltage and Power Ratios

# 222 3.5. Fault Detection Algorithm 2

- Fault detection algorithm 2, is used to detect two different fault types which occur in the DC/AC inverter units:
- Faulty DC/AC inverter unit: indicating that the DC/AC unit has stopped working.
- Reduction in the output power efficiency of the DC/AC inverter unit: indicating that the DC/AC inverter unit has reached an output power efficiency of less than 88%.

It is known that most AC inverters has an automatic disconnection to avoid islanding and other internal protection. However, many AC inverters are not capable to display the type of the fault occurred. Therefore, algorithm 2 was made to display for the end user two different faulty conditions which might occur in the AC inverters used in PV installation. This feature is useful for maintenance requirements and to monitor the performance of the AC side for the GCPV plant.

The datasheet for the DC/AC inverter unit shows the maximum output power efficiency to be 94% and the minimum to be 88%. Under standard test condition (STC), the theoretical output power of a PV string in the GCPV system is equal to 660.8 Wp and the maximum error tolerance of the MPPT is equal to 1.5%. Therefore, the normal operation limits for the DC/AC inverter units can be evaluated between AC power ratios (AC PR) in the range 1.0648 - 1.1387 as shown in Fig. 7.

If 1000 > PR AC > 1.1387, the DC/AC unit has a reduction in the output power efficacy. However, if the PR AC  $\ge 1000$ , it means that the DC/AC inverter unit has stopped working indicating a faulty DC/AC unit. A numerical value of 1000 was selected as an upper threshold because experimental data proves that the maximum output power efficiency reduction in the DC/AC inverter unit is equal to 1.3%. Therefore, 650.888 / 8.461544 = 76.923076 which is less than 1000.

243 It is possible to apply fault detection algorithm 2 in other GCPV plants. The only requirements for this

algorithm are, the MPPT error tolerance rate, and the maximum/minimum output power efficiency for the

examined DC/AC invert unit. For further investigations, this algorithm can be adapted to be used with

various GCPV system architecture implementations such as a central inverter configuration [23] and a

247 module integrated inverter configuration [24].



Fig. 7. Diagnosis the Faulty Conditions in the AC-Side Based on Power Ratios

#### 248 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this section, the performance of the parallel fault detection algorithm is verified. Four different subsections are demonstrated: the normal operation and sleep mode, diagnosis the faults in the data acquisition, performance evaluation for the fault detection algorithm 1 and algorithm 2. The acquired data for various days have been considered. The time zone for all measurements is GMT.

#### 253 4.1. Normal Operation and Sleep Mode

The Normal operation and sleep mode for the GCPV system is shown in Fig. 8. Starting from 6:00am and ending at 6:21am, the GCPV system is in sleep mode where the GCPV system has not yet received any solar irradiance to generate output power. However, between 6:22am and 19:41pm, the GCPV system is in the normal operation mode. The measured DC and AC power is very close to the theoretical DC and AC output power. According to the achieved results of this test, the output power efficiency for both the DC/DC converter and DC/AC inverter is equal to 98.89% and 92.7% respectively. Fig. 8 shows that the GCPV system is stable for all variation of the measured sun irradiance levels.

#### 261 4.2. Diagnosis the Fault in the Data Acquisition

There are multiple possible faults that may occur in the GCPV system due to the data acquisition unitssuch as:

- Running VI LabVIEW software: errors in loading graphs, errors in saving data.
- Delay in Data Logging: faulty in the internal sensors of the DC/DC or DC/AC units.

As shown in Fig. 9, the VI LabVIEW software stopped logging the measured and theoretical data between 13:27pm and 13:55pm. This type of fault might occur in running software due to VI LabVIEW version updates and the multi functions which the software handles at the same time. It is therefore required to create a structural code format before the data collection step.



Fig. 8. GCPV System Theoretical and Measured Output DC and AC Power in a Normal Operation and Sleep Mode



Fig. 9. Diagnosis the Fault in the Data Acquisition Due to Faulty Data Logging Using VI LabVIEW Software

#### 270 4.3. Performance Evaluation for Algorithm 1, DC-Side

In order to test the ability of the fault detection algorithm 1, described previously in section 3.3, a number of experiments were conducted over a full day period. Table 2 shows the start and end times for each experiment that has been examined in the GCPV system. Ten different case scenarios were tested sequentially, between 6:00am and 20:24pm. Brief description on how the GCPV system was perturb is shown in appendix A.

As shown in Fig. 10, starting from 6:21am, the GCPV system starts to generate output power after the sleep mode is finished. The GCPV system operates in a normal operation mode until 10:00:18am, where the power ratio and the voltage ratio of this case are equal to 1.012 and 1.18 respectively as illustrated in Figs. 10(A) and 10(B).

One faulty PV module test is conducted in the first PV string between 10:00:18am and 11:00:18am. The PR and VR for this particular case are equal to 1.511 and 1.502 respectively. Two faulty PV modules in the second PV string are tested between 11:00:18am and 12:00:18pm. In this case, the PR for the second PV string is equal to 3.021, while the first and third PV strings operate in normal mode during the test period.

By disconnecting one PV module and applying a 30% partial shading, using an opaque paper object, to 285 286 the third PV string as shown in Fig. 11(A) between 12:00:18pm and 14:00:18pm, the power ratio is equal to 2.36. Additionally, the measured short circuit current is used to detect possible faults in the bypass 287 diode for the examined PV string. Fig. 11(C) shows the variation of the measured short circuit current for 288 289 the GCPV system. As described previously in section 3.3, the theoretical upper and lower limits for the short circuit current are equal to 8.18 and 8.0571 respectively. A faulty bypass diode is detected by the 290 algorithm between 13:00:18pm and 14:00:18pm. In this case, the measured short circuit current lies 291 292 between 5.6A and 5.9A.

The only difference between an MPPT fault and a PV string fault is that during the latter the voltage ratio maintains a value greater than 1. Faulty MPPT and faulty PV string situations are tested between 14:00:18pm and 16:00:18pm in different PV strings. The voltage and the power ratio for a faulty MPPT is equal to 1000 for the first PV string as shown in Figs. 10(A) and 10(B). However, the power and voltage

ratio for the faulty PV string tested between 15:00:18pm and 16:00:18pm on the second PV string is equal

to 1000 and 1.003 respectively.

From 16:00:18pm until 20:24:00pm no faults occurred in the GCPV system and the sleep mode of the system starts when the power ratio and voltage ratio is equal to zero at 19:41:18pm.

All obtained results for the various test conditions indicate that fault detection algorithm 1 has a high detection capability: there is no evidence of any errors in the detecting algorithm while conducting different fault case scenarios at different PV locations. The time, location and type of fault is recognized by the algorithm.

	<b>a m</b>	<b>T</b> 1 <b>T</b>	EL DILG I		
Case	Start Time	End Time	First PV String	Second PV String	Third PV String
Number					
1	6:00:00 am	6:21:18 am	Sleep Mode	Sleep Mode	Sleep Mode
2	6:21:18 am	10:00:18 am	Normal Operation	Normal Operation	Normal Operation
3	10:00:18 am	11:00:18 am	Faulty PV Module	Normal Operation	Normal Operation
4	11:00:18 am	12:00:18 pm	Normal Operation	Two Faulty PV Modules	Faulty PV Module
5	12:00:18 pm	13:00:18 pm	Normal Operation	Normal Operation	30% Partial Shading and Faulty
6	13:00:18 pm	14:00:18 pm	Normal Operation	Normal Operation	PV Module without Fault in Bypass Diode in the PV String 30% Partial Shading and Faulty PV Module and Faulty Bypass Diode in the PV String
7	14:00:18 pm	15:00:18 pm	Faulty MPPT	Normal Operation	Normal Operation
8	15:00:18 pm	16:00:18 pm	Faulty MPPT	Faulty PV String	Normal Operation
9	16:00:18 pm	19:41:18 pm	Normal Operation	Normal Operation	Normal Operation
10	19:41:18 pm	20:24:00 pm	Sleep Mode	Sleep Mode	Sleep Mode

 TABLE 2

 DIAGNOSIS MULTIPLE FAULTS IN MULTIPLE STRINGS LOCATIONS



Fig. 10. GCPV System Theoretical DC Output Power vs. Measured Output DC Power Under 10 Different Case Scenarios



Fig. 11. Diagnosis the Faults Using Fault Detection Algorithm 1. (A) Power Ratio for all PV Strings, (B) Voltage Ratio for All PV Strings, (C) Measured Output Short Circuit Current Variations

## 305 4.4. Performance Evaluation for Algorithm 2, AC-Side

This section describes detection algorithm 2 which detects faults occurring on the AC-side of the GCPV system. Table 3 illustrates the start and end times for each DC/AC inverter unit examined in the GCPV system ten different case scenarios were tested sequentially between 6:00am and 20:24pm.

From 6:00am until 6:21:18am all DC/AC inverter units are in a sleep mode. The normal operation mode
for all DC/AC inverters is maintained between 6:21:18am and 10:00:18am. Moreover, Fig. 12(A) shows
all the variations of the output theoretical AC power vs. measured AC power.

A test with a faulty first DC/AC inverter unit, connected to the first PV String of the GCPV system, is conducted between 10:00:18am and 11:00:18am. Fig. 12(B) shows that the power ratio for this scenario is equal to 1000. The first and the second DC/AC inverter units are tested similarly under faulty operation mode between 11:00:18am and 12:00:18pm; this scenario is represented as 5. During this scenario the power efficiency for both faulty DC/AC inverter units is equal to 0, as shown in Fig. 12(C).

A reduction in output power efficiency, for the first DC/AC inverter unit, is examined between 14:00:18pm and 15:00:18pm. In this scenario, the efficiency of the DC/AC unit lies between 80% and 85%. However, the average power ratio is equal to 1.23 as shown in Fig. 12(B). This test has been perturbed to the DC/AC inverters by7 reducing the conversion efficiency of the microcontrollers unit embedded inside the inverters. There are many possible reasons for the reduction in the output power efficiency for the DC/AC inverter units including:

• Efficiency reduction in the MPPT Unit connected directly to the DC/AC inverter unit [25].

Partial shading conditions might affect the power ratios of the MPPT, with a consequent reduction in output power efficiency of the DC/AC inverter unit [26].

In this section, algorithm 2, which detects faulty conditions in the AC-side of the GCPV system, is examined. Algorithm 2 shows significant success in detecting any failure that occurs in any DC/AC inverter unit. The time and the fault type can be identified using the fault detection algorithm.

Case	Start Time	End Time	First DC/AC	Second DC/AC	Third DC/AC Inverter
Number			Inverter Unit	Inverter Unit	Unit
1	6:00:00 am	6:21:18 am	Sleep Mode	Sleep Mode	Sleep Mode
2	6:21:18 am	10:00:18 am	Normal Operation	Normal Operation	Normal Operation
3	10:00:18 am	11:00:18 am	Faulty Inverter	Normal Operation	Normal Operation
4	11:00:18 am	12:00:18 pm	Normal Operation	Normal Operation	Normal Operation
5	12:00:18 pm	13:00:18 pm	Faulty Inverter	Faulty Inverter	Normal Operation
6	13:00:18 pm	14:00:18 pm	Normal Operation	Normal Operation	Normal Operation
7	14:00:18 pm	15:00:18 pm	Efficiency Reduction	Normal Operation	Normal Operation
8	15:00:18 pm	16:00:18 pm	Normal Operation	Normal Operation	Normal Operation
9	16:00:18 pm	19:41:18 pm	Normal Operation	Normal Operation	Normal Operation
10	19:41:18 pm	20:24:00 pm	Sleep Mode	Sleep Mode	Sleep Mode

 TABLE 3

 DIAGNOSIS MULTIPLE FAULTS IN MULTIPLE DC/AC INVERTER UNITS



Fig. 12. Diagnosis the Faults Using Fault Detection Algorithm 2. (A) GCPV System Theoretical AC Power vs. Measured Output AC Power, (B) Power Ratio for All DC/AC Inverter Units, (C) Output Power Efficiency for all DC/AC Inverter Units 329

#### 330 4.5. Performance Evaluation of the Proposed PV Fault Detection Algorithm Based on Array Ages

Since the examined PV modules used in the previous sections is new (installed 2 years ago), the proposed PV detection algorithm was evaluated using another PV system as shows in Fig. 14, where the total PV system capacity is equal to 0.52 kWp. The MPPT unit and DC/AC inverter are previously explained in section 2. The PV modules are installed at the University of Huddersfield 11 years ago. Table 4 shows the theoretical power ratio fault detection limits calculated using (5) for various case scenarios before considering the age of the PV installation.

Fig. 13(A) shows the measured PR while disconnecting 3 PV modules (3 PV modules are inactive). Using the detection limits obtained by (5), most of the measured PR samples are does not lie between the detection limits since equation (5) does not contain the degradation rate of the PV array due to the PV array age. Therefore, it is required to use (7) which has the value of the degradation rate for the PV installation, where the new detection limits are presented in Table 4.

$$PR = \frac{P_{theoretical}}{P_{measured} \times MPPT \text{ efficiency} \times (100 - Accumulative PV Degredation Rate )}$$
(7)

343

345

344 Where the accumulative PV degradation rate is calculated using (8).

Accumulative PV Degredation Rate = PV Degredation rate per year  $\times PV$  Age of Institution (8)



Fig. 13. Power Ratio Variations Obtained by the Second PV System. (A) PR Variations for 3 Faulty PV Modules, (B) PR Variations for all Examined Case Scenarios vs. Detection Limits Which are Explained in Table 4

Fig. 13(B) shows the measured PR values for several tests. The theoretical thresholds have been calculated at a degradation rate equals to 0.67%/year. This rate ensure that all measured data lies within the detection region of the power ratio as illustrated in Table 4. As a result, by using (8) the accumulative degradation rate of the PV modules is equal to:



Fig. 14. Second Examined PV System Installed at the University of Huddersfield - Year of Installation is 2006

TABLE 4           Theoretical Threshold Calculations Using the Examined PV System Shown in Fig. 13						
Examined Case Scenario	Theoretical Thresholds Calculations Using (5) Before Considering the PV Array Age of Installation	Theoretical Thresholds Calculations Using (7) After Considering the PV Array Age of Installation				
Normal Operation mode	$RRmin = \frac{130 \times 4}{130 \times 4} = 1$	$RRmin = \frac{130 \times 4}{130 \times 4} = 1$				
	$PRmax = \frac{130 \times 4}{130 \times 4 \times 98.5\%} = 1.015$	$PRmax = \frac{130 \times 4}{130 \times 4 \times 98.5\% \times (100 - 7.37)\%} = 1.096$				
Faulty PV module	$RRmin = \frac{130 \times 4}{130 \times 3} = 1.3$	$\operatorname{RRmin} = \frac{130 \times 4}{130 \times 3} = 1.3$				
	$PRmax = \frac{130 \times 4}{130 \times 3 \times 98.5\%} = 1.35$	$PRmax = \frac{130 \times 4}{130 \times 3 \times 98.5\% \times (100 - 7.37)\%} = 1.46$				
2 Faulty PV modules	$RRmin = \frac{130 \times 4}{130 \times 2} = 2$	$RRmin = \frac{130 \times 4}{130 \times 2} = 2$				
	$PRmax = \frac{130 \times 4}{130 \times 2 \times 98.5\%} = 2.03$	$PRmax = \frac{130 \times 4}{130 \times 2 \times 98.5\% \times (100 - 7.37)\%} = 2.19$				
3 Faulty PV modules	$RRmin = \frac{130 \times 4}{130 \times 1} = 4$	$RRmin = \frac{130 \times 4}{130 \times 1} = 4$				
	$PRmax = \frac{130 \times 4}{130 \times 1 \times 98.5\%} = 4.06$	$PRmax = \frac{130 \times 4}{130 \times 1 \times 98.5\% \times (100 - 7.37)\%} = 4.38$				

Accumulative PV Degredation Rate = 0.67  $\left(\frac{degredation}{year}\right) \times 11$  (*PV Age of Instillation*) = 7.37

350

### 351 **4.6.** Discussion

In order to test the effectiveness of the proposed parallel fault detection algorithm, the results obtained have been compared with the multiple fault detection algorithms presented in [10 and 12-14]. The comparison between the outputs given by our proposed algorithm and four recent fault detection methods are shown in Table 5.

It can be seen that, different case studies have been examined for each of the methods, and only the parallel fault detection algorithm (this work) can diagnose all the case studies that have been examined. None of the reviewed fault detection algorithms can identify hot spots and dust levels in GCPV systems. It is necessary, therefore, to carry out further work in these areas with a view to including the detection of

these faults in a future generic advanced algorithm.

The proposed algorithm has used two ratios (VR and PR) which are similar to the power and voltage ratios described by Silvestre et al [22]. However, the proposed approach used in [27] dose not detect any failures in the AC side of the examined GCPV systems. Similar power and voltage ratios has been reused by A. Karabiber et al [10], but this algorithm is using the estimation of the hourly solar radiation using GISTEL model which has been improved by a fuzzy logic rules.

- The combination between the DC side and AC side power ratio can be used to detect possible faults in the GCPV plant as described by W. Chine et al [12]. This approach is different than the proposed algorithm used in this paper by the following:
- This paper divided the DC and AC side power ratios into two different indicators, which makes
   the algorithm easier to reuse with larger PV installations later on.
- 371
  2. This paper shows how to detect faulty bypass diode with partial shading conditions effect the
  372
  374
  375
  376
  376
  377
  378
  378
  379
  379
  370
  370
  371
  371
  371
  372
  372
  372
  372
  373
  374
  374
  374
  375
  375
  376
  376
  376
  377
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378
  378</li

Nowadays, some articles use artificial intelligent networks (ANN) in order to detect faults in GCPV systems. W. Chine et al [13] demonstrate an ANN fault detection approach used with PV systems. The approach is focused on faulty conditions such as inversed bypass diodes, shunted bypass diodes and short circuit fault in bypass diodes. Only a faulty bypass diode with partial shading effect is presented by this paper.

- Several statistical analysis techniques have been used to detect possible faults in GCPV plants. A regression algorithm for diagnosis faults in PV generators has been illustrated by W. Rezgui et al [28]. However, M. Dhimish et al [29] used another statistical analysis technique called t-test, which is similar to the work presented in this paper. Some other approaches used standard divination ( $\sigma$ ) limits, either  $\pm 3\sigma$ or  $\pm \sigma$  such as [10, 12 and 16].
- In this paper, multiple DC/AC inverter units have been used. Each inverter is used with separate PV string. However, it is possible to generalize the presented algorithm (algorithm 2) to be used with a centralized inverter for a GCPV structure [32] by adding the theoretical limits of the DC/AC inverter and then calculating the power ratio based on the total input power of the PV system.
- 387 The parallel fault detection algorithm presented in this work has some disadvantages such as:
- 1. The algorithm cannot detect faults occurring in multiple bypass diodes in the PV system.
- 389 2. Algorithm 1 detects faults in the DC side of the PV systems, however, this algorithm is based on
- the analysis of the power and voltage which are measured by the internal sensor of the MPPT unit, and therefore, the algorithm accuracy depends on the instruments used in the PV installation.

- The main contribution of this work is the variation of the minimum and maximum power and voltage ratios which can be evaluated using (5-6). The main finding of the proposed algorithm 1 is shown in Fig. 15. The x-axis presents PR and y-axis shows VR variations. This figure shows that when the PR is
- between 1 and 1.015, the GCPV plant is operating at normal mode despite the value of the VR. Moreover,
- the SIR region is used to identify the partial shading condition effects the PV systems.
- The proposed parallel fault detection algorithm contains the variations of the power and the voltage of the PV system. However, in the series-parallel PV array configuration system architecture the current ratio
- must be included in the mathematical calculations [30]. Moreover, in the parallel PV array configuration
- 400 the voltage ratio must be replaced by the current ratio since the voltage does not change significantly
- 401 during faulty conditions.
- Virtual Instrumentation (VI) LabVIEW software is a useful tool which has been used widely for
  monitoring and analyzing the performance of PV systems [15, 31 and 32]. Therefore, the design of the
  parallel fault detection algorithm has been implemented using virtual instrumentation LabVIEW software.
  Appendix B, presents a mixed signal graph showing voltage ratio, DC power ratio, AC power ratio and
  weather station data such as:
- 407 1. Solar Irradiance
- 408 2. Temperature
- 409 3. Humidity
- 410 The GCPV plant theoretical and measured output performance indicators for the DC-Side and AC-Side
- 411 are shown in appendix B. Also appendix B shows a brief illustration of the error which has been detected
- 412 by the algorithm in both DC and AC sides.



Fig. 15. PR vs. VR Based on the Analysis of Fault Detection Algorithm 1

Case Study	Proposed Algorithm	Ref. [14]	Ref. [13]	Ref. [16]	Ref. [12]	Ref. [10]
Month / Year of the Study	2017	August 2016	January 2016	October 2015	December 2014	February 2014
Fault Detection Algorithm Approach	T-test Statistical Technique	T-test Statistical Technique	I-V Curve Characteristic s and ANN	Standard Deviation Statistical Technique	Mathematical Ratios	± Standard Deviation and Fuzzy Logic
Software Used in the Study	LabVIEW	LabVIEW	MATLAB/ SIMULINK	Not Mentioned	MATLAB/ SIMULINK	MATLAB/ SIMULINK
Faulty PV Module in a PV String	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	×	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Faulty Strings in a GCPV Plant	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	×	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Faulty MPPT Unit	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	×	×		×
Faulty DC/AC Inverter Unit	$\checkmark$	×	×	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	×
Partial Shading Effect	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	×	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Faulty Bypass Diodes		×	$\checkmark$	×	×	×
Faults in the Data Acquisition	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	×	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$

 TABLE 5

 COMPARATIVE RESULTS BETWEEN THE PROPOSED ALGORITHM AND SEVERAL FAULT DETECTION METHODS

## 413 *5. CONCLUSION*

A parallel fault detection algorithm for a grid-connected photovoltaic (GCPV) plant based on a t-test statistical approach is proposed and verified using a 1.98 and 0.52 kWp GCPV plants. The parallel detection algorithm contains two sub algorithms. The first is used to facilitate the detection of faults on the DC-side of the GCPV system, while the second facilitates the detection of two different fault types on the AC-side of the GCPV plant.

In order to identify failures in the GCPV system, we have defined two indicators namely, power ratio (PR) and voltage ratio (VR). By using both ratios it is possible to determine the fault type, time and the location of the fault. The graphical user interface (GUI) was created to monitor the status of the existing system using virtual instrumentation (VI) LabVIEW software.

A novel contribution of this research is the use of a new statistical approach to identify failures on the DC
 and AC sides of the GCPV plant based on real-time, long-term field data measurements. Additionally the
 performance of the PV detection algorithm was evaluated using a two difference PV installations.

426 In the future, it is intended to create a generic fault detection algorithm to detect multiple faults in the

427 GCPV systems based on artificial intelligence machine learning techniques. Also, it is possible to enhance

428 the parallel fault detection algorithm by detecting more faults occurring in the PV systems such as the

429 change in the series resistance due to faulty conditions affecting the PV panels.



Bypass Diode Has Been Disconnected



Irradiance Temp	V1	V2	V3	0.902291			Third DC/AC Inverter Power Ratio	Third DC/AC Inverter Power Efficiency %	Error Detection in the NORMAL OPERAT	he Third DC/AC Inverter ION DC/AC INVERTER UNIT	
THIRD ARRAY STATUS		Thrid	Array	Third String Power Ratio			1.10549	90.4578			
G (Irradiance W/m*2) 632	P1	P2	P3	1.00965 Third String Voltage Ratio	Third String Efficiency % 99.0447	GCPV Outpu	t Performance	Theore	etical DC Power (W)	GCPV DC Measured Power (W)	
Tempreture (C) 16.17	11	12 	13	1.02206 Third String Current Ratio	Isc Measured 8.167			Theore	, tical AC Power (W)	GCPV AC Measured Power (W)	
Irradiance Temp	V1	V2	V3	0.986423				1149.4	14	1114.41	

#### References

- 433 [1] Ondraczek, J. (2014). Are we there yet? Improving solar PV economics and power planning in developing
  434 countries: The case of Kenya. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *30*, 604-615.
- [2] Khamis, A., Shareef, H., Bizkevelci, E., & Khatib, T. (2013). A review of islanding detection techniques for
  renewable distributed generation systems. *Renewable and sustainable energy reviews*, 28, 483-493.
- 437 [3] Qi, J., Zhang, Y., & Chen, Y. (2014). Modeling and maximum power point tracking (MPPT) method for PV
  438 array under partial shade conditions.*Renewable Energy*, *66*, 337-345.
- 439 [4] Saravanan, S., & Babu, N. R. (2016). Maximum power point tracking algorithms for photovoltaic system–A
  440 review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 57, 192-204.
- [5] Potnuru, S. R., Pattabiraman, D., Ganesan, S. I., & Chilakapati, N. (2015). Positioning of PV panels for reduction in line losses and mismatch losses in PV array. *Renewable Energy*, 78, 264-275.
- [6] Eke, R., & Demircan, C. (2015). Shading effect on the energy rating of two identical PV systems on a building
  façade. *Solar Energy*, *122*, 48-57.
- [7] Fathy, A. (2015). Reliable and efficient approach for mitigating the shading effect on photovoltaic module basedon Modified Artificial Bee Colony algorithm. *Renewable Energy*, *81*, 78-88.
- [8] Mejia, F., Kleissl, J., & Bosch, J. L. (2014). The effect of dust on solar photovoltaic systems. *Energy Procedia*, 49, 2370-2376.
- [9] Jelle, B. P. (2013). The challenge of removing snow downfall on photovoltaic solar cell roofs in order to
   maximize solar energy efficiency—Research opportunities for the future. *Energy and Buildings*, 67, 334-351.
- [10] Karabiber, A., Keles, C., Kaygusuz, A., & Alagoz, B. B. (2013). An approach for the integration of renewable
  distributed generation in hybrid DC/AC microgrids. *Renewable energy*, *52*, 251-259.
- [11] Tadj, M., Benmouiza, K., Cheknane, A., & Silvestre, S. (2014). Improving the performance of PV systems by
   faults detection using GISTEL approach. Energy conversion and management, 80, 298-304.
- [12] Takashima, T., Yamaguchi, J., Otani, K., Oozeki, T., Kato, K., & Ishida, M. (2009). Experimental studies of
  fault location in PV module strings. Solar Energy Materials and Solar Cells, 93(6), 1079-1082.
- [13] Chine, W., Mellit, A., Pavan, A. M., & Kalogirou, S. A. (2014). Fault detection method for grid-connected
  photovoltaic plants. *Renewable Energy*, *66*, 99-110.
- [14] Chine, W., Mellit, A., Lughi, V., Malek, A., Sulligoi, G., & Pavan, A. M. (2016). A novel fault diagnosis
  technique for photovoltaic systems based on artificial neural networks. *Renewable Energy*, *90*, 501-512.
- [15] Dhimish, M., & Holmes, V. (2016). Fault detection algorithm for grid-connected photovoltaic plants. Solar
  Energy, 137, 236-245.
- [16] Platon, R., Martel, J., Woodruff, N., & Chau, T. Y. (2015). Online Fault Detection in PV Systems. Sustainable
  Energy, IEEE Transactions on, 6(4), 1200-1207.
- [17] Kim, K. A., Seo, G. S., Cho, B. H., & Krein, P. T. (2016). Photovoltaic Hot-Spot Detection for Solar Panel
  Substrings Using AC Parameter Characterization. *IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics*, *31*(2), 1121-1130.
- 467 [18] Silvestre, S., Kichou, S., Chouder, A., Nofuentes, G., & Karatepe, E. (2015). Analysis of current and voltage
- 468 indicators in grid connected PV (photovoltaic) systems working in faulty and partial shading conditions. *Energy*, 86,
- **469** 42-50.

432

- [19] McEvoy, A., Castaner, L., Markvart, T., 2012. Solar Cells: Materials, Manufacture and Operation. Academic
  Press.
- 472 [20] Sera, D., Teodorescu, R., & Rodriguez, P. (2007). PV panel model based on datasheet values. Paper presented
  473 at the 2392-2396. doi:10.1109/ISIE.2007.4374981
- 474 [21] So, J. H., Jung, Y. S., Yu, G. J., Choi, J. Y., & Choi, J. H. (2007). Performance results and analysis of 3kW
  475 grid-connected PV systems.*Renewable Energy*, *32*(11), 1858-1872.
- [22] Silvestre, S., Chouder, A., & Karatepe, E. (2013). Automatic fault detection in gird connected systems. Solar
  Energy, 94, 119-127.
- 478 [23] Kouro, S., Leon, J. I., Vinnikov, D., & Franquelo, L. G. (2015). Grid-connected photovoltaic systems: An
  479 overview of recent research and emerging PV converter technology. *IEEE Industrial Electronics Magazine*,9(1), 47480 61.
- [24] Díez-Mediavilla, M., Dieste-Velasco, M. I., Rodríguez-Amigo, M. C., García-Calderón, T., & Alonso-Tristán,
  C. (2014). Performance of grid-tied PV facilities based on real data in Spain: central inverter versus string
  system.*Energy Conversion and Management*, 86, 1128-1133.
- [25] Planas, E., Andreu, J., Gárate, J. I., de Alegría, I. M., & Ibarra, E. (2015). AC and DC technology in microgrids: A review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 43, 726-749.
- [26] El-Dein, M. S., Kazerani, M., & Salama, M. M. A. (2013). Optimal photovoltaic array reconfiguration to reduce partial shading losses. *IEEE Transactions on Sustainable Energy*, 4(1), 145-153.
- [27] Silvestre, S., da Silva, M. A., Chouder, A., Guasch, D., & Karatepe, E. (2014). New procedure for fault detection in grid connected PV systems based on the evaluation of current and voltage indicators. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 86, 241-249.
- 491 [28] Rezgui, W., Mouss, N. K., Mouss, L. H., Mouss, M. D., & Benbouzid, M. (2014, March). A Regression
  492 Algorithm for the Smart Prognosis of a Reversed Polarity Fault in a Photovoltaic Generator. In *IEEE ICGE*493 2014 (pp. 134-138).
- 494 [29] Dhimish, M., Holmes, V., & Dales, M. (2016, November). Grid-connected PV virtual instrument system
  495 (GCPV-VIS) for detecting photovoltaic failure. In *Environment Friendly Energies and Applications (EFEA), 2016*496 4th International Symposium on (pp. 1-6). IEEE.
- 497 [30] Obi, M., & Bass, R. (2016). Trends and challenges of grid-connected photovoltaic systems–A
   498 review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 58, 1082-1094.
- 499 [31] Chouder, A., Silvestre, S., Taghezouit, B., & Karatepe, E. (2013). Monitoring, modelling and simulation of PV
  500 systems using LabVIEW. *Solar Energy*, *91*, 337-349.
- 501 [32] M. Dhimish, V. Holmes and B. Mehrdadi, "Grid-connected PV monitoring system (GCPV-MS)," 2016 4th
- 502 International Symposium on Environmental Friendly Energies and Applications (EFEA), Belgrade, Serbia, 2016,
   503 pp. 1-6.doi: 10.1109/EFEA.2016.7748772