Tesfa, Belachew, Mishra, Rakesh, Gu, Fengshou and Ball, Andrew

Water Injection Effects on the Performance and Emission Characteristics of a CI Engine Operating with Biodiesel

Water Injection Effects on the Performance and Emission Characteristics of a CI Engine Operating with Biodiesel

B. Tesfa. R. Mishra, F. Gu, A. D. Ball
Computing and Engineering, University of Huddersfield,
Queensgate, Huddersfield, HD1 3DH, UK

b.c.tesfa@hud.ac.uk, +44 (0) 1484 471193
r.mishra@hud.ac.uk, +44 (0) 1484 473263
f.gu@hud.ac.uk, +44 (0) 1484 472596
andrew.ball@hud.ac.uk, +44 (0) 1484 472438

Abstract

Biodiesel is one of the most promising renewable, alternative and environmentally friendly biofuels that can be used in diesel engine without any need for any modification in the engine. However, researchers have reported that the engines running with biodiesel emit NOx in higher concentrations. To address this problem, in the present study an experimental investigation has been carried out on the combustion, performance and emission characteristics of a compression ignition (CI) engine running with biodiesel under steady state conditions with a novel NOx reducing mechanism involving a water injections system. The experimental work has been conducted on a four-cylinder, four-stroke, direct injection (DI) as well as turbocharged diesel engine. In this investigation, biodiesel (produced from the rapeseed oil by transesterfication process) has been used. During the experiments the in-cylinder pressure, specific fuel consumption, water injection flow rate, fuel flow rate and exhaust emission (NOx, CO, CO_2 and THC) were measured. The experimental results clearly indicate that water injection at a rate of 3kg/h results in the reduction of NOx emission by about 50% without causing any significant change in the specific fuel consumption. Furthermore, the water injection in the intake manifold has little effect on the in-cylinder pressure and heat release rate of the CI engine under different operating conditions.

1. Introduction

Current and future emission regulations are becoming more stringent and the transport sector is undergoing rapid transformation because of these regulations.. In addition, the fossil fuel demand is continuously increasing world over resulting in rapid depletion of fossil fuel deposits [1]. These problems are compelling the world to focus on developing/finding alternative fuels to the existing fossil fuels [2]. The major alternative fuels that are being used for the automotive transport are ethanol, hydrogen and biodiesel. Ethanol technology has been successfully established and commercialized in both developing and developed countries. However, ethanol has a limitation of being used only in spark ignition engines. The use of ethanol is also limited to maximum blend strength of 85% only as higher blend strength results in problems in fuel injection system [3]. Hydrogen based fuel cells can become a viable alternative to fossil fuels. However, to make hydrogen use commercially viable, there are many technical challenges that need to be addressed for example complexity in hydrogen production, requirement of special infrastructure for its storage, and high fuel cell production costs. Inspite of research advances on, hydrogen powered fuel cells, and diesel engines are expected to remain in use for high-power applications such as rail road locomotives, ships and over land transport trucks [4]. For these applications the biodiesel fuel appears to be a viable alternative to fossil fuel as its properties match favourably with fossil fuel and there are only few technical challenges that need to be overcome when used in compression-ignition diesel engines [4]. Biodiesel is one of the renewable energy sources, which consists of short chain (methyl or ethyl) esters, produced from vegetable-based oils by transesterification. A large number of studies have shown that biodiesel is one of the most promising renewable, alternative and environmentally friendly biofuels that can be used in diesel engine with little or no modifications in the engine [5-9]. It has also been shown that biodiesel has significant potential to reduce CO_2, CO, THC and PM emissions [10,11].

Even though biodiesel provides engine performance comparable to engine performance with diesel, a considerable number of researchers have reported that the engines running with biodiesel emit higher NOx concentrations in exhaust [12-14]. NOx and PM emissions are the major toxic emissions that are being regulated with emission regulations becoming more and more stringent [15]. This is shown pictorially in figure 1 [16]. This regulatory requirement has resulted in major research and development works being undertaken to reduce NOx emissions. Different methods have been used to reduce the NOx emission successfully from compression-ignition engine; some of these are exhaust gas recirculation (EGR), catalytic converter (post combustion method) and water injections/emulsion [4]. The working principles and the advantages and disadvantages of these methods are summarised below.



Figure 1 Passengers cars NOx emission overview of past and future requirements [17]

1.1 Exhaust Gas Recirculation (EGR)

The main principle employed in EGR is re-circulation of a portion of an engine's exhaust gas back to the engine cylinders. The re-circulated exhaust gas decreases the local temperature in the combustion chamber. It is mostly effective in particular time/space zones during which the NOx emission is produced, specifically during the fuel injection and after the end of the injections [18]. In the EGR system, the heat of combustion from the fuel is used to heat the exhaust gas. The exhaust gas is essentially inert and therefore does not react in the combustion chamber and only absorbs heat [4]. Even though, the

EGR has a potential of reducing NOx up to 50%, it has an inherent drawback of increasing the PM emissions [2,19,20]. In addition, the heat absorption by exhaust inert gas in the cylinder chamber results in small amount of power loss from the engine as well.

1.2 Post-composition Control Method

The other method to reduce NOx emissions is using post-composition control of the exhaust gas to remove the NOx emission. One such method being used for SI engines for reducing the NOx emissions is three-way catalytic converter. The catalytic-converter changes NOx to N_2, CO to CO_2 and unburned hydrocarbons (HC) into H_2O and CO_2.

However, the materials used in catalytic converters include platinum, palladium, and rhodium, which are expensive. In addition, the catalytic convertors work best at a stoichiometric air-fuel ratio about 14.1:1. Most of the diesel engines tend to run lean which makes the catalytic converter less effective in reducing NOx emission [21]. Running lean also produces more over all NOx emission because of the increase in engine temperature. The other catalytic method of NOx reduction is selective catalytic reduction (SCR). This method is used for many years in stationery combustion installations to reduce NOx by injecting ammonia in the presence of catalyst. In the vehicles applications instead of ammonia an aqueous solution of urea (NH_2CONH_3) is used. The SCR can result in NOx reduction of up to 90% [22]. However, the application of SCR finds most application in heavy vehicle application and has rarely been used in passenger cars. This is because exhaust gas temperature in diesel car is low which makes SCR less effective. In addition, the urea/ammonia management is quite costly and requires modification of the exhaust system for catalyst space and provisions for new urea/ammonia infrastructure and maintenance of the system [23].

1.3 Water Injection/Emulsion

The third available method to reduce local combustion temperature and consequently the NOx emission is the injection of emulsion of water into an engine system [24-28]. One of the advantages of the water injection as compared with the EGR and the catalytic converter is the enhanced possibility of reduction of NOx over the entire engine load range without affecting the PM emission negatively [2]. Even though water is inert, in the combustion cylinder it decreases the local adiabatic flame temperature by absorbing heat of water vapour [29-31]. As a result the NOx emission, which depends on the peak flame temperature, is reduced [15, 32], In addition to the reduction of NOx, water emulsion reduces the HC, soot and particulate matter as well. There are three main methods that are used to introduce water into a diesel engine. These are direct water injection into the cylinder using separate injector, injecting water/diesel emulsion and spraying/injecting water into the intake manifold [33, 34].

The first water based injection system involves direct injection of water within the combustion cylinder. This method provides an option of controlling water and fuel ratio [35]. Southwest Research Institute and Delphi Diesel Systems have developed a real time water injection system for application to heavy-duty diesel engines. The system is integrated with electronic control unit and controls the pump that delivers metered volumes of water to an electronic injector forming diesel and water mixture at the injector tip. It has been reported that this method enables NOx emission to be reduced by 42% and in combination with EGR this method enables NOx emission to be reduced up to 82% [36]. The drawback of this method is the amount of complexity involved in integrating additional components to the existing engine system and further requirements of a redesign of the fuel supply system integrated with the engine.

The second water based injection system involves emulsification of water and fuel in the presence of some surfactants in an appropriate mixer. It has been also shown that adding water in the fuel may help to improve atomization and mixing characteristics, which is attributed to droplet micro-explosions. The micro-explosions phenomena are induced by volatility differences between the water and the fuel [34]. The water-fuel emulsion methods have several shortcomings that impede emulsion fuels from becoming widely used in the practice. The effects of water emulsion on the performance of the engine vary with the operational modes of the engine. In most of the previous studies the water emulsion has been shown to have positive effect on engine performance parameters [32], [37]. The water diesel emulsion has some drawbacks: firstly, the water emulsions needs a more advanced and well developed infrastructure for the implementation of a complex on-board water-in-diesel emulsion production system integrated with the engine, which may increase the cost of the engine [2]. To produce smaller and well scattered water droplets, the engine operating parameters need to be controlled with very high accuracy [34]. Secondly, the physical properties of the fuel emulsion may (viscosity, density and bulk modules) change. It is observed that the viscosity and density of the water emulsified fuel have higher values than the normal fuel [38]. Change in these parameters can significantly affect the performance of the fuel injection system.

The third method of water based injection system is intake manifold water injection. Currently this method is widely used on large marine diesel engines. The water can be injected either downstream of the compressor or upstream of the compressor [24-27,28, 35]. Tauzia *et.al* [2] had investigated the effects of water injection into the intake manifold of a HDDI Diesel engine. They reported NOx reduction of up to 50% at an injection rate between 60-65 % of water over a wide load range. The main advantage of water injection into the intake manifold is its simplicity and ease with which it can be integrated within existing engines and also with any new design. Since in this system water is injected through a separate valve and it does not mix with fuel directly, it does not affect the fuel flow properties in fuel supply line. It can be seen from the above discussion that injection of water into the intake manifolds has potential to be the most effective method of NOx reduction.

As described above the application of water injection to an engine running with diesel to reduce NOx emission has been reported extensively. However, little attention has been paid to understand and investigate the effects of water injection on the engine performance and emission running with biodiesel and biodiesel blends. The main objective of the present work is to investigate performance and emission characteristics of a CI engine running with biodiesel and integrated with water injection system into the intake manifold. Furthermore the thermodynamic effects of water injection on the combustion behaviour within the cylinder have also been investigated.

2. **Experimental Facilities and Test procedure**

In this study the combustion, performance and emission characteristics of a CI engine, running with biodiesel, without and with water injection have been investigated. The engine used in the present investigation is a four-cylinder, four-stroke, turbo-charged, water-cooled and direct-injection CI engine. Full details of parameters of the engine are included in table 1. The load to the engine was provided by a 200kW AC Dynamometer with 4-Quadrant regenerative drive with motoring and absorbing capability for both steady and transient conditions. It is integrated with speed sensors, pressure transducers, thermocouples, air flow metres, fuel flow metres and in-line torque meter. A Hengler RS58 speed sensor was used to measure the speed of the engine. The air-consumption was measured using hot-film air-mass meter HFM5 and the fuel consumption was measured by FMS-1000 gravimetric fuel measuring which was controlled and monitored by CADETV12 software. The cylinder pressure was measured using Kistler 6125A11 model air-cooled piezo-quartz pressure sensor which was mounted on the cylinder head. The cylinder pressure signal was passed through Bruel & KJaer 2635 charge amplifier. The crankshaft position was obtained using a crank angle sensor to determine the cylinder pressure as a function of the crank angle.

All the signals collected from the test rig needed to be converted from an original analogue form to a digital form. This was achieved by using a Cambridge Electric Design (CED) Power 1401 Analogue to Digital Converter (ADC) interface between the transducers and the computer. The Analogue to Digital Converter (ADC) has 16 channels, 500 MHz bandwidth. The fuel from biodiesel tank was pumped to a fuel meter and, then it was passed through a fuel pump to the fuel injectors. The water injection was carried out by using an electric pump attached to a water source. The water was injected downstream of the compressor attached to the intake manifold. The water flow rate was measured by gravimetric method.



1 Fuel tank	11 Exhaust manifold
2 Biodiesel tank	12 Injector
3 Fuel pump	13 Cylinder
4 Water tank	14 Engine bed
5 Electric pump	15 Turbine
6 Valve	16 Emission analyser
7 Air inlet	17 Exhaust
8 Water injection point	18 PC for analysing emission
9 Compressor	19 Data acquisition system
10 Intake manifold	20 PC for analysing performance

Figure 2 Experimental setup

Table 1: Characteristics of engine

Engine type	Turbo charged diesel engine
Number of cylinders	4
Bore	103mm
Stroke	132mm
Compressor inlet diameter	60mm
Compressor outlet diameter	60mm
Compression ratio	18.3
Number of valves	16
Injection system	Direct injection
Displacement	4.399 litre
Cooling system	Water
Recommended speed	850 rpm
Maximum power	74.2Kw @ 2200 rpm

The measurement of the gaseous emissions was carried out using a gas test bench HORIBA, Horriba EXSA - 1500. The type of gas analyser and measuring range used in this study are described in Table 2. The sample line of the equipment is connected directly to the exhaust pipe and it is heated to maintain a wall temperature of around 191^{o}C and avoid condensation of hydrocarbons. The insulted line is extended from the exhaust pipe to the equipment unit where the analysers are located. Both NOx emission and CO emission analysers are set in one bench. However, each emission analyser uses different principles to measure the emission. Oxides of nitrogen are measured on a dry basis, by means of a heated chemiluminescent detector (HCLD) with a NO_2/NO converter. The carbon monoxide was measured using a non-dispersive infrared (NDIR) absorption type analyser, whereas a paramagnetic detector was employed for the measurement of O_2 concentration in the exhaust flow.

Table 2 The emission analyser type and measuring range

Emission type	Emission analyser type	Measuring range
CO	non-dispersive infrared (NDIR)	0 – 2000ppm
NOx	heated chemiluminescent detector (HCLD)	0 – 5000ppm
O_2	paramagnetic detector	0 – 25%

During the testing process the engine was initially run for 10 minutes to bring it to a steady state before any measurements were carried out. On the day prior to the actual test day and also in between each type of water flow rate tests, a preconditioning procedure was implement that up to 50% by running the engine at a high load and then a low load to purge out any of the remaining effects from previous tests in the engine fuel system and also to remove the deposited hydrocarbon from the sample line. The frequency of the data acquisition system was 37kHz. The sampling time used was 40 seconds. The operating conditions are listed on Table 3. The operating conditions were selected with an aim to cover main engine operating speeds and loads as per the New European Driving Cycle (NEDC).

Table 3 operating conditions

Condition	Speed(rpm)	Load(Nm)	Water flow rate
A	900 – 1800	105	Without , 1.8kg/h, 3kg/h
B	900 – 1800	210	Without , 1.8kg/h, 3kg/h
C	900 – 1800	315	Without , 1.8kg/h, 3kg/h
D	900 – 1600	420	Without , 1.8kg/h, 3kg/h

The biodiesel used in this study was rapeseed oil biodiesel purchased from a local biodiesel producer. The biodiesel was produced by transesterfication process from 'virgin' oil using methanol. The main physical properties such as composition, density, lower heating value and viscosity of the biodiesel were measured in the applied science laboratory according to the official test standards and are shown in table 4.

Table 4 The properties of biodiesel

Property	Units	Measured
	% C	77
Composition, %	% H	12
	% O	11
Density ,	Kg m^{-3}	879
LHV*, KJ/Kg	MJ Kg^{-1}	38.5
Kinematic Viscosity ,	mm^2 s^{-1}	4.9

LHV*: lower heating value

3. **Estimation of Experimental Work and Heat Release Rate**

Heat release rate (HRR) is an important parameter to analyse the combustion phenomena in the engine cylinder. The important combustion parameters such as combustion duration and intensity can be easily estimated from the heat release rate variation over an engine cycle. The HRR diagram provides key input parameters in the prediction models for the NOx emission. The heat release rate is modelled by applying the first law of thermodynamics as follows:

$$\frac{dQ}{d\theta} = p.\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}\frac{dV}{d\theta} + \frac{1}{\gamma-1}V\frac{dP}{d\theta} \qquad (1)$$

— — (2)

Where, *dQ/dθ* is rate of heat release (kJ/deg), *P* is the in-cylinder gas pressure, *V* is in-cylinder volume γ is the ratio of specific heats, V_d is the engine displacement, and *R* is the ratio of connecting rod length (*l*) to crank radius(a).

In the equation (1), the cylinder content is assumed to be a homogeneous mixture of air and combustion products. It is further assumed that a uniform temperature and pressure exists at any moment during the combustion process. To determine the HRR within the internal combustion engine by equation (1), the engine geometry specification as described in table 1 and cylinder pressure values that were recorded during the tests were used. Furthermore, the cumulative heat release (Q_{cum}) in the combustion cylinder is found by equation (2).

$$Qcum = \int dQ = \int P\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}dV + \frac{1}{\gamma-1}VdP \qquad (3)$$

4. **Discussion and Results**

The main scope of the present study is to investigate the effects of water injection into the intake manifold of a compression ignition engine running with biodiesel on the performance characteristics of the engine. In the following results are presented for all test cases examined with special emphasis on the combustion characteristics, engine performance and exhaust emission.

4.1 Water injection Effects on Cylinder Pressure and Heat Release Rate

Figure 3 shows the variation of in-cylinder pressure with cylinder volume for an engine speed of 1300rpm and at loads of 105Nm, 210Nm, 315Nm and 420Nm corresponding to different water injection rates (0kg/h, 1.8kg/h and 3kg/h) into the intake manifold. The results show that the P-V diagrams are fairly similar and follow typical characteristics under different operating conditions. Effect of water only shows marginal effect on peak pressure values within the cylinder.



Figure 3 P-V diagram of CI engine at 1300rpm and various engine loads

This means the work done by the engine, which is calculated from the P-V diagrams, is not affected greatly by the water injection. The work done calculations show less than 2% change in work output because of water injection.

Figure 4 and figure 5 show the variation of in-cylinder pressure with crank angle under different operating conditions for the engine running with biodiesel at different water injection rates (0kg/h,1.8kg/h, 3kg/h) for engine speeds of 900rpm, 1100rpm, 1300rpm and 1500rpm at different engine loads of 105Nm, 210Nm, 315Nm and 420Nm. In both the figures it can be seen that the peak cylinder pressures only have minor differences in magnitude for different water flow rates at a given operating condition.



Figure 4 Cylinder pressure at 1300rpm and at different loads

However, it can be seen that with the change of operating condition, the pressure variation profile changes substantially. This result indicates that the water injection into the intake manifold does not affect the peak flame temperature considerably during the combustion at a given operating condition (speed and load). Instead, the water injection affects the premixed combustion flame temperature at which high concentrations of Nitrogen and Oxygen react to form oxides of Nitrogen[39].



Figure 5 Cylinder pressure at 420Nm and at different engine speeds

Figure 6 demonstrates the rate of heat release (ROHR) for the CI engine used in present investigation running with biodiesel with water injection at speeds of 900 rpm and 1300 rpm and at two different loads of 210 Nm and 420 Nm. At lower engine speeds since the vaporised fuel has accumulated during ignition delay [39], at the beginning negative heat release rates have been observed on figure 6(a) and 6(b). However, at higher engine speed (1300rpm) the heat release rate start with positive ROHR due to the higher fuel-air mixing phenomena (figure 6(c) and 6(b)). In figure 6 it can be also seen that the pre-mixed combustion heat release rate of combustion with water injection is higher than the neat fuel. This is because the ignition delay and accumulation of fuel in the combustion chamber at the time of combustion result in higher ROHR [2]. Furthermore, it can be seen from the figures, that the main effect of the water injection on the combustion is to increase the ignition delay. This observation is an agreement with the previous researchers [2, 40]. The ignition delay, which is the time (or crank angle) interval between the start of injection and the start of combustion, increases with increasing the water injection flow rate. The ignition delay is because of the cooling effect of water on the inlet air temperature. In addition, addition of water may also have significant effect on the chemical kinetics within the combustion chamber.





Figure 6 Heat releases rate at 1300rpm and different loads

At higher loads (as it can be seen in figure 6(b) and 6(d)), the combustion is almost purely diffusive and the influence of water injection on ROHR is less. Since the diffusive combustion rate is governed by the amount of air entrained by the fuel spray per unit of time. In this case with water injected with the air, the spray entrains a water-air mixture instead of pure air, so that an increase in combustion duration is expected.

The cumulative heat release is an important parameter to characterise the efficiency of the combustion process. The cumulative heat release rate is shown in the figure 7. The figure shows that at lower engine speeds engine running with water injection has slightly higher cumulative heat release rate than the engine running without water injection. At higher loads the water injection does not show any significant change in cumulative heat release rate.





Figure 7 Cumulative heat releases at 1300rpm and different loads

4.2 Effects of Water Injection on Engine Performance

The main engine performance parameters measured in the present investigation are power, specific fuel consumption and thermal efficiency. Figure 8 shows the variation of the brake specific fuel consumption (bsfc) with speed for different water injection conditions (without water, with 1.8kg/h water, and 3kg/h water) at different loads. The bsfc is estimated from the brake power output of the engine and the mass flow rate of the fuel. It can be seen from the figure that the bsfc decreases as the engine speed increases, reaches its minimum and then increases at high engine speeds. This can be explained on the basis that at low speeds, the heat loss through the combustion chamber walls is proportionally greater and the combustion efficiency is poorer. These result in higher fuel consumption for the same amount of power produced. At higher speeds, the power required to overcome friction increases at a higher rate, resulting in a slower increase in output power with a consequent increase in bsfc [32], [41]. The percentage change in bsfc because of water injection is depicted in figure 9. It can be seen that at lower engine loads (105Nm and 210Nm) the bsfc is minimum for engine operating without water injection and water injection at 1.8 kg/h. At higher loads (315Nm and 420Nm) the injection of water does not show any significant change in bsfc.



Figure 8 Brake specific fuel consumption (bsfc) at different loads



Figure 9 Brake specific fuel consumption (bsfc) at different loads

The effects of water injection on the thermal efficiency of engine running with biodiesel with and without water injection have been shown in figure 10. The brake thermal efficiency is calculated from of bsfc and lower heating value of the fuel as shown in equation (5).

$$\eta = \frac{3600}{sfcxLHV} x100 \qquad (4)$$

Where η is the thermal efficiency (%), sfc is brake specific fuel consumption (g/kWh) of the biodiesel and *lhv* is lower heating value (kJ/kg) of the biodiesel.

It can be observed from figures 10 and 11 that at all the operating conditions the thermal efficiency increases at lower engine speeds, reaches its maximum point and then decreases. At lower loads, the engine brake thermal efficiency corresponding to 3kg/h water injection decreases by an amount of 3% as compared to the thermal brake efficiency of the engine running without water. At higher loads (210Nm and 420Nm) the thermal efficiency of engine running with water injection is slightly higher as compared to no-water injection condition.



Figure 10 Brake specific fuel consumption (bsfc) at different loads



Figure 11 Brake specific fuel consumption changes

4.3 Effects of Water Injection on NOx and CO Emission

The effects of water injection on exhaust emissions from a CI engine running with biodiesel have been investigated experimentally. Figure 12 shows the NOx emission from the CI engine running on 100% biodiesel at loads of 105Nm and 315Nm over various engine speeds and at different water injection rates (0kg/h, 1.8kg/h, 3kg/h). At all the operating conditions, the NOx emissions were found to decrease with the increase in the engine speeds. This can be explained on the basis that at higher engine speeds the volumetric efficiency and gas flow motion within the combustion cylinders are found to increase and this in turn leads to a faster mixing between air and fuel which results in the minimization of the ignition delay [14]. The reduction of ignition delay minimizes the reaction time of the free nitrogen and oxygen gas in the combustion cylinder which is the main mechanism of NOx formation. Figure 12(a, c) clearly depict that when the water flow rate increases the NOx emission also reduces proportionally. The water injection into the intake manifold reduces the NOx exhaust emission by around 30% and 50% at 1.8kg/h and 3kg/h water injection rates respectively as shown in figure 12(b) and 12(d). This phenomenon can be explained on the basis that as water-air mixture is injected into the combustion chamber, some of the heat is absorbed by the water during the process of water vaporisation. The process reduces the peak flame temperature of the combustion chamber which negatively impacts formation of nitrogen oxides (NOx) emissions. In addition, the water injection at cylinder chamber changes the thermo-physical properties of water which has an effect on the heat transfer coefficient of the gas mixture and facilitates the heat loss through the walls of the cylinder.



Figure 12 NOx emission and percentage reduction

Figure 13 shows the effect of water injection on the CO emission at various engine speeds and at two different loads of 105Nm and 315Nm loads. It can be seen that at higher water flow rate (3kg/h) the CO emission increases at all operating conditions. There are two main reason for increase in CO emission, firstly the reduction of the precombustion temperature due to water injection slows the chemical conversion of the CO to CO_2; secondly the solid carbon reaction at high temperature with water vapour enhances the formation of CO and H_2O in the cylinder. It also seen that when the engine speed and load increase the CO emission decreases. These is because at higher engine speeds the air/fuel equivalence ratios increases and this result in an increase in the in-cylinder gas temperature, which leads to increase in the kinetic reaction rate from CO to CO_2.



Figure 13 CO emission and percentage increase

5. **Conclusion**

In the present study an experimental investigation has been carried out on the combustion, performance and emission characteristics of a CI engine running with biodiesel with an integrated water injection system under steady state operating conditions. Based on the experimental results the main effects of the water injection are summarized as follows:

1. The water injection at the intake manifold does not indicate any significant difference on the peak cylinder pressure and heat release rate of CI engine running with biodiesel. The results show that the water injection at the intake-manifold may not affect the peak temperature; instead it affects the pre-mixed combustion temperature which is mainly the cause of NOx emission.
2. The water injection at intake manifold does not show any significant change in the brake specific fuel consumption and thermal efficiency of the engine at intermediate and higher engine loads. However, it was seen that the brake specific fuel consumption increased by a maximum of 4% and the thermal efficiency decreased by a maximum of 3% at low loads due to the water injection.
3. The water injection into the intake manifold reduces the NOx emission by up to 50% over the entire operating range. However, the CO emission increases by about 40%.
4. Based on the above it can concluded that water injection into the intake manifold can be employed to reduce NOx emission without loss of power and any negative effect on fuel consumption.

Reference

[1] M. N. Nabi and J. E. Hustad, “Influence of Biodiesel Addition to Fischer−Tropsch Fuel on Diesel Engine Performance and Exhaust Emissions,” Energy & Fuels, vol. 24, no. 5, pp. 2868-2874, May. 2010.

[2] X. Tauzia, A. Maiboom, and S. R. Shah, “Experimental study of inlet manifold water injection on combustion and emissions of an automotive direct injection Diesel engine,” Energy, vol. 35, no. 9, pp. 3628-3639, Sep. 2010.

[3] H. Bayraktar, “Experimental and theoretical investigation of using gasoline-ethanol

blends in spark-ignition engines," Renewable Energy, vol. 30, no. 11, pp. 1733-1747, Sep. 2005.
[4] S. Fernando, C. Hall, and S. Jha, "NOx Reduction from Biodiesel Fuels," Energy & Fuels, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 376-382, Jan. 2006.
[5] G. Hammond, S. Kallu, and M. McManus, "Development of biofuels for the UK automotive market," Applied Energy, vol. 85, no. 6, pp. 506-515, Jun. 2008.
[6] M. Lapuerta, O. Armas, R. Ballesteros, and J. Fernàndez, "Diesel emissions from biofuels derived from Spanish potential vegetable oils," Fuel, vol. 84, no. 6, pp. 773-780, Apr. 2005.
[7] T. Durbin, J. Collins, J. Norbeck, and M. Smith, "Effects of Biodiesel, Biodiesel Blends, and a Synthetic Diesel on Emissions from Light Heavy-Duty Diesel Vehicles," Environmental Science & Technology, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 349-355, Feb. 2000.
[8] Daniel Puppan, "Environmeental Evaluation of Biofules," 16-Jun-2008. [Online]. Available: http://www.pp.bme.hu/so/2002_1/pdf/so2002_1_08.pdf. [Accessed: 16-Jun-2008].
[9] A. Ramadhas, C. Muraleedharan, and S. Jayaraj, "Performance and emission evaluation of a diesel engine fueled with methyl esters of rubber seed oil," Renewable Energy, vol. 30, no. 12, pp. 1789-1800, Oct. 2005.
[10] M. P. Dorado, E. Ballesteros, J. M. Arnal, J. G□mez, and F. J. L□pez, "Exhaust emissions from a Diesel engine fueled with transesterified waste olive oil[small star, filled]," Fuel, vol. 82, no. 11, pp. 1311-1315, Jul. 2003.
[11] Z. Utlu and M. S. Koçak, "The effect of biodiesel fuel obtained from waste frying oil on direct injection diesel engine performance and exhaust emissions," Renewable Energy, vol. 33, no. 8, pp. 1936-1941, Aug. 2008.
[12] M. Lapuerta, O. Armas, and J. Rodríguez-Fernández, "Effect of biodiesel fuels on diesel engine emissions," Progress in Energy and Combustion Science, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 198-223, Apr. 2008.
[13] M. S. Graboski and R. L. McCormick, "Combustion of fat and vegetable oil derived fuels in diesel engines," Progress in Energy and Combustion Science, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 125-164, 1998.
[14] T. Belachew, M. Rakesh, and F. Gu, "Emission Behavior of a CI Engine Running by Biodiesel under Transient Conditions," SAE, vol. 2010, 2010.
[15] C. Lin and H. Lin, "Diesel engine performance and emission characteristics of biodiesel produced by the peroxidation process," Fuel, vol. 85, no. 3, pp. 298-305, Feb. 2006.
[16] E. EU, "European emission standard," Diesel net.
[17] Dieselnet, "Emission Standards: Europe: Cars and Light Trucks." [Online]. Available: http://www.dieselnet.com/standards/eu/ld.php. [Accessed: 29-Sep-2010].
[18] A. Ibrahim and S. Bari, "A comparison between EGR and lean-burn strategies employed in a natural gas SI engine using a two-zone combustion model," Energy Conversion and Management, vol. 50, no. 12, pp. 3129-3139, Dec. 2009.
[19] J. Rodríguez-Fernández, A. Tsolakis, R. Cracknell, and R. Clark, "Combining GTL fuel, reformed EGR and HC-SCR aftertreatment system to reduce diesel NOx emissions. A statistical approach," International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, vol. 34, no. 6, pp. 2789-2799, Mar. 2009.

[20] A. K. Agrawal, S. K. Singh, S. Sinha, and M. K. Shukla, “Effect of EGR on the exhaust gas temperature and exhaust opacity in compression ignition engines,” Sadhana, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 275-284, 2004.
[21] S. Fernando, C. Hall, and S. Jha, “NOx Reduction from Biodiesel Fuels,” Energy & Fuels, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 376-382, Jan. 2006.
[22] B. Martin, “Emissions Control Techniques Applied to Industrial Vehicles,” 2005. [Online]. Available: http://www.ifp.fr/antidot/search?context=default&keywords=emission+control+techniques&x=0&y=0. [Accessed: 17-Aug-2010].
[23] W. Muller, H. Klein, E. Lox, T. Kreuzer, and K. Ostgather, “Catalytic NOx Reduction on a Passenger Car Diesel Common Rail Engine,” SAE, vol. 980191, 1998.
[24] J. C. Conklin and J. P. Szybist, “A highly efficient six-stroke internal combustion engine cycle with water injection for in-cylinder exhaust heat recovery,” Energy, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 1658-1664, Apr. 2010.
[25] V. Subramanian, J. Mallikarjuna, and A. Ramesh, “Effect of water injection and spark timing on the nitric oxide emission and combustion parameters of a hydrogen fuelled spark ignition engine,” International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, vol. 32, no. 9, pp. 1159-1173, Jun. 2007.
[26] E. Radloff, “NOx Emissions Reduction Through Water Injection,” Naval Engineers Journal, vol. 118, no. 3, pp. 65-76, 2006.
[27] D. Hountalas, G. Mavropoulos, T. Zannis, and S. Mamalis, “Use of Water Emulsion and Intake Water Injection as NOx Reduction Techniques for Heavy Duty Diesel Engines,” SAE 2006-01-1414, 2006.
[28] K. Breda and P. Stanislav, “Reduction of Diesel Engine Emissions by Water Injection,” SAE 2001-01-3259, 2001.
[29] G. Andrews, K. Bartle, S. Pang, A. Nurein, and P. Williams, “The Reduction in Diesel Particulate Emissions Using Emulsified Fuels,” vol. 880348, 1988.
[30] J. Park, K. Huh, and K. Park, “Experimental study on the combustion characteristics of emulsified diesel in a rapid compression and expansion machine,” Proc IMechE, Part D: Journal of Automobile Engineering -, vol. 214, no. 5, pp. 579-86, 2000.
[31] N. Samec, B. Kegl, and R. W. Dibble, “Numerical and experimental study of water/oil emulsified fuel combustion in a diesel engine,” Fuel, vol. 81, no. 16, pp. 2035-2044, Nov. 2002.
[32] C. Lin and L. Chen, “Engine performance and emission characteristics of three-phase diesel emulsions prepared by an ultrasonic emulsification method,” Fuel, vol. 85, no. 5, pp. 593-600, 2006.
[33] M. Y. E. Selim and S. M. S. Elfeky, “Effects of diesel/water emulsion on heat flow and thermal loading in a precombustion chamber diesel engine,” Applied Thermal Engineering, vol. 21, no. 15, pp. 1565-1582, Oct. 2001.
[34] T. Kadota and H. Yamasaki, “Recent advances in the combustion of water fuel emulsion,” Progress in Energy and Combustion Science, vol. 28, no. 5, pp. 385-404, 2002.
[35] R. H. Stanglmaier, P. J. Dingle, and D. Stewart, “Cycle-Controlled Water Injection for Steady-State and Transient Emissions Reduction From a Heavy-Duty Diesel Engine,” J. Eng. Gas Turbines Power, vol. 130, no. 3, 2008.

[36] C. J. Chadwell and P. J. Dingle, “Effects of diesel and water co-injection with-real control time control on diesel engine performance and emission,” SAE Peper 2008011190, 2008.
[37] O. Armas, R. Ballesteros, F. Martos, and J. Agudelo, “Characterization of light duty Diesel engine pollutant emissions using water-emulsified fuel,” Fuel, vol. 84, no. 7, pp. 1011-1018, May. 2005.
[38] N. Sawa and S. Kajitani, “Physical Properties of Emulsion Fuel (Water/Oil-Type) and Its Effect on Engine Performance under Transient Operation,” vol. 920198, 1992.
[39] M. Gumus, “A comprehensive experimental investigation of combustion and heat release characteristics of a biodiesel (hazelnut kernel oil methyl ester) fueled direct injection compression ignition engine,” Fuel.
[40] S. Brusca and R. Lanzafame, “Evaluation of the Effects of Water Injection in a Single Cylinder CFR Cetane Engine,” SAE paper no 2001-01-2012, 2001.
[41] M. Abu-Zaid, “Performance of single cylinder, direct injection Diesel engine using water fuel emulsions,” Energy Conversion and Management, vol. 45, no. 5, pp. 697-705, Mar. 2004.