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Micro-touch detection using Acoustic Emission Sensor on Inconel 718

Introduction
An intelligent non-destructive method of monitoring and detecting failures in machining processes is very important. Acoustic Emission (AE) sensors has been used as a non destructive technique in the past for crack and wear detection in workpiece and machine tools. This poster presents the efficacy of using AE sensor for touch detection during probing.

Data Acquisition set-up
Workpiece set-up and AE transducer sensor
Preamplifier
Acquisition card
PC

Experimental Procedure
- 3um SiC was applied on the tool and the spindle feed at 500 rev/min at a step of 1um to the workpiece until contact is made with the workpiece.
- The result was collected and processed.
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Result and Discussion
- There is a peak in the AE signal as contact was made between the tool and workpiece figure 2
- The achieved surface roughness $S_a$ before touch is 42nm and after touch is 53nm. Showing a difference of 11nm.
- The difference in the RMS value ($S_q$) before and after touch is insignificant. The $S_q$ indicates the uniformity of the surface.

Conclusion and further work
- AE sensor is effective in capturing micro-touch.
- The surface defect caused by the touching grit is inconsequential when compared to the structural defects present in the workpiece.
- The difference in the RMS value ($S_q$) before and after touch is insignificant. The $S_q$ indicates the uniformity of the surface.
- Based on these experiment and future trials, AE can be used as an efficient method of collecting datum for machine tool.
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Inconel 718 was polished in a two-step strategy to a fine surface finish
- First, nickel bonded abrasive was used to reduce the surface roughness of Inconel 718 from 0.4 to 0.1 micron ($S_a$) to remove ground marks
- In the second step, different grit sizes of silicon carbide (SiC) paste were used to achieve a surface roughness of 42nm.