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Abstract

I start from the principle that composition is a historical lineage of techniques that have traditionally been applied to music but need not be. To illustrate this, I apply composition principles to the writing of this PhD thesis. In describing this process, I draw parallels between the musical work I have composed during 2013-2017 and the process of thesis writing. Along the way, I show how quantization is not only central to my composition practice but fundamental to the act of composing; I rethink the basic epistemological principles of PhD research, using John Cage’s ideology of chance and Arthur Koestler’s idea of bisociation; I develop a new set of categories for classifying artworks that use combinatorics, under the umbrella neologism "completism"; expand upon James Tenney’s ideas to create a new typology of musical form based on completist principles; and finish by composing the bibliography, font, page-layout, semantics, word choice, and syntax of the Conclusion of this thesis.
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Introduction

To prevent from fainting, keep repeating to yourself "it's only a movie, it's only a movie..."

The quotation above was the tagline for Wes Craven’s violent 1972 revenge film The Last House On The Left (Schneider 2003, 86). I suggest you do something similar when reading this thesis.

So, when the processually-driven extremes of language or typography in this document threaten legibility or sense, just keep repeating to yourself "it’s only a music composition PhD, it’s only a music composition PhD...'.

Whilst on first flip-through it may appear as if parts of this thesis are filled with a gratuitous amount of gimmicks in layout and linguistics, the aim of every facet of this document is to illuminate parts of my working process and approach to art-making in a way that would be difficult to achieve with words alone. This thesis is conceptualised as a composition and a work of art.

This document’s Conclusion is composed at multiple levels, from font to syntax. The rest of this document is the preparation for this moment, and this introduction is the preparation for the rest of this document.

***

There are two main challenges in discussing my work:

1. I make a lot of it.

2. The things I make are very different from each other in style, medium, and genre.

This makes drawing easy connections between my disparate output extremely difficult. However, style, medium and genre are not the only things that can connect a set of works.

***

I am very fond of the opening of Jacques Attali’s Noise (1985), the piece of writing where I first came across what I fondly refer to as the Attali Gambit - not that this is the first work that attempts this, but that it was the first work in which I became aware of this technique as a rhetorical tool. On the second page of the book, Attali claims, without any attribution or discussion, that "music will be presented as originating in ritual murder, of which it is a simulacrum" (Attali 1985, 4). What struck me about this opening is that it puts forward a bold and controversial idea as if it was fact, what Bruno Latour refers to as a positive modality:

We will call positive modalities those sentences that lead a statement away from its conditions of production, making it solid enough to render some other consequences necessary. We will call negative modalities those sentences that lead a statement in the other direction towards its conditions of production and that explain in detail why it is solid or weak instead of using it to render some other consequences more necessary.

(Latour 1988, 23).

Although it is not acknowledged, this idea seems taken from René Girard’s writings on the symbology of violence (Fleming 2004).
The prominent position of Attali’s statement at the start of the work almost goads the reader to disagree, yet also tacitly says ‘this is a key idea that underpins the thinking of this work and you either accept it as fact now, or put the book down and don’t bother reading further’.

Here, I think it would be useful to define my own First Principles in a similar way. These ideas are the basis of my work, its ‘truths’, no matter how absurd you may find them:

1. I am a composer.

2. 'Composition' is a set of techniques derived from a historical lineage involving the use of sound, but which can be applied to materials other than those which sound.

3. It is possible to compose the entirety of reality.

4. When I am able to compose the entirety of my reality, there will be no reason for me to make music.

5. A PhD thesis should be as creative as the work it discusses.

***

Adam Harper’s 2011 book *Infinite Music* describes an approach to music-making which has many parallels with my own methods of composing. Probably the most important of his ideas is *n-dimensional modernism*, outlined in a passage that is worth quoting at length:

The greatest problem with serialism, though, was in its boiling down of all the complexity of music to one single, simple and absolute system of variables to be serialised, up to four in number: pitch, timbre, duration and volume. . . . music was nominally a construction of four variables and thus composition amounted to a sculpture in a space of four dimensions . . . So, where do we draw a line around which musical variables composers should observe and potentially serialise?

We don’t. That was the old serialism, the old modernism. There can be no one absolute foundation for music. And there can be no prior assumptions, no prior techniques and conventions - no restrictions whatsoever. We can’t even assume any ultimate distinction between musical activity and the wider lives of ourselves and the universe. That’s what the meaning of musical infinity is, and it’s in that direction that any future modernist endeavour must travel. Infinite music necessitates an *n-dimensional modernism*.

(Harper [2011] p. 4-5)

Harper describes an approach in which composition in the modernist tradition is no longer bounded by the limits of music, but spews infinitely out to encompass the world around us - the possibility to compose the entirety of reality.

***

The works submitted in the portfolio as part of this PhD, and those mentioned in this document but not submitted, come in a wide variety of media (see Table 1). They also encompass a number of different styles, even between works in the same medium (compare the Bryn Harrison-ish gestural language of *Augenmusik V: Bloop Reel* with the Chopin-esque *Augenmusik VI: Asch*, both for solo piano).

The stylistic disparity and differences in medium may almost give the impression that these works were written by different people.

***

In the philosophy of personality the philosopher David Lewis puts forward a thought experiment based on the biblical figure of Methuselah:
Figure 1: Correction #1 for Jeremiah Runnels (2014)
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>Portfolio Pieces</th>
<th>Thesis Pieces (Whole)</th>
<th>Thesis Pieces (Extract)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Small ensemble</td>
<td>Augenmusik IV: Paper Work, We Doubled Down The Base Camps,</td>
<td>Economics (2017 Version) (Fig. C.4), It's A Diagram If You Believe It, A Relic If Not</td>
<td>Total (Fig. 1.9), Some Things We Should Never Talk About (Fig. 3.13), Chronotopes (Fig. 4.43),</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Fig. 4.29), Funnel (Fig. 4.35)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solo performer</td>
<td>Augenmusik V: Blooper Reel, Almost Every, A Beethoven Mesostic</td>
<td>Plastic Cup Permutations (Fig. 3.5), Realization #2: Christian Wolff’s “Stones” (Fig. 3.10), Our Syntax: x pulses in y seconds (Fig. 1.26), The Descent (Fig. 4.28), Gray Winter Grimes (Fig. 4.46)</td>
<td>Some Short Pieces for Robert Blatt (Fig. 5.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Book</td>
<td>Self-Helplessness, Cipher For The Lighthouse Twins</td>
<td>Thesis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net art</td>
<td>Self-Helplessness (online version)</td>
<td>NFO: Book Of Fluxus-Style One-Word Verb Pieces (Fig. 3.26)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site-specific</td>
<td>Digging Piece</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>installation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video-installation</td>
<td>But I Guess, In The End, We Just Moved Furniture Around</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collage</td>
<td></td>
<td>Correction #1 (Fig. 1), Correction #2 (Fig. 3.27)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audio Recording</td>
<td>MG3250 Performs Cornelis Cardew’s “Treatise”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Player-piano and</td>
<td>The Chord Catalogue (Redux)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>video</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piano and player-piano</td>
<td>Augenmusik VI: Asch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-Shirt</td>
<td></td>
<td>Thesis 5x5 (Fig. 5.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Pieces and their media
Methuselah aged 900, shares none of the ambitions or interests of Methuselah aged 24, has no memories at all of his activities and none of his character traits. Of course, one may not wish to accept that this is a case in which personal identity is anywhere indeterminate, for one may wish to say that, in virtue of the physical and psychological continuity which links these two stages of his life, Methuselah aged 900 is (determinately) the same person as Methuselah aged 24. (Noonan [1991] 131)

Here these two temporal instances of a person’s self are considered part of a singular personality, despite their qualitative differences, simply due to the fact they form two points of a psychologically and physically continuous entity. Similarly, I would argue that, given this argument can be applied to something as complex and multifaceted as the nature of our personality, this logic could extend to the more trivial aspects of artistic creation and its relation to the individual that created it. So, when I answer the question: What is the thing that connects all my works? with: I made them, this isn’t just a glib and dismissive response, but an acknowledgement that despite my creative output’s stylistic, generic and media disparity, it is implicitly connected in ways that are no more contingent than the unstable foundations of our ontology of self.

***

Harper’s concept of n-dimensional modernism encourages the extension of the modernist project to encompass all facets of existence. The reason that Harper identifies modernism as being most suitable for the ‘infinite music’ he conceives of is due to the flexibility of its compositional systems to deal with material types not exclusively sonic.

***

I have begun to think that a good comparison for my attitude towards my works is with that of a product designer.

A product designer may be responsible for the design of multiple products, and each of these products may look very different from each other. The company Dyson are famous for their designs of a vacuum and a hand dryer. Whilst these products have some minor aesthetic similarities in colour choices and type of coating material, they are of vastly different shapes and sizes. These differences are a result of the fact that each is designed to serve a different function, and that differences in function define the overall look of the object.

The form of a product has to provide for the technical function, and should also be well thought out in an ergonomic sense. In addition, the form has two other important functions: a semantic and an aesthetic function. The form semantics informs the observer of the meaning of the product: to which group of products does it belong, what it is intended for, and how should it be used? If we give a coffee-pot the form of a tureen[,] confusion is created ... The semantic function is strongly determined by convention, fashion and culture.

(Roozenburg and Ekels [1995] 256)

In the case of the Dyson examples, each product is the answer to a question: "how do you make a more efficient vacuum cleaner?” or "how do you make a more efficient hand dryer?". The similarity of the products to each other is proportional to the similarity of the questions asked of each one.

The design process normally begins with developing a statement or definition of the problem. An essential part of any problem statement is the goal that one wants to achieve. Goals are broad statement of intent, that have to be elaborated into more specific objectives, to be useful for decision making in design. The list of objectives, stemming from different stakeholders in, and affected by the design project, is called the ‘design specification’.

(Roozenburg and Ekels [1995] 131)

Similarly, each of my works attempts to be the answer to a question (has a different design specification). Each of these questions/specifications are different, and thus each of the results are different. The question I asked that resulted in the book Self-Helplessness ("how do you compose the way in which
somebody reads?”) and the question that I asked that led to Augenmusik IV: Paper Work ("can you create musical development solely through the rhythmic re-gridding of a never-heard piece of musical material?") necessitated vastly different answers/products/art.

In my work, style or medium is not a goal, but a subsidiary result of the questions I want to ask in a piece (the form semantics necessitated by the product specification). The real connections between the works are not in what the work sounds or looks like, but in the similarities between the questions asked and the methods used to answer them.

***

If; My approach is determined by neither medium, style, or genre
And; n-dimensional modernist composition techniques can be used for arranging non-sonic things

Then: This PhD thesis can be a composition.
And: This PhD thesis can be a work of n-dimensional modernism.

***

If; the real similarities between the works I make is the way in which they are made

Then: What better way of illustrating my composition process than by composing this PhD Thesis and explaining step-by-step how it is put together?

***

If; all of my work starts from asking a simple question and trying to create a work which can serve as an answer.

Then: Let me ask a simple question which can drive this composition:

*How do you compose a PhD thesis in music composition?*

---

2"New Testament scholars studying the apostle Paul invariably face the problem of locating a coherent center in his writing and thinking (Meyer 1997; Achtemeier 1996; Hübner 1987; Beker 1980, 11–19). What prompted Paul to write accounts in part for this problem, for his letters were occasional in nature, sent to particular communities, and typically dealt with multiple, often unrelated, crises. Thus, their content depended to a great degree on the problems or issues troubling the communities Paul addressed. Even his letter to the Romans, which scholars judge the least occasional, does not constitute an organized or exhaustive presentation of his thought." (DeMaris 2007, 39)
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What heaps of things have bitten me to the heart!
A small few pleased me, very few, just four;
But those that vexed were sand-dune hundredfold

ARISTOPHANES THE ACHARNIANS
Chapter 1
Quantization

"...midnight chaos eternity chaos morning chaos eternity chaos noon chaos eternity
chaos evening chaos eternity chaos midnight..."
-Julien Donkey-Boy (Korine 1999)

1.1 Making Infinity Countable

A PhD Thesis in a creative discipline is just a story we tell ourselves; it is an excuse for creative
myth-making and a description not of how the world is, but how it could be, if only it were more interesting.
So I’m going to lie to you now, spin you a creative fiction, in the hope that my lies fascinate more
than the dull, prosaic necessity of my actual creative practice. In movies, the Creative will have their
'Eureka' moment of divine inspiration but reality is simply the slack-jawed dead-eyed staring at the
sky-tinged glow of a computer screen. For hours. As a problem erodes as slow and impassive as geology.

So, I’m going to tell you four stories about my creative process now, one in each chapter. Paddison
talks of the constellation-like nature of Adorno’s thought that orbits around a never-stated centre
(Paddison 1997), and maybe each of these stories can act like those ancient beacons studded like rivets in the
black cloth of the empty abyss that steered scrubbed decks and scurvieed crew to land, though I know
not where. Triangulate me.

You find yourself in the snow, triplewrapped, with it still sludged underfoot and confetti-ing little-
bombs, waterfilled and splitting. You stand pen-pawed, it awkwardly viced in your thick glove. You

\footnotetext{1}{WILL GRAHAM: [watching the Leeds and Jacobi films side by side] And you know you needed a bolt-cutter and
every other Goddamn thing... Because everything with you is seeing, isn’t it? Your primary sensory intake that makes
your dream live is seeing... Reflections... Mirrors... Images... [stunned realization] You’ve seen these films! Haven’t you,
my man?" Manhunter (Mann 1986) (see also (Mokoena 2014))
\footnotetext{2}{...and that Eureka moment hits you like a cop ca-ar! Cough Cough Everything Everything (Everything 2012)
\footnotetext{3}{And perhaps you ask of the why? of why I make things, as if "for shits and giggles" isn’t a ‘real’ enough answer, and
eventually, digging down to find only the armchair-psychoanalytic of childhood carjourneys airless overhot and shoulder-
crammed with Ghostbusters notebook, smudging facepressed windoward, obsessively scribbling every passing car number-
plate, or somesuch nonsense that reduces dimensionality of character down to the cardboardcut-out of the Hollywoodvillain.
But know that easyanswers are like watching the river in Bath and seeing the cold water its suspicious blue as if coloured
for show - as if that Carribean hue could be found here! more like the blue of Lenor, that tint of endlessschemicals, not like
the churling brown of the Thames or the tepid shitsmear of the Witham, or the placid sky-reflecting grey of the Trent as it
moves with surface as flat as the endless fans it drains from, the Fosdyke as its Cliff Notes, its annotations - Pound-like
running parallel, both bleeding out past the tollbridges seaward through the grass in strands of shreddedsky stretched like
an illfittingwig over its banks - a grass that was never truly green but of a colour that seeps out from a histogram smashed
as low and featureless as its horizon, in which fish could only weep; a landscape which hates itself and its boredom and
your lack of interest and its father and its Dutchness and its low-sky parochialism - its whiteness as if you never moved but
you did - and still here on deathwoven roads, hamletted, by pubnames everphotocopied to blackstreaks swinging against
that greyagain (everpresent) something for your files, maybe? a crippled bio?
\footnotetext{4}{Adorno argues that in order to bring out the dual character of the concepts he is using - that is, their mediatedness -
he cannot resort to the traditional structure of discursive logical argument. This is because, he implies, traditional forms
of theory work against the contradictory character of the concepts themselves and serve to betray the uniqueness of the
‘concrete object’ of cognition by subsuming it completely under abstract categories. In place of traditional logic Adorno
puts forward an alternative logical structure: that of the ‘constellation.’(Paddison 1997 36)
clipboard-lunch by a red vein of mercury, glasswrapped and mounted and, as that blood slowly falls past the track-marks equally spaced and scored into the darkwood, you write down the figures in celsius every minute, on the minute. This is quantization, the process of making infinity countable.

The infinity of time is portioned off into the neat packets of minutes, marking your inscriptions - this makes its endless flow bearable, and the continuous movement of the mercury up and down its tube is gridded into discrete markers indicating the centigrade scale. These grids (clock-time and celsius) allow you to understand the world around you. Continuous time becomes discrete time and continuous amplitude becomes discrete amplitude.

You find yourself in a room, paddedwalled, but only to keep out the insanity of outside and its endless sound. Over the course of three seconds there is a set of three pulses one second apart and each lasting one second. You hear them, and though you cannot see, you know that each pulse compresses and rarefracts the air around it and that this air between you and the sound source carries the pulses Hermes-quick to the Olympus of your ears. It’s no Abbey Road, but the simple room you are in holds a microphone connected to a computer, which records the sound of the three pulses. You know that as the air moved by the pulses compresses and rarefracts, it forces the diaphragm of the microphone back and forth in a continuous motion. But the Pentium beast ingests only solid food! You know of the limits of von Neuman architecture that structures the inside of that calculating machine, those 1s and 0s flipping like insectwings, and the impossibility of anything else, no 0.5, no 0.23, no 0.5772156649... and know that the movement of that diaphragm must be gridded to be ingested. This is quantization, the process of making infinity countable.

You find yourself with a book, yellowpapered, its author powderwigged and now but shards of calcium crushed beneath the cold, flat Westminster soil, and it tells of mass and of the calculus and of gravity and you read and believe and know that the book in your hands, the warm fire, the table, all are supplicant to the laws of the book sideparted before you (Newton 1984). This is quantization, the process of making infinity countable.

---

5The idea that anything as subtle and complex as all the manifestations of changes in temperature could be measured and quantified on a single numerical scale was scoffed at as impossible, even by the leading philosophers of the sixteenth century. Temperature was then confounded with all the subtleties of subjective judgment, which easily seem incompatible with a single numerical scale of measurement. How could the height of a column of mercury in a glass tube possibly reflect the rich varieties of temperature—damp cold, dank cold, frosty cold, crisp cold, humid heat, searing heat, scalding heat, dry heat, feverish heat, prickly heat, and so on?" (Jensen 1980, 172)

6As a alternative, imagine placing a large Speedo sporting pace clock onto your wall with the words 'YOU ARE GOING TO DIE' inscribed on it in blood red letters. (Pocknee 2016)

7Signals are usually classified into four categories. A continuous time signal $x(t)$ has the field of real numbers $\mathbb{R}$ as its domain in that $t$ can assume any real value. If the range of $x(t)$ (values that $x(t)$ can assume) is also $\mathbb{R}$, then $x(t)$ is said to be a continuous time, continuous amplitude signal. If the range of $x(t)$ is the set of integers $\mathbb{Z}$, then $x(t)$ is said to be a continuous time, discrete amplitude signal. In contrast, a discrete time signal $x(n)$ has $\mathbb{Z}$ as its range." (Ramachandran 2010, 6.1)
1.2 Optimal and Non-Optimal Quantization

The film maker Michael Haneke, riffing on Godard, once said that film was 24 lies per second. In this case, a CD is 44,100 lies a second. 44,100 times a second one of 65,536 (2^{16}) discrete integer values tricks us into thinking that we are hearing a continuous sound (Pohlman 1992). A grid so fine that its discrete encoding of time and amplitude appears continuous.

Quantization is the transformation of continuous data into discrete data. The simplest version of quantization is probably rounding, where values which are real numbers are mapped to the nearest integer (\(\mathbb{Z}\)): 0.23 becomes 0; 0.78 becomes 1; 29.547668 becomes 30.\(^9\) Quantization can result in changes to the signal it operates upon leading to differences between the input and output.\(^7\) This change is known as quantization error and comes in two main types: saturation and distortion. Saturation occurs when the input signal exceeds the range of the quantizer (and will not be dealt with in this thesis), and distortion is the discrepancy between the input and output signal. One type of distortion measurement is the \(L_r\) measure (Ramachandran 2010) defined as:

\[
L_r = d(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{p} |x_i - y_i|^r
\]

Non-Optimal Quantizers are ones in which there is quantization error, creating a difference between the input and the output, or \(L_r = d(x, y) \neq 0\) (Gray and Neuhoff 1998, 2341).

Optimal Quantizers are ones in which the quantized output and its unquantized input are identical i.e. there is no quantization error and \(L_r = d(x, y) = 0\) (Gray and Neuhoff 1998, 2341).

This chapter is split into two main sections, each of which analyses some of my work using one of these two categories.

---

\(^7\) I always say film is 24 lies per second at the service of truth. Or at the service at the attempt to find the truth. Because I don’t know what the reality is. (Ignoramus)

\(^9\) A quantizer \(Q\), is mathematically defined as a mapping \(Q : \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow C\). This means that the p-dimensional vectors in the vector space \(\mathbb{R}^p\) are mapped into a finite collection of \(C\). This collection \(C\) is called the codebook and the number of vectors in the codebook, \(N\), is known as the codebook size. The entries of the codebook are known as codewords or codevectors. If \(p = 1\), we have a scalar quantizer (SQ). If \(p > 1\), we have a vector quantizer (VQ).

A quantizer is completely specified by \(p\), \(C\) and a set of disjoint regions in \(\mathbb{R}^p\) which dictate the actual mapping. Suppose \(C\) has \(N\) entries \(y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_N\). For each codevector, \(y_i\), there exists a region, \(R_i\), such that any input vector \(x \in \mathbb{R}\) gets mapped or quantized to \(y_i\). The region \(R_i\) is called a Voronoi region and is defined to be the set of all \(x \in \mathbb{R}^p\) that are quantized to \(y_i\). (Ramachandran 2010, 6.2)

\(^10\) Quantization is the technique of approximating an analog amplitude to form a discrete number. In terms of the quantizing hardware, the number of allowable steps is determined by the length of the data word in bits. Just as the number of digits in our speedometer determined our resolution, the number of bits in our digitization equipment determines its resolution. Two bits would yield four (2\(^2\)) possible quantization values: (in binary form, 00, 01, 10 and 11). Eight bits would create 256 steps (ranging from 00000000 to 11111111). A 16-bit word would accommodate 2\(^{16}\) or 65,536 values (ranging from 0000000000000000 to 1111111111111111). (Pohlman 1992, 30)

\(^11\) Word length determines the resolution of our digitizing system and hence provides an important specification to measure the system’s performance. Yet there will always be an error associated with quantization because the limited number of amplitude choices contained in the binary word can never completely map an infinite number of analog possibilities. Rarely will the chosen step be exactly at the analog value; usually it won’t be quite exact. At worst, the analog level we desire to encode will be one-half step away: That is, there will be an error of one-half the least significant bit of the quantization word. For example, suppose the binary word 0010 corresponds to the analog step value of 2.0 V and 0011 corresponds to 3.0 V, and the actual analog value at sample time is unfortunately 2.5V. Since 0010\(\frac{1}{2}\) isn’t available, the system will round up to 0011 or down to 0010; either way, there will be an error of magnitude of one-half step. (Pohlman 1992, 30)

\(^9\) Dr Penelope Gouk has shown that [Isaac] Newton began his experiments [in light for Opticks] with the idea that there were only five colors: red, yellow, blue and violet. (He groups these five several times in the Opticks, too.) But he subsequently added two more, "to divide the image [of the spectrum] into parts more elegantly proportioned to one another." Hence there occurred to him the analogy with the seven notes of the diatonic scale. In the Opticks Newton speaks of the difficulty he had in distinguishing the colors of the spectrum. It appears that it was his assistant, endowed with better eyesight, who divided up the spectrum of sunlight refracted by a prism; and that Newton subsequently discovered, as if by chance, that the proportions of colors were equal to those of the intervals of a eight-note scale on D. But it was certainly Newton who had instructed his assistant to make seven divisions, prompted as one must suppose by the desire for a musical analogy. As a result of this decision, most people today believe unthinkingly that the rainbow has seven colors, called red, orange, yellow, green, blue, indigo and violet. (Godwin 1993, 9-10)

\(^13\) This equation might be familiar as when \(r = 2\) this becomes the Euclidean squared distance.
1.3 Non-Optimal Musical Quantization

The grid is a terrible moment for sensitivity and substance.
- Antonin Artaud (Artaud 1968, 80)

You find yourself sweating sub-tropical before consoles and modules; sliders, knobs and speakers; screen-staring, as past the glass just beyond your sky-tinged rectangle lies a room, and a drummer, boulderlike and stool-anchored, beating time to a pulse headphone-pumped. And you hear their rhythm taut through the studio speakers as the software screen-spread in front of you inscribes it into a jagged topography of seismic scratches. Yet when the pounding ends, you see from the machine’s inscription how the beat hangs so fluid against the hard grid of the software, how it ebbs and flows against the machine’s own digital pulse, and so you click "quantize" and watch as the drummer’s will clicks so effortlessly to that of its machine master.

We have quantization because we can’t store everything. So we place grids on things to make infinity less15. Each of these grids is chosen because it preserves what is seen as important and discards all else16. The Nyquist theorem gives us a minimum grid size in which all frequencies audible to the average human can be heard when using the Pulse Code Modulation technique used to encode sound onto CDs, hence those 44,100 values per second.

Music notation is another grid to make infinity countable. And just like any other grid, it excludes as well as includes. It has built-in error17.

---

14. "Just as musical rhythm is expressed in relation to the grid formed by beat and meter, so sequencers map MIDI event timings to a grid determined by a base resolution provided by the software application. To ensure that the placement of an event in time is as accurate as possible, Logic offers a resolution of a 1/3840 note (one tick). This division allows for a vast range of rhythmic placement, but there will be occasions when you need to adjust the accuracy of events in relation to more musical divisions (eighth notes, sixteenth notes, triplets, and so on). This can be done with quantization, which compares events to a chosen resolution and then corrects their time placement by moving them to the nearest position on a beat/time grid." (Dvorin 2008, 263)

15. "...These solutions are very similar to the standing waves on a stretched string of finite length (say a violin or guitar string) ...A wave-like motion can be set up on the string but it is constrained by the fact that at the fixed ends of the string the oscillation must go to zero. The result is that all the standing waves are those for which the length of the string is a whole number of half-wavelengths. The same is true for the stationary state wavefunctions of a particle in an infinite square well. ... Thus the confined particle has energy levels - its energy is quantized - and the number n that labels each wavefunction and its associated energy is called a quantum number." (Manners 2000, 70)

16. "Man is divided into three classes, viz. the hare man, the bull man, and the horse man, according to the size of his lingam. Women also, according to the depth of her yoni, is either a female deer, a mare, or a female elephant.

There are thus three equal unions between persons of corresponding dimensions, and there are six unequal unions, when the dimensions do not correspond, or nine in all. ..." - Kama Sutra of Vatsyayana (Vatsyayana 1963, 37)

17. Nyquist frequency - the frequency that is precisely one-half of the sampling rate. The Nyquist theorem states that the highest frequency that may be theoretically represented without distortion by a digital audio system is one-half the sampling rate. For CD-quality sound, the sampling rate is 44.1kHz; therefore the Nyquist frequency is 22.05 kHz. Meaning that all frequencies lower than the average 20kHz cut-off of human hearing to be accurately replicated. (Simon 2006, 282)

18. Oh the grids! The prisons of our spirit! Oh Euterpe, how you bind us just as your father fettered the malefactor to the jagged rocks in adamantine bonds infrangible; for the blossom of foraging fire he stole and gave to mortals! (Aeschylus 1952, 40)
Rhythm is a grid that scythes time into countable sections. The larger and more uniform the grid, the more it points to the existence of the grid itself. A quantizer is said to be uniform if, as in the rounding case, the teeth of the grid are equidistantly spaced. A non-uniform grid would be one in which the divisions are irregularly spaced (Gray and Neuhoff 1998). Fineness and irregularity allow the lie of the grid to propagate.

You find yourself staring at another computer screen, a photograph of a smiling face stares back, smooth-seeming. As you watch, the pixels, until then invisible to your eye, start to slowly expand and you watch as the image disintegrates into rough-edges, the grid asserting itself, each square averaging all within its compass, until what once was is nothing but a 2x2 grid of four squares of colour, an indistinguishable mush of whichever skin pigmentation least triggers your prejudices. The grid has asserted itself and there is now nothing else. (Figure 1.3)

Or think back to Karlheinz Stockhausen’s Gruppen for three orchestras, and the way the grid disintegrates, smeared flat not through its removal, but through a non-uniformity and a fineness created through too many grids, too much quantization - 3 conductors, 3 orchestras in 3 different tempi, within which an orchestra of 109 instruments play material overlaid in pulses at 13 different speeds (Stockhausen 1959).

These 13 speeds can be seen in Figure 1.5a. The layering of these rhythmic grids of different sizes obliterates any perception of a singular pulse by creating a fine and non-uniform meta-grid. In Gruppen, although each individual pulse is uniform, the composite of all 13 of them gives a non-uniform rhythmic grid. This non-uniformity is used to create smooth gestural shapes (based on vocal formants), as can be seen in the sketches for the work (Stockhausen 1959) (see Figure 1.5b).

It is the non-uniformity of this meta-grid that allows this smoothness to occur. These are grids of practicality, of the impossibility of notating smoothness needed, and so we fall back on the grid, the world kicking back against our idealism - practicality forcing itself onto our ideas and the grid horsewhispering it into tameness. For we need to make infinity countable, we cannot create this smoothness without the reference points of our grids, and so a multiplicity of them erupt in great bubbled swathes of formants. And here, also, in that pragmatism, the gap between the playable and unplayable: Stockhausen’s idealized tempi lying in the same relationship as the frequencies in the equal-tempered scale, yet, we see that formal perfection curtailed by practicality, the trailing digits of the tempi, the endless figures of their lizardtails so leftbehind as predatory life bites down - subjected to the simplest of all quantization processes - that of rounding (see Figure 1.1). . .
(a) Sketch for Stockhausen’s *Gruppen*, showing the 13 pulses and how they are created by equally dividing a whole-note. The effect of overlaying these pulses is to create a non-uniform rhythmic metagrid. (Stockhausen 1959)

(b) Sketch for Stockhausen’s *Gruppen*. Notice the smooth shape of the gestures, this smoothness is accentuated by the non-linear rhythmic grids created through the overlaying of 13 rhythmic pulses (see Figure 1.5a).

Figure 1.5: Sketches from *Gruppen*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tempo Scale Used by Stockhausen In The Score Of <em>Gruppen</em></th>
<th>Tempi Scale Un-Rounded</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63.5</td>
<td>63.567756615577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>67.3477228985624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>71.3524269001633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>75.5952629936924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>80.0903912502021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>84.8528137423857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>89.9084246126009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>95.24406311809020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>100.9075698304460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td>106.9078461768410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113.5</td>
<td>113.2649175218030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.1: Table showing the tempi used in Stockhausen’s *Gruppen* along with the values they would be (according to Stockhausen’s article ... How Time Passes ... (Stockhausen 1959)) if the score did not round them to the closest half an integer. From (Pocknee 2012b, 40).

So, maybe I want to lie to you; make you believe that the discrete is in fact continuous, just like the CD does. Then I need a grid that is extremely fine (like the tiny pixels) or non-uniform (like *Gruppen*).

... In the deep there was boundless darkness and water and fine intelligent spirit, all existing by divine power and chaos. Then a holy light was sent forth, and elements solidified out of liquid essence . . . - Hermes Trismegistus (Copenhaver 2000, 13)

Imagine a cosine function - a pure mathematical continuity. But it is not so pure, its mountainous peaks are thin tapering points squeezed elegantly between large basin-valleys and, as time extends, the extremes of its topography flatten to a single, indistinguishable plain (Figure 1.6). What grid could we apply that preserves the majesty of its contours? What grid could we apply that speaks softly, does not shout its own name? We must build a grid that is either fine, non-uniform, or both.\(^\dagger\)

---

\(^\dagger\) Also worthy of mention at this point is the composer Þráinn Hjálmarsson’s $y = f(x)$ (Hjálmarsson 2012) an animated score for two performers in which the rhythmic outcome is created through the graphing of two continuous functions against each other.
1.3.1 Pragmatic Quantization: *Almost Every*

*Almost Every* (2015) is a guitar piece which seeks to tie to you - its rhythms seek to make you believe in the existence of that undulating landscape, making the sounds float on the crests of its rippling waves such that the bars of the prison stay hidden. It does this through non-uniform and non-optimal musical quantization.

A metaphor is a metaphor of a metaphor of a metaphor of a metaphor . . .

There is a pulse. It is being played on a stage somewhere. You are in the audience already halfdrunk on mixers but not caring - it’s the weekend right? Yes and onstage - though whether you could call it that, empty floorspace, paintsplattered grey concrete and whitewalls cold and wet to the touch - with a woodblock simple and regular one-a-second beating time, no accent, no wavering. And opposite, stood facing, another player, also with woodblock, but waiting, mallet poised as focused and - CHOOK! they guillotine-strike together and whilst that first player keeps their one-a-second clackclackclackclack (that old routine!) the other glides over the top, slightly faster, a 3:2 - a - what would that be? - 90bpm? - a sync-point every three of their beats, and this goes on, and then that first player shifts gears - a 5:4 - faster still - against the other’s beat until the other changes - a 3:5 - a slowdown - and on and on and you’ve seen it before, this thing, in Elliott Carter’s *String Quartet No. 1* (1951) (Figure 1.8) or more specifically, this very thing in Ben Johnston’s *Pounding Piece* and in David Pocknee’s *We Doubled Down The Base Camps* (2015) (Figure 1.10). But it is none of these pieces, it is *Total* (2014) (Figure 1.9) [20][21]

---

[20] I wrote around 50 works between 2013 and 2017. Not all of these are in the portfolio. *Total* is one of these works. The reasons I do this are as follows:

I am an experimental composer.

I write pieces because I cannot imagine them.

This inability to imagine the result sometimes means the outcomes are less than desirable.

Someone once described my work as "covering a wide range of styles: from the very good to the very bad."

I believe that if you are staying true to the ethos of experimental music i.e. producing risky work that you do not know will be aesthetically successful, then you have to expect a high rate of failure.

With such a high failure-rate implicit in the process of making work, the only way to make good work is to make a lot of it.

Over the course of my PhD I have made a lot of work.

As a result of my practice, some of it is very bad.

I have only included works in the portfolio that I think are aesthetically successful. This is so I do not waste your time.

However, all the pieces I have composed have taught me something, even if they fail. Extracts of some of these ‘failed’ pieces are included inside this thesis if they can fulfil an illustrative role.

[21] [Interviewer:] I have read that you have 2,000 songs registered to BMI.

[Robert Pollard:] That’s probably five years ago, that count was probably five years ago.

[I:] And you released something like 50 albums, between Guided By Voices and side projects and solo records.

[RB:] Actually, it’s closer to 80. . . .

... [RB:] We did 100 fucking songs for that album *Bee Thousand* by Guided By Voices. That was my theory back then: If we do 100 fucking songs, 20 of them have to be good. . . ."

(Valania 2014)
Figure 1.8: Metric modulation in Elliott Carter’s *String Quartet No. 1* (Carter 1951)

Figure 1.9: Extract of *Total* for two percussionists.

Figure 1.7: Rhythmic Distortion for *Almost Every*

*We Doubled Down The Base Camps* and *Total* have nothing to do with quantization - that is a different story for a different time - but there is a non-uniformity to their rhythm, players precariously stacking themselves on top of each other so that the pulse drifts and moves, those prison bars stretched and shrunk like rubber. Perhaps we can use their approach to rhythm to create a non-uniform rhythmic grid?

Two people play-off each other’s pulses, creating a tuplet of a tuplet of a tuplet of a chaos of an infinity of a tuplet - but we can also take this process, this metaphor of a metaphor and have it happen all inside the mind of one player instead of played out loud by two. We could create a mental drama only the performer is privy to, a way to generate a mental non-uniform grid that they can hang actions upon. This is what happens in the guitar piece *Almost Every* (2015).

In Figure 1.11 you can see a section of this piece - a slow acceleration, the start of that downward move down the steep slope of that first cosine curve, those two lines of rhythms above the chords not meant to sound, but to be counted silently in the head of the player - basement-stage and woodblock players now compressed and still-beating behind the eyes, an ever-contracting heartbeat to hang those lonely stringstrums upon . . .

And so, in each of these works, the same type of notation: one pulse plays, another pulse plays in a simple ratio to the previous one, the first pulse uses the second pulse as a new foundation and plays a new pulse in a simple ratio to it [as Žižek:] andsoonandsoon . . . In *We Doubled Down The Base Camps*
and Total this is a dialogue between two players, in Almost Every this all takes place inside the player’s head.

Making Almost Every

Almost Every is a confluence of different algorithms. Each algorithm takes an unbroken continuity and approximates it as a discrete value. Almost Every is a cynosure of multi-parametric quantization. But the aim in these grids is not an exploration of the aesthetic space between the gridded and ungridded, but pure pragmatism - the limits of our technologies and humans and musical language.

Almost Every is a confluence of different algorithms. Each algorithm takes an unbroken continuity and approximates it as a discrete value. Almost Every is a cynosure of multi-parametric quantization. But the aim in these grids is not an exploration of the aesthetic space between the gridded and ungridded, but pure pragmatism - the limits of our technologies and humans and musical language.

Almost Every is a confluence of different algorithms. Each algorithm takes an unbroken continuity and approximates it as a discrete value. Almost Every is a cynosure of multi-parametric quantization. But the aim in these grids is not an exploration of the aesthetic space between the gridded and ungridded, but pure pragmatism - the limits of our technologies and humans and musical language.

Almost Every is me trying to ink my own coastline, or uncover a new ecology in the inkblack saltwatered strangeness.

Almost Every wants to say one thing: that the guitar has an extensive proliferation of a single pitch-class (E) across an instrument with a much wider range of timbral possibilities than it is usually credited with. This variety is due to the fact its upper and lower strings are made from different materials (wound metal and nylon). These characteristics are different from any other classical instrument.

Question: What is a good way to highlight the sheer number of possible ‘E’s on the classical guitar, the chords that can be made from them, and their timbral diversity?  

Answer: This sounds like a product specification! But, I would say, by presenting every possible playable chord once only. The ordering would then be important. The chords could be ordered such that, on a small scale, only minimal changes occur between each consecutive chord, causing a listener to focus on the minute differences in timbre within a restricted compass, making the timbral extremes of the instrument seem even larger. This could be coupled with a larger-scale ordering that slowly moves to

---

22 Perhaps asked by a Socratici viri.

23 "But Wait," Price says, "You ain’t seen nothin’ yet ..." He pulls his [business card] out of an inside coat pocket and slowly, dramatically turns it over for our inspection and says, "Mine."

Even I have to admit it’s magnificent.
these different extremes in a way that covers all possibilities allowed by the chords. A further focusing of the way the audience listens to timbre could be done by slowly removing changes in any other parameters.

Q: How many instances of the pitch class E are there on a standard, 6 string, classical guitar?
A: 11 fingered pitches, 6 natural harmonics below the 5th partial. Total: 17 (see Figure 1.12).

Q: Why only use harmonics up to the 5th partial?
A: Because the drop-off in amplitude that happens with higher harmonics makes it difficult to include them in dynamically balanced chords with other notes.

Q: Why only natural harmonics and no stopped harmonics?
A: It is difficult to play stopped harmonics in chords. Also, as these normally involve the relocation of the right hand, this rules out possibilities for exploring aspects of sul ponticello and sul tasto playing which I thought were important to include to fully explore the timbral possibilities of the guitar. Plus, as you will see, there are plenty of options without stopped harmonics!

Q: Given these 17 pitches, how many possible chords could be made from them?
A: The guitar has six strings, so the largest chord possible would contain 6 notes. The total number of chords containing 1-6 notes using these 17 notes would be:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{6} \binom{17}{n} = 21,777$$

Q: How many of these would be technically possible on the guitar?
A: Excluding any combinations which involve notes played on the same string leaves around 7,000 possibilities.

Q: And how many of these would be playable by a human?
A: There is no efficient algorithm for this. I had to test each one by hand.

Q: That must have taken a while.
A: It did.

Q: So, does this piece contain all possible playable chords only using the pitch class E?
A: Almost. An earlier version of the work was entitled Every and did include all possible chords but was utterly unplayable and didn’t sound very good, due to the amount of unavoidable fret noise that occurs in the preparation of some of the more difficult chords. I felt this distracted from the sonority and timbre of the chords themselves, so, for Almost Every, I removed all of these chords.

Q: So how many chords did you end up with?

Suddenly the restaurant seems far away, hushed, the noise distant, a meaningless hum, compared to this card, and we all hear Price’s words: "Raised lettering, pale nimbus white . . . "


"Nice, very nice," I have to admit. "But wait. Let’s see Montgomery’s."
Price pulls it out and though he’s acting nonchalant, I don’t see how he can ignore its subtle off-white coloring, its tasteful thickness. I am unexpectedly depressed that I started this.
- Bret Easton Ellis American Psycho (Ellis 1991, 44-45)
Q: How are they ordered?
A: As well as testing the playability of each chord, I rated each one according to a set of metrics:

- **Difficulty**[1-9] (1= easiest, 9 = hardest): Each chord was given a rating of how difficult it was to play between 1-9, this was a purely subjective judgement based on playing each one.

- **Number of notes**[1-5]: This rating was simply the number of notes in the chord. The largest playable chord containing only the pitch-class E contains 5 notes.

- **Octave**[1-15]: Each note is given a value between 1-5 based on which octave it sounds at, with 1 being the lowest E on the guitar (the open 6th string, which sounds at the E just below the bass clef), and 5 being the harmonic at the 5th fret of the 1st string (which sounds 3 ledger lines above the treble clef). The value for each note in the chord is then added together to get a composite value for this chord.

- **Number of harmonics**[1-7]: This is a weighted value designed to give much larger values to chords which are primarily composed of harmonics, as opposed to chords which just contain a large number of harmonics. e.g. a 2-note chord containing only 2 harmonics would be rated higher than a 4-note chord containing 2 harmonics and 2 fingered pitches:

  Let there be a set in which each element represents a note in a chord. If the note represented by a particular element is a harmonic, let this element equal 1, else let this element equal -1. Then, fill the set up with elements all equal to -1 until the set contains 5 members. Sum the values of all elements in the set and add 6. This gives the value. This process is designed so that only chords containing >1 harmonic get values higher than 1.

  e.g. If a chord contains 1 harmonic and 1 fingered pitch, first create the set \{1, -1\} then fill the set with -1’s until it contains 5 members: \{1, -1, -1, -1, -1\}. Then sum all the elements in this set (1 + -1 + -1 + -1 + -1 = -3) and add 6 (-3 + 6 = 3).

- All of these values are then scaled to between 0 and 1 to give them equal weighing.

Q: Sure, that’s how you rate each chord, but how do you order them in time?
A: These ratings are then used to order all 319 chords through mapping them to 4 continuous functions (you can see where this is going . . .):

  First, let’s take three triangle waves and modify their frequencies such that all possible combinations of extremes of their peaks are enumerated i.e. if 1 is the top extreme of a parameter, and 0 is the bottom extreme, and the values of all three parameters at certain points in time can be represented as a 3-bit binary code, then 000, 001, 010, 011, 100, 101, 110, 111 are all represented (see Figure 1.13).

![Triangle Waves Used in Almost Every](image)

Figure 1.13: Triangle waves used in *Almost Every*

Q: Why is this important?
A: These triangle waves will be used for controlling the parameters of the chords and ensuring these encompass all possible extremes of possibilities. This gives the piece moments where specific parametric characteristics become more dominant and ensures the entire timbral space is explored.

Q: Sorry, but why triangle waves and not a cosine function?
A: A cosine function results in an unequal distribution of material at its extremes, neglecting the mid-point of its amplitude, unless you modify it, by which point you might as well just use a triangular function.

Q: What then?
A: Next, generate the modified cosine function seen earlier in Figure 1.6 and quantize both this function and all 3 triangle waves (Figure 1.13) such that they become 319 discrete, equally spaced points (one for each chord). We now have 319 points in time, each with 4 values: a duration between each chord (taken from the cosine function), and 3 values from the triangle waves, which will now represent a value in the parameters of number of harmonics in the chord, octaves, and number of notes in the chord.

Given our corpus of 319 chords and these 319 sets of four values, we can now run a Euclidean matching algorithm that takes the three values from the triangle waves and chooses a chord from the corpus which most closely matches these values for each point in the piece. In other words, we take the chord which has the lowest $L_2$ value: the chord which has the lowest distortion, and use that chord at this point in the piece. After use, each match results in that chord being removed from the corpus, so that all chords are used once in the course of the piece.

Q: So the triangle waves define the form?
By matching the chords to the values generated by combinatorially ordered triangle waves we can create form by matching the corpus such that the ordering of notes has a parametric profile specified by the triangle waves.

Q: But what about playability? Surely this could mean an unwieldy sequence of difficult chords closely spaced together?
A: Ah! That’s where the difficulty parameter comes in! The matching algorithm will also ensure that the chords with the highest level of difficulty ONLY occur during the slowest moments of the piece and the least difficult chords will be used during the fastest sections.

Added to this, the slow movement in between sul ponticello and sul tasto that happens in the piece is similarly mapped in relation to the triangle wave controlling the amount of the harmonics in the chord. Given that harmonics sing best when played sul ponticello, an increase in this triangle wave creates a correspondingly slow movement towards playing near the bridge.

Q: It looks like dynamics are completely independent from the other parameters, though…
A: The dynamics are based on another modified cosine wave whose speed and amplitude gradually decrease over the course of the piece. The aim is that, along with the durations, this movement towards stasis directs the audiences attention increasingly towards timbre by removing these other parametric "distractions".

Q: And what about the way that rhythm is notated? Surely this is another gridding of an already gridded cosine function?
A: Yes, the difficulty of that is also pretty tightly controlled, the ratios in the tuplets are only ones using the integers 2,3,4,5 and 6, so those metric modulations should be easily countable, as opposed to if I used larger integers which might create tuplets which are difficult to count, such as 11:23.

Q: But using smaller integers is also less accurate than using larger integers.
A: Yes, but I think the sacrifice in notational accuracy is more than compensated by the increase in performative accuracy.

Q: But how do you decide on which tuplets to use where?
A: They are all algorithmically chosen - it’s another Euclidean matching algorithm that works as follows:

1. Take the duration between chords specified by the cosine function.
2. List all tuplets possible using the prescribed integers i.e. 2,3,4,5 and 6.
3. Take each possible tuplet and calculate the duration in milliseconds of all strings of pulses between 1 and 20 in length.

4. Comb through these values to find the amount of pulses in a particular tuplet which would give the closest duration to that specified by the cosine function.

Only tuplets which give pulses within the range easiest to entrain with and sense tempo are used.

Q: But couldn’t you just notate all of these durations in a single tempo using a minimum duration of 32nd-notes? Surely that would be more easily playable and equally as accurate?

A: As I mentioned before, in a uniform grid, the grid will assert its presence. With 32nd-notes you will hear the grid, which undermines the illusion of continuity. Also, this would actually be less accurate. The distortion created by the rhythmic quantization of the piece (i.e. the discrepancy between the duration specified by the cosine function and the duration specified in the notation) is $L_1 = 16.599\text{s}$ (see Figure 1.7) whereas a quantization to 32nd-notes at 60bpm (125ms) would give a distortion of $L_1 = 20.583\text{s}$.

Q: I see. So the work is prevented from being an undifferentiated parade of the note E by ensuring that every possible combination of the triangle waves are used, and the fact that they move so slowly allows minimal change on a local level but larger, directed movements to extremes of timbre on the macro level, with the entire work moving to an increasingly minimal durational and dynamic difference between each consecutive chord to further direct the audience’s attention to what is happening on a timbral level.

A: That’s right! But, more importantly, in the context of this discussion about quantization, the whole work is based on taking these slow-moving, continuous functions and gridding them up in order to make changes in the work appear as smooth and minimal as possible.

Q: So, it’s one big lie, just like the CD?

A: Exactly. I wouldn’t want it any other way.

---

24 Metric entrainment can occur only with respect to periodicities in a range from about 100 ms to about 5 or 6 seconds. In addition, we may grasp a sense of beat or tempo in a subrange of 200-250 ms [300-240bpm] to about 2 seconds [30bpm] (London 2012, 46). London references Westergaard and produces a table of useful tempos which 'correspond to empirical tests for beat perception' and commenting that 'beats are most strongly felt at a moderate tempo, around 80-90 beats/minute (600-700ms)' (London 2002, 535-536).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Beats/minute</th>
<th>Interonset Interval (ms)</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Too slow to be useful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>1414</td>
<td>Very slow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>Moderately slow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>Moderately fast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>168</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>Very fast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>240</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>Too fast to be useful</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1.3.2 Creative Non-Optimal Quantization

"The same thing happens again and again, but each time it's slightly different" could be a passable definition of musical developmental processes, working in an office, or my alcohol-induced black-outs.

Not to denigrate the artform I'm working in by oversimplifying it, but western art music is fuelled by musical development, and musical development is just making stuff sound the same but a bit different. Outside of motivic development, which can be pretty accurately emulated by computers (and, at its worst, retroactively sounds like bad algorithmic music (see Hindemith) (Pocknee 2016)) this is a difficult, non-trivial task.

But similar types of relationships between difference and sameness occur when a signal develops a quantization error.

Perhaps by arbitrarily imposing grids over the top of a set of material we could create a type of musical development?

The *Augenmusik* series originates in utter nihilism: all musical material is meaningless.

If all musical material is meaningless, you should be able to just take the most vulgar form of statistical randomness and, if you had a sophisticated enough musical grid, make compelling music out of it. Which is what I did in *Augenmusik I: The Grid Is A Terrible Moment for Sensitivity and Substance for John Cage and Jordan Mackenzie* (2012) for solo piano and *Augenmusik Ib-e* for player-piano and video, in which I gridded up eight A3 pages I had ejaculated on to create a musical score (see Figures [1.14](#) and [1.15](#)).

This is a type of non-optimal quantization. There is nothing in the process that attempts to preserve meaning from the original input, in fact, the aim of the process is to impose meaning directly onto that input through the grids used.

Not that this is a unique phenomena, one might think of Peter Ablinger's *Quadraturen* series and his *phonography*: 26

---

25 A technique was used to ensure clear visibility of all the ejaculate on the page, which explains the black coloration. The ejaculate was transformed from its off-white color into black by covering the page in charcoal powder whilst the ejaculate is still wet, and waiting until it dries, at which point the powder adheres to the paper, allowing the removal of any unadhered charcoal and revealing the shape of the ejaculate spread. This is a technique used by the artist Jordan Mackenzie in his own ejaculate works.

26 See the work done by the Iamus section of the Melomics programme at the University of Malaga (Melomics) and David Cope’s work (Cope 1991).

27 I think it is important to separate this from a later position of mine, in which all material should be treated as if it were the same. Treating material as if it is the same means accepting that a C Major chord, a dog barking, an avalanche and somebody being murdered are all musically equivalent; all music being meaningless implies that the only meaning derives from forces exerted on the material, the grids imposed, how it is quantized.

28 The piece has to start with some material, but it could have started with others; I simply wrote down a set of notes without thinking about them at all, and said, I will work with these. That’s how the piece begins.’ - Brian Ferneyhough on the opening of *Lemma-Icon-Epigram*, quoted in (Toop 1990).
1. The first step is always an acoustic photograph ("phonograph").
   This can be a recording of anything: speech, street noise, music.

2. Time and frequency of the chosen "phonograph" are dissolved into a grid of small 'squares'
   whose format may, for example, be 1 second (time) to 1 second (interval).

3. The resulting grid is the score, which is then to be reproduced in different media:
   on traditional instruments, computer controlled piano, or in white noise.

The reproduction of 'phonographs' by instruments can be compared to photo-realist painting, or
what describes the technical aspect of the 'Quadraturen' more precisely -with techniques in the
graphic arts that use grids to transform photos into prints.

When using humanly played instruments the grid has to be enlarged (slowed down) to remain playable
- thus the result of the transformation is not so much a reproduction of the original but an approach
to or a situation of comparison between instrumental sounds and the original sound source. Using
a smaller grain, e.g. 16 units per second (about the limit of the player piano), the original source
approaches the border of recognition within the reproduction. With practice[d] listening the player
piano can even perform structures possible for a listener to transpose into/understand as spoken
sentences.

Actually however, my main concern is not the literal reproduction itself but precisely this border-zone
between abstract musical structure and the sudden shift into recognition - the relationship between
musical qualities and 'phonorealism': the observation of "reality" via "music".

- Peter Ablinger (Ablinger 2006)

Or G. Doug Barrett’s Derivations series and its gridding of a gridding of a gridding:

Derivation XI is a transcription of a recording of a performance of a transcription of a
recording of a performance of a transcription of a recording of a performance of a transcription of a
recording of a performance of a transcription of a recording of a performance of a transcription of a
recording (of a performance).

- G. Douglas Barrett, quoted in (Priest 2013, 88)

At its heart, the set of Augenmusik pieces are about three things:

1. the power of grids to define meaning
2. the composition of psychological, physical and theatrical difficulty as independent parameters
3. the use of grids as a method of musical development

***

The same thing happens again and again but each time it’s slightly different, like the looping narratives
of Rashomon (1950) or Groundhog Day (1993) or Run Lola, Run (1998) or Source Code (2011) or Edge
of Tomorrow (2014) in which the same day was not the same, the same time-period re-worked from
different perspectives, with different actions, outcomes...

***

29 . . . infinity transcription chaos performance . . .
30 Augenmusik I: The Grid Is A Terrible Moment for Sensitivity and Substance for John Cage and Jordan Mackenzie
   (2012) [pno]
   Augenmusik Ib-e (2015) [player-piano and video]
   Augenmusik II: Bangscale II: Fantasia (2012) [cl, vln, pno]
   Augenmusik III: The Picture of ASKO/Schoenberg (2012) [ob, cl, bsn, hrn, vln, vla, vcl, db]
   Augenmusik IV: Paperwork (2014) [b, cl, pno, vcl] (in portfolio)
   Augenmusik VII: Our Syntax: 3 Pulses 1.0 Seconds Apart (2015) [imaginary percussion or analogue clock] (Figure 1.26
31 For more information on this see my paper Virtuosity, Flow, and Re-Notating Modernism (Pocknee 2012b).
32 For a more in-depth discussion of this cinematic phenomena see (Heidbrink 2013).
The same thing happens again and again, and each time it’s slightly different, like Augenmusik IV: Paperwork for flute, clarinet, piano and cello. Here a material, not vulgar but thought-through: 8 interlocking 7-note scales, octave-displaced, each at tempi lying in low-integer ratios to each other (Figure 1.16), and collectively ensuring every note within the compass of the piano occurs once only (Figure 1.17).

Question: What?
Answer: See the “tempo cube”, the large box in Figure 1.16.

Figure 1.16: Tempo cube used for Augenmusik IV: Paperwork.

Q: Yes, what is it?
A: It’s a way of geometrically representing tempi, such that their numerical relationships to each other are clear. As you move right along the x axis, the tempi become faster by a ratio of 3:2, if you move down along the y axis, the tempi become faster by a ratio of 4:3, and if you move back along the z axis, the tempi become faster by a ratio of 5:4. The cube is a simple way of visualizing all of the relationships between 8 related tempi. The smaller boxes next to the large one represent the same cube show relationships it would have been too messy to fit in the larger cube. The cube can also be extended out indefinitely in all directions to show further tempi and their relationships.

Q: So, it’s a geometric way of representing ratio relationships? Sort of like James Tenney’s harmonic lattices (Tenney 2008)?
A: Yes.

Q: Or Euler/Riemann’s tonnetz (Tymoczko 2012)?
A: Exactly.

Q: Or, more obscurely, Walter O’Connell’s Tone Spaces (O’Connell 1968)?
A: Probably …

Q: Why is this necessary?
A: Well, imagine if you wanted to write a piece in which 8 different tempi were played simultaneously and you want to write these in a single tempo. The cube shows the ratios between each tempo, which are also equivalent to the tuplets you can use for representing this rhythm in a particular tempo (e.g. if your tempo is 60bpm, then a pulse at 90bpm can be notated in 60bpm using a 3:2 tuplet).

Q: So this acts as an easy reference for solving notational problems?
A: Yes.

Q: So you use these 8 superimposed tempi in Augenmusik IV: Paperwork?
A: Yes. I describe these tempi as “pulse-streams” moving at different speeds to avoid any confusion between them and the notated tempo. Each of these pulse-streams consists of a continuous pulse at the given tempo that creates an ascending 7-note scale in which the octaves of these notes have been distributed over the total ambitus of the piano. Each of these scales is different in each of the pulse-streams.
and, when superimposed on top of each other, they give all 88 notes of the piano, once only (see Figure 1.17).

**Q: So, the distribution of these notes isn’t random?**
**A:** No, and in fact, the spread of these notes was carefully chosen so that the range in which the notes occur moves over time to prevent muddy orchestration, as can often happen if the bottom, mid and top ranges are occupied at the same time.

**Q: But what’s the importance of these pulse-streams and scales?**
**A:** Having these 8 pulse-streams in 8 tempi means that if I quantize all of this material into one of these 8 tempi, one stream will always give the quarter-note pulse, and moreover, this pulse will articulate an ascending scale (these notes are increasingly accented as the piece goes on (see the 'Accents' row in Figure 1.18 for where this occurs)).

**Q: So how does this piece work? This material is quantized 8 times, once in each tempo?**
**A:** No, 48 times!

**Q: That’s a lot! What’s the duration of this material?**
**A:** Around 15 seconds. Bear in mind that it was originally going to be 64 times! But that was a bit much.

**Q: So is the piece just repeating this 15 seconds of stuff 48 times with different rhythmic gridding?**
**A:** Yes. The same thing over and over, slightly different each time.

**Q: Where do these 48 quantizations come from, if there are only 8 tempi?**
**A:** Each tempo is given 8 levels of notational complexity, created by starting with the simplest quantization in a given tempo (always quarter-notes) and cumulatively superimposing pulse streams in order of notational difficulty (pulse-streams are considered easier if they lie in low-integer ratios (e.g. 2:3) than in high-integer ratios (e.g. 15:16)).

**Q: But that gives 64 (8 × 8) options.**
**A:** As I said, it used to be 64 repeats, but I removed 16 sections, mainly due to time constraints. If you look at Figure 1.18, the removals are indicated by the thick black vertical lines in the top diagram and enumerated at the bottom next to where it says 'EXCISED'.

**Q: What exactly am I looking at in Figure 1.18?**
**A:** At the bottom are the excised 16 sections of material. At the right in the middle is a table further elaborating the tempo ratios shown in the tempo cube. The rest of the Figure is the form of the piece and its 48 sections. You can see the 8 levels of quantization I was talking about in the ‘Tuplet Ratios Used’ row. If you take the 2nd-to-last and 3rd-to-last sections, AT and AU, you can see the difference between an easy section AU, where everything is only quantized to quarter-notes (1:1) and a difficult section AT in which any rhythmic tuplet from the list 1:1, 2:3, 4:3, 5:4, 5:3, 5:6, 8:9, 10:9 can be used, in any nesting combination.

**Q: This is the two sections shown in Figure 1.19, no?**
**A:** That’s correct.

**Q: So, from Figure 1.18, it’s obvious that the orchestration changes quite drastically over the piece. Is it another ‘every possible combination thing’?**
**A:** Yes, I couldn’t resist it - it’s set up so that each instrument gets two solo sections, in which as much of the material as possible is funnelled through that one instrument.

![Figure 1.20: Wedge form used in Harrison Birwistle’s work prior to Melancolia.](image)
Figure 1.17: Piano roll screen in Cubase showing the notes used in *Augenmusik IV: Paperwork*. The colour of each of the notes shows which pulse stream it is part of in reference to 1.16.
Figure 1.18: Form of Augenmusik IV: Paperwork.

Figure 1.19: Half of section AT and section AU in Augenmusik IV: Paperwork.
Q: Obviously the piano would be the only instrument that has all of those notes in its range . . .
A: Yes, that’s why it occurs at the golden section . . .

Q: *sigh*. So the form of the piece is basically starting in the middle and moving further towards the extremes of quantization?
A: Yes, it’s what I think of as a "wedge" form, similar to the wedge shape of the melodic twelve tone row used by Harrison Birtwistle in all his work prior to Melancolia I (Hall [1998] 5) (see Figure [1.20] or the gradual opening out embodied in the overall form of Ligeti’s Musical Ricercata, Lux Aeterna or Continuum (Steinitz 2003). The wedge form is a form that starts in mediocrity and travels to two or more extremities. In my work, a similar expanding pitch movement can be seen in the increasing extremity of register of the count-in pitches -

Q: The what?
A: In the first 2/3s of the piece, each section starts with one of the instruments giving a count-in to the upcoming section by playing a repeated pitch in the tempo of the next section (see the "Count-In Pitch" row in Figure [1.18]). These pitches are mapped to how difficult the section is and become more extreme as the work gets alternately more easy and more difficult.

Q: What is the result of this wedge-style quantization?
A: The difference implicit in the types of quantization gradually emerge from the lack of differentiation between sections in the first half of the piece and assert this difference as both types of quantizations move to their extremes, a movement I described in rehearsals as a stylistic dichotomy between Ablinger and Ferneyhough.

Q: This is really the opposite of Almost Every, where extremity moves towards mundanity.
A: For sure - "wedge" vs "funnel" form.

Q: So it’s sort of like Raymond Queneau’s Exercises in Style (Figure [1.21]) (Queneau [1998]) in which the same banal story is told over and over again, each time quantized through the grid of a different literary style?
A: Sure.

Q: But there’s a paradox here, no?
A: ?

Q: That the more complex the notation, the closer it is to that original material. But the more complex the notation, the more difficult the performance. The more difficult the performance, the lower the chance that the rhythms will be performed accurately.
A: And?

Q: So the more accurately you notate it, the less accurately it will be played, it’s that old $\Delta x \Delta p_x \geq \hbar/2$ problem!
A: I have no idea what you are talking about.

Q: It means that there is a fundamental limit to the precision with which the position $x$ and the momentum component $p_x$ of a particle can be simultaneously known - Heisenberg’s Uncertainty Principle! (Manners [2000].
A: If you want to see it like that . . . I’d see it more as a specifically musical problem.

Q: [soliloquy]
Oh what brutish forces the grid enacts!
Substance crippled by their fettered might!
Error springs from complex sight
Paradox that thwarts our acts!

For a more in-depth discussion of wedge form, see Chapter 4.
In the S bus, in the rush hour. A chap of about 26, felt hat with a cord instead of a ribbon, neck too long, as if someone’s been having a tug-of-war with it. People getting off. The chap in question gets annoyed with one of the men standing next to him. He accuses him of jostling him every time anyone goes past. A snivelling tone which is meant to be aggressive. When he sees a vacant seat he throws himself on to it.

Two hours later, I meet him in the Cour de Rome, in front of the gare Saint-Lazare. He’s with a friend who’s saying: “You ought to get an extra button put on your overcoat.” He shows him where (at the lapels) and why.

(a) (Queneau 1998, 19)  

In this new novel, executed with his accustomed brio, the famous novelist X, to whom we are already indebted for so many masterpieces, has decided to confine himself to very clear-cut characters who act in an atmosphere which everybody, both adults and children, can understand. The plot revolves, then, round the meeting in a bus of the hero of this story and of a rather enigmatic character who picks a quarrel with the first person he meets. In the final episode we see this mysterious individual listening with the greatest attention to the advice of a friend, a past master of Sartorial Art. The whole makes a charming impression which the novelist X has etched with rare felicity.

(b) (Queneau 1998, 56).

Figure 1.21: Extracts from Raymond Queneau’s *Exercises In Style* (Queneau 1998).
1.4 Optimal Notational Quantization

... and maybe you remember how traduire, c’est trahir and traduttore traditore ...

... and perhaps you remember how Borges claimed of Henley’s translation of Beckford’s Vathek that “The original is unfaithful to the translation” (Lindahl 1999, 20) ...

... and perhaps you recall the titles of Peter Heath’s two translations of Wilhelm von Humboldt’s German text Über die Verschiedenheit des menschlichen Sprachbaus und ihren Einfluss auf die geistige Entwicklung des Menschengeschlechts, only 11 years apart:

- The Diversity of Human Language-Structure and Its Influence on the Mental Development of Mankind (1988)

(Harden 2012, 15-16) ...

... and maybe you recall Caroline Bergvall’s VIA (36 Dante Translations) a collation of the opening lines of every English translation of Dante’s Inferno held in the British Library as of May 2000 (Bergvall 2011, 81) (Figure 1.22) ...

***

“The same thing happens over and over, and each time it’s slightly different”, like the belief among composers and performers, almost superstitious, like a rabbit’s foot on a rear-view mirror: how things are written changes how they are played.

And maybe my obsession, for years, I can only now articulate ...
Is this:

The same as this:

And this:

And this:

And this:

*Play three pulses one second apart.*

If not, why not?

And does it matter?
And you imagine a string of numbers: 0, 1, 4, and 6. And you imagine them gridded again and again, each time the divisions optimally quantizing them. And each time the output is still those numbers, still 0, 1, 4, and 6. And you look again at the previous page. And you look at the notations. And with scrub-nosed and toothcrushed HB in hand, scribble upon graph paper, calculating the distance between the start of each consecutive note - the inter-onset intervals. And you see they are the same. That the notations represent the same thing: three pulses over three seconds, each one second apart. Yet each notated differently. The same thing over and over again, but somehow changed each time . . .

... and you remember back to that room and those pulses, three of them over three seconds, each one second apart and each lasting one second, and you know that those overpage notations are the inscription of that event. And what would each option mean for a performer - would those same three pulses come back in a performance just as you heard? or changed somehow, warped by the vicissitude of playing, swung this way or that by the forces enciphered in that ancient symbology? . . .

All of the non-text examples on the previous page are optimal notational quantizations of three pulses one second apart. And we might say that these are different from the numbers, that however optimal the notational quantization might be, it changes things. But if we wanted to attempt to prove this difference, how might we do it.

Many of my works are some kind of argumentum ad absurdum. In colloquial rhetorical uses, this technique is often used to highlight the stupidity of another’s point, but I apply it in more of an amateur computer coding way - you don’t know how the code works, so you change all the variables to 10,000,000 to see what happens.

So, to work out if the notations on the previous page are the same, we might proliferate the possibilities of similarity in extremis and see what happens. In other words, how many possible optimal musical quantizations are there of three pulses each one second apart?

Optimal notational quantization occurs though the interaction of three tiers of representation: Quantization by Metre, Quantization by Tuplet and Quantization by Rhythm. Mathematics can be used to formalize the calculation of these tiers but, for the sake of good storytelling I relegate this information to Appendix A.

Metre

At the lowest level of metre construction, there is the tactus "...metrical intuitions about music clearly include at least one specially designated metrical level, which we are calling the tactus. This is the level of beats that is conducted and with which one most naturally co-ordinates foot-tapping and dance steps." (Lerdahl and Jackendoff 1999, 71):

Meter is first and foremost grounded in the perception and production of a pulse or tactus. The tactus establishes the continuity of musical motion: without it, no sense of meter is possible. But a tactus in and of itself, is insufficient for a sense of meter. The tactus establishes a single periodicity, and to be sure, this does give the listener a limited degree of temporal expectancy: something should happen on the next beat. Even though this is a type of entrainment, if we were to make a representation of this entrainment in metric terms it would be a series of one-beat 'measures':

1. 1. 1. 1 . . .
Musical meters do more than this. Consider a simple duple measure:

1. 2. 1. 2 . . .
At minimum, a metrical pattern requires a tactus coordinated with one other level of organization.

(Lerdahl and Jackendoff 1999, 16)

Metre is this "other level of organization", a way of grouping the basic, unaccented pulse of the tactus. In the examples which follow, metre is defined by the time signature, which gives both the tactus in the denominator (bottom number) and the grouping of the tactus in the numerator (top number). This time
signature is then placed in relationship to a tempo, which helps define the speed of the tactus.

_Tuplets_ are a way of presenting a pulse which lies in an integer ratio relationship to the main tactus.

_Rhythm_ is a set of durations that lie in relationships to either the metre or tuplet.

Given that this is a hierarchical ordering, we can then define four possible sets of relationships. These four relationships can be combined to give four notational possibilities, outlined in Table 1.2:

- **Rhythm = Tuplet**: This is when the pulse implied by the rhythm is the same as the pulse implied by the denominator of the tuplet.
- **Rhythm ≠ Tuplet**: This is when the pulse implied by the rhythm is different from the denominator of the tuplet.
- **Tuplet ≠ Metre**: This is the ordinary situation, in which a tuplet provides a different pulse speed to the metre.
- **Tuplet = Metre**: This is a special case. When the tuplet is the same as the metre, this could still be considered as a tuplet in a 1:1 ratio, however this would usually be seen as a notational redundancy and so the tuplet would be omitted. e.g. how the top left notation in Table 1.2 could be conceived of as being notated using a 3:3 tuplet.

| Table 1.2: Relationships between metre, tuplet and rhythm, illustrated using four notations of three pulses one second apart. |
|---|---|---|
| Tuplet = Metre | Rhythm = Tuplet | Rhythm ≠ Tuplet |
| | ![Example notation] | ![Example notation] |
| Tuplet ≠ Metre | ![Example notation] | ![Example notation] |

1.4.1 Calculating All Possible Optimal Notational Quantizations

To calculate all possible optimal notational quantizations of the three pulses, first some constraints must be stated, for the sake of playability:

1. No tempi below 30bpm or higher than 150bpm
2. Only use tuplets with denominators featuring the numbers 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 9
3. Only use tuplets where the numerator is an integer e.g. no 1.43:4
4. Only use metres featuring notes lasting 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1.0, 1.75 and 2.0 beats
5. Only use time signatures with denominators of 4, 8, and 16
6. Only use time signatures where the numerator is an integer

With these constraints, an algorithm was written and the result was a piece of music, for imaginary percussionist or analogue clock (see Figures 1.26, 1.27, 1.28). Each bar presents three pulses, each one second apart, and each lasting one second, yet each notated differently. _The same thing over and over and over again, different each time . . ._

---

341.25 is not used as there is no simple notational representation for this duration that does not use ties.
171 answers! a grid of 171 choices! Importantly, 171 optimal quantizations. In each bar the pulses are all exactly one second apart and last one second $L_r = d(x, y) = 0$. But are they all the same? Were a performer to play this, would we hear the steady unwavering pulse of a metronome, or a drift, and if so - what does this drift mean? How can it be controlled? Is its deviation meaningful?

...and we think of those strange irregularities, of syntax and semantics and grammar coming undone, turning back in on itself like white bloodcells attacking their host, when our sentences mean nothing but nonsense but hold their shape like Beethoven in the Largo of Piano Sonata 29 (Hammerklavier) (Figure 1.25), or Morton Feldman late works (see Figure 1.24) or Luigi Nono’s Fragmente-stilie, an diotima (Nono 1980) and you know not whether they seek to grasp at something more than the page allows or whether this is the sophisticated delight of the Oxford Don of Mathematics Lewis Carroll, as his knowledge of mathematical logic is brought to bear upon the construction of reality, causing it to unravel into acid-tinged streams of thought.

Figure 1.24: Cello part in bar 883 of Morton Feldman’s Patterns in a Chromatic Field (Feldman 1981).

Figure 1.25: Extract of the start of the Largo in Movement IV of Beethoven’s Piano Sonata 29 (Hammerklavier).

---

35. Of the possible theories which admit such constituents the simplest is that of Meinong. This theory regards any grammatically correct denoting phrase as standing for an object. Thus ‘the present King of France’, ‘the round square’, etc., are supposed to be genuine objects. It is admitted that such objects do not subsist, but nevertheless they are supposed to be objects. This is in itself a difficult view; but the chief objection is that such objects, admitted, are apt to infringe the law of contradiction. It is contended, for example, that the present King of France exists, and also does not exist; that the round square is round, and also not round, etc. But this is intolerable; and if any theory can be found to avoid this result, it is surely to be preferred.” (Russell 1905)

36. And maybe you’ve been there, partytrapped in emptying livingroom - RedSea-smashed in that great Kitchen Exodus - as he, beerhanded, undrunk but as much the bore, gentlysweating in the bodyheated apartment, its windows furile-open-cracked at acute angles that admitted none but the most delicate sliver of coldair, an action as nugatory and empty as cakecutting birthdayprotests ohnotesthat.justasiller.ohishouldn’treally.ohiambeingnaught.ohishouldn’treally.ohiambeingnaught.killmenow.asifthisisliving.

37. “It is often said that it is logic that makes Alice and Through the Looking-Glass great works, and that indeed all Carroll’s jokes are jokes either in pure or in applied logic” (Carroll 1977).
Figure 1.26: Page 1 of *Augenmusik VII: Our Syntax: x Pulses y Seconds Apart*, a work which consists of all possible ways of notating x pulses, y seconds apart, within constraints.
Figure 1.27: Page 2 of Augenmusik VII: Our Syntax: x Pulses y Seconds Apart
Figure 1.28: Page 3 of *Augenmusik VII: Our Syntax: x Pulses y Seconds Apart*
1.5 **Augenmusik V: Blooper Reel and Augenmusik VI: Asch**

...he did not want to compose another *Don Quixote* - which would be too easy - but the *Don Quixote*. It is unnecessary to add that his aim was never to produce a mechanical transcription of the original; he did not propose to copy it. His admirable ambition was to produce pages which would coincide - word for word and line for line - with those of Miguel de Cervantes.

- Jorge Luis Borges *Pierre Menard, Author of the Quixote* (Borges 1993, 32)

*The same thing happens again and again, but each time it is different like in Augenmusik V: Blooper Reel... SUNG: once. twice. eight times notated! ...*

*Question: I heard your solo piano piece "Augenmusik V: Blooper Reel"; it's the same piece of music played 8 times, right?*

A: Nearly. All the pitches stay the same on each repetition, as do all the absolute durations, dynamics and timbre. Only the notation changes each time.

*Q: Only the notation?*

A: Yes. In *Augenmusik V: Blooper Reel* you hear the same thing eight times and each repetition is an optimal quantization of the same music. If played precisely, all eight repetitions should be identical.

*Q: So, supposing an "ideal" performer were to play this piece, a performer who plays every rhythm exactly and precisely as written: then an audience would simply hear the same thing eight times?*

A: Yes, but there is no 'ideal' human performer.

*Q: Because humans play things wrong?*

A: No, that's not really the point. Even with a 'correct' rendition of each section, each one will be different. The work functions by presuming that, although an absolute measurement of the timings and inter-onset intervals in the notation are identical in each iteration, the rhythms are non-isochronous in respect to performance. This is not simply because the performer performs the work 'wrong', but that the syntax of each re-quantization infers a different performative action on the part of the player and a different psychological level of difficulty, which in turn warps their sense of time.

*Q: But it is the same thing played 8 times?*

A: It depends if you believe that changes in notation impact the way in which a performer executes music.

*Q: Oh, I believe that; I don't know if I believe that it impacts the performer in any meaningful way, though. I guess the dealbreaker for me is whether the differences engendered by these different types of notation are larger than the differences that would occur between two performances of the same work - even this work! i.e. Given that musical works in the western art music tradition have historically held on to their ontological identity in performance despite significant performative changes to the inter-onset intervals represented in the score, do the changes in your piece really constitute musical development? Following your logic, every performance of Beethoven's first piano sonata could just be seen as an extended development section that spews outward from the beginning of the piece to cover decades and centuries of its many performances? The same thing again and again, but slightly different. I mean, how different is the notation between each section anyway?*

A: Well, I’m using the same tempo cube as in *Augenmusik IV: Paperwork* (see Figure 1.16). The material being gridded is generated through a similar process of placing an ascending scale with displaced octaves into 8 different pulse-streams, although here the scale is chromatic and the original durations for these notes were randomly generated and then quantized into the 8 layer grid, giving a lot more irregularity to the distribution. You can see the differences in notation in Figures 1.29 and 1.30.

*Q: Well, they certainly look different, have you got any data about the actual differences?*

A: Not for this piece, but I have for *Augenmusik VI: Asch.*
Figure 1.29: Page 3 of Augenmusik V: Blooper Reel

Figure 1.30: Page 6 of Augenmusik V: Blooper Reel
Q: That’s the one where the player-piano and the pianist are playing exactly the same thing, but the differences in the way they count cause slight offsets in their attacks, a type of flammy, echo-y thing, right?

A: Yes, it’s built from an ascending sequence of diminished chord / minor chord resolutions broken up into ten sections, with each section consisting of a series of eight durations notated in ten different tempi.

Q: Are the notations for each tempo optimal quantizations?

A: Yes, it’s a similar system to Augenmusik V, but the durations are grouped less closely together.

Q: But with ten tempi, you’re not using the same tempo cube, right?

A: The tempi in this piece (36, 45, 48, 60, 75, 80, 90, 100, 120, 150) are based around the ratios 5:3, 5:4, 3:4, 4:3, 3:5, 4:5, 4:4, which are also the same ratios of the durations of the chords in each of the ten sections.

Q: And those ratios are obviously every possible combination of ratios using the integers 3, 4, and 5, right?

A: Obviously...

Q: And how was the order of these ratios chosen?

A: Algorithmically combing through all 15,559 possible permutations in order to find the one which would provide the least amount of notational difficulty over the 10 tempi.

Q: Is the ‘Asch’ in the title a reference to the Schumannian nature of the harmonic language?

A: A bit, but more the Asch conformity experiment, in reference to the effect of the piano on the human player.

Q: You said you had some data about this piece, though?

A: Oh, yes. As the pianist and the player piano are playing the same material, I could take a recording of the work and compare the timings of the pianist and player-piano. Whilst in Augenmusik V: Blooper Reel it would be difficult to draw any conclusions about the efficacy of the notation, due to both the notational density of the piece and the difficulty in measuring the onsets in the recording, Augenmusik VI: Asch is a much slower and simpler work and so provided an instance where some quantitative analysis could take place. I took a recording of the first performance of this piece (Leo Svirsky vs. Winfried Ritsch’s RHEA computer-controlled piano system) and transcribed the timings for all of the onsets of chords played by both the human pianist and the player-piano. I then calculated the pianist’s deviation in timing from that of the player-piano, which deviated between -680ms and +1332ms. These values can be seen in Table 1.3.

Figure 1.31: Rhythmic Distortion in Augenmusik VI: Asch

---

38 ASCH was a musical cipher used by Robert Schumann in piano works such as Carneval, occurring as the four-note chord in its German spelling: A, Es (Eb), C, H (B) (Dale 1952).

39* An extreme case of the influence of group pressure is to be found in an experiment carried out by Asch. His subjects were invited to match the length of a given line with one of three unequal lines. The groups consisted of eight persons, and the judgements were given aloud. Seven of the members of the groups, however, had been put up by the experimenter to agree on a judgement which was quite clearly wrong. The unfortunate naive subject was thus faced with a group that violated the evidence of his senses. What was he to do? In the majority of cases, it is true, the naive subjects followed their own judgement against the majority, but many of them showed acute embarrassment in doing so. A third of them, however, yielded to pressure, a few saying that they saw the lines as the majority ‘saw’ them, most of them saying that they thought their own perception must be wrong . . . ’ (Sprott 1958: 146)

40 My margin-of-error for transcription of the piano timings was between -33ms and +18ms.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tempo</th>
<th>Chord</th>
<th>Pianist (s)</th>
<th>Player-Piano (s)</th>
<th>Difference (ms)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9.946</td>
<td>9.975</td>
<td>+29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14.909</td>
<td>14.983</td>
<td>+24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18.158</td>
<td>17.991</td>
<td>-167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20.188</td>
<td>20.389</td>
<td>-201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>23.266</td>
<td>23.581</td>
<td>+315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>26.246</td>
<td>25.998</td>
<td>-128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>29.292</td>
<td>29.572</td>
<td>+40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>33.658</td>
<td>34.99</td>
<td>+1332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>47.61</td>
<td>47.608</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>52.323</td>
<td>52.599</td>
<td>+276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>55.92</td>
<td>55.617</td>
<td>-303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>58.333</td>
<td>58.013</td>
<td>-320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>60.701</td>
<td>61.211</td>
<td>+510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>63.899</td>
<td>63.607</td>
<td>-292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>67.665</td>
<td>67.602</td>
<td>-63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>72.606</td>
<td>72.843</td>
<td>+237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>87.617</td>
<td>87.607</td>
<td>-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>92.709</td>
<td>92.608</td>
<td>-111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>96.032</td>
<td>95.606</td>
<td>-426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>97.852</td>
<td>98.021</td>
<td>+169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>101.448</td>
<td>101.217</td>
<td>-211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>103.916</td>
<td>103.606</td>
<td>-310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>107.34</td>
<td>107.618</td>
<td>+278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>112.252</td>
<td>112.605</td>
<td>+353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>127.6</td>
<td>127.583</td>
<td>-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>132.889</td>
<td>132.605</td>
<td>-284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>133.923</td>
<td>135.602</td>
<td>-321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>139.216</td>
<td>138.003</td>
<td>-213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>140.313</td>
<td>141.199</td>
<td>+886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>143.61</td>
<td>143.89</td>
<td>+280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>147.815</td>
<td>147.604</td>
<td>-214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>152.166</td>
<td>152.604</td>
<td>+439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>164.006</td>
<td>164.006</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>169.254</td>
<td>169.003</td>
<td>-251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>172.017</td>
<td>172.004</td>
<td>-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>174.64</td>
<td>174.401</td>
<td>-239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>177.816</td>
<td>177.606</td>
<td>-210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>180.215</td>
<td>180.006</td>
<td>-209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>184.012</td>
<td>184.007</td>
<td>-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>189.206</td>
<td>189.002</td>
<td>-204</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.3: Timings of onsets of chords played by pianist and player-piano in a recording of the first perforance of Augenmusik VI: Asch
Q: And what correlations did you find between the nature of notation and the rhythmic realization of the work by a human performer?
A: None.

Q: None?!
A: After running this data through several tests looking for correlations between the type of notation and the type of offset, I could find nothing. The only clear pattern to come out of the data is a sinusoidal type motion in the pianist’s offsets, centred around 0ms (see Figure 1.31), which is presumably the result of them constantly correcting their playing to that of the player-piano.

Q: But that has nothing to do with the notation?
A: No, there is no statistical correlation with it that I could find (the full dataset can be found in Table 1.3). I surmise that the fluctuations are probably due to the pianist continually and ineffectively correcting their timing as the piece goes on: here a bit too fast, so I slow down - oh, wait now I’m too slow so I speed up - oh, now to fast...

Q: Doesn’t that undermine the work in some way?
A: Only if the only reason you ask questions is to get the answers you want.

Q: But you have invested pretty heavily in the idea that the way in which music is written changes the way in which it is played; you’ve previously written about the idea that each type of notation carries its own “mass”, for instance.
A: Yeah, I have previously implied (in the paper Time, Flow, Gravity and Uncertainty (Pocknee 2012a)) that each notational element carries its own mass, a mass which acts upon the psychological and physical faculties of the performer, causing a warping of their experience of time by pushing performers towards or away what Csikszentmihalyi’s refers to as "flow" [41]. In other words, the notation creates a rubato in the player that is a result of a de-synchronization of the performer’s sense of time with that of both clock time and that of the audience. These separate temporal reference frames are a result of the time-warping effects of becoming engrossed in an activity, and my assumption was that romantic styles of rubato came out of the distortions in the perception of time that occur in states of flow. This effect was then replicated, detached from the original mechanism that caused it, and made its way into conventional performance practice.

Q: So Augenmusik V: Blooper Reel would then have a built-in type of musical development via the rubato created through the different types of time-distortions induced by the varying psychological demands of the notation that pushed the performer closer to a flow-state? But this idea, doesn’t provide any information on how to compose this type of development, other than implying the vague supposition that more complex forms of rhythmic notation may cause larger displacements from clock time.
A: And perhaps they don’t.

Q: [soliloquy]
Heracleitus apparently said that “you can’t step into the same river twice” [42].
Heracleitus apparently said that “you can’t stay pinto this aim riff fur twice.”
Heracleitus apparently said that “uke aunts to pin toe this aim revert wise.”
Heracleitus apparently said that “yuck aren’t stay pin tooth is aim reef err two ice”.
Heracleitus apparently said that “ewe Kant stay paint oath essay marry fir tow eyes”.
Heracleitus apparently said that “Yakon stare pant tow this aim rare fur too ways”.

---

[41] For more information see Virtuosity, Flow and Renotating Modernism (Pocknee 2012b).
[42] SOCRATES: Heracleitus is supposed to say that all things are in motion and nothing at rest; he compares them to the stream of a river, and says that you cannot go into the same water twice.’ (Plato, n.d.)
1.6 The Grid and The Choice

...Jeremiah was Radar-capped as always in these days before the olive green unravelled from constant use, its fabric as worn down by the city as we were, but less angry (as hats are wont to be), and a conversation that circled 'round like polar explorers disoriented in the whiteness (how one leg is always shorter than the other, and without marker, their paths circumscribe the nature of their defect) and the grid and the choice; he said that choice selects the grid, I countered that you need a grid to demarcate reality and give options to choose from, he countered that this grid, in turn, was chosen, and I contended that this choice was made from options demarcated by a grid, which he contended was chosen, which I maintained was chosen from a grid - the same thing again and again, slightly different each time ...

... grid infinity choice infinity grid infinity chaos infinity ...

Because quantization defines our options - it is the grid from which all options are chosen: the grid and the choice, ouroborically linked in a determinist deathspiral. An ant mill for two.

And you think of John Cage, and his intention to remove his intention, those hours flipping coins and consulting the I Ching for answers, yet the most important things were always the questions.

And you think of the comedian Glenn Wool, and his quip 'I'm not even a Christian - well, I was born a Christian - well, I was born and then told about one religion, so I picked it.' (Wool 2012).

And you think of the film Shogun Assassin (Misumi 1980), of the baby whose random crawl between two objects is suddenly imbued by his father, the Shogun's Decapitator, with a new meaning: 'Choose the sword and you join me. Choose the ball, and you join your mother. In death. You don't understand my words, but you must choose.' - a quantization - a grid scything the world in two.

And you think of Christie Malry, the protagonist in B.S. Johnson’s Christie Malry’s Own Double Entry (Johnson 2001), and the way the whole world is re-gridded through his obsessive use of the double-entry book keeping system.43

And you think of Alan Lomax’s Cantometrics - the system for classifying folk singing (Harper 2011, 197-206).

And you think of how the grid so circumscribes the choice, of Luke Rhinehardt’s cult 1970s novel The Dice Man (Rhinehart 1999). Of how, while Cage’s use of chance is generally seen as benign or pseudo-anarchist (Cage 1976), the application of chance does not necessarily preclude value judgements. Of how, in Reinhardt’s book, a successful psychiatrist decides that he will start living his life entirely based upon the roll of a dice. Of how the first choice he makes by chance shows how the nature of our own conceptual grids and our ontological quantization fundamentally bias whichever randomness we decide to enact within them in the most extreme way possible:

Then a fog-horn blast groaned into the room from the East River and terror tore the arteries out of my heart and tied them in knots in my belly; if that die has a one face up, I thought, I’m going downstairs and rape Arlene. ‘If it’s a one, I’ll rape Arlene,’ kept blinking on and off in my mind like a huge neon light and my terror increased. But when I thought if it’s not a one I’ll go to bed, the terror was boiled away by a pleasant excitement and my mouth swelled into a gargantuan grin: a one means rape, the other numbers mean bed, the die is cast. Who am I to question the die?

I picked up the queen of spades [lying on top of the die] and saw staring at me a cyclopean eye: a one. (Rhinehart 1999, 69)

And you think of how our ears quantize a musical pitch interval to 'the simplest just interval within a certain tolerance region of what we are actually hearing' (Tenney 2015, 355).

And you think of my quantization processes, that gridding up of those obscene fluids splattered across the driven-snow purity of that A3 topography in Augenmusik I: The Grid Is A Terrible Moment For

43+‘Every Debit must have its corresponding Credit,’ explained Christie, ‘Perhaps every bad must have its corresponding good. An extension might be called Moral Double-Entry. In eating these beef olives, which is very good for us, we are at the same time preventing someone else from eating them; which is undoubtly bad for them.’ (Johnson 2001, 55)
Sensitivity And Substance (after John Cage and Jordan Mackenzie), and its precedence in John Cage’s Music for Piano series, his name in the full title.

In Music for Piano’s eighty-four pieces, he developed what James Pritchett calls the ‘point drawing technique’ to place on a page of staff paper representing musical events in terms of pitch and time of attack. Cage determined the location of these points by observing the imperfections in a sheet of transparent paper. . . .

. . . placing the penciled, transparent sheet ‘in a registered way’ on the master page, Cage inks the staff and leger lines around each penciled imperfection. This action supplies a grid of measurement that allows insignificant imperfections to become meaningful through a system of inscription. Those marked imperfections that fall within the space between the staves are inked as filled-in noteheads (signifying nonpitched sounds). In the former case, Cage has performed this operation ‘roughly’, because most marked imperfections will fall in the space between lines rather than directly on them. Therefore, in cases where a mark is close to a line, then Cage simply moved it directly onto the line. This stage of the process could be called quantization: a continuous sample is restricted to a smaller number of discrete values. (Piekut 2013, 150)

And maybe you think of the numerical necessity behind de Sade’s 120 Days of Sodom, how *De Sade outlines a closed system of all possible combinations concerning human sexuality, operating within a matrix which contains as parameters the body orifices and the number and characteristics of participants (single-group, old-young, male-female, heterosexual-homosexual, human-animal, living-dead)*, one of the first examples of serial thinking in literature (Bandur 2001, 53).

And you think of how Beethoven’s usage of the keyboard was fundamentally defined by the size of keyboard used (Pocknee 2015).


And you think of how quantization is so much more than those trivial musical grids of the past pages, but it is a fundament of gridding up the world to understand it, to make infinity countable.

*So how do we quantize this PhD Thesis?*

### 1.6.1 Quantizing Knowledge

And all those examples in the last section are quantizations of reality. Each one of these is a readymade waiting to be used, allowing us to grid up infinity.

And we could take any one of these and use them to make something, or simply to see the world differently. They can become *theoretical readymades*: theoretical frameworks imported and imposed wholesale onto a set of material with little concern for their correlation to reality – they may relate to current empirical ideas, or be “fictional” constructions designed to allow the compositional articulation of quanta. Here, it does not matter whether the framework within which people are working is based on accepted ideas of “truth”, but simply what it causes them to do. This idea lies at the heart of what a theoretical readymade is. A theoretical readymade is reality, quantized.

As will be seen in Chapter 3, once something is gridded up, the fineness of this grid causes the combinatoric possibilities of the quanta to exponentially increase. Therefore, if we wish to exhaust all possible options, it is necessary to select readymades which are simplistic quantizations with large grids, perhaps even to the extent that they are too simplistic to correlate efficiently with our current understanding of reality.

---

44 An easy way to get away with plagiarism is by putting the copied author’s name in the title, or by saying it is a “homage” to them.

45 Two general and basic principles are proposed for the formation of categories: The first has to do with the function of category systems and asserts that the task of category systems is to provide maximum information with the least cognitive effort; the second has to do with the structure of the information so provided and asserts that the perceived world comes as structured information rather than as arbitrary or unpredictable attributes.’ (Rosch 1978, 28)
Nearly every music composition PhD thesis I’ve ever read was written as black, double/1.5-spaced lettering with a large margin on white, A4 or letter-size paper. Based on this description, we could then make a distinction between two elements: the design elements (black double/1.5-spaced lettering, large margin, white paper, A4/letter-size) and the writing that it contains.

There’s something exciting about working within constraints, it’s why I enjoy generic action movies so much. On one level, they’re predictable - you know there’s going to be a foot chase, car chase, gun fight, fist fight and protagonist vs. antagonist confrontation (probably on a rooftop) - yet the interest is in seeing how the director, actors and artists work within this template. It’s the finesse and imagination of working inside these restrictions that separates your The Raid 2: Berandal (2014) from your Momentum (2015). It’s the same reasons you might come back to Beethoven’s piano sonatas, or Gerhard Richter’s squeegee paintings - that sense of "what are they going to do this time?" So let’s not try and reinvent the wheel here; The PhD Thesis is a genre of writing with its own conventions, four of these being black lettering, 1.5 line-spacing, large margins, and white A4 paper. We can keep these elements (like we might keep a shoot-out in our generic action-movie), but leave every other parameter open for composition.

Adam Harper points out that the process of creating n-dimensional modernism involves first selecting variables and then quantizing them (Harper 2011). In the previous swathe of this chapter, quantization has been limited to variables (or parameters), which are musical or sonic. Yet, as I stated in the introduction: composition is a set of techniques derived from a historical lineage involving the use of sound, but which can be applied to materials other than those which sound.

But what are the variables of this PhD? In terms of design, two possible composable variables might be the typeface (or "font" when it’s digital) and the position and size of the area on the page where the
writing occurs (the page-layout). Both of these elements can be composed to alter the way the text is presented on the page.

PhD Theses are conventionally written using language. Language is complex, so building a compositional system that deals with language is difficult. This is why theoretical readymades are so useful — instead of trying to build some type of *sui generis* system for composing the language in the Conclusion of this thesis, I can instead draw upon decades of linguistic research to quantize this complex field. But, as stated before, to prevent ourselves having too many combinatorial options - the result of too many variables - the theoretical readymade must be simple.

Figure 1.32 is part of the table of contents from the book *Linguistics for Dummies*. It enumerates six topics - what it refers to as the "building blocks of language". These could be treated as variables to compose the language of a PhD Thesis. The first three of these chapters: phonetics, phonology and morphology are perhaps too small-scale for our uses here - a generic convention of theses is that they primarily use words that already exist - and these subjects deal with linguistic elements below the word level. Composing with these could result in words that have not existed before, which would make comprehension of the thesis difficult. Similarly, we can ignore "pragmatics", as this applies to spoken language.

This leaves us with semantics and syntax as compositional variables. According to *Linguistics for Dummies*, semantics is "the study of how humans search for and find meaning in language" (Burton, Déchaine, and Vatikiotis-Bateson 2012, 117). In a PhD thesis, this could be the ideas which are to be communicated, i.e. in this thesis, the semantics of this chapter have dealt with quantization.

The book defines syntax with the following description: "You know how to build sentences because you’ve subconsciously learned a powerful set of rules and patterns for combining words. Linguists call this set of rules and patterns syntax. People who study syntax, syntacticians, try to figure out exactly what these rules and patterns for sentence-creation are." (Burton, Déchaine, and Vatikiotis-Bateson 2012, 95)

What are the syntactical possibilities for sentence building? Let us supplement this readymade with another one from *Introducing Language in Use* (Merrison et al. 2005), shown in Figure 1.33. This Figure provides a syntactic 'Hierarchy of Rank' for language, showing how linguistic elements relate to each other hierarchically. Again, if we discard the phoneme and morpheme categories, we then have words, phrases, clauses, and sentences (to which I would also add paragraphs) as compositional variables, all of which then combine to form the text at the highest level. These variables could be manipulated independently of each other, similarly to how independent variables were controlled in *Almost Every*.

Given this consideration of possible compositional variables when writing a PhD thesis, we can list the parameters used for composing the Conclusion of this thesis:

1. Semantics
2. Syntax
   a) Words  b) Phrases  c) Clauses  d) Sentences  e) Paragraphs
3. Design
   a) Page-layout  b) Font

The rest of this thesis will explicate the processes by which these different variables can be composed and how this is implemented in the Conclusion. So, let’s start with semantics. How do you compose the meaning of a PhD Thesis?

---

48 This sentence is so heavily hedged that it hurts.
49 Yes, I know this thesis has already given you a sentence which included the phrase "hamletted, by pubnames everphotocopied to blackstreaks swinging against that greyagain (everpresent)", but in that excerpt I’m combining existing words together to create compound nouns, similar to what you see in German or late (Dr Sax-era) Kerouac - so I’m combining existing vocabulary to imply a meaning from two words which already have their own existing meanings, rather than creating a new word from the phoneme-level up, which arrives *zonder* meaning as it has never been used before.
Chapter 1 Bibliography


Chapter 2

Divination

*Hominem Unius Libri Timeo.*
'I fear the man of a single book.'
- Thomas Aquinas

The PhD is awarded to a candidate who, having critically investigated and evaluated an approved topic resulting in an independent and original contribution to knowledge and demonstrated an understanding of research methods appropriate to the chosen field, has presented and defended the work by oral examination, to the satisfaction of the examiners.
- University of Huddersfield Regulations for Awards (Twenty-Second Revision) (Huddersfield 2016, 76)

And I've seen the phrase before, so many times, all hospitalcornertucked neatly into sentences of comma-shy psuedo-legalese, and thought I was as inured to its weirdness as *Call Of Duty* -players to their screens of bloodspattered havoc. Yet it struck me suddenly today with all its strangeness, like the panic of disconnection felt by returning exiles - like repeating a word overandover until it joins dogbarks and birdsquawks in its alienness: "an original contribution to knowledge".

And, in reading, I drifted back to an article by the philosopher Ray Brassier on free improvisation, in which he claims that before we can understand what free improvisation is, we must first define what it means to be free, in the broadest possible sense of the word (Brassier 2014, 2).

And so, in mirrorssympathy, I claim that before we can understand what an 'original contribution to knowledge' is, we must first answer the questions of what knowledge is, and how it is contributed to.

I always say that the only difference between naivety and stupidity is that the former is endearing, and there is a similar fineline between confidence and hubris. So, this chapter won't solve epistemology but it can hopefully point out where practical composition techniques can be used to do PhD research in a way that improves the quality of a thesis.

2.1 In Praise of Love

From the moment I read the *Unabomber Manifesto*, I knew I wanted to be a writer. I love writing, but this document isn’t just for my amusement; I am using it to gain a PhD qualification.

To attain the qualification of PhD is to become a ‘Doctor of Philosophy’.

The word 'philosophy' derives from the Greek *philosophia* or 'love of wisdom/knowledge' (philos=love, sophos=wisdom/knowledge) ([Concise Oxford English Dictionary](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Concise_Oxford_English_Dictionary) 2009, 1077). To become a ‘Doctor of Philosophy’, then, is to become someone in love with knowledge.

This document needs to somehow communicate that love of knowledge. But how can I fall in love with knowledge? To become in love, I must first fall in love. How, then, can I fall in love?

In his book *In Praise of Love* (2012), the philosopher Alain Badiou explains that love is something which occurs from the intrusion of chance and randomness into the everyday. He calls this an encounter, and this is where love begins, in this random strangeness trespassing into our lives:

As to the ‘original’ part of 'an original contribution’, you can read the writings of others on the subject, I would only end up copying them...
Love always starts with an encounter. And I would give this encounter the quasi-
metaphysical status of an event, namely of something that doesn’t enter into the immediate
order of things. (Badiou 2012, 28)

Randomness and chance are important in enabling the encounter and its "wholly contingent, random
character" to disturb the existing set of circumstances. As Badiou says, "These really are games of love
and chance." (Badiou 2012, 41).

From the encounter, love then goes through a process of change: "love encompasses the possible
transition from the pure randomness of chance to a state that has universal value." (Badiou 2012, 16),
namely that chance "must turn into a process that can last. This is a very difficult almost metaphysical
problem: how can what is pure chance at the outset become the fulcrum for a construction of truth?"
(Badiou 2012, 41).

This transition occurs through a declaration of love: "To make a declaration of love is to move on from
the event-encounter to embark on a construction of truth" (Badiou 2012, 42), "[a] declaration of the 'I
love you' kind seals the act of the encounter, is central and constitutes a commitment" (Badiou 2012, 35).

To fall in love, then, there must be an encounter and an encounter is random and contingent. Perhaps
this is the first step towards falling in love with knowledge, to introduce randomness and chance? And
then to transform this encounter into a construction of truth. In research, a Reference List is a declaration
of love for knowledge.

And the randomness of divination can be a means of generating the encounter itself...

2.2 John Cage at UC Davis

And here we find our Exhibit A, the country still moonlanding-fresh as John Cage began as artist-in-
residence at the University of California at Davis in the Autumn of 1969 (Nicholls 2007, 84). He ran a
class, entitled Music in Dialogue (course number 198), ostensibly set up to explore "inter-relations among
the arts" (Revill 1992, 231). But attendance swelled (as it is wont to do when you state you will award
all participants A grades because you are "opposed to the grading in schools" (Kostelanetz 1988, 251)).
With class greatly enlarged, he scrapped his original idea of exploring the connections between music
and mycology, and Erik Satie’s furniture music "in favor of finding what a large group could efficiently
accomplish" (Dinwiddie 2011, 235). So instead of the most Cageian of lectures, the course became an
experimental group-learning workshop which re-purposed the chance procedures he had been using for
the composition of music to generate new knowledge:

Cage’s class was anarchic: 120 students, divided into fourteen smaller groups of flexible
membership, read 120 different selections from books in the university library (these chosen
by means of the I Ching) and then discussed and acted upon their readings in any way they
chose (the results included recipes, poetry, compositions, a film and a chain letter).
(Pritchett 1996 158)

Here then, we have an encounter in the field of knowledge - randomness intruding into the normal
order of the classroom, Cage as ignorant schoolmaster: not teacher but student, him claiming that the
course has "as its hypothesis that we didn’t know what we were going to study and that we wouldn’t
divide ourselves into students and non-students: all of us, including myself were students" (Cage 1976,
89).

2.3 Epistemology

2.3.1 Epistemological Constructivism

There are some things you can’t unread, that grow like fungus in the brain. For some its Deleuze, whose
writings should be studied as virology rather than philosophy, so infectious are they; for me it’s Baumol
and Bowen’s Performing Arts: The Economic Dilemma; for Cage it’s Richard Buckminster Fuller, and
Marshall McLuhan amongst others. He quotes McLuhan, from The Agenbite of Outwit (McLuhan, n.d.).
in describing his UC Davis class, stating that when each of the groups met to exchange information on what had been read "[i]t was a technique fulfilling McLuhan’s wish. He considers that our work must henceforth consist in brushing information against information." (Cage 1976, 89). This seems to describe a type of epistemology known as constructivism (or constructionism in the field of social psychology, where an emphasis is placed on the sociological/psychological, rather than the pure epistemological perspective (Burr 2003, 2)). Constructivism proposes that 'all knowledge is constructed and that the instruments of construction include cognitive structures that are either innate [as in Chomsky] or are themselves products of developmental construction [as in Piaget]." (Noddings 1995, 7). I will be using the terms constructivism and constructionism interchangeably, as my interest is in their common ground - namely:

... that "reality" is ultimately noumenal - that is, it lies beyond the reach of our most ambitious theories, whether personal or scientific, forever denying us as human beings the security of justifying our beliefs, faiths, and ideologies by simple recourse to 'objective circumstances' outside ourselves. Instead, the hard-won organization that we impose on the world of our experience is a precariously human construction, supported by our private and shared quests for a modicum of order and predictability in our lives as well as by our need to find some grounding for our actions. (Neimeyer 1995, 3)

Constructivism does not locate the generation of knowledge in the positivist, scientific or empiricist views of science, which assume that the nature of the world can be revealed by observation. In fact, it warns us "to be critical of the idea that our observations of the world unproblematically yield its nature to us, to challenge the view that conventional knowledge is based upon objective, unbiased observation of the world." (Burr 2003, 3). Instead, it sees knowledge as being constructed in the interactions between people:

... If our knowledge of the world, our common ways of understanding it, is not derived from the nature of the world as it really is, where does it come from? The social constructionist answer is that people construct it between them. It is through the daily interactions between people in the course of social life that our versions of knowledge become fabricated. (Burr 2003, 4)

2.3.2 Knowledging

Knowledge is fabricated. It is made. Not found or discovered.

Constructivism defines knowledge not as a thing that you go out and find, but as process, an activity, a verb. Yet the word 'constructivism' is itself a noun, reifying the very thing it seeks to argue is an activity (this is a process rife in academic writing, known as nominalization, in which verbs are converted into nouns (Sword 2012)). In order to combat this linguistic hypocrisy, I would like to propose a new piece of vocabulary, inspired by the musicological literature. In his 1998 book, the musicologist Christopher Small tries to combat a similar nominalization process that has occurred with the word "music", stating that '[m]usic is not a thing at all but an activity, something that people do. The apparent thing 'music' is a figment, an abstraction to the action, whose reality vanishes as soon as we examine it at all closely.' (Small 1998, 2) This approach mirrors that of the constructivist stance towards the abstraction of "knowledge". To get around this problem, and reorient discussion towards music as an activity, Small de-nominalizes the noun "music" to create the term musicking.

So far as I know, the word musicking does not appear in any English dictionary, but it is too useful a conceptual tool to lie unused. It is the present participle, or gerund, of the verb to music. This verb does have an obscure existence in some larger dictionaries, but its potential goes unexploited because when it does appear it is used to mean roughly the same as 'to perform' or 'to make music' - a meaning that is already well covered by those two words. I have larger ambitions for this neglected verb.

I have proposed this definition: To music, is to take part, in any capacity in a musical performance, whether by performing, by listening, by rehearsing or practicing, by providing material for performance (what is called composing), or by dancing. (Small 1998, 9)
Small allows this re-orientation and change of perspective and focus through de-abstracting a term and de-nominalizing it. This allows focus on the activity rather than the result.

Taking Small’s musicological de-nominalization as inspiration, I would like to propose a new piece of terminology for the field of epistemology: *knowledging*. From the viewpoint of an epistemological constructivist, there are many benefits to using this term over "knowledge". As "knowledge" is a noun, it immediately implies a concreteness and fixity which constructivism negates, and, by transforming it into a verb, it instead re-defines knowledge as a process and an activity, divorced from ideas of an unchanging "truth" which are embedded in the concreteness of its nominalized form.

I propose this definition: *To knowledging, is to take part, in any capacity in the generation of knowledge, whether by reading, by writing, by musicking, or by any other verb.*

Cage’s use of chance procedures upon the library can then be seen as a specific type of knowledging using chance to generate the *encounter*.

### 2.3.3 Knowledging Through Chance

Discovery in science happens in many ways. Introductory biology courses can give the impression that a scientist goes into the lab every morning as if it were a 9-to-5 job, armed with a "to do" list for the day - observations, hypothesis, experiment, results, and conclusions. But as anyone who has explored nature knows, science rarely, if ever, proceeds by a process as rigid and ordered as "the" scientific method. Hunches, intuition, gut feelings, and sheer luck have as much to do with discovery as careful planning of experiments.

(Lewis 2009, 2)

And maybe it was the lies we were told in school, inbetween forests of lit bunsenburners flickering like the tops of black turrets littered across an oilfield, dulereflected off that eversticky oldvarnish, canyon-carved with deskgraffiti from compasses now pencilcasestuffed … or maybe it was the endlesscycle of celebrity atheists - every second somewhere in the world another enters or exits another auditorium of endlessslapping, like Indiana Jones and father chair-tied, endlessly spinning in the fireplace … or maybe it was an easyfill for the hole of everemptiness … I don’t know, but at some point we started believing the myths about how science works.

And this infected our ideas about what research in the arts is or should be, like John Croft oldman-cloudshouting in his *Composition is not Research* article (see (Pocknee 2015b)). But some of our greatest discoveries happen by chance.

The history of science and technology abounds in examples of innovative ideas, which seem attributable, above all, to chance. Well known examples are the pneumatic tyre and the carburettor. In Dunlop’s time, bicycles were called ‘boneshakers’ because of their solid tyres. When Dunlop was watering the garden, he realized that the garden hose offered resistance when pressed; the idea for the pneumatic tyre was born. Durea got the idea of the carburettor from the perfume vaporizer, The Velcro case is a more recent example. The Swiss George de Mistral was amazed to find that the seeds of the burdock root had attached themselves so strongly to his dog’s coat and thus he got the idea for Velcro.

(Roozenburg and Ekels 1995, 187)

The process of discovery in the above examples could be described as *bisociative* thinking. *Bisciation* is a term coined by Arthur Koestler in his book *The Act of Creation* (1964). Bisociative thinking is the opposite of associative thinking: "Informally, bisociation can be defined as (sets of) concepts that bridge two otherwise not - or only very sparsely - connected domains[,] whereas an association bridges concepts within a given domain." (Berthold 2012, 2) In other words, in the examples above, one idea (gardening/perfume/dog-walking) is connected to another unrelated idea (bicycle engineering/auto mechanics/adhesives) to create something new (pneumatic tyre/carburettor/Velcro).

---

2 This definition may seem recursive or circular, as it defines knowledging in reference to knowledge, yet does not define knowledge itself, but this is because I do not wish to define knowledge - that is a job for real philosophers. I believe that whether reality is *noumenal* or not is ultimately a matter of faith and unprovable, and so this question is deliberately avoided. Instead, the re-orientation of the discussion from the thing itself (knowledge) to the activity (knowledging) is done for practical reasons, explained later.
Importantly, the process of bisociation is often grounded in an *encounter*, in which the two planes of knowledge collide, and that this encounter is grounded in randomness and chance, a moment when "two trains of thought, each of which is independently sensible, collide in a way which jars or surprises our normal powers of association" (Baxter 1995: 51). Randomness is a powerful tool for generating bisociative knowledging.

Although not connected to Koestler’s ideas, which occurred later, Cage’s 1969 class created a situation ripe for bisociative thinking, with an eyewitness describing that the books randomly selected "included such subjects as firefighting techniques, Pakistani agrarian economics and Islamic art" (Dinwiddie 2011: 235) the type of disparate, unconnected subjects that would be conducive to bisociation.

Bisociation itself is *not* about chance or randomness, although these may be used as techniques for generating a situation conducive to bisociative thinking. Instead, "[b]isociative thinking occurs when a problem, idea, event or situation is perceived in two or more 'matrices of thought' or domains . . . it is the ability to perceive something from two unrelated knowledge bases. When two habitually independent matrices of perception or reasoning interact with each other, the result is either a *collision* ending in laughter, their *fusion* in a novel intellectual synthesis, or their *confrontation* in a new aesthetic experience." (Dubitzky et al. 2012: 13-18)

2.3.4 Non-Chance Bisociation

\[\begin{align*}
\text{...we that are young} \\
\text{Shall never see so much, nor live so long.} \\
\text{*King Lear* (Act V, Scene III)}
\end{align*}\]

While chance can be used to create bisociative situations, as in the Cage example, it can also occur through the hard work of imaginative thinking.

The most amazing thing about Deleuze and Guattari’s *A Thousand Plateaus* (2004) is not the text itself, but the endnotes and bibliography.

There is perhaps no better modern example of the possibilities of knowledging - of epistemological constructivism - than this work. As I have mentioned elsewhere, Deleuze & Guattari’s writing involves a synthesis of extremely disparate pieces of knowledge to draw out larger conceptual themes:

\[\begin{align*}
\text{... when Deleuze and Guattari propose their idea of the 'smooth' and the 'striated' in the fourteenth chapter of *A Thousand Plateaus* (Deleuze & Guattari, 2004, pp. 523-551), they explain it through analogies to Seventeenth-Century quilt making (pp. 525-526), Boulez’s music (pp. 527-528), nautical navigation (pp. 528-530), Riemannian topology (pp. 532-5537), the division of time under capital (pp. 541-542), and nomadic art (pp. 551). (Pocknee 2015b: 9)}
\end{align*}\]

There seems nothing implicit in these subjects which warrants their being placed together. Instead, we see two formidable and voracious intellects using these disparate ideas to synthesize new knowledge. They are "brushing information against information", creating *encounters*.

Similarly, the following example from the field of chemistry shows the solving of a problem not through a thinker’s confrontation with a new chance-generated experience but from the synthesis of their own existing knowledge:

Harry Kroto, a professor from Sussex University, and his co-workers Bob Curl and Richard Smalley from Rice University in Texas, puzzled at length over how to arrange 60 carbon molecules into a uniquely stable shape. The breakthrough refused to come, until Kroto’s thoughts drifted back to the famous geodesic dome that Buckminster Fuller had designed for EXPO ’67 in Montreal. Kroto also remembered a three-dimensional map of the stars he had made years ago for his children. Both the dome and the map had been made up of pentagons and hexagons . . .

(Baxter 1995: 66)
Or, more musically, we could think of how the theorist Johannes Tinctoris’s ideas on rhythmic notation in his Fifteenth-Century treatises *Expositio manus* and *Proportionale musices* were derived from contemporary changes in accounting practices rather than musical ideas (Higgins 2009, 115).

Deleuze and Guattari are much quoted in the arts. However, the main mistake writers make is in adopting the style and substance of Deleuze and Guattari’s writing rather than the way in which it is made. In other words, they take the knowledge but not the knowledging. *A Thousand Plateaus* contains a series of all-encompassing conceptual frameworks which are illustrated using an enormous and eclectic range of resources, leading me to wonder "how interesting is it to claim that your work has parallels with Delueze and Guattari’s idea of ‘the smooth and the striated’ when it is patently obvious that this theory can be used to encompass anything, given the enormous diversity of references that are used when illustrating it in the philosophy itself[?]" (Pocknee 2015b, 9).

Deleuze wasn’t the worst thing to happen to the Arts. The Arts were the worst thing to happen to Deleuze (Pocknee 2016).

So how can I copy what Deleuze and Guattari have done to create my own encounters? The main problem is that, as the quotation at the start of this section indicates, it is impossible for someone of my age (31) to have read the same amount of books as two people in their fifties.

But, perhaps I can adapt the type of knowledging Cage used at UC Davis to generate a similar breadth of references ready to be synthesized, whilst avoiding the problem of trying to write anything as erudite and wide-ranging as the much older and wiser Deleuze and Guattari. Perhaps Cage-ian knowledging can fabricate the same level of diversity needed for bisociation without having seen so much, nor lived so long.

### 2.3.5 Intellectual Singularities and Compactness

And I can remember the moment I knew I was fucked.

You can tell a lot about a person from looking at the books on their bookcase. And going through their bins. And I was stood, headtilted, eyes drifting over the cracked spines, shifting to unblind yellow bulbblight shatterfracted from the titles of the newer additions, in the lounge of a friend. And it hit me: all of the books on the bookcase were ones I already owned or was thinking about buying. And this was years before, before the thinkpieces about our "bubbles", before we noticed political discourse had become the endlessewing of wrestling. Me and my friend had reached what I call an *intellectual singularity*, the point at which a social group finds the loci of its intellectual horizons circumscribed by the same forms of thought and intellectual reference points. So, go to a friend’s house, look at their books and films: the number you have in common is a function of your nearness to an intellectual singularity.

Intellectual singularities are not conducive to bisociative thinking. Intellectual singularities are filled with redundancies. And we could think of of Cage’s claim that he dropped out of college because it was a "waste of people” for everybody in a class to read the same book, rather than one person reading it and sharing their insights (Kostelanetz 1988, 252). We could calculate these redundancies through using graph-theory to model a network of information and assess its compactness. As a simple example, Parise, Whelan and Todd (2015) ran an experiment to try and correlate the diversity of a person’s twitter network with their ability to generate innovative ideas, using a compactness ratio to measure the degree to which people in the network are connected to each other. They concluded that cohesive networks with a high compactness ratio (i.e. those with lots of interconnected nodes) "provide more redundant information, which our research shows is negatively correlated with ideation" (Parise, Whelan, and Todd 2015, 22) (see Figure 2.2). In other words, if all of the people in a twitter network are connected to each, innovative ideas are less likely to occur.

Bisociation, then, could also be seen as a function of the compactness of a network of ideas. The bisociation between two matrices of thought can only exist if there is some lack of connection between the two domains. Bisociation can be seen as a *bridging* between graphs (see Figure 2.1 and (Kötter and Berthold 2012) for more details).

---

3See Rule and Levine’s paper on *International Art English* (Rule and Levine 2013).

4 "Philosophy is for the artist, especially for some painters nowadays, much as the Bible is to the minister, which is to say a respectable source that can be used to justify anything." - Barnett Newman (quoted in (Kostelanetz 1993))
2.3.6 Normal/Extraordinary Science, Vertical/Lateral Thinking, Arrière/Avant-garde

But Bisociation by any other name would smell as sweet. The philosopher of science, Thomas Kuhn, identifies a similar association/bisociation duality in the difference between *normal science* and *extraordinary science*. In *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions* (1996), Kuhn defines normal science in a way that seems to imply its associative nature, seeing it as the science which most scientists are doing day-to-day, in contrast to extraordinary science which is an infrequent, paradigm-shifting science that fundamentally changes the core principles of the field.

Normal science 'research is firmly based upon one or more past scientific achievements, achievements that some particular scientific community acknowledges for a time as supplying the foundation for its further practice.' (Kuhn [1996] 10)

No part of the aim of normal science is to call forth new sorts of phenomena; indeed those that will not fit the box [of the existing paradigm] are often not seen at all. Nor do scientists normally aim to invent new theories, and they are often intolerant of those invented by others. Instead normal-scientific research is directed to the articulation of those phenomena and theories that the paradigm already supplies.

(Kuhn [1996] 24)

Normal science, then has the primary function of strengthening existing causal links between elements in a network, rather than creating new networks of causality. We can see it as what Kuhn calls the 'mopping-up work' that helps legitimate the existing paradigm, attempting to "force nature into the preformed and relatively inflexible box that the paradigm supplies." (Kuhn [1996] 24). It is science that treads the road most travelled.

In opposition to this, extraordinary science is that which involves the creation of new theories and the creation of a new paradigm, when 'an anomaly comes to seem more than just another puzzle of normal science, the transition to crisis and to extraordinary science has begun.' (Kuhn [1996] 82).

The following is a modified version of the argument found in (Pocknee 2015b).
Figure 2.2: ‘In the diagrams, circles represent Twitter users, and an arrow from one user to another indicates that the first user is following the second user on Twitter. Even though both employees A and B follow approximately the same number of Twitter accounts, A’s network is far more diverse than B’s. That is to say, the people whom employee A follows on Twitter are, for the most part, not following each other. We can determine this level of diversity mathematically by using the compactness ratio, which measures the degree to which people in the network are connected to each other. For employee A, the network’s compactness ratio is quite low, at 18%. Our research found that loose Twitter networks, such as employee A’s, are better for ideation, because the potential for accessing a divergent set of ideas is greater. By contrast, employee B’s Twitter network is compact: People in employee B’s network mostly follow each other, resulting in a compactness ratio of 82%. Such cohesive networks provide more redundant information, which our research shows is negatively correlated with ideation.’ (Parise, Whelan, and Todd 2015, 22)
So, Kuhn contrasts the associative path-following nature of normal science to the pioneering bisociative spirit of extraordinary science, although he does not frame them in Koestler’s terms. Similarly, we can think of bisociation as being a paradigm-shifting way of thinking, whereas associative thinking entrenches existing paradigms.

But extraordinary science by any other name would smell as sweet. The philosopher Edward de Bono proposed a vertical and lateral thinking duality that almost exactly mirrors Koestler’s association/bisociation one. One sees in de Bono’s concept of lateral thinking a similar idea of linking together previously unconnected ideas, stating that “[v]ertical thinking follows the most likely paths, lateral thinking explores the least likely” (Bono 1970, 42).

But lateral thinking by any other name would smell as sweet, and the progressive and regressive elements seen in Kosetler’s, Kuhn’s, and de Bono’s typologies can also be found in the avant-garde of Henri Saint-Simon, and William Marx’s arrière-garde. Avant-gardism is a paradigm-shifting form of knowing similar to that found in extraordinary science, while arrière-gardism reinforces and attempts to legitimate an existing paradigm.

I use avant-garde in its original meaning, as coined by the Saint-Simonians in France in the beginning of the 19th Century. The term is used there with military connotations, referring to the group of troops in an army who were first in to battle, the “advanced guard” (Saint-Simon 1999, 120-121). It was first applied to artists in the essay Opinions litteraires, philosophiques et industrielles (1825) published by the circle of writers centring around the French socialist Henri Saint-Simon. In the article, it was claimed that artists, along with scientists and industrialists were the social groups who would drive society forward:

> Where originally, under his earlier, more mechanistic philosophy, he had limited the role of artists merely to the positivistic end of popularizing ideas introduced by the scientists, now . . . he places artists at the head of an administrative elite trinity consisting of artists, scientists, and industrial-artisans. In so doing, he gave rise to the conceptions both of an artistic avant-garde and of a social vanguard.
> (Egbert 1970, 121)

Even if we just take the term “avant-garde” with its popular colloquial connotations, a clear parallel can be drawn between the normal/extraordinary science divide and that of the relationship between the avant-garde and its opposite, the arrière-garde:

> As William Marx makes clear in the introduction to Les arrière-gardes au xxe siècle, the concept of the avant-garde is inconceivable without its opposite. In military terms, the rear guard of the army is the part that protects and consolidates the troop movement in question; often the army’s best generals are placed there. When an avant-garde movement is no longer a novelty, it is the role of the arrière-garde to complete its mission, to ensure its success.
> (Perloff 2010, 53)

The progressive, paradigm-shifting nature of avant-gardism and the consolidatory aspects of arrière-gardism parallel the extraordinary/normal science duality.

### 2.3.7 Irrationality, Acausality and Epistemological Anarchism

When I was younger, I had an imaginary friend. Then I imagined the North Sea. And he drowned.

The joke above might provoke laughter. It is a bisociative collision of ideas, an acausal juxtaposition of two incompatible realities. Koestler saw bisociation as a key element in humour, as well as creativity.

Acausality and irrationality lies at the heart of bisociative thinking. We don’t follow the obvious lines of connections in a network, we create new ones that branch across them. Bisociation is often acausal, undermining previously existing causal connections. Edward de Bono understood the often acausal and irrational nature of lateral thinking:

> Lateral thinking is quite distinct from vertical thinking which is the traditional type of thinking. In vertical thinking one moves forward by sequential steps each of which must be
justified. The distinction between the two sorts of thinking is sharp. For instance in lateral thinking one uses information not for its own sake but for its effect. In lateral thinking one may have to be wrong at some stage in order to achieve a correct solution; in vertical thinking (logic or mathematics) this would be impossible. In lateral thinking one may deliberately seek out irrelevant information; in vertical thinking one selects out only what is relevant.

(Bono [1970] 11)

Cage’s knowing involved the seeking out of irrelevant information by using chance procedures to navigate the library . . . And what could be more irrelevant to 20th Century philosophers like Deleuze or Guattari than Seventeenth Century quilt-making? Shouldn’t they be reading Kant? Instead, A Thousand Plateaus frequently exhibits a lateral/bisociative/extraordinary science approach to knowing.

But lateral thinking by any other name would smell as sweet. The philosopher Paul Feyerabend argued against the view of the scientific method as a set of universally binding methodological rules, and instead proposed an approach premised upon the idea that the best methodology is to avoid developing a single position (Oberheim [2006] 220).

John Cage was famously an anarchist, a political view espoused most clearly in Anarchy, a book-length lecture of twenty mesostic poems based on the writings of anarchist thinkers (Cage 2001). It seems appropriate, then, to see Cage’s knowing as a form of Epistemological Anarchism, a term coined by Paul Feyerabend in his book Against Method (1984). Feyerabend sought to show that ‘famous episodes in science that are admired by scientists, philosophers and the common folk alike were not ‘rational’, they did not occur in a ‘rational’ manner, ‘reason’ was not the motivating force behind them, and they were not judged ‘rationally’” (Feyerabend [1984] 13). In fact, Feyerabend goes further, not only proposing a new style of epistemology but actively dismantling the idea of the scientific method as a myth, claiming that:

The idea of a method that contains firm, unchanging, and absolutely binding principles for conducting the business of science meets considerable difficulty when confronted with the results of historical research. We find, then, that there is not a single rule, however plausible, and however firmly grounded in epistemology, that is not violated at some time or other. It becomes evident that such violations are not accidental events, they are not results of insufficient knowledge or of inattention which might have been avoided. On the contrary, we see that they are necessary for progress. Indeed, one of the most striking features of recent discussions in the history and philosophy of science is the realization that events and developments, such as the invention of atomism in antiquity, the Copernican Revolution, the rise of modern atomism (kinetic theory; dispersion theory; stereochemistry; quantum theory), the gradual emergence of the wave theory of light, occurred only because some thinkers either decided not to be bound be certain ‘obvious’ methodological rules, or because they unwittingly broke them.

(Feyerabend [2010] 7)

While Feyerabend did not share Cage’s political convictions, stating that “anarchism, while not the most attractive political philosophy, is certainly excellent medicine for epistemology, and for the philosophy of science” (Feyerabend [1984] 1), anarchism’s principles of a non-hierarchical system formed the basis for his conception of epistemological anarchism, first articulated in Chapter 16 of Against Method. In anarchy, Feyerabend saw a mirror of the scientific process, proclaiming that “[s]cience is an essentially anarchic enterprise: theoretical anarchism is much more humanitarian and more likely to encourage progress than its law-and-order alternatives” (Feyerabend [2010] 1), and most famously “if a rationalist were looking for a single, overarching, and unanimously adhered-to methodology that led scientific practice, they would find only one: ‘anything goes’” (Feyerabend [2010] 7).

Given that the idea of epistemological anarchism idea exists only in the first edition of his book and was excised from all three subsequent editions, I quote Feyerabend’s description here almost in its entirety.

---

6This section is adapted from (Pocknee 2015b).
7Hacking notes that “significant extemporizations of Feyerabend’s idea of Epistemological Anarchism (in Chapter 16 of Against Method) were excised from the second edition of the text (1988), as well as the removal of the subtitle “Outlines of an anarchistic theory of knowledge” (Hacking, I. in Feyerabend [2010] xiii), wondering perhaps this is due to Feyerabend’s
Table 2.1: Different types of knowledging

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Arthur Koestler</th>
<th>Bisociation</th>
<th>Association</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Edward de Bono</td>
<td>Lateral Thinking</td>
<td>Vertical Thinking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Feyerabend</td>
<td>Epistemological Anarchism</td>
<td>The Scientific Method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Kuhn</td>
<td>Extraordinary Science</td>
<td>Normal Science</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henri Saint-Simon/William Marx</td>
<td>Avant-garde</td>
<td>Arrière-garde</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Epistemological anarchism differs both from scepticism and from political (religious) anarchism. While the sceptic either regards every view as equally good, or as equally bad, or desists from making such judgements altogether, the epistemological anarchist has no compunction to defend the most trite, or the most outrageous statement. While the political or the religious anarchist wants to remove a certain form of life, the epistemological anarchist may want to defend it, for he has no everlasting loyalty to, and no everlasting aversion against, any institution or any ideology. Like the Dadaist, whom he resembles much more than he resembles the political anarchist, he ‘not only has no programme, [he is] against all programmes’ though he will on occasions be the most vociferous defender of the status quo, or of his opponents: ‘to be a true Dadaist, one must also be an anti-Dadaist’. His aims remain stable, or change as a result of argument, or of boredom, or of a conversion experience, or to impress a mistress, and so on. Given some aim, he may try to approach it with the help of organized groups, or alone; he may use reason, emotion, ridicule, an ‘attitude of serious concern’ and whatever other means have been invented by humans to get the better of their fellow men. His favourite pastime is to confuse rationalists by inventing compelling reasons for unreasonable doctrines. There is no view, however, ‘absurd’ or ‘immoral’, he refuses to consider or to act upon, and no method is regarded as indispensable. The one thing he opposes positively and absolutely are universal standards, universal laws, universal ideas such as ‘Truth’, ‘Reason’, ‘Justice’, ‘Love’ and the behaviour they bring along, though he does not deny that it is often good policy to act as if such laws (such standards, such ideas) existed, and as if he believed in them.

(Feyerabend [1984], 189-190)

The idea of epistemological anarchism arises directly out of the observation that the process of science and the creation of knowledge is not a "rational" endeavour and does not proceed by a singular methodology, yet it need not be seen, as it often is, as a nihilistic critique of scientific positivism (Feyerabend [1982]). Instead, we can use this as a blueprint for knowledging.

2.3.8 Typologies of Thinking

And yet, in the literature, we see a split overandover, between the ordered hypotheses-testing of the scientific method and something weirder, more random - but not an 80s revival of Snow’s Two Cultures or the Science Wars of Sokal, but a split in the very process of research itself, given as many names as the winged-one (see Table 2.1).

And perhaps even more could be added there, such as Swanson’s linking, based on "the assumption that new knowledge and insight may be discovered by connecting knowledge sources which are thought to be previously unrelated!", an approach which has led to the creation of the fields of literature-related discovery and conceptual biology (Dubitzky et al. [2012], 28).

Or perhaps we could see Cage not as an anarchist, but as a Bayesian, creating a type of work based on Bayesian Inference, in contrast to the 'classical' statistical model. A Bayesian model is "concerned with the consequences of modifying our previous beliefs as a result of receiving new data". Instead of the classical approach "which begins with a hypothesis test that proposes a specific value for an unknown

wish that "having read [Against Method] the reader will remember me as a flippant Dadaist and not as a serious anarchist." (Feyerabend [2010] xiv).

*My emphasis, see the entirety of this thesis.
Bayesian inference proposes a prior distribution (often simply called a prior), \( p(\theta) \), for this parameter" (Upton and Cook 2016). Perhaps one could read his experimental approach to composing as a type of aesthetic inference.

### 2.3.9 The Bisociative/Associative Handshake

As Kuhn describes, paradigm shifts occur via extraordinary science (which is of a bisociative nature) and involve a fundamentally re-thinking of the nature of the field. This is then followed by a consolidation procedure in which the new paradigm fights for supremacy and is eventually consolidated through the associative process of normal science. We could describe this changing over from one technique of knowing to another as a bisociative/associative handshake. I believe the process of PhD research can follow a similar path, first the utilization of chance and randomness to create an encounter which can be the site of bisociative thinking. This introduction of acausality and irrationality into the research process encourages the researcher to construct new linkages within the bisociation network with minimal redundancy. This is then transformed into a "construction of truth" not just through the declaration of love via a reference list, but also through the use of associative thinking to consolidate this randomness, acausality and irrationality using the causality and rationality of conventional research.

This 'handshake' provides the basis for the way in which the conclusion to this thesis is written - bisociation consolidated by association, both methods working in tandem. This is described further in Chapter 5.

### 2.3.10 Music Can Cure Cancer

The composer Andy Ingamells would, when I would become overly insistent on the importance of music during a conversation, would proclaim: ‘It’s only music, it’s not going to cure cancer!’

Well, I believe that music can cure cancer, so screw you, Andy.

Music need not vampirically leech off the hard work of other disciplines nor embrace scientism to shore up its declining relevance. Instead, it can adopt a bisociative/avant-garde/extraordinary science/lateral thinking/epistemological anarchistic approach. It can reduce its redundancy and become part of the bisociation networks of other disciplines. Music can be a type of knowing. It can generate new knowledge.

But what use can music be to the world? It can’t change it, only make pretty sounds and stuff. Well, consider the harmony search algorithm, a "metaheuristic population-based algorithm, mimicking the musical improvisation process where a group of musicians play the pitches of their musical instruments together seeking a pleasing harmony" (Al-Betar and Khader 2012, 3), and which has been in increasingly wide use since 2000 for tasks such as optimal timetable calculation for universities (Al-Betar and Khader 2012, 3), designs of municipal water distribution networks and pipe diameters, architectural structural design, soil stability, data clustering, transport and thermal/energy applications (Ingram and Zhang 2009) (see the book Music-Inspired Harmony Search Algorithm: Theory and Applications (Geem 2009)). But we can go further . . .

***

On 17 October 2025, a young cancer researcher named Toni Chaubekada went to a concert of experimental music. This in itself was not unusual. The first two works on the programme struggled to hold their attention; one took its inspiration from the physics of black holes, the other retrod musical and conceptual ideas that they’d seen hundreds of times before, and Toni found their attention wandering back to the lab they had just come from, where another day had been spent failing to successfully prevent the spread of cancer in lymph node cells.

Then the third piece started, and it was somewhere when the violins and plastic cups started interacting from across the room and a bone-shaking chord erupted from the ensemble, that suddenly the solution became clear - there, in the interactions between the players and that sound was the perfect mechanism for allowing those cells the protection that they needed . . .

(Droppe 2032, 88)
2.4 Hypotheses For An Original Contribution To Knowledge

The philosopher Timothy Morton wrote a set of helpful blogposts on being a PhD researcher ([http://ecologywithoutnature.blogspot.co.uk/p/phd-advice.html](http://ecologywithoutnature.blogspot.co.uk/p/phd-advice.html)) (Morton 2011). In the second of them he claims that the aim of a PhD is to transform you from a student into a world expert in something.

If you are a composer, when you start your PhD you are already a world expert in your own work, as you are the one who makes it and very few other people care. If, at the end of a PhD, you are still only a world expert in your own work, then doing the PhD seems like a waste of time.

But perhaps bisociation can help - we can use the ideas about knowledging outlined earlier to construct new knowledge and become a world expert in ideas that had not existed previously, rather than the usual approach of relying on associative knowledging procedures to link to concepts which already exist.

Given the preceding descriptions of bisociative knowledging procedures, we can now propose four hypotheses for the writing of a music composition PhD Thesis:

**Hypothesis 1** You can write a PhD thesis primarily using ideas from books/articles/references which are randomly chosen.

A PhD thesis in a creative field is a type of extraordinary science/avant-gardism and thus we can apply bisociative methods, which are better at generating new ideas than associative ones.

**Hypothesis 2** The resulting piece of writing will make as much sense as a conventionally-referenced PhD thesis.

Knowledge is constructed socially, culturally and psychologically, and the knowledge that can be created from two unrelated pieces of information is premised not on their difference but on the creativity of the mind synthesizing them.

**Hypothesis 3** If the corpus of books is large enough, it is highly likely that the random selection of references will result in juxtapositions (brushings) of information that have not occurred previously, thus creating a situation where an "original contribution to knowledge" is more likely than if using conventional research methodologies.

**Hypothesis 4** If the corpus of books contains an equal amount of men and women, it is statistically likely that the reference list of your thesis will be gender-balanced. If the corpus is not gender-balanced, constraints can be placed on the random procedures to ensure this occurs.

Associative knowledging is causal and logical and, therefore, can only propagate existing power structures as the validity of logical inferences is always legitimizined by these structures. As de Bono says "[w]ith lateral thinking one realizes that a pattern cannot be restructured from within itself but only as the result of some outside influence. So one welcomes outside influences for their provocative action. The more irrelevant such influences are the more chance there is of altering the established pattern. To look only for things that are relevant means perpetuating the current pattern." (Bono 1970, 41). Bisociative knowledging eschews causality, and thus allows a rupture with these constructions. Bisociative knowledging can provide an anarchistic denial of hierarchies of knowledge in the model of Paul Feyerabend’s epistemological anarchism.

But how might one put into practice the hypotheses proposed above? What random procedures might be used to encourage bisociative thinking and generate an event-encounter? A survey of Cage’s compositional methodologies points towards an answer - the use of divination techniques . . .

2.5 Types Of Divination

Divination is a set of techniques developed independently all over the world and at different periods that seek to reveal what is hidden, through irrational means (Karcher 1998 215). Divination seeks not necessarily to "reveal the future", but to add to our normal intelligence by showing "what spiritual forces are active in your present situation and how you can successfully interact with them . . . The aim of divination is not to reveal an implacable future, but to place you in the process of that future, to connect you with the flow of life, through its signs symbols and spirits." (Karcher 1997 10). It can fulfil
(a) The eight trigrams used in the *I Ching*. Two of these are combined to produce a hexagram.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Image</th>
<th>Family Relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ch’ien</td>
<td>the Creative</td>
<td>strong</td>
<td>heaven</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K’un</td>
<td>the Receptive</td>
<td>devoted</td>
<td>earth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ch’en</td>
<td>the Arouning</td>
<td>initiating</td>
<td>thunder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K’ai</td>
<td>the Abyssmal</td>
<td>dangerous</td>
<td>water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K’un</td>
<td>Keeping Still</td>
<td>restng</td>
<td>mountain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sun</td>
<td>the Gentle</td>
<td>penetrating</td>
<td>wind,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li</td>
<td>the Clinging</td>
<td>light-giving</td>
<td>fire,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tui</td>
<td>the Joyus</td>
<td>joyful</td>
<td>lake</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) Table of all 64 hexagrams, numbered.

Figure 2.3: Diagrams from the third edition of the Wilhelm/Baynes translation of the *I Ching* (Wilhelm 1968).

There are a number of different functions: 'It can give you information about the way your fate or inner spirit is moving, locate you in a process of transformation, give you 'signs of the time' that connect events in your life with events in the world.' (Karcher 1997, 10)

Divination appears in two different forms:

The world of divination can be divided into those procedures called 'oionistic', which are based on calm reflection, and those called 'mantic', requiring a passion, an ecstasy, to be "taken out of oneself". It was Plato who made this distinction. The oionistic procedures are like science itself; the astrologer or consulter of bones and entrails carefully investigates patterns. In contrast the shaman or prophet lets the gods speak through him or her, as unobstructed by personality as possible.

(Spiegelman 1998, 94)

Consultation of the *I Ching*, or Chinese *Book of Changes*, is an oionistic procedure. In a consultation, the randomness inherent in a coin flip or picking up of yarrow branches is used to give a numerical value which references a literal grid of 64 possible outcomes (see Figure 2.3b), defined by the combinatoric potential of the binary states of six broken or unbroken lines in each hexagram $2^6 = 64$.

One of the characteristically oionistic aspects of this process is the use of a highly ordered symbolic system (in this case the 64 hexagrams) (see Figure 2.3), which is then applied onto 'random' or 'chance' phenomena (the coin tosses), and meaning extracted from 'reading' the way in which this symbolic grid is activated (this is done through the use of the *Commentaries*). In other words, the combinatoric, grid structure of the *I Ching* provides the quantization procedure through which the chaos of the world is interpreted and made sense of. Jungian psychologist Marie von Franz describes this as the interaction between an 'order pattern' and a 'random pattern' (Franz 1980, 45).

In contrast, mantic divination does not feature an 'order pattern', instead using direct interpretation without the intermediary of a grid. von Franz does not use Plato/Spiegelman’s terminology of oionistic and mantic divination, but instead splits divinatory techniques into numerical and non-numerical types, although these categories are, in practice, equivalent to Spiegelman’s. For instance, here is her discussion of non-number divination techniques, which clearly correlates to mantic divination in Spiegelman’s typology:

There are innumerable divination techniques which to my mind are techniques to catalyze one’s own unconscious knowledge. These do not use number, but some chaotic pattern; still
much used among white men are tea leaves and coffee grounds, but you can use any other such pattern. . . .

. . . Almost all non-number divination techniques are based on some kind of chaotic pattern, which is exactly like the Rorscharch test. One stares at a chaotic pattern and then gets a fantasy, and the complete disorder in the pattern confuses one’s conscious mind. (Franz 1980: 38-39)

Despite the lack of agreement over the names to be given to these two types of divinatory procedures, the descriptions by these different authors clearly point to the same structural differences in the techniques. Given the lack of consensus over nomenclature how rarely both typologies are used outside the two author’s writings, and the orientation of this thesis, I shall from now on use the term quantized to refer to oniostic/numerical techniques in which a 'grid' or order-pattern is imposed over randomness, and unquantized to refer to mantic/non-numerical techniques in which no grid is involved and the interpretation comes unmediated from the interpreter.

2.6 Cage and Quantized Divination (Oniostic/Numerical)

And need we more hagiographic pages of Cage-praise? More trees to the altar please! Sacrificed for his chance methods, so overinkprinted. But I aim not for gag-inducing sycophancy, but to ground his work in those ancienthistories of divination in a connection much undertalked.

Cage had used randomness and chance in compositions since the early 1950s in works such as Imaginary Landscape No. 4 (1951) and Music Of Changes (1951-52). The way he generated chance in these works was through a divinatory procedure using the Chinese oracle, the I Ching or Book of Changes, a technique which, according to Pritchett and Dinwiddie’s descriptions, was also used for his random book selections at UC Davis (Pritchett 1996).

While Cage’s use of the I Ching oracle clearly divinatory, much of his other work often relied on procedures which mimicked (intentionally or not) types of divination. Some of the earliest examples are the Sixteen Dances (1951) and Concerto for Prepared Piano and Orchestra (1951) in which Magic Squares were used; these squares were also used for divination in China, where they could be used as ‘talismans that could attract and focus the energy of specific gods.’ (Karcher 1997: 116)

For Music of Changes not only was the I Ching consulted, but the Tarot was dealt to determine durations, leaving Revill to remark ‘It is interesting to note that Cage used another oracular resource rather than a secular pack of cards. He never used the Tarot again, however . . . ’ (Revill 1992: 134)

His works Atlas Eclipticalis (1961), Etudes Australes (1974-75) Etudes Borealis (1978-79) and Music for Carillon No. 4 (1961) all use star maps to choose their notes and mirror astrological consultations of the heavens, a connection which is further reinforced by the fact that Cage consulted astrologer called Julie Winter (this is mentioned briefly in a discussion about macrobiotic food in (Kostelanetz 1988: 28-29)). Perhaps more tenuously, the process behind his Music for Piano (1952-56) series, in which random imperfections in the paper are transformed into notes is similar to the process of oracular geomancy, a system which consists of 16 signs that were traditionally produced by making and counting random marks made with a wooden rod in a box filled with earth or sand, but are now commonly made upon a piece of paper (Karcher 1997: 118-122).

However, Cage’s use of the I Ching was not as an oracle, but as an extremely inefficient random number generator using the numerical values of the hexagrams but none of the interpretive information

---

9I have also seen the following description for these two types: 'Analytical thinkers have tended to break divination into two categories, ecstatic and inductive, or inner vision and outer thinking.' (Karcher 1997: 16) One could also think of how this might mirror the Apollonian/Dionysian division embodied, perhaps by Cage and his bête noire Jackson Pollock - ‘Cage, argues [Earle] Brown is the Apollonian par excellence’ (Revill 1992: 171); or that between what Cage refers to as ‘Chance operations’ vs ‘Indeterminacy’ (Revill 1992: 74).

10The most famous of these is the Lo Shou 3x3 arrangement (4 9 / 3 5 7 / 8 1 6) (Franz 1980).

11Cage’s description of his coin flipping procedure in Silence indicates that he followed the traditional way of consulting the oracle, which requires 3 coin flips per line, resulting in 18 flips per six-lined hexagram. However, this is only mathematically necessary if you are using the movable lines, which he was not. Without the movable lines, an I Ching hexagram is just an alternative representation of a binary string, meaning that you could generate a number between 1 and 64 by flipping one coin per line, reducing the work by a factor of 3 to only 6 flips per hexagram.
contained in the commentaries that would make them meaningful - a bit like going to a doctor and only
taking the prescription without listening to their diagnosis. And then using the prescription slip as
wallpaper:

[INTERVIEWER:] You use the I Ching to make choices in composition. Is this use of it separate from the book’s guiding or spiritual purposes?

[CAGE:] Yes. It’s not entirely separate from it, but I don’t make use of the wisdom aspects in the writing of music or the writing of texts. I use it simply as a kind of computer, as a facility. If I have some question that requires a wise answer, then of course I use it that way. On occasion I do. But if I want to know which sound of one hundred sounds I’m to use, then I use it just as a computer.

[INTERVIEWER:] Is this considered an improper use of the book?

[CAGE:] By some people, I think, who are superstitious about it.

- (Kostelanetz 1988 219)

In fact, Cage went against the traditional Chinese way of approaching the book, that suggests only to consult the oracle with a specific question. In his writing, Jung comments that "[a]n unknown question is followed by an unintelligible answer." (Jung 1985 50) However, Cage performed consultations without specific questions in mind and, in fact, stockpiled consultations, as he pointed out in another interview: 'And this box over here that has ropes around it is full of I Ching printouts. So I have a great supply of answers to questions which I have not yet asked.' (Kostelanetz 1988 18)

In many ways, Cage’s frequent use of adapted quantized divination techniques in his works make sense, as this is very much in line with his compositional methodology. Just as quantized divination involves the imposition of an interpretive grid over random phenomena in order to transform this phenomena into a prognostication about the state of reality, Cage uses the same procedure to perform a similar transformation of randomness into art.

I will use both quantized and unquantized divination techniques as knowing procedures for writing the Conclusion of this thesis. Cage’s random selection of library books at UC Davis is easy to replicate and use as a way of generating a bisociation network for the Conclusion. This is explained in Chapter 5 and implemented in the Conclusion.
2.7 Unquantized Divination (Mantic/Non-numerical)

Cage’s divination process for choosing library books involved the consultation of the *I Ching*. The *I Ching* is a quantized divination process but there are also unquantized divination methods for generating an encounter . . .

2.7.1 The Psychogeography of Knowledge

When my hands held b/w warmcopies in outshuffle through the pagewalled labyrinth of university library to stairwell exit and from eyecorners scream they, like hardcore sirens in oldleafs from lowerfloors and dustcovered dustcovers, of *Women Who Kill or Killing For Company* then how could I but rockwrecked skinpeel and snapspine for their grimness that the bookplanners could not guess; but for chance and the topology of their kingdom I now know, and in pastyears when Malcolm Gladwell would mirrorwander by his own instinct then how could he but rockwrecked thumbsplit other fronts as axeheavy as his redcover paperback now lining another charityshopped geography (see Figure 2.4) that I could not but rockwrecked tipback that frontleaf to find him;

...in 1996, I came to write my first signed article for the *New Yorker*. It was an attempt to explain the drop in crime in New York city. It was called "The Tipping Point."

It is an odd thing, to think back on the genesis of that article, because it was so random - as the teenagers say. In my boredom in those days, I used to go to Bobst Library at New York University and wander through the stacks. This was the 1990s, of course when a library was still just a place full of things written on paper. I was leafing through the back issues of the *American Journal of Sociology* and came across an article by Jonathon Crane called "The Epidemic Theory of Ghettos." I wasn’t looking for it. I was standing in the aisle - HM1 .A7 - and just plucked out a volume, in the hope that lightning might strike. And it did.


and as the space and the cost and the ease and the knonwot burybreathing our bookbrothers in serverstacks and distantdisks glanceshielded and prisonchainlinked to keep us railed to the causal and its network of so many spiderchains to web us down in growing knonwot or singleknows and libraries so shellempty we now no longer cloudwander in such pageladen hills so hemmed by their holders of stone and brick and wood that to oneeighty is so majorswitched to otherface a that which could not be guessed from its infacing carriagecousin

**Principles of Psychogeography**

...the map’s format - grids of orthogonal lines peppered with indications of rivers, capitals, and such - ...originated in the Greco-Roman culture of inquiry, exploration and conquest ... But it was Eratosthenes (276-196 BCE), the head of the most renowned library of the ancient world at Alexandria, who would develop the hard science around the Greek philosophers’ cartographic model.

(Higgins 2009 81-82)
We could see Gladwell’s purposeless wandering around Bobst library as a type of psychogeographic dérive, a type of aimless wandering around a man-made environment. Psychogeography is an idea proposed by the Situationist group of artists/activist/philosophers in the 1950s. According to a 1958 definition, it is "The study of the specific effects of the geographical environment, consciously organised or not, on the emotions and behaviour of individuals." (Ford 2005: 34)

Psychogeography was seen as a blend of psychology and geography, looking at the psychological effects of urban environments. As a part of this exploratory approach to city navigation, the principle of a dérive was created, as a methodology to aid this exploration.

In a dérive one or more persons during a certain period drop their usual motives for movement and action, their relations, their work and leisure activities, and let themselves be drawn by the attractions of the terrain and the encounters they find there.

-Guy Debord Theory of the Dérive (quoted in (Ford 2005: 34))

A dérive need not simply be an unstructured walk, one of the most famous examples of a dérive involved a more strict methodology in which a friend of Debord wandered through the Harz region of Germany while blindly following the directions of a map of London (Coverley 2006: 91).

In these more structured methods, we see once again the power to create an encounter through the bisociation of two unrelated things: the region of Harz and the map of London. The situationists Guy Debord and Gil J. Wolman saw the dérive as originating in a combination of chance and planning - although Debord was eager to ensure that this chance didn’t arise from habit or automatism, which he believed was creatively exhausted by the surrealists (Sadler 1999: 78), a position which mirrors Cage’s statement that "[a]utomatic art, in fact, has never interested me, because it is a way of falling back, resting on one’s memories and feelings subconsciously, is it not? And I have done my utmost to free people from that." (Kostelanetz 1988: 173)

Gladwell is unknowingly participating in a psychogeographic type of unquantized divination. In some ways, the library provides a more suitable and inclusive situation for the practice of the inherently white male nature of the dérive. Only this subset of the population can traverse a city in a way that sees architecture as the primary constraints upon geography and not the violence of race and gender.

In the more controlled environment of the library, some of these issues are dissipated, hopefully giving a more inclusive space to practice these psychogeographic principles.

The Power of Physical Libraries

A library is not just a physical manifestation of information. Libraries which exist in the physical (as opposed to digital) world play an important function because the environment they create is conducive to the process of bisociation.

---

12 Later, more sophisticated processes were developed, such as the Algorithmic Psychogeography practiced by the Dutch group Social Fiction, who would navigate the city using a series of iterative directions, such as:

2nd right
2nd right
1st left repeat.

(Bar 2002)

13 It is worth noting that one of the first psychogeographic investigations, published in International Situationiste # 2, was a piece of writing about a district in Paris entitled Attempt at a Psychogeographical Description of Les Halles. Its author, the Algerian national Abdelhafid Khatib, "was unable to complete his study of Les Halles due to repeated harassment from the police. North Africans were at this time subject to curfew and, after twice being arrested and spending the night in a cell, he was understandably reluctant to continue." (Coverley 2006: 99-100). Similarly, we could think of the problems that occur when black men play the pseudo-psychogeographic game Pokémon Go in the USA (see (Aki 2016), or the restrictive safety concerns faced by female field-recording artists (see (Jones 2015)). Also see the article Psychogeography and feminist methodology (Bridget 2013); coincidentally, an article that I found whilst on a dérive in the library.

14 In relation to the use of psychogeographic and divinatory procedures as knowing apparatus to generate an encounter, there are three interesting fictional examples, all in the realm of detective fiction. Zen Navigation is a technique practised by Douglas Adam’s ’holistic detective’ Dirk Gently and first proposed in The Long Dark Teatime of the Soul (Adams 1988): ‘My own strategy is to find a car, or the nearest equivalent, which looks as if it knows where it is going and follow it. I rarely end up where I was intending to go, but often I end up somewhere that I needed to be. So what do you say to that?’ (Adams 1988: 153). Secondly, FBI Detective Dale Cooper in the third episode of the television show Twin Peaks uses the "Tibetan Method" for trying to determine the suspects of a murder case by throwing "rocks at a bottle situated on a tree stump at a precisely measured distance-as the name of each suspect is read from the blackboard" (Nochimson 1992-1993: 24-25). Thirdly, the Existential Detectives in David O. Russell’s film I Heart Huckabees use a barrage of unconventional, often Zen-inspired techniques in their investigations (Russell 2004).
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Now don’t get me wrong, I love Wikipedia, I’m a real Wikipedophile, but it has its limitations. Wikipedia and other internet-based hubs of information are associative - they suggest related articles and link to pages of interest based upon their causal relationship to the page you are on. For instance, compare the networks in Figure 2.5 which show networks of Wikipedia-Schools entries (http://schools-wikipedia.org/), to the twitter diagrams shown in Figure 2.2 and notice the redundancy.

This is a problem which extends to the principles behind spotify, the recommended products on amazon.com, and the suggested videos on youtube.com. In fact the whole set-up of the world wide web is based on a linking system which privileges associative thinking and causal linkages. One of the most dangerous risks to bisociative thinking online, is the development of the semantic web and the associative causality it implies.

The bisociative possibilities of the web will die the moment google removes the 'I’m Feeling Lucky' button from its search page.

The online world is based upon association, and therefore is not conducive to creating the environments needed for the encounter. Badiou understands this, which explains why he is so critical of online dating: 'It is love comprehensively insured against all risks' (Badiou 2012, 6).

A physical library allows for a psychogeographic dérive, a process which is acausal and is fertile ground for the creation of encounters. The same can be said for bookshops, and many of the ideas I have amassed over the years are the result of Badiou-ian encounters in these spaces.

\[\text{Figure 2.5: Visualisations of the Wikipedia-Schools entries for philosophy and mathematics (Berthold 2012).}\]

\[\text{15 In contrast to the web, one could see Big Data’s approach as being a more bisociative methodology, in which correlation is privileged above causation. In fact, one might even make the claim that the Big Data approach is synchronistic, in the Jungian sense, especially when one compares it to the associative systems of neural networks.}\]

\[\text{16 For example, I found the book The Dice Man, quoted in the first chapter, in an exhibition in London, which was a recreation of a teenage girls’ room from the 1970s (In My Room by Aurelius Productions / Dorothy’s Shoes at Spill Festival of Performance on 1 November 2015).}\]
2.7.2 Reading As Psychogeography and Unquantized Divination

The Sortes

Mallarmé saw a poem as "chance defeated word by word".  
(Badiou 2012, 45)

In the same way that one can psychogeographically walk through a library, one can similarly dérive through a book. Just as the movement through a space or architectural environment reveals something about the psychological state of the walker and the architectural priorities of a space, a movement through a book can do something similar.

There is a name for this type of oracle - the sortes:

This practice turns a book into a divinatory instrument and can yield surprising results. Originally, it used the Bible or Virgil’s Aeneid, which Romans considered to be a holy book. The practice survived for centuries, even though formally forbidden by the church.

The method is simple, though in some forms it involved ritual preparation. It consists of posing a question, opening the book you have chosen without looking, and putting your finger on the page. The word or phrase picked out at random is the answer the book gives to your question.  
(Karcher 1997, 51)

A less directed version of this technique was used to choose many of the quotations from ancient Greek dramatists and Shakespeare found at the start of sections in this thesis using the following process:

1. Get a copy of the collected plays of ancient Greek dramatists and a copy of the collected works of Shakespeare.
2. Flip to a random page in one of these books.
3. Look for any quotation that is related to the current section you are writing about.
4. Place this quotation at the start of this section.

We can see Cage’s library activity at UC Davis as an updated and expanded form of the sortes. Cage also used a directly-related technique in some of his later work, such as the imaginary mise-en-scène of James Joyce, Marcel Duchamp, Erik Satie: An Alphabet and the stage directions for the Europeras, which were selected by chance from Webster’s unabridged dictionary (Revill 1992, 283).

We could also use the sortes to increase a thesis-writer’s vocabulary and bisociate on the level of words?:

1. Take a dictionary, flip to a random page.
2. Write down any words that you do not know.
3. Use these in your writing.

A full list of dictionary words I have added into this thesis using this method can be found in Chapter 5. This is also a technique I used extensively in (Pocknee 2015a).

2.7.3 Mesostics

Cage also used his poetic form of the mesostic as a way of unpredictably moving through a text in a way which created new juxtapositions and bisociations:

During 1976 Elliot Anderson, who edited the journal Tri-Quarterly … was preparing a special issue, In the Wake of the 'Wake', and asked Cage for some kind of contribution. …

[Cage] opened Finnegans Wake at random - page 356 - and began writing mesostics using the name of Joyce as a string until he reached the end of the chapter. …

"It was a discipline," he wrote, "similar to that of counterpoint in music with a cantus firmus."

(Revill 1992 254)
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But could a musical piece be a mesostic? In 2015 I wrote a work for solo piano entitled *A Beethoven Mesostic (2015)*, inspired by Cage’s poetic work and which I think is the world’s first ever musical mesostic.

The basic principle behind a linguistic mesostic is taking a target-text and embedding it into the spine of the writing, similar to the way in which an acrostic will embed a target-text into the first letter of each line. An example of a mesostic can be seen in Figure 2.6, taken from one of his books of mesostics *Anarchy*. Here the target-text is the name of anarchist thinker Peter Kropotkin, arranged down the centre of the poem. This example also reveals an aspect of Cage’s later mesostic writing, which is the use of found texts as the material for all of the writing. All of the text found in *Anarchy* is taken from writings by anarchist thinkers that are then collaged using the computer program *Mesolist* that Jim Rosenberg made for him.

In the *Finnegan’s Wake* example at the top of the section, Cage starts at a random point in the book and, using James Joyce’s name as a target-text, collages the sequential text of the book into a series of mesostics. This technique was then expanded out to encompass the entire book, moving through chronologically from the first page to the last, in his *Writing Through ‘Finnegans Wake’* (1976), '682 pages of mesostics extracted from top to bottom of the book' (Revill 1992, 254-255). This was a process Cage referred to as "writing-through" and which the poet Jackson Mac Low prefers to call a "reading-through text-selection procedure" (Low 2010, 220).

In many ways, this reading-through text-selection procedure is a form of divination, in which the interaction between an algorithm and the letter-distribution of the book creates a path through it. This is also similar to the algorithmic psychogeography mentioned in the last section.

*A Beethoven Mesostic* attempts something similar. Starting with a corpus of all 32 of Beethoven’s Piano Sonatas, the piece uses an ascending, five-octave chromatic scale as a target-text and attempts to build this by collaging together fragments of Beethoven’s sonatas. The work is created using an algorithm similar to Rosenberg’s program. It could be described verbally as follows:

1. Order the Beethoven Sonatas sequentially from 1-32.
2. Start with a blank score, from here on referred to as the "mesostic score".
3. Start from the first bar of the first Beethoven sonata and move forward note-by-note searching for the first note of the ascending, five-octave chromatic scale (the G an octave below the lowest line of the bass clef).
4. When this note has been found, copy all of the music in the sonata that occurs during the duration of this note.
5. Paste the copied music into the beginning of the mesostic score.
6. Go back to the Beethoven sonata. Search forward note-by-note from the position directly after the end of the section of music that was copied, looking for the next note in the ascending scale.
7. When the note has been found, copy all of the music that occurs during the duration of this note.
8. Paste the copied music into the mesostic score, directly after the end of the last segment of music copied in.
9. Repeat instructions 6-8. If you reach the end of a sonata, start at the beginning of the next one. If you reach the end of the ascending scale, start back at the beginning, and keep looping until the end of the piece. The work ends when you reach the end of the 32nd sonata.
Figure 2.7: An analysis of the opening few bars of *A Beethoven Mesostic* showing the original Beethoven sonata from which each fragment is taken from.

Figure 2.7 shows this process in action at the very opening of the piece. Around the page are the actual sections of music from the Beethoven sonatas that were copied to make the mesostic in the centre. What is noticeable is that not all of the notes are copied from each section. This is due to a coding error with the program (mid2asc.exe) which I used to translate MIDI data of the sonatas into more easily manipulable text data which my Lisp algorithm could operate upon. Although this was not the original intention of the work, I feel that enough of Beethoven’s style is still audible through this process and that the missing notes allow a transparency to the harmony of the work that aids creating continuity between each collaged section.

Interestingly, I tried this process upon a whole range of historical keyboard works by different composers from different eras and it was only the work of Beethoven which generated interesting results. I think part of the reason for this is that Beethoven’s style lies somewhere between the more strict voice-leading of the Classical period and the extended harmony of the Romantic period. With the *target text* being an ascending chromatic scale, this means that there is always an embedded chromatic movement through each collaged section, which can then function in Beethoven’s musical language as leading-tones which harmonically connect the sections and provide harmonic movement that not only gives the work a pacing and harmonic tension/resolution that would otherwise be lacking but accomplishes that in a way which is stylistically appropriate to the material, with the rhythmic irregularities and lack of conventional phrase structure creating a counterbalance that accentuates the oddity of the musical mesostic procedure.

Figure 2.6: An example of one of the mesostics from Cage’s *Anarchy*, spelling out the name of anarchist thinker Peter Kropotkin (Cage 2001).
Selfhelplessness and Cipher for the Lighthouse Twins

In my book *Selfhelplessness*, the psychological state of the reader is both laid bare and manipulated. The book borrows the form of a 'choose-your-own-adventure' book, popular in children’s literature and, instead, subverts it by replacing the fun adventure narratives typical of this genre with very adult self-help questions.\[17\]

Q: Yeezus, this book is depressing!
A: It’s designed that way.

Q: How?
A: Firstly, there are no answers. In contrast to normal self-help books which try to help the reader by directing them towards active solutions, all of the questions in this book lead to every other question.

Q: So, you could potentially be stuck reading it forever without getting any answers?
A: The book is 100 pages long. If you answered 'yes' to every question, you would go through every question in the book once before you ended up in the same place, and the same would happen if you answered 'no' to every question.

Q: So there’s some type of structure involved?
A: Yes, the work is conceived such that every question is a point on a 10x10 grid, with the questions on each row being based around a rough subject of the type you would expect to find in a self-help book. Answering 'yes' moves you horizontally along the grid and answering 'no' moves you vertically. In each subsequent column, the number of rows up you move when answering 'no' increases (see Figure 2.10).

Q: Why bother doing this if there are no answers?
A: It helps the book appear to act like it is responding to your questions; if you answer 'yes' to a question on a particular subject, it will ask you more about that subject, if you answer 'no', it will change the subject.

Q: But looking at the book, this 10x10 structure isn't apparent . . .
A: The 10x10 grid doesn’t appear in the book in this order, the pages were randomly scrambled to disguise this underlying structure so the reader doesn’t realize they are being manipulated in this way.

Q: But this still doesn’t seem to fully explain why the book is as depressing as it is.

\[17\] This type of work is also referred to as ‘ergodic literature’ by Espen Aarseth, a type of writing . . . in which ‘nontrivial effort is required to allow the reader to traverse the text’. In ergodic literature, ‘the experienced sequence of signs does not emerge in a fixed, predetermined order decided by the instigator of the work, but is instead one actualization among many potential routes within what we may call the event space of semio-logical possibility.” ([hayot-ergodic](https://example.com))
Figure 2.10: Diagram showing the structure of *Selfhelplessness*. The diagram is only partially completed for the sake of clarity.

A: The way in which language is used also helps. I’m doing what in Neuro Linguistic Programming is referred to as ‘reframing’ (O’Connor and Seymour 2002), where I word questions to accentuate negative aspects. As a simple example, I would ask you ‘Are you sad?’ rather than ‘Are you happy?’ to put a negative spin on the question.

Q: *This is a book. Is this composition?*
A: As I mentioned at the start of this thesis, I see composition as a lineage of tools, not as a sound-specific way of working.

Q: *What tools does this draw from, then?*
A: I think the highly-formalized nature of the structure, the element of randomizing pages and the ‘indeterminate in relation to performance’ approach to its realization are all quite characteristic of mid-century compositional modernism.

Q: *But this also mirrors some kind of messed-up divinatory procedure, where the grid of the book is imposed onto the chaos of the reader’s mind?*
A: I think you can see elements of the way in which von Franz and Jung talk about the ability of divination to reveal hidden unconscious processes. ‘Coincidence, as understood through Jung’s concept of synchronicity, is a phenomenon in which the self confronts an important aspect of its own nature’ (Verene 2002, 459)

Q: *And it’s somehow psychogeographical?*
A: Imagine that the choice between two answers on each page is like a fork in a road.
Q: Jung’s idea of synchronicity seems important, especially in relation to Cage and bisociation.

A: Well, Jung wrote the foreword to the edition of the *I Ching* that Cage used (the Bollinger edition with the Wilhelm and Cary Baynes translation (Hamm 1997, 288)) and it was here that Jung first laid out his ideas about synchronicity, "a concept that formulates a point of view directly opposed to that of causality. Since the latter is merely statistical truth and not absolute, it is a sort of working hypothesis about how events evolve out of one another, whereas synchronicity takes the coincidence of events in space and time as meaning something more than mere chance, namely a peculiar interdependence of objective events among themselves as well as with the subjective (psychic) states of the observer or observers." (Wilhelm 1968, xxiv)

Q: Synchronicity seems related to bisociation, especially given that Jung refers to synchronicity as 'an acausal connecting principle', which seems is what bisociation seeks to do (Jung 1985).

A: You could conceive it as a description of the encounter. Perhaps not a description of how it works, but of its existence although here it originates not just in chance because in Jung’s analysis, chance becomes meaningful.

Q: Your other book work Cipher for the Lighthouse Twins, for two readers, doesn’t seem to reveal anything about the psychic state of the reader, however?

A: This work is almost pure process. Two readers face each other, each with a copy of the same book. Each page of the book is unique and has four options of whether to flip the current page one or two pages forward or backwards according to the pages flipped by the other reader. The piece involves them taking it in turn to flip a page in reaction to how the other reader flipped.

The readers basically function as random number generators and the piece starts with them flipping to a random page in the book. After that, however, you are simply watching the interaction of the books themselves - there is no self-expression on the part of the reader, they are simply the conduit for the ordering systems built into the books.

Q: Do the performers not have the ability to make any decisions?

A: No.

Q: So the performers have no agency?

A: Exactly, but do we really have any agency in life? Are we not simply articulating a set of social, cultural, psychological and biological systems much larger than ourselves?

Q: So this is some sort of strange propaganda for philosophical determinism, then?

A: I realized recently that so much of my work is just that - pieces which seem open, but in which the performers have no agency - just like being alive! Open works are only open if you believe that humans have agency.

Q: But if we have no agency and all is determined, then the future can be predicted!

A: This is why divinatory oracles work.

Q: Denmark’s a prison

A: Then is the world one.
Now shall mine oracle no more look forth
Out of a dim veil like new-wedded bride,
But put on a brightness as a wind that blows
Towards the sun’s uprising, ’gainst the light
- Aeschylus *Agamemnon* (Aeschylus 1952, 64)
Chapter 2 Bibliography


Akil, Omari. 2016. “Warning: Pokemon GO is a Death Sentence if you are a Black Man.” https://medium.com/mobile-lifestyle/warning-pokemon-go-is-a-death-sentence-if-you-are-a-black-man-acacb4bdaf#308kl1n9x


Chapter 3

Completism

A prologue armed, but not in confidence
Of author’s pen, or actor’s voice, but suited
In like conditions as our argument
- Shakespeare Troilus and Cressida

3.1 About Completism

Completism is a new word for an old phenomenon.

It’s been there underscores-lurking, nametagsmudged and cornerbottle-partyhunched into back-
groundfiller. Yet there. And now petri-grown from footnotes, dual-birthed by Siamese mothers: myself
and Beavan Flanagan\(^1\) - a name that bawls its own history.

Someone once said that punk died the moment the first kid exclaimed that punk wasn’t dead. And
so, in the light-flickered green of the deliveryroom, we as midwives deliver to the world only the viscera-
soaked scrawl of a suicide note.

This is no plan for the future, not a manifesto or an "ism" spasm’d into being, but a form of archae-
ology: we show you what has been hidden below the shifting sands of aesthetic fashion for the last 60
years.

And you’ve seen them - the "catalogue pieces", these exercises in exhaustion: you’ve dragged the
ant-roasting focus of your cynosure across the canvas that offers "every single combination of..."; sat
in darkhalls richter-scale rocked by the aftershocks of muffled coughs as musicians slowly unfold "every
possible permutation of..."; paused from tab-switched distraction in the lull between clickclusters, swap-
ning skytinged realities to watch the realization of "all possible x in ..."; axeheavy spinesplit another
book to read "every single element of x alphabetically re-ordered"; seen the theatre stage as photocopier
for "the whole of x copied verbatim"; seen movement air-inscribed by dancer’s hand cutting through
the black-boxed void as they enact "every single x transformed into y"; heard loudspeakers spatter "the
entirety of...". You already know completism ...

Completism already exists. It was on the tip of everyone’s tongue; Tyler and I just gave it a name
(Fincher\(^2\)). Poets, playwrites, composers, painters: every discipline had its completists and hardly
anybody noticed

Since the late 1950s, artists in visual art, music, theatre, literature, dance, poetry and net art have
used the mathematical field of combinatorics to create artworks. Completism is where discrete mathe-
matics meets creativity, where artists such as Sol LeWitt, Anne McGuire and Kenneth Goldsmith use
permutation, combination, enumeration, mapping, re-ordering and direct transcription to create new
works. Completism crosses genres and generations.

With the increasing growth and popularisation of completist ways of thinking, especially in the realm
of net art, now is an ideal time to try and piece together this previously neglected history. From Brion

\(^1\)This chapter is a modified and condensed version of sections of a book on completism that I am writing with Beavan
Flanagan. Although we have worked together formulating the ideas behind this subject and collecting the pieces found in
the list at the end of this chapter, all of the following research and writing that follows is my own.

\(^2\)A notable exception is Janet Zweig in her *Ars Combinatoria* article (Zweig\(^1\)).
Gysin’s earliest *Permutation Poems* to youtube “Supercuts”, this way of thinking spans over 60 years and multiple artistic disciplines. This chapter presents a new analytical framework for combinatoric artmaking.

Completism starts with Brion Gysin in 1958. Because good stories don’t fade in. There are earlier examples, like Cristoph Graupner’s *Canon with 5626 inversions* (1730-35), or the English ‘change ringing’ tradition, or Pingala’s *Chandasastra* which systematically explores the combinatorial possibilities of short and long syllables in Sanskrit, but completism only starts to move from the time-speckling of historical oddities to the close-clustering of a zeitgeist following the invention of the computer language FORTRAN in 1956. And as computers have became smaller and cheaper and easier to use, completism has grown (see Figure 3.1).

And so we collected - what else were we meant to do?

Myself and Beavan built a corpus of completist works from 1958 to the present day. A corpus of 120 different works. This corpus can be found at the end of this chapter and has been visualized in Figure 3.1. The works in the corpus come from a wide range of artistic disciplines: music, visual art, photography, dance, theatre, literature, poetry and net-art. Each work was only included if it met a strict set of criteria, based upon the following definition:

*A completist work consists, almost solely, of the systematic exhaustion of specific combinatorial possibilities, iterated once only, of the subsets (and/or their relations) of a fixed and finite set of discrete elements.*

This definition will be explained and further elaborated at the end of this chapter. Within this definition, completism can then be broken down into six separate sub-categories: permutation, combination, enumeration, re-ordering mapping, and direct transcription, which form the structure of the rest of this chapter.
3.2 Permutation

Of author’s pen, A prologue armed, 
but not in confidence
In like conditions as our argument 
but suited or actor’s voice, 
- Shakespeare Troilus and Cressida

3.2.1 The Permutated Poems of Brion Gysin

It’s 1959, and the poem I AM THAT I AM by the visual artist, poet and sound art pioneer, Brion Gysin kick-starts completism (see Figure 3.2).

I AM THAT I AM is the first of Gysin’s collection of Permutation Poems, all of which feature the systematic process of working through all possible permutations of a set of words given in the title of the poem. In this case, the title used is the Divine Tautology found in Aldous Huxley’s book The Doors Of Perception (Gysin 2001, 79).

***

You pick up a new pack of cards - still cellophane-sealed - and thumbnail-dig along the plastic edge to find that loosewrap purchase-point, pulling to emerge the box from its transparent cocoon. You lidpick and cardshake its contents into a neat palm pile and deftly fanspread them face-up on the greenbaize tabletop. Their newborn-order as expected: suit-separated, numerical succession, kissingkings and all. You upscop them back, handstacked, and then shuffle: a simple cut and riffle-shuffle will do. You again deftly fanspread them face-up on the greenbaize tabletop to find that newborn-order now world-corrupted, the randomness of your hands so deranging that beautiful patterning. You have permutated the deck of cards. Shuffling a deck of cards creates a permutation of its order.

***

A permutation is a re-ordering of a set of elements or, in mathematical terms, a bijective mapping of a finite set onto itself (Matoušek & Nešetril 1998, 52). It is also sometimes referred to as a shuffling or derangement of elements. In contrast to combinations of a set, a permutation always involves a re-ordering of all elements of the set, without the addition or subtraction of any member. This is in contrast to combinations, which need not involve all members of the set.

Permutation and Combination:

Let us take a set containing 4 elements: \{1,2,3,4\}

Some of the possible permutations of this set are:
\{1,2,4,3\}
\{3,4,1,2\}
\{4,3,2,1\}

Some of the possible combinations of this set are:
\{1,2,3\}
\{2\}
\{3,1,1,2,4,4,4\}

The number of possible permutations of a given set is found by calculating the factorial of its number of members. The factorial (notated n!) is the product of all natural numbers lower than and equal to n:

\[ n! = n(n-1) \times \cdots \times 2 \times 1 = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (n-j) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} i. \]

(Matoušek & Nešetril, 1998 p.54)
For example, the ordered set \{a, b, c, d\} contains 4 members.
Therefore, the factorial of 4 (4!) gives the total number of possible permutations of this set:

\[ 4! = 4 \times 3 \times 2 \times 1 = 24 \]

This can be proved through listing all of these:

\[
\begin{align*}
\{a, b, c, d\} & \quad \{a, b, d, c\} & \quad \{a, c, b, d\} & \quad \{a, c, d, b\} & \quad \{a, d, b, c\} & \quad \{a, d, c, b\} \\
\{b, a, c, d\} & \quad \{b, a, d, c\} & \quad \{b, c, a, d\} & \quad \{c, b, a, d\} & \quad \{b, d, a, c\} & \quad \{b, d, c, a\} \\
\{c, a, b, d\} & \quad \{c, a, d, b\} & \quad \{c, b, a, d\} & \quad \{c, b, d, a\} & \quad \{c, d, a, b\} & \quad \{c, d, b, a\} \\
\{d, a, b, c\} & \quad \{d, a, c, b\} & \quad \{d, b, a, c\} & \quad \{d, b, c, a\} & \quad \{d, c, a, b\} & \quad \{d, c, b, a\}
\end{align*}
\]

The number of possible permutations of a given set increases exponentially in relation to its number of members:

\[
\begin{align*}
1! &= 1 & 4! &= 24 & 7! &= 5,040 & 10! &= 3,628,800 \\
2! &= 2 & 5! &= 120 & 8! &= 40,320 & 11! &= 39,916,800 \\
3! &= 6 & 6! &= 720 & 9! &= 362,880 & 12! &= 479,001,600
\end{align*}
\]

The title of Gysin’s poem \textit{I AM THAT I AM} (Figure 3.2) contains five elements \{I, AM, THAT, I, AM\}, so there are 5! = 120 possible permutations of this title, meaning that Gysin’s poem will be 120 lines long, as none of these permutations are repeated.

\[
\begin{align*}
&\text{I AM THAT I AM} \\
&\text{AM I THAT I AM} \\
&\text{I THAT AM I AM} \\
&\text{THAT I AM I AM} \\
&\text{AM THAT I I AM} \\
&\text{THAT AM I I AM} \\
&\text{I AM THAT AM} \\
&\text{AM I I THAT AM} \\
&\text{I I THAT AM AM} \\
&\text{AM I I THAT AM} \\
&\text{I AM I THAT AM} \\
&\text{THAT I I AM AM} \\
&\text{I I THAT AM AM} \\
&\text{THAT I THAT AM} \\
&\text{I THAT I AM AM} \\
&\text{AM THAT I I AM} \\
&\text{THAT AM I I AM}
\end{align*}
\]

Gysin’s permutation poems are not only some of the oldest versions of completist poetry, but some of the earliest versions of completist works in any discipline, an especially ironic fact given Gysin’s much repeated claim that “\textit{w}riting is fifty years behind painting” (Gysin 2003, 154-155).

The narrative of \textit{I AM THAT I AM} is the narrative of a single systematic process. Nothing else happens in the work. There is an input (the title), and then the algorithm takes over, re-arranging it, deranging it, until the redundancy of repetition causes it to stop. In completism you listen very carefully, for they shall say this only once. Each permutation of the title is iterated once, and once only.

Gysin wrote a series of these permutation poems between 1958 and 1960, such as \textit{JUNK IS NO GOOD BABY}, \textit{KICK THAT HABIT MAN}, \textit{NO POETS DONT OWN WORDS} and \textit{I DONT WORK YOU DIG}, all based on identical principles of titular permutation. Many of these were broadcast in 1960 as part of a BBC Radio programme called \textit{The Permutated Poems of Brion Gysin} (Gysin 2001, 79).\footnote{Although they were only published in print many years later, in works such as \textit{Let the Mice In} (1973) or \textit{The Third Mind} (1978).}

Gysin understood two ideas that would become key features of completism:

1. That the same, simple, combinatoric processes can be applied to work across multiple artistic disciplines

2. That a computer can be used to aid in the execution of these combinatoric processes.

\footnote{This is the number of possible twelve-tone rows.}
The development of completism in the twentieth and twenty-first century has been driven by developments in computing. Gysin’s collaborator: the artist, mathematician and programmer, Ian Sommerville generated many of the complete versions of Gysin’s permutation poems on a computer, with some of these realizations being used for the BBC broadcast. Sommerville was a mathematics student at the University of Cambridge and wrote the program on punch cards for the Honeywell 200/120 computer: "The input was a string ('sentence') whose n elements ('words') were separated by spaces...[Gysin’s] stated intention: To refrain from adding any external meaning to the result – the permuted text was supposed to disclose its sense on its own." (Bajohr 2014) This program printed all of the permutations in a logical order based upon the process it had used to calculate them, and included any semantic redundancies resulting from the duplication of elements.

e.g. if 1=I, 2=AM, 3=THAT, 4=I, and 5=AM; then the ordering:
{1,4,2,3,5} = {I,I,AM,THAT,AM} produces the same result as
{4,1,5,3,2} = {I,I,AM,THAT,AM} even though the permutation of elements is different.

For the aforementioned BBC broadcast, Gysin also took the permutation ideas from his poetry and applied them to recorded sound to produce an early work of sound art: Pistol Poem (1960):

In this piece, a single pistol shot was recorded on tape and then rerecorded, he has explained, “as heard from the distance of one yard, two yards, three yards, four yards and five yards. The reports were run through their possible permutations and laid in sound layers with my voice speaking the numbers.
- Brion Gysin describing Pistol Piece (Gysin 2001, 79)

This work is the earliest completist work of sound art. Gysin’s savvy realization of the multidisciplinary potential of combinatoric processes foreshadowed the multidisciplinary nature of modern completism.

The start of my work Overture from I Can Do Better shares some similarities with Pistol Poem. There are five loudspeakers placed in a line on stage. My voice comes out of each speaker in turn, stating each speaker’s number, in relation to its order on stage: "Speaker 1!", "Speaker 2!", "Speaker 3!", "Speaker 4!", "Speaker 5!". I then continue through as many permutations of these 5 speakers as possible in the piece’s 3 minute duration. An even more extreme version of permutational thinking in my practice are the pieces Plastic Cup Permutations (Figure 3.5) and Realization #2: Christian Wolff’s ‘Stones’ (Figure 3.10). The second of these is part of a series of works in which I make concrete realizations of open text scores, each based on a previous performance I have done of the original.

Around the same time as Gysin’s Permutation Poems, the poet, musician and fluxus participant, Emmett William’s wrote one of the first modern completist works of music, his Cellar Song for Five Voices (1960), first published in An Anthology of Chance Operations (1963) (Young & Mac Low 1963,
n.p. It consists of a permutation algorithm much like Gysin’s, but here groups of words are permutated and each of these groups is executed by a different performer (see Figure 3.4).

A work clearly influenced by Williams is Jackson Mac Low’s poem JAIL BREAK (1965) which similarly uses multiple performers to work through all permutations of a sentence (in this case, "Tear all jails down now") (Figure 3.9). Both of these works also have many similarities with Georg Perec’s later radio play The Machine (1968), in which a short extract by Goethe is submitted to a variety of completist techniques displaced over four different 'channels', or performers (Bellos 2012, 38). While William’s work is titled a "song", the actual discipline it belongs to is debatable, especially given its inclusions in the Anthology of Concrete Poetry (1967) edited by Williams himself (Williams 2013).

In music, certain types of the English tradition of “change ringing” of church bells, (which has been in existence since at least the 18th Century), are based on permutational principles. In change ringing, each permutation is referred to as a “change”, and an “extent” involves the full exhaustion of all permutational possibilities of the changes, without repeat (Intermont & Murphy 2011, 225).

Musical permutational works in most of the 20th Century, however, were few and far between, with the composer Tom Johnson furnishing two of the scarce examples: Tango (1984) (Figure 3.8) and Music And Question (1988), both of which use five-note permutative processes and terminate when all 120 orderings have been reached. Although permutational thinking pervades much of the set-theoretical thinking associated with Total Serialism, this is primarily used as a tool for material generation, and is hardly ever as systematic or foregrounded as it would need to be to classify as completist under our definition.
Figure 3.5: Page 1 of Plastic Cup Permutations
Figure 3.6: Page 2 of Plastic Cup Permutations
Instructions
This piece explores different arrangements of four plastic cups placed in a line.

The piece works through all 24 possible combinations that the cups can be placed in, whilst in a single line, and the score indicates how to move between each of these positions.

For the piece, the base of each of the four cups used should be numbered from 1-4.

For the performance, the cups should be placed on a small table, face down, in a line, with approximately 3cm between each one.

The score shows the position of all four cups, at their different positions during the piece with the coloured arrows indicating which cups should be moved at which time by which hand. The red arrow indicates movements of cups executed by the right hand, whilst the green indicates movements executed by the left.

The movement of the cups should be executed by sliding them over the surface of the table, following the path specified in the score. At no point should they lose contact with the table.

All of these movements should be performed as fast as possible, without sacrificing accuracy.

Each time a cup is moved, another cup must be moved simultaneously with it, so that each place in the line is empty for a minimal amount of time. The numbering of each coloured arrow is designed to show which actions should be occurring simultaneously. The movements should be performed in the order specified by the numbers.

After each movement has been performed, both hands should be briefly out of contact with the cups. Whilst moving between positions, a hand should be in contact with one of the cups throughout the entirety of the movement (the hand used may swap over the course of the movement, using moments where both hands are in contact as pivot points).

Where a longer line with several numbers is used, the smaller movements which occur along its length should be executed in order, with each smaller movement following directly on from its predecessor, while the longer gesture should be kept completely fluid, at a consistent speed.

Possible Versions:
This piece can either be performed through once with plastic cups, or in an extended version using plastic and polystyrene cups. In the extended version, the piece is played through multiple times, starting with four polystyrene cups. At the end of each completion, one of the polystyrene cups should be swapped for a plastic one, according to the order on the left (pl = plastic cup, po = polystyrene).

Use of cups in the Extended Version. Each numbered line indicates what cups should be used for each cup in that repetition of the score:
Cup 1 / Cup 2 / Cup 3 / Cup 4

1: po / po / po / po
2: pl / po / po / po
3: po / pl / po / po
4: po / po / pl / po
5: po / po / po / pl
6: pl / pl / po / po
7: pl / po / pl / po
8: pl / po / po / pl
9: po / pl / pl / po
10: po / pl / po / pl
11: po / po / pl / pl
12: pl / pl / pl / po
13: pl / pl / po / pl
14: pl / po / pl / pl
15: po / pl / pl / pl
16: pl / pl / pl / pl

Figure 3.7: Page 3 of Plastic Cup Permutations
Figure 3.8: Bars 3-18 of Tom Johnson’s *Tango* (1984)
JAIL BREAK
(For Emmett Williams & John Cage) September 1963, April & August 1966

Tear now jails down all. All jails now down tear. Jails tear down all now.
Tear all now down jails. All now tear down jails. Jails tear down all.
Tear now all jails down. All jails down tear now. Jails now tear down all.
Tear jails now all down. All now jails down tear. Jails now tear all down.
Tear now jails all down. All now jails now tear down. Jails now tear all down.
Tear now jails all down. All now jails down tear. Jails now tear all down.
Tear now jails all now. All now tear down all jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear all down jails now. All down tear jails now. Jails down tear all.
Tear all down jails now. All down tear all jails. Jails down tear all.
Tear all now all down. All tear now all down jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear all now all down. All tear all down now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear all now all down. All tear all down now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all now all. All tear down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all now all. All tear down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear all down all now jails. Jails all tear down.
Tear down all all now. All tear a.
Realization #2: Christian Wolff’s Stones

First performed 12 September 2015 (7:30 – 8:15pm)

Take four irregularly-shaped stones
of different sizes.
Balance all four stones on top of each other
in all 24 possible orders
following the sequence on the right.
Time how long it takes to stack each permutation
and leave a silence as long as the length of the previous stacking
after each one.

TOP \Rightarrow BOTTOM

1234
1243
1324
1342
1423
1432
2134
2143
2314
2341
2413
2431
3124
3142
3214
3241
3412
3421
4123
4132
4213
4231
4312
4321

KEY
1 = smallest
2 = 2\text{nd} smallest
3 = 2\text{nd} largest
4 = largest

Realizations – David Pocknee (2016-11-15)

Figure 3.10: Realization #2: Christian Wolff’s ‘Stones’
3.3 Combination

A prologue armed, but not in confidence
Of author’s pen, or actor’s voice, but suited
In like conditions as our argument
A prologue armed, but not in confidence
Of author’s pen, or actor’s voice, but suited
A prologue armed, but not in confidence
In like conditions as our argument
Of author’s pen, or actor’s voice, but suited
In like conditions as our argument
A prologue armed, but not in confidence
Of author’s pen, or actor’s voice, but suited
In like conditions as our argument
- Shakespeare *Troilus and Cressida*

And so you’re there in that darksilence; the hush and shush of pre-sound; the way anticipation
pillowsmothers the chatter of the earlybird seatstealer - centreperched - and the rest in their rings of
concentric lateness. And on stage, bleached by the spotlight, a performer in knucklecracked readyness
seated at the blackvoid of the piano, starting to play.
And it’s the constraints that strike you, only that middle octave, the player bodylocked in place. And
it’s the rhythm that strikes you, regular, robotic. And it’s the order that strikes you, the simple logic,
unfolding between pauses:

Always begin with the lowest possible positions and move gradually up according to this
rule: The lowest voice that can rise a half-tone does so and any lower voices descend to their
points of departure. Each time that the top voice is going to rise a half-tone, one leaves a
pause and begins a new section. (Johnson 1999, 9)

Not that you hear it that first time, in that *mezzoforte*pounding, only that gradualbuild over 70
minutes, from the anaemic emptyness of all two-note chords in that octave, through the evergoing six-
ote note chords, through the muddy uncertainty of those 10-note chords - the changes so dense in their
strangeness - to the single 13-note chord.

And it finishes, and you wait as if for the *HISCORE* screen, as if this octave has been completed. And
you know that you’ve heard it all - all 8,178 combinations possible from that middle-C up to the C an oc-
tave above - 2- to 13- note chords all played, read out like a register. *This* is music as a branch of discrete
mathematics, exhausting all possibilities, all combinations: Tom Johnson’s *The Chord Catalogue* (1986)²⁵

***

Imagine you’re at that greenbaize table again, shuffled deck in hand, but now with three others
cardsharks, one on each side of that cubist villagegreen. And you start dealing, sending three cards to
each player. Each now has a combination of the deck. They can shuffle the cards around in their hands
all they want but the order doesn’t matter.

***

Combinations are groupings of a set. Unlike permutations, combinations deal with *unordered* sets,
meaning that its ordering has no bearing on counting it as a combination or not.

²⁵There are three works that are key for understanding my creative practice: Tom Johnson’s *The Chord Catalogue*
(Chapter 3), the second movement of Brian Ferneyhough’s *Kurze Schatten II* (Chapter 1), and *Eggs* from George Brecht’s
*Water Yam* (Chapter 2).
Examples of combinations:
Given a set \{1,2,3,4\}, some possible combinations of this set are:
(1,2,3),
(2,3),
(3),
(4,1,3)
Unlike permutations, a set such as (1,3,2) is considered the same as (1,2,3), as the ordering of the set has no bearing on its status as a combination, only the elements it contains.

Combinations can be conceptualized as unordered subsets of a given set. The amount of possible combinations that can be made from a given set is defined by two parameters: one being the size of the set (usually notated as \(n\)), and one being the size of the subsets that can be made from the elements of this set (usually notated as \(k\)).

The amount of combinations in a set can be calculated using the binomial coefficient: "The basic combinatorial meaning of the binomial coefficient \(\binom{n}{k}\) is the number of all \(k\)-element subsets of an \(n\)-element set." (Matoušek & Nešetril 1998, 56)

The binomial coefficient is defined as:
\[
\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}
\]

Example:
To calculate the number of possible 3-element unordered subsets (combinations) that can be made from the 5-element set (1,2,3,4,5) we can calculate:
\[
\binom{5}{3} = \frac{5!}{3!(5-3)!} = \frac{120}{6 \times 2} = 10
\]
This can be verified by listing all possible 3-element combinations:
1. (1,2,3)
2. (1,2,4)
3. (1,2,5)
4. (1,3,4)
5. (1,3,5)
6. (1,4,5)
7. (2,3,4)
8. (2,3,5)
9. (2,4,5)
10. (3,4,5)

To calculate all possible combinations of any size from 1-element up to the size of the original set (\(n\)-elements), the following equation can be used:
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n}{k}
\]

Given the example set of (1,2,3,4,5), the number of possible combinations of subsets containing 1-5 elements, would be:
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{5} \binom{5}{k} = \binom{5}{1} + \binom{5}{2} + \binom{5}{3} + \binom{5}{4} + \binom{5}{5} = 5 + 10 + 10 + 5 + 1 = 31
\]

***

And you do the math(...s) on Johnson’s work, a simple doublecheck:
\[
\sum_{k=2}^{13} \binom{13}{k} = 8,178
\]

\(^{6}\text{It is conventional to designate ordered sets using curly brackets \{ \} and unordered sets using curved brackets ( ).}\)
and wonder: on that many-toothed beast of ivory and felt-pounded stretched strings how small the compass of Johnson’s world: that single octave. and you see those great swathes of terra incognita that sprawl each side of it: that regular two-tone topography from basso-profundo to belltinkle; from A to shining C; those 88 keys that define this territory and their possibilities.

and you do the math(...s) and forget the practicalities: how many possible chords containing 2-88 notes are playable on the piano:

\[ \sum_{k=2}^{88} \binom{88}{k} = 3.09 \times 10^{27} \]

309 septillion chords! And you know this sprawls passed the humanly possible, that most of these require more than ten fingers to play, using hands that surpass the breadth and agility of any human player, that if these were played at eight notes a second it would take 73.6 quintillion years (73.6 \times 10^{18}) to exhaust them, and that the age of the universe is only 13.82 \times 10^9 years old by current estimates.

And so you write a piece, for player-piano and video, called The Chord Catalogue (Redux) (2015), which presents extracts from this imagined future and the mathematics behind it - a work that fast-forwards through billions of years - a taster menu for a piece of pure combinatorics unconstrained by the mundane limits of human lifespan or practicality, like a teaser trailer for a work that will never exist.

***

And in 1997, in the WildWesting of that early Online, a work that blackmirrors back Johnson’s ideas: John Simon’s net-art classic Every Icon. It runs as a java applet in a web browser. Once the page is loaded, it starts to systematically run through all 1.8 \times 10^{308} combinations of black and white pixels in a 32x32 grid - the size used for computer icons during this period (Mirapaul 1997).

And you imagine the speed of it running those 20 years earlier, as you see it now, hummingbird fast flickering through its options, yet still as asymptotically far from completing.

And Johnson’s work, so strange in its time, now adopted by others, like Samuel Vriezen, John Lely, Michael Winter and G. Doug Barrett. Barrett’s 3 Voices (2008) consists of...

...‘every possible ordering of entrances and cut-offs of sounds or actions for three performers’ (Barrett 2009). From left to right, three lines, three performers, each playing a single tone, sound, or action corresponding to the 169 graphic portrayals of relative beginnings and endings, Barrett composes an exhaustive picture of a particular form of time, of time written sideways. An hour-long performance from 2008 features two violins and flute articulating the diversity of entrances and cut-offs through a series of soft iterations of the sonority: Eb4, D5, Db6.

(Priest, 2011)

And that same idea, condensed, without redundancies in Winter’s tergiversate (2015). And also Terence Klex and his 5 hour Some Things We Should Never Speak Of (2015), in which every playable chord containing the notes of a C major chord are played by string quartet (Figure 3.13).

***
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Figure 3.12: Screenshot of John Simon’s *Every Image* running as a java applet inside Firefox from http://numeral.com/appletsoftware/eicon.html

Figure 3.13: Extract from Terence Klex’s *Some Things We Should Never Speak Of* (2015)
And you find yourself lowceilinged onceagain in concretedloored basement and watch them facing and stageseated and bookreading ornot. Atleast holdingbooks and pageflipping but otherewatching. Two of them, informal and in comfort, as if you are now livingroomsnooping, watching their private-time - both books, lap-laid and open and identical, their titles now legcovered but, when they seated themselves, minutes earlier, with bookspines both snapshot, you saw across mottledwhitecovers the title Cipher for the Lighthouse Twins (2015) and watched how, in turn, they turned pages back and forward, one or two at a time.

But from your placement - shortarse you, head-forest lost - you cannot see the codes upon their pages - an occasional triangleflash - but no instructions, encrypted perhaps?

And in that aftershow-space, pre-packdown and New Schmmoozic erupting amongst the glassclink, you circle with other composervultures around the deadtreeskeleton of scorestands to pick over the carion of notation, and finally hold those bookbulks - 520 pages between paperbacks - both identical.

On each pagespread, each lefthand blank and each righthand printed (Figure 3.14). Each righthand shows one of all possible combinations of four symbols indicating the direction of page turning.

Each pagespread contains an ordered set of four symbols (the right-hand column in Figure 3.14). Each element in this set can be one of four different symbols.

Let these symbols be defined as $a, b, c$ and $d$. In this system, the set $\{a, b, d, c\}$ can occur, but also the set $\{d, b, a, b\}$. Not all symbols need occur in each set, and symbols may occur more than once.

To calculate the total of possible combinations using this system, the following equation can be used: $n^r$, where $n$ is the number of elements to choose from, and $r$ is the size of the set. In this example $n^r = 4^4 = 256$. Therefore, there are 256 printed pages in the book (excluding instructions).

3.3.1 Combination In The Visual Arts

And, like all of completism, these combinations spew outwards from a single discipline. And, in the Tate Britain, you see one of the earliest completist works in the field of the visual arts: John Hilliard’s Camera Recording its Own Condition (7 Apertures, 10 Speeds, 2 Mirrors) (1971) (Figure 3.15).

The central image in this work, visible in varying degrees across seventy black and white photographs, arrayed in ten rows of seven across, is the artist’s camera, an East German made Praktica. The camera, which is operated by Hilliard, is reflected in two mirrors, the larger of which presents a reversed image of the subject. Hilliard also holds up a smaller mirror which reflects and makes legible the camera’s setting and controls. The variables governing the making of the work are indicated by the second part of its title, ‘7 apertures, 10 speeds, 2 mirrors’ - the camera has become both the subject and object of the work, in that the seventy photographs show the images resulting from all combinations of aperture size and shutter speed in that camera. Across a diagonal axis, where the exposures are ‘correct’, it is possible to read the camera settings which produced each image. Where the photographs have been sequentially over or under-exposed, the next reading can usually be logically inferred.

(Tate n.d.)

The artist Sol LeWitt’s output features many completist works based around combinatoric principles. In fact, of all the artists included in this survey of completist works, he is the artist whose work most frequently fits our criteria of completism, and who has more entries than any other in our list of completist works.

The ideas behind Hilliard’s early serial work, produced from iterating every single combination of possibilities within a fixed system, can also be seen in LeWitt’s Incomplete Open Cubes (1974) (Figure 3.16).
Figure 3.15: John Hilliard *Camera Recording its Own Condition (7 Apertures, 10 Speeds, 2 Mirrors)* (1971) [link](http://www.tate.org.uk/art/artworks/hilliard-camera-recording-its-own-condition-7-apertures-10-speeds-2-mirrors-t03116)
...a collection of frame structures and a presentation of their diagrams. Each structure in the project is a cube with some edges removed so that the structure remains three-dimensional and connected.

Structures are considered to be identical if one can be transformed into another by a space rotation (but not reflection).

The list of incomplete cubes consists of 122 structures.

(Reb 2011)

This is a process mirrored in Manfred Mohr’s computer-generated Cubic Limit Series (1972-76) (Figure 3.17), a collection of works which also uses the combinatorial possibilities of the cube as a starting point: “an alphabet of signs is created from the twelve lines of a cube. In some works, statistics and rotation are used in the algorithm to generate signs. In others, combinatorial, logical and additive operators generate the global and local structures of the images.” (Mohr, n.d.)

***

They could feel a fast mechanical pounding shaking the metal floor of the corridor, far louder than the satellite’s engines: that low hum like filtered white noise coupled with that piercing whine, just at the limit of human hearing - the reason for the deafness and insanity in the early explorers. Imagine that high-drill-whine boring into your eardrums day after day. The ship was immaculately clean and clearly had never been opened; a previous time sealed in the antiseptic air.

The door at the end of the corridor slid open automatically as they approached and suddenly the ship was filled with sound.

The room was spherical, the walls coated in wires and panels and lights. In the centre, floating in the zero-g was a grand piano playing by itself. Over its front was a mechanism rhythmically depressing the keys - some type of early solenoid technology, probably adapted for the gravityless environment (as presumably was the rest of the traditionally gravity-based piano mechanism). The instrument was tethered to the sides of the room by eight thin, transparent threads of elastic, holding it roughly in place to prevent any collisions with the sides of the room should the satellite suddenly change velocity or direction, although space-debris this far out was statistically unlikely.

It was rhythmically pulsing out eight chords every second, around a quarter of the keys being pressed down each time. The playing didn’t stop for the entire time they were there.
Figure 3.17: Image of etching made as part of Manfred Mohr’s *Cubic Limit Series* (1974) [http://www.emohr.com/ww4_out.html](http://www.emohr.com/ww4_out.html)
It must have been playing for millenia, the hex-screen presumably keeping count had long since burnt out, and now only flickered in unintelligible bursts (counting systems had changed so drastically since this ship was built it was pretty much meaningless anyway).

It must have been orbiting the star, fuelled by solar power, just waiting to be discovered - or maybe not even that. They wouldn’t know for how long until they got the archaeologists out here. The only clue to its age was the corpse slowly drifting near the peak of the room, preserved, like the rest of the ship, in a condition that was more eerie than horrifying: skin stretched taut but no decay - the janitor? the creator of this...thing? And the performance carried on without them, indifferent to their death, unfazed when its audience shrunk into passivity, continuing towards some end and an applause that would never come...
3.4 Enumeration

prologue armed, confidence
pen, voice, suited
argument
- Shakespeare *Troilus and Cressida*

You find yourself in another dark silence but now the speakers sit staged and outspill collage chunked sounds, each atomic, an ordering not audible, bits and pieces, a slower plunderphonics: the chaos of an encyclopaedia not of a corrupted hard-drive: an under-order, a structuring.

*Symfonie der Duizend* (1990-92) by Dutch composer Gilius van Bergeijk, translates as "Symphony of a Thousand" and is an electroacoustic piece consisting of the first note of every record in the composer’s record collection played one after the other, in alphabetical order. This is then followed by the first minute of all of the recordings used, superimposed over the top of each other to create a wall of noise. van Bergeijk’s work takes the fixed and finite set of the composer’s record collection at a particular point in time, uses the subset of the first note of each recording and iterates each of these subsets once only, using alphabetical ordering in relation to the name of the artist responsible for the recording.

***

And find you alone again at that greenbaize, cards palm piled and hardshuffled. And you sift through them, handfanned, and slowly deal to the table all of the clubs. Then, you sort that tablestack until thirteen cards are lined in order from one side of the table to the other, running from the ace of clubs on your left to the king of clubs on your right. You have enumerated the clubs.

***

The subcategory of completism referred to as "enumeration", encompasses works which involve the iteration once only of all subsets containing only one element which fulfil a specific criteria. In this type of work, the ordering of this enumeration is extremely important and is frequently based upon simple ascending or descending alphabetical, numerical, or chronological ordering.

At the simplest level, an example of an enumeration process could involve taking a set such as {7,3,2,4,9,1,5,6,8,10} and then applying a condition and an ordering process. For instance, if the condition is that only odd numbers will be enumerated, and the ordering will be ascending numerical order, the result would be {1,3,5,7,9}.

In enumeration artworks, the ordering of the elements is foregrounded, in contrast to combination-based works, in which this is unimportant. Enumeration artworks have an absence of combinations featuring more than one element, as opposed to the numerous-element combinations that define the combination subcategory. This subcategory also distinguishes itself from permutation in that only one of many possible permutations of the elements is used.

Enumeration is a technique that appears relatively late in the history of completism and is one of the few subcategories in which music appears to have taken the lead.

A later work, similar to van Bergeijk’s, is Canadian composer John Abram’s 68-minute composition *Vinyl Mine* (1996) which "catalogues the sound of a single pass from the play-off groove of each album comprising the (then) whole of his record collection" (Priest 2011), "at that time approximately 1100 discs, organised by category, composer/artist and date" (Abram n.d.).

These 20th Century electroacoustic approaches to musical completism were followed in the 21st Century by a slew of similar works which used completist techniques, often to highlight similarity or difference over a large set of recorded corpuses. Examples of this work are pieces such as Check TVine’s *James Hetfield "Yeah!" Compilation* (2014) a catalogue of every time the singer of Metallica says "Yeah!" over all their albums; Paul Marshall’s *How Shall We End This?* (2015), a compilation of every similar-sounding ending from the songs of the rock group AC/DC; and proteinjivesutra’s *Beethoven’s Eroica: opening chords*, a "chronological survey of the opening chords of Beethoven’s Eroica Symphony" (2013).\(^7\)

\(^7\) It is unclear if this last work is fully completist as whether all possible recordings of Beethoven’s *Eroica* are used is not stated.
The composer, Antoine Beuger’s *calme étendue (spinoza)* (1997) 'consists of the successive reading of all the approximately 40,000 monosyllabic words from Spinoza’s *Ethics*, painstakingly copied in their order of appearance. Each syllable is to be spoken in a very relaxed tempo (one word every eight seconds) and with a very quiet voice.' (Glover & Harrison, 2013, p. 43) Similarly to van Bergeijk’s work, sequential ordering is important. The ordering is applied to a subset of an existent set of material in order to bring out hidden characteristics in the original set. In both cases the ‘set’ (van Bergeijk’s record collection, Spinoza’s *Ethics*) could be described as a ‘readymade’ or ‘found material’. Both works, although very different in their aesthetic outcomes (the overloaded chaos of van Bergeijk’s work versus the quiet, spaced contemplation of Beuger’s) ostensibly use an identical process for their construction: Selection of a set, selection of subsets, ordering.

Perhaps the most complex type of completist musical enumeration is seen in Scott Rickard’s *Costas Golumb No. 1: The Perfect Ping* (2009), consisting of all 88 keys of the piano played only once, arranged so as to negate any intervallic doubling using a mathematical system known as a “Costas Array” and rhythmically avoiding any proportional duplication through the use of another mathematical system known as a “Golumb Ruler” (Schellinx, 2014).

Probably one of the most prolific completist composers, when it comes to enumeration, is Eric Carlson. Carlson has produced several works enumerating the subsets of various historical works such as *At C: Every Middle C from Tristan und Isolde* (2015) (Figure 3.18), *The Art of the Tetrad: All Tetrads in The Art of the Fugue* (2012) and *Beethoven op. 131 mvt 1 Without Rests* (2014), all of which follow the enumeration procedure outlined in their titles to create new works from their source materials.

### 3.4.1 Enumeration in Literature

In literature, there are several examples of enumerative processes. Judith Goldman’s poem *dicktee* (1997) is ‘composed of every single word in Melville’s *Moby Dick* that begins with the prefix un-, in the exact order in which they appear’ (Ngai 2000) and Christine Bergvall’s aforementioned *VIA (36 Dante Translations)* (2001) collates the opening of every single English translation of Dante’s *Inferno* in the British Library. Christian Bök’s *Eunoia* (2001) is a univocal lipogram, in which each chapter restricts

---

*Golumb rulers were used for building chords in my work *We Doubled Down the Base Camps.*
itself to the use of a single vowel” (Bök 2001, 103). Due to the need that these organizations of words be sense-making, the ordering of these lipograms was not algorithmically generated, and in fact took Bök seven years. His work follows other Oulipian pseudo-completist lipograms, such as Georg Perec’s *La disparition* (1969) which uses no words featuring the letter E, or *Les revenentes* (1972) in which only words containing the letter E are used.

### 3.4.2 Supercuts

The types of procedure outlined above have become a genre unto themself in the discipline of net art, where the last ten years has seen rapid increase in the internet-based artform known as the *supercut*, an example of a more mainstream adoption of completist aesthetics. A supercut is an ordered assemblage of conceptually-related fragments of movies or television series, a term apparently coined by the blogger Andy Baio in a 2008 blogpost of this type of material. However, the earliest form of this type of work seems to date back to Chuck Jones’s *Buffies (first season)* (2002), which consists of "Every utterance of the word "Buffy" made during the first season of *Buffy The Vampire Slayer*" (Jones n.d.) and contemporaneous works by the artists Jennifer and Kevin McCoy *Every Anvil* (2002) and *Every Shot, Every Episode* (2001) - installations in which multiple supercuts are created from 100 *Looney Tunes* episodes and the TV show *Starsky & Hutch* (McCoy J. & McCoy K. 2012).

Supercuts are primarily a phenomena driven by the internet and video-streaming services, such as youtube.com (which launched in 2005 and resulted in a large spike in this type of work in 2006). They exist for several reasons, although, interestingly, they very rarely present themselves as art. Not every supercut is completist, as many eschew either the complete exhaustion of a finite set or do not apply ordering procedures.

---

9 Along with a series of other writing restrictions “[t]he text must exhaust the lexicon for each vowel, citing at least 98% of the available repertoire (although a few words do go unused, despite efforts to include them: parallax, belvedere, gingivitis, monochord and tumulus). The text must minimise repetition of substantive vocabulary (so that, ideally, no word appears more than once).” (Bök 2001, 103)

10 It is worth noting that this is the same technique used in the prologue of this section, where the only words of Shakespeare’s writing that are left are those containing the letter E. One could also see a reference to Perec’s work in *Almost Every*, although this was not intentional - it’s more to do prevalence of this pitch class when the guitar is in standard tuning.
3.5 Re-Ordering

A actor’s argument armed as author’s
but but conditions confidence In in like not
Of or our pen prologue suited voice

- Shakespeare *Troilus and Cressida*

Once again, we find you at that greenbaize. Newdeck unwrapped and palmheld. And deal you onto that falselawn the cards in a new order: first by value, then alphabetically by suit: ace of clubs, ace of diamonds, ace of hearts, ace of spades, two of clubs, two of diamonds, two of hearts, two of spades - until the deck is completely re-ordered according to new rules.

***

Re-ordering has some similarities with enumeration yet, unlike enumeration, rather than involving the ordering of a subset which has been created through the application of a set of rules, re-ordering applies an ordering procedure to the entirety of the original set. Given the ordered set \{7, 3, 2, 4, 9, 1, 5, 6, 8, 10\}, a re-ordering might result in: \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10\}. This could alternatively be considered as a single permutation of the given set in which the ordering is ascending and alphanumeric.

Perhaps one of the clearest examples of a completist work of re-ordering is Claude Closky’s self-explanatory *The First Thousand Numbers Classified in Alphabetical Order* (1989):

Eight, eight hundred, eight hundred and eight, eight hundred and eighteen, eight hundred
and eighty, eight hundred and eighty-eight, eight hundred and eighty-five, eight hundred
and eighty-four, eight hundred and eighty-nine, eight hundred and eighty-one, eight hundred
and eighty-seven, eight hundred and eighty-six, eight hundred and eighty-three, eight hundred
and eighty-two, eight hundred and eleven, eight hundred and fifteen, eight hundred
and fifty, eight hundred and fifty-eight, eight hundred and fifty-five, eight hundred and fifty-four,
eight hundred and fifty-nine, eight hundred and fifty-one, eight hundred and fifty-seven, eight
hundred and fifty-six, eight hundred and fifty-three, eight hundred and fifty-two . . .

Here the work subverts the conventional, numerical ordering of the set in order to impose a different alphabetical ordering. An earlier version of this technique can be found in a piece of text by Emmett Williams from 1966 called *abeiciiillnnmmmmstwy* (Figure 3.19), which features a list of names of famous fictional literary characters re-ordered alphabetically in the following format: “don quixote = deinoquitox”. Also of note is that the title is a re-ordered version of the author’s name (Williams 2004, 30). A similar process is also at play in Gerald Ferguson’s *The Standard Corpus of Present Day English Language Usage Arranged by Word Length and Alphabetized Within Word Length* (1970); Kenneth Gangemi’s *Lydia* (1970) which alphabetically lists national parks; Lcevi Lehto’s *Päivä* (2007) which alphabetizes the Finnish News Media feed from August 20 2003; Rory Macbeth’s *The Bible (alphabetized)* (2007); and Leila Brett’s alphabetization of Proust’s *À la recherche du temps perdu* (2004)\[11\].

Both the processes of re-ordering and enumeration have their origins in a much older, non-artistic practice: the creation of concordances. These were alphabetized collections of the words used in important religious or artistic works. Concordances would record each word in the text and where it was used, much like an over-detailed index, to allow easy reference. The earliest complete concordance is of the Latin Bible, compiled by the Benedictine Hugo de San Charo in the thirteenth century, reportedly with the assistance of over 500 monks (Tribble & Jones 1992, 29).

In net art, several examples of alphanumeric re-ordering are worthy of note: Firstly, Lenka Clayton’s *Quaeda, Quickly, Question, Quickly, Quickly, Quiet*, which is a video alphabetization of George W. Bush’s ‘Axis of Evil’ speech (Clayton 2010, 40). Secondly, Tom 7’s *ARST ARSW* (2014), a video alphabetization of dialogue from the film Star Wars, and the related *AARRSSTW – WTSSRRAA* (2013) by %20, in which the 1997 *Star Wars* Special Edition movie is resorted by shot length (ascending and

---

\[11\] Some of these can be seen in Dworkin and Goldsmith’s *Against Expression* collection (Dworkin [2011]).
descending). Thirdly, the recent *Of Oz the Wizard* (2015) by Matt Bucy, which involves the alphabetical re-ordering of the film *The Wizard Of Oz*.

These works have a lineage back to Anne McGuire’s *Strain Andromeda, The* (1992), which is a re-edited version of Robert Wise’s 1971 science fiction film *The Andromeda Strain* in which the order of shots is reversed, so that the last shot appears first and the first last (vdb n.d.).

In music, examples of completist works of re-ordering include Jasna Veličković’s *Good Bach* (2001/4) and Eric Carlson’s *Aacccino* (2013), both of which take works by Bach and re-order the pitches within them in ascending order. Similar is Carlson’s *Alphabetized Winterreise* (2013) (Figure 3.20), which uses the text of Schubert’s song cycle as the basis for the re-ordering. Also worthy of note is Luke Nickel’s *Reorganization* series (2012) which provides a list of instructions for the re-arrangement of an existing musical work in a way which will frequently produce completist works. One existing realization involves the re-ordering of the vocal line of Bikini Kill’s *Alien She*. All of these works clearly have strong connections to earlier, literary works, such as the Claude Closky piece discussed earlier.

---

**Figure 3.19:** Emmett Williams’ *abecelimmnstwvy* (1966) from *Manifestos* (2004, p. 30)

---

**Figure 3.20:** Extract of Eric Carlson’s *Alphabetized Winterreise* (2013) [http://midnightsledding.com/carlson/AlphaWinter.pdf](http://midnightsledding.com/carlson/AlphaWinter.pdf)
3.6 Mapping

And under the dullight and the greenbaize, you would practice your memory. You could memorize an entire pack of cards. A mnemonic device, the "peg system" (Brown 2006, 69-114) - each card-number translated into an image, used for recalling the deck’s order. You hold a shuffled deck and turn over the top card: the 7 of clubs - reverse it - clubs seven - clubs becomes "C", seven becomes "T" - fill in a vowel - 'a' - and seven of clubs becomes the mental image of a 'CaT'. A mapping.

This sub-category involves the mapping of the entirety of one set onto another. This mapping, in mathematical terms, must be "bijective", in other words, each element in one set can only map onto one and only one element of the other set. A bijective mapping of the ordered set \{A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J\} onto the ordered set \{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10\} could then be used to translate between the two types of material contained in each set, such that an ordered subset of the first \{D,I,C,E\} could then be used to create a corresponding ordered subset of the second \{4,9,3,5\}.

In a completist mapping, this relation is frequently used to exhaust a particular permutational set of possibilities offered by the first set. For example, listed below are all possible 5-letter words made from the set \{A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J\} and occurring in the Scrabble Dictionary mapped to their counterparts in the ordered set \{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10\}:

\[
\begin{align*}
\{a,b,i,d,e\} &= \{1,2,9,4,5\} & \{c,a,d,i,e\} &= \{3,1,4,9,5\} & \{f,a,d,g,e\} &= \{6,1,4,7,5\} \\
\{a,c,h,e,d\} &= \{1,3,8,5,4\} & \{c,a,g,e,d\} &= \{3,1,7,5,4\} & \{f,i,c,h,e\} &= \{6,9,3,8,5\} \\
\{b,a,d,g,e\} &= \{2,1,4,7,5\} & \{c,e,b,i,d\} &= \{3,5,2,9,4\} & \{f,i,d,g,e\} &= \{6,9,4,7,5\} \\
\{b,a,g,i,e\} &= \{2,1,7,9,5\} & \{c,e,i,b,a\} &= \{3,5,9,2,1\} & \{g,a,d,j,e\} &= \{7,1,4,10,5\} \\
\{b,e,c,a,h\} &= \{2,5,1,3,8\} & \{c,h,a,f,e\} &= \{3,8,1,6,5\} & \{g,i,b,e,d\} &= \{7,9,2,5,4\} \\
\{b,e,g,a,d\} &= \{2,5,7,1,4\} & \{c,h,i,d,e\} &= \{3,8,9,4,5\} & \{h,a,d,j,i\} &= \{8,1,4,10,9\} \\
\{b,h,a,j,i\} &= \{2,8,1,10,9\} & \{c,h,i,e,f\} &= \{3,8,9,5,6\} & \{h,e,j,a,b\} &= \{8,5,10,1,2\} \\
\{b,i,g,a,e\} &= \{2,9,7,1,5\} & \{d,e,b,a,g\} &= \{4,5,2,1,7\} & \{h,i,j,a,b\} &= \{8,9,10,1,2\} \\
\{b,i,g,h,a\} &= \{2,9,7,8,1\} & \{d,e,c,a,f\} &= \{4,5,3,1,6\} & \{i,c,h,e,d\} &= \{9,3,8,5,4\} \\
\{c,a,d,g,e\} &= \{3,1,4,7,5\} & \{f,a,c,e,d\} &= \{6,1,3,5,4\} & \{j,e,h,a,d\} &= \{10,5,8,1,4\} \\
\end{align*}
\]

In contrast to other types of completism, in which the set undergoing the permutation, combination or enumeration procedure is presented as the final product, mapping instead presents a secondary set as the output. In the example above 'abide' is not the first of our results, '1 2 9 4 5' is. Although the process of mapping has a long history in cryptography, it is only in the twenty-first century that this type of completism has frequently occurred in artworks.
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Examples of works using mapping include Luiz Henrique Yudo’s *On Words* (2000-1) (Figure 3.22) in which all the words starting with a particular letter in the dictionary are transformed into music using a systemized set of rules based on braille. A similar type of process is found in Rama Gottfried’s *Langenscheidt Euro-Edition Teil A* (2009) (Figure 3.24) for violinist and performer, this time using words beginning with A from a German dictionary. Michael Oesterle’s *All Words* (2014) for choir “sets, in alphabetical sequence, all 1,015 three-letter words from the *Official Scrabble Players Dictionary*” (Rutherford-Johnson 2015), although here the application is much less systematised.

Other examples include Anton Wasiljew’s *Das Kapital Study* (2013) which uses the text of Karl Marx’s *Das Kapital* as an ordered set in which each word is turned into a sound, with only the words "labour" and "money" getting sonic signifiers. Cory Arcangel uses a mapping procedure in his net-art works *Drei Klavierstücke op. 11* (2009), which is "a recreation of Arnold Schoenberg’s 1909 op. 11 *Drei Klavierstücke* (aka Three Piano Pieces) made by editing together videos of cats playing pianos downloaded from Youtube" (Arcangel 2009) or *Paganini Caprice No. 5* (2011) in which videos of amateur guitar players from youtube are used to reconstruct the work of the title (Arcangel 2011). An early example of this type of work is *A Wild Hare* by the rock band Spastic Ink (1997) in which every word from the character Thumper in the film *Bambi* is transformed into a guitar melody with accompaniment.
Marcel Broodthaers’s *Un Coup de dés jamais n’abîmera le hasard* (Figure 3.23), utilises the typographical arrangement of Mallarmé’s poem of the same name by replacing the text with black rectangles, leaving only the layout of the writing, divorced from the words themselves. This mapping procedure was taken even further in the identically titled 2009 work by Michalis Pichler in which these arrangements of black rectangles are punched into a player piano roll and transformed into music.

This process is similar to that applied in my *Augenmusik 1b-e*, in which the ejaculate-covered sheets of paper used in *Augenmusik I: The Grid Is A Terrible Moment For Sensitivity And Substance (after John Cage and Jordan Mackenzie)*, described in Chapter 1, are translated into sound via the imposition of different sizes of rhythmic and pitch grids, using a computer algorithm.

My work *MG3250 Performs Cornelius Cardew’s “Treatise”* (2015) consists of a recording of an inkjet printer printing the score of Cardew’s graphic work, proposing that this may be a valid interpretation of the score, as every graphic element of the score is mapped into sound.

*NFO: Book Of Fluxus-Style One-Word Verb Pieces* (Figure 3.26) is a work I made in 2013 which uses a corpus of verbs from an online English-language word-list to create 13,718 one-word text scores in the style of those found in Yoko Ono’s *Grapefruit* (see Figure 3.25). This is a net art work which only exists as an online webpage and randomly re-orders the scores on the page each time it is loaded. In some ways this is similar to the *sortes* divination procedure discussed in the last chapter. This work was part of an ongoing project called the *New Fordist Organization* which uses techniques pioneered by Henry Ford to mass-produce artworks.
Figure 3.26: Extract from *NFO: One-Word Fluxus Text Scores* running in *Firefox*.
3.7 Direct Transcription

A prologue armed, but not in confidence
Of author’s pen, or actor’s voice, but suited
In like conditions as our argument
- Shakespeare Troilus and Cressida

***

We step and do not step into the same rivers; we are and are not.
- Heraclitus (Curry 2010)

***

The game had finished, the chairs now vacant around that dull-lit lawn of greenbaize and centre-
stacked cards of that last game still ... but now quickly forgotten. You didn’t deal the last round, it
was he to your left, now emptychaired and ghosted. And so you fan them face-up - the derangements
of another’s randomhands now outsmeared.

You take a new pack, pocket-stashed and still cocooned, and unravel its transparent skin. You lidpick,
boxshake and palmcatch, the contents now handsat. And you begin to place the cards from your hand
onto the greenbaize below that of that fan of othershuffles, so that each newdeck card sits below its aged
twin, until two identically ordered decks sit fanned on the table: a deck of someone else’s shuffle, and
your exact copy.

***

Direct transcription is a type of completism which involves the copying of an existing artwork, with
the intent that this replication is as close to the original as possible. Sometimes this is done through a
process of human transcription, other times it involves computerised duplication of the work.

Mathematically, direct transcription could be seen as a bijective mapping of an ordered set such that
\[ x_n = y_n. \] For instance, the mapping of the ordered set \( \{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10\} \) onto the set \( \{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10\} \).

Direct transcription is a way of working which has gained popularity in the literary field largely due
to the conceptual writing movement. The poet Kenneth Goldsmith’s Day (The Figures) (2003) is a
re-typed copy of all of the text of the 1 September 2000 edition of the New York Times, published as
a book. Simon Morris’s Getting Inside of Jack Kerouac’s Head (2008) is a re-typing of the entirety
of Kerouac’s On The Road; Robert Fitterman’s Directory (2009), which consists of the directory of an
unnamed mall (Goldsmith, p. 97); and Vanessa Place re-purposes legal documents in Statement of Facts

Although there are not many musical examples of this type of completism, notable are the recordings
released by Zenph Studios, in which famous recordings of solo piano music are subjected to computer
analysis and then re-performed by an extremely accurate player-piano system. Zenph Studios have
released four albums utilising this technology on performances by Rachmaninoff, Art Tatum, Oscar
Peterson and a re-creation of Glenn Gould’s 1955 recording of Bach’s Goldberg Variations.

Whilst Zenph Studios have attempted direct transcription through technological means, in 2014 the
jazz group Mostly Other People Do The Killing released an album entitled Blue (2014) in which the
group attempts to recreate note-for-note the entirety of Miles Davis’s Kind Of Blue album.
3.8 Defining Completism

In the previous sections of this chapter, six main categories of completist works were given (permutation, combination, enumeration, re-ordering, mapping and direct transcription) along with the beginnings of an outline of a completist canon.

Again, completism can be defined as follows:

A completist work consists, almost solely,
of the systematic exhaustion
of specific combinatorial possibilities,
iterated once only,
of the subsets (and/or their relations)
of a fixed and finite set of discrete elements.

To simplify, there are three elements which allow the construction of a completist work:

1. The Set
2. The Subsets and/or their relations
3. The Ordering

3.8.1 The Set

All completist works are based around a set of material, whether it be the 13 notes in an octave in Tom Johnson’s *The Chord Catalogue*, the film *The Andromeda Strain* in Anne Mcguire’s *Strain Andromeda*, or all possible camera settings in John Hilliard’s *Camera Recording its Own Condition*. One of the key elements about all of the sets mentioned above is that they have several characteristics:

The Set Is Fixed

The sets in completist works are fixed, meaning that the set does not change during the course of the artwork. The set is the same at the beginning of the work as at the end, a fact that allows the full exhaustion of its combinatoric possibilities.

The Set Is Finite

The sets used in a completist work must be finite. This excludes works made using infinite or transfinite sets. This means that, while it may be tempting to label the artist Roman Opalka’s $1 - \infty$ series of canvasses, which are filled with tiny, painted, incrementally-increasing lists of natural numbers, as completist, they are not. The set of natural numbers is infinite, and Opalka’s series was only terminated by his death in 2011. The infinite nature of the set prevents its exhaustion - a necessity for a work to be completist.

The Set Is Discrete

The use of discrete sets is an important element of the completist approach, as the use of discrete elements defines the type of mathematics that can be used. Combination, permutation, enumeration, mapping and re-ordering are techniques from discrete mathematics, of which completism can be considered an aesthetic offshoot.

The focus upon discrete elements means that many works which might, on the surface, appear to be completist, are in fact, only pseudo-completist due to their use of continua. Works such as James Tenney’s *Postcard Piece No. 4: Koan* (1971) for solo violin, Michael Snow’s video work *Wavelength* (1967), or John Lely’s *The Harmonics of Real String* (2006/13), all involve continuous movement (the slow glissando over the entirety of a string instrument in Tenney and Lely, and a slow zoom in the Snow) that, cover all intervals between one point and another, but do so in a way that does not feature the discreteness necessary for completist works. Andy Warhol’s *Empire* (1964) and *Sleep* (1963), both featuring long, static shots, also fail to meet the discreteness criteria, as does Douglas Gordon’s *24 Hour Psycho* (1993).
3.8.2 The Subsets and/or Their Relations

The size and type of subsets used will partly define the types of completist procedures that can be performed. One choice is whether the subsets themselves, or the relations between the subsets will be the focus of the completist operations. In van Bergeijk’s work, the subset of all first notes of recordings in his record collection is used, whereas in Scott Rickard’s work, the relations between the elements (i.e. the musical intervals) are the elements being exhausted.

Completist works tend to consist of subsets or sets which coincide with the entirety of a typological classification which is identifiable outside of itself. e.g. rather than “13 chords chosen by the composer from some Bing Crosby songs”, it would be “all chords from every Bing Crosby song”. Here the typology delineating both chords and the number of Bing Crosby songs is established and defined externally to the completist work, which involves the exhaustion of that typology.

3.8.3 The Ordering

The System

There is often a systematic or algorithmic approach to the ordering of elements in a completist work. Often this is extremely simple, as with alphanumeric or chronological ordering, in order to foreground the systematized nature of the material.

Iterated Once Only/Exhaustion

Key to the completist aesthetic is an idea best summed up in this quotation from Webern, talking about his Bagatelles (1911) (Op. 9) (Webern, 1963):

... about 1911 I wrote the Bagatelles for String Quartet (Op. 9), all very short pieces, lasting a couple of minutes perhaps the shortest music so far. Here I had the feeling, "When all twelve notes have gone by, the piece is over."

(Webern, 1963, p.51)

Webern’s actual compositions, however, are not completist, due to the subclause in the definition of completism which states that combinatorial possibilities be “iterated only once” (Figure 3.27).

However, this specification cuts both ways. Whilst a completist work must enumerate its specific set of combinatorial possibilities not more than once, they must also not be enumerated less than once, a stipulation which excludes several works which set out a network of all possibilities, yet fail to enumerate all of them.

An early example of this tendency of partial enumeration in pseudo-completist works an be seen in the popular musical dice games of the 18th century (Hedges, 1978). These dice games introduced chance procedures to musical composition by using dice rolls to determine the orderings of pre-composed musical segments but only ever enumerate one possibility at a time.

Similarly, Raymond Queneau’s 100,000,000,000,000 Poems (1961) provides the possibility of generating a vast amount of sonnets by allowing for 10 sonnets of 14 lines each to be recombined in as many ways as possible: \(10^{14}\) different combinations or 100 billion possible sonnets (Matthews & Brotchie 2005). The need for all combinations to be iterated for a work to be truly completist means that Queneau’s poem is not truly completist, neither is Daniel James Wolf’s musical version of Queneau’s work, 100,000,000,000,000 PIECES FOR CLARINET (2011-12), although a work which presented all possible sonnets simultaneously would be considered completist.

This is why Thomas Pynchon’s multiple grammatical permutations of the words "you" "never" "did" "the" "Kenosha" "kid" in Thomas Pynchon’s Gravity’s Rainbow (Pynchon 1995 60-61) is not completist, but Darius Kazemi twitter feed (https://twitter.com/YouNeverDidTh) which enumerates all of them is 12.

12 (3) Minor employee: Well, he has been avoiding me, and I thought it might be because of the Slothrop affair. If he somehow held me responsible -

Superior (haughtily): You! never did the Kenosha Kid think for one instant that you . . . ?

(3.1) Superior (incredulously): You? Never! Did the Kenosha Kid think for one instant that you . . . ?

(Pynchon 1995 60-61)
Figure 3.27: The score of *Correction #2*.
A completist work iterates all of its total possibilities once and once only.

### 3.8.4 The Aesthetic: Almost Solely

Fundamental to the aesthetics of completism, is the intention for these works to be viewed as art. This distinguishes completism from the use of combinatorics as an educational tool or mathematical exercise.

The idea of a completist work consisting "almost solely" of the iteration of a completist process is probably the criteria most open to subjectivity in the definition we have put forward. It is key that a completist work foregrounds its completist nature. This foregrounding often takes shape in the ordering of the material according to a simple system, easily understood by a potential audience, or by holding many of the other parameters in the piece static, whilst the parameter being permuted, re-ordered, enumerated etc. changes.

This means that many works, although built upon completist principles, do not count as completist unless this systematized process is the main focus of the work. This discounts works such as the third movement of Brian Ferneyhough’s solo guitar piece Kurze Schatten II (1983-89) which is based on “a large table of all possible guitar finger positions – from 1st to 18th position, if I recall correctly – and all possible combinations of four fingers over any combination of the six strings” (Ferneyhough, 1993, pp. 146-147), or Andrew Greenwald’s string quartet A Thing Is A Hole In A Thing It Is Not (2012), which uses the 15 possible instrumental combinations available within the string quartets instrumentation as a formal construct (Greenwald, 2012). Both of these works contain a high degree of change in other compositional parameters which obscure these basic completist procedures.

### 3.8.5 The Elements of Completism

Completism can thus be broken down into these four elements:

1. **The Set**
   - Fixed
   - Finite
   - Discrete Elements

2. **The Subsets and/or their relations**
   - How are the subsets defined?
   - What are their combinatorial possibilities?
   - Combination
   - Permutation
   - Enumeration
   - Mapping
   - Re-ordering
   - Direct Transcription

3. **The Ordering**
   - Chronological/alphabetical/numerical/algorithmic
   - Once and once only

4. **The Aesthetic**
   - Almost Solely
### 3.9 List Of Completist Works

Built with Beavan Flanagan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AUTHOR</th>
<th>WORK</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>MEDIUM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Christoph Graupner</td>
<td>Canon with 5626 Inversion</td>
<td>1730-35</td>
<td>music</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Various</td>
<td>Change Ringing</td>
<td>1700s</td>
<td>music</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph Cornell</td>
<td>Rose Hobart</td>
<td></td>
<td>video art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brion Gysin</td>
<td>I AM THAT I AM</td>
<td>1959</td>
<td>poetry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brion Gysin</td>
<td>Pistol Poem</td>
<td>1960</td>
<td>poetry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brion Gysin</td>
<td>Junk Is No Good Baby</td>
<td>1960</td>
<td>poetry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brion Gysin</td>
<td>Kick That Habit Man</td>
<td>1960</td>
<td>poetry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brion Gysin</td>
<td>No Poets Dont Own Words</td>
<td>1960</td>
<td>poetry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brion Gysin</td>
<td>I Dont Work You Dig</td>
<td>1960</td>
<td>poetry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brion Gysin</td>
<td>This Is Sam Francis</td>
<td>1960</td>
<td>poetry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brion Gysin</td>
<td>Pistol Poem</td>
<td>1960</td>
<td>sound-art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emmett Williams</td>
<td>Cellar Song for Five Voices</td>
<td>1960</td>
<td>music</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marc Adrian</td>
<td>Text I</td>
<td>1963</td>
<td>video art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dan Flavin</td>
<td>the nominal three (to William of Ockham)</td>
<td>1963</td>
<td>visual art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alison Knowles</td>
<td>Performance Piece #8 (Summer, 1965)</td>
<td>1965</td>
<td>music</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alison Knowles</td>
<td>Word Power</td>
<td>1966</td>
<td>literature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackson Mac Low</td>
<td>Jail Break</td>
<td>1966</td>
<td>poetry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On Kawara</td>
<td>I Read</td>
<td>1966</td>
<td>visual art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sol LeWitt</td>
<td>Serial Project #1 (ABCD)</td>
<td>1966</td>
<td>visual art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ed Ruscha</td>
<td>Every Building On The Sunset Strip</td>
<td>1966</td>
<td>photography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emmett Williams</td>
<td>abecubflmmumstwy</td>
<td>1966</td>
<td>poetry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sol LeWitt</td>
<td>Three-Part Variations on Three Different Kinds of Cubes</td>
<td>1967</td>
<td>visual art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomás Marco</td>
<td>Das Augenblicht (homage to anton webern)</td>
<td>1967</td>
<td>performance art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Morris</td>
<td>Permutation</td>
<td>1967</td>
<td>sculpture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Morris</td>
<td>Untitled (Drawing for 380 Possible Fibreglass, Steel, Aluminium Pieces)</td>
<td>1967</td>
<td>Drawing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emmett Williams</td>
<td>Sweethearts</td>
<td>1967</td>
<td>poetry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruce Nauman</td>
<td>Study for First Poem Piece</td>
<td>1968</td>
<td>visual art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Perec</td>
<td>The Machine</td>
<td>1968</td>
<td>theatre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marcel Broodthaers</td>
<td>Un Coup de dés jamais n’abîmira le hasard</td>
<td>1969</td>
<td>visual art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deborah Hay</td>
<td>20 Permutations of 2 Sets of 3 Equal Parts in a Linear Pattern</td>
<td>1969</td>
<td>choreography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On Kawara</td>
<td>One Million Years-Past</td>
<td>1969</td>
<td>visual art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gerald Ferguson</td>
<td>The Standard Corpus of Present Day English Language Usage Arranged by Word Length and Alphabetized Within Word Length</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>literature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenneth Gangemi</td>
<td>Lydia</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>literature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sol LeWitt</td>
<td>One-, Two-, Three- and Four-Part Combinations</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>visual art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mel Bochner</td>
<td>Axiom of Exhaustion</td>
<td>1971</td>
<td>visual art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Hilliard</td>
<td>Camera recording its own condition</td>
<td>1971</td>
<td>photography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sol LeWitt</td>
<td>49 Three-Part Variations on Three Different Kinds of Cubes</td>
<td>1971</td>
<td>visual art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alighiero c Boetti</td>
<td>Untitled (Victoria Boogie Woogie)</td>
<td>1972</td>
<td>visual art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manfred Mohr</td>
<td>Cubic Limit series</td>
<td>1972</td>
<td>visual art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sol LeWitt</td>
<td>All Combinations of Arcs from Corners and Sides</td>
<td>1973</td>
<td>visual art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Category</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sol LeWitt</td>
<td>Incomplete Open Cubes</td>
<td>1974</td>
<td>Installation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sol LeWitt</td>
<td>Five Cubes on Twenty-Five Squares (sides touching/corners touching)</td>
<td>1977</td>
<td>visual art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippe Bootz</td>
<td>Combinatory Poems on Minicomputer</td>
<td>1979</td>
<td>poetry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On Kawara</td>
<td>I Went</td>
<td>1968-79</td>
<td>visual art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On Kawara</td>
<td>I Met</td>
<td>1968-79</td>
<td>visual art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On Kawara</td>
<td>I Got Up At</td>
<td>1968-79</td>
<td>visual art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On Kawara</td>
<td>One Million Years-Future</td>
<td>1980</td>
<td>visual art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samuel Beckett</td>
<td>Quad</td>
<td>1981</td>
<td>theatre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom Johnson</td>
<td>Tango</td>
<td>1984</td>
<td>music</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom Johnson</td>
<td>Chord Catalogue</td>
<td>1986</td>
<td>music</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom Johnson</td>
<td>Music and Questions</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>music</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claude Closky</td>
<td>The First Thousand Numbers Classified in Alphabetical Order</td>
<td>1989</td>
<td>literature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janet Zweig</td>
<td>The 336 Lines Currently Expunged from Shakespeare’s Romeo and Juliet in Ninth-Grade Textbooks</td>
<td>1989</td>
<td>literature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sol LeWitt</td>
<td>Figures and Their Combinations</td>
<td>1991</td>
<td>visual art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anne McGuire</td>
<td>Strain Andromeda, The</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>video art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gilius van Bergijk</td>
<td>Symphony of 1000 [Symfonie der Duizend]</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>music</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sol LeWitt</td>
<td>One-, Two-, Three-, and Four-Part Combinations of Vertical, Horizontal and Diagonal Left and Right Bands of Color</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>visual art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tracey Emin</td>
<td>Everyone I Have Ever Slept With (1963-1995)</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>visual art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Abram</td>
<td>Vinyl Mine</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>music</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antoine Beuger</td>
<td>calme étendue (spinoza)</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>music</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judith Goldman</td>
<td>dictree</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>poetry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spastic Ink</td>
<td>A Wild Hare</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>music</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Simon</td>
<td>Every Icon</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>net art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chuck Jones</td>
<td>NPR Inhaler</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>sound art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lisa Jevbratt</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>net art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brian Kim Stefan</td>
<td>The Dreamlife of Letters</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>net art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christine Bergvall</td>
<td>VIA (36 Dante Translations)</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>literature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christian Bök</td>
<td>Eunoia</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>literature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>José Luis Castillejo</td>
<td>TLALAAATALA</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>literature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenneth Goldsmith</td>
<td>Soliloquy</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>literature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jennifer &amp; Kevin McCoy</td>
<td>Every Shot, Every Episode</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>visual art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luiz Henrique Yudo</td>
<td>On Words</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>music</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jasna Velčkovič</td>
<td>Good Bach</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>music</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chuck Jones</td>
<td>Buffies (first season)</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>supercut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chuck Jones</td>
<td>Loveline Questions</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>sound art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chuck Jones</td>
<td>Five More Isolation Studies</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>sound art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jennifer &amp; Kevin McCoy</td>
<td>Every Anvil</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>visual art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chedomir Barone</td>
<td>Piano Installation with Derangements</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>music</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenneth Goldsmith</td>
<td>Day (The Figures)</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>literature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom Johnson</td>
<td>Combinations for String Quartet</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>music</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leila Brett</td>
<td>À la recherche du temps perdu</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>literature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brendan Dawes</td>
<td>Cinema Redux</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>visual art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenneth Goldsmith</td>
<td>The Weather</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>literature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Scarface F Word</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>supercut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samuel Vriezen</td>
<td>Within Fourths / Within Fifths</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>music</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormy Lang</td>
<td>Casino The Fucking Short Version</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>supercut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormy Lang</td>
<td>The Big Lebowski - The Fucking Short Version <em>featured</em></td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>supercut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yukolel</td>
<td>Fargo Yeah</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>supercut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cory Arcangel</td>
<td>Every Other C.S.N.Y.</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>visual art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elizabeth S. Clark</td>
<td>Between Words</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>literature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin Creed</td>
<td>Piano accompaniment (No. 736)</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>installation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craig Dworkin</td>
<td>Parse</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>literature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenneth Goldsmith</td>
<td>Traffic</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>literature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leevi Lehto</td>
<td>Päivä</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>literature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rory Macbeth</td>
<td>The Bible (alphabetized)</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>literature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adam Parrish</td>
<td>@everyword</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>net art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>skywalkerpotter21</td>
<td>Shia LaBeouf in &quot;No No No NOo&quot; video</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>supercut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zebonka</td>
<td>Star Trek I - X Montage</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>supercut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. Douglas Barrett</td>
<td>Three Voices</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>music</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenneth Goldsmith</td>
<td>Sports</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>literature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simon Morris</td>
<td>Getting Inside of Jack Kerouac’s head</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>literature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanessa Place</td>
<td>Statement of Facts</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>poetry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zenph Studios</td>
<td>Zenph Re-Performance: Piano Starts Here - Art Tatum: Live At The Shrine</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>music</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cory Arcangel</td>
<td>Drei Klavierstücke op. 11</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>net art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Fitterman</td>
<td>Directory</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>poetry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Fitterman</td>
<td>The Sun Also Rises</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>literature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rama Gottfried</td>
<td>Langenscheidt Euro (Edition Teil A )</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>music</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michalis Pichler</td>
<td>Un Coup de dés jamais n’abîmera le hasard</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>music</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott Rickard</td>
<td>Costas Golumb No. 1: The Perfect Ping</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>music</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylorcrevisiton</td>
<td>Kramer’s Entrance Supercut</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>supercut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zenph Studios</td>
<td>Zenph Re-Performance: Rachmaninoff Plays Rachmaninoff</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>music</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philipp Adrian</td>
<td>An Index</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>literature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lenka Clayton</td>
<td>Qaed, Quality, Question, Quickly, Quickly, Quiet</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>net art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johannes Kreidler</td>
<td>Vier Konzeptuelle Stucke</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>net art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruce Nauman</td>
<td>For Beginners (all the combinations of the thumb and fingers)</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>video</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cory Arcangel</td>
<td>Paganini Caprice No. 5</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>net art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tauba Auerbach</td>
<td>RGB Colorspace Atlas</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>visual art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christopher Delaurenti</td>
<td>Of Silences Intemporally Sung: Luigi Nono’s Fragment-Stille, an Diotima</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>sound art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maura Hazeldon</td>
<td>Pride &amp; Prejudice, Movement: Past, Possible, Present</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>literature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jörg Piringer</td>
<td>Unicode</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>video art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanessa Place</td>
<td>Statement Of The Case</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>literature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanessa Place</td>
<td>Argument</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>literature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zenph Studios</td>
<td>Zenph Re-Performance: Oscar Peterson: Unmistakable</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>music</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philipp Adrian</td>
<td>#oneSecond</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>literature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eric Carlson</td>
<td>Aaccino</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>music</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eric Carlson</td>
<td>All Tetrads in The Art of the Fugue</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>music</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kogonada</td>
<td>Tarantino // From Below</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>supercut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kogonada</td>
<td>Wes Anderson // From Above</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>supercut</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Work Description</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Medium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>John Lely</td>
<td>Doubles</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>music</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luke Nickel</td>
<td>Reorganization</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>music</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeff Thompson</td>
<td>Computers On Law and Order</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>net-art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thecussingchannel</td>
<td>2001 A Space Odyssey - Just The HAL 9000</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>supercut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GoldenApple</td>
<td>The Many D’ohs of Homer Simpson (Seasons 1-20)</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>supercut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kogonada</td>
<td>Kubrick // One-Point Perspective</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>supercut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eric Carlson</td>
<td>Alphbetized Winterreise</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>music</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eric Carlson</td>
<td>A Chronological Survey of Haydn’s Symphonic Opening Sonorities</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>music</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerplosh</td>
<td>Complete Jesse Pinkman ‘BITCH’ Montage (Breaking Bad Seasons 1-5)</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>supercut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramsey Nasser</td>
<td>@everyunicode</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>net art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramsey Nasser</td>
<td>Tweeting Top Gun</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>net art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mika Taaanila</td>
<td>My Silence</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>supercut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeff Thompson</td>
<td>Every Possible Photograph</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>installation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anton Wasiljew</td>
<td>Das Kapital Study</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>net art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%20</td>
<td>AARRSSTW-WTSSRRAA</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>video</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom 7</td>
<td>ARST ARSW</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>net-art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eric Carlson</td>
<td>Beethoven op. 131 mvt 1 Without Rests</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>music</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Check TVine</td>
<td>James Hetfield ‘Yeah!’ Compilation</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>music</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Gisby</td>
<td>Fragmented Melodies</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>music</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kogonada</td>
<td>Wes Anderson // Centered</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>supercut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Pocknee</td>
<td>Plastic Cup Permutations</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>music</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terence Klex</td>
<td>Some Things We Should Never Speak Of</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>music</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Oesterle</td>
<td>All Words</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>music</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mostly Other People Do The Killing</td>
<td>Fluxus-Style One-Word Verb Pieces</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>net art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Pocknee</td>
<td>Portmanteau: A portmanteau of Every English word</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>net art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Blatt</td>
<td>Forty-Three Thousand Five Hundred and Eleven</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>music</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eric Carlson</td>
<td>At C (Every Middle C from Tristan und Isolde)</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>music</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beavan Flanagan</td>
<td>2 Part Inventions</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>music</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dylan Marron</td>
<td>Every Single Word Spoken by a Person of Color in [Mainstream Film Title]</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>net art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Marshall</td>
<td>How Shall We End This?</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>music</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mewlist</td>
<td>Every ‘Fuck’ from Every Tarantino Film</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>supercut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Pocknee</td>
<td>Almost Every</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>music</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Pocknee</td>
<td>Chord Catalogue (Redux)</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>music</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labeouf, Rönkkö &amp; Turner</td>
<td>#ALLMYMOVIES</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>net art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matt Bucy</td>
<td>Of Oz the Wizard</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>net art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ed Henderson</td>
<td>Jurg Frey’s String Quartet No. 3 With The Music Taken Out</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>sound art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maurice Rougeux</td>
<td>Between The Words</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>visual art</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Chapter 4

Form

This chapter was meant to be the third shortest in this thesis. But, as they say: "man plans and God laughs"; however, given the current state of the world, I can’t say that I’m on board with His particularly dark sense of humour...

The government tells us that 'smokers die younger', but so did Mozart, so I know that length isn’t everything, but hopefully you can see what I was trying to do in Figure [4.1] which shows the word count for each chapter in this thesis. The original plan was that these should gradually and linearly decrease over the course of the document. As can be seen, this pattern is in operation with the exception of this chapter, which is now the longest.

But how did it go so wrong? How did this chapter get so long? I had one aim when I started it: read some books on musical form and pull out some already-existing off-the-shelf typology to describe the forms that I use (which are neither new, nor complex). However, none of the musicology I found was up to the challenge and, in fact, it turned out that research on form in music written after 1900 in the classical tradition was in extremely short supply. This has meant that in this chapter I have had to develop a new theory of form just to describe the simple things that I am doing in my compositions. This took a lot of words to do. Which is how we got here.

4.1 Contemporary Theories of Musical Form

If music is 'organized sound', as Varese posits, then form is the 'organized' half of that phrase. If you have one job as a composer, it is arranging things in time in an interesting order. This ordering takes place at small and large scales in a musical work, and we could describe the largest scale of ordering as form.

If ordering in time is a defining aspect of compositional activity, then we would presume that analysis of form would be one of the most important types of musicological investigation. It seems bizarre, then, that there are so few theories of musical form applicable to contemporary music.

I can say nothing about the musical forms used in my own work if I am not able to compare them to those used in works by others. Yet I cannot do a comparison between works if I do not have a suitable analytical framework which can extract salient formal features to compare.
After reading many books on musical form I realized the existing writing on the subject had four main problems:

1. Most theories of musical form have become theories of genre.
2. Most theories of musical form are overfitted.
3. Most theories of musical form use complex musical works rather than simple *ceteris paribus* examples to draw inferences from.
4. Most theories of musical form are focused on symptoms rather than causes.

### 4.1.1 Theories of Form and Theories of Genre

By the second half of the 18th Century, large-scale formal conventions could be 'identified and described on the basis of what they have in common' (Bonds 2010, 270-271). It was in this period that musicologists had come to consensuses around the structures of rondo, concerto movement, and sonata form (Bonds 2010, 270-271). However:

[... as theorizing became more systematic, and writing about music both critically and historically more formulaic, categorizations of various kinds gained the upper hand, until, as Dahlhaus observed ‘the theory of form was a description of genres’. As such, it was ill-equipped to confront the protracted crisis of 20th-century music and its fraught relationship to 20th-century social and political history.](Whittall 2001, 93)

Andrea Baldini describes this genre-based approach as *categorical form* as opposed to *aural-form* (which is grounded in perception (Baldini 2014)). The transformation of the musicology of musical form into a musicology of genre resulted in a deficiency of generalized descriptive tools. This meant that the form of any work could only be analyzed in relationship to an existing genre, with the most extreme example perhaps being Hepokoski and Darcy’s idea of the *genre sonata*, an approach that treats sonata form as a generic rather than formal construction (Wingfield 2008, 148).

This approach of form-as-historical-genre exists in a great deal of the musicological writing (see (Cone 1968), (Cole 1969), (Macpherson 1930), (Kohs 1976), (Morris 1935), (Caplin 1998), (Tovey 1967), (Marx 1997) etc.).

The problem with a genre-based approach to musical form is that they often involve finding commonalities amongst a comparatively small dataset, and so are frequently overfitted.

### 4.1.2 Overfitting

_In statistics, the name given to the act of mistaking noise for signal is overfitting._

_Suppose that you’re some sort of petty criminal and I’m your boss. I deputize you to figure out a good method for picking combination locks of the sort you might find in a middle school - maybe we want to steal everybody’s lunch money. I want an approach that will give us a high probability of picking a lock anywhere and anytime. I give you three locks to practice on - a red one, a black one, and a blue one._

_After experimenting with the locks for a few days, you come back and tell me that you’ve discovered a foolproof solution. If the lock is red, you say, the combination is 27-12-31. If it’s black, use the numbers 44-14-19. And it it’s blue, it’s 10-3-32._

_I’d tell you that you’ve completely failed in your mission. You’ve clearly figured out how to open these three particular locks. But you haven’t done anything to advance our theory of lock-picking - to give us hope of picking them when we don’t know the combination in advance ... You’ve given me an overly specific solution to a general problem. This is overfitting, and it leads to worse predictions._

(Silver 2012, 163)

Most theories of musical form are overfitted. In other words, they propose overly specific solutions to general problems. The meaningful ordering of sound is a general problem, whereas many formal theories are tied to genre and era. This might not seem like a problem, in that it is useful to have specific tools.
for solving specific problems, but it frequently leads these theories to be overfitted to the extent that they cannot explain anything other than the evidence they were trained on.

A good example of overfitting can be found in the 1976 10th edition of the *Oxford Companion to Music* which claims that ‘as a matter of fact only about six really distinct forms have ever been in use’, listing only: Simple Binary, Simple Ternary, Compound Binary/First Movement Form/Sonata Form, Rondo, Air with Variations, and Fugue (Scholes 1976, 370).

Overfitting partly explains why theories of form pre-1900 had such difficulty dealing with the music of the 20th Century. It is not so much that Classical-era and 20th/21st century compositions are different musics - they are clearly connected by a historical and stylistic lineage - but that overfitting ‘reduces the theory’s capacity to accurately predict new data’ (Schindler 2014, 67). These theories of form aren’t predictive, so when the future came along, they couldn’t assimilate it.

Temporal Predictivism is ‘the view that phenomena discovered after the theory predicted them count more than phenomena that were known at the time the theory was proposed’ - think how Dmitri Mendeleev’s *Periodic Table* was accepted by the scientific community partly because it was able to predict elements which had not been discovered yet (Schindler 2014, 62). We value a theory more when it can make successful novel predictions over its ability to accommodate known facts. And successful novel predictions ‘can serve as an indicator for a theory not being overfitted’ (Schindler 2014, 62-67).

Most theories of musical form are accommodations of known facts. Many are only designed to describe forms which already exist. This means that they are unable to offer frameworks for anything other than the forms they have been overfitted to. For example, theories of sonata form don’t facilitate the prediction of new formal types, but set up a binary condition of ‘sonata’ or ‘not-sonata’ accompanied by an internal division of ‘norms’ and ‘deformations’ (see (Wingfield 2008)). A theory which can’t predict the future can’t accommodate it when it arrives. This is why most concepts of musical form are unable to say anything interesting about contemporary music.

4.1.3 Drawing Inferences from Complex Phenomena

*Most musicologists’ approach to form: “Let’s work out what steel is by studying the Large Hadron Collider”.*

*My approach to form: “Let’s work out what steel is by looking at a spoon”.*

If you use something as complex as a Beethoven sonata to draw inferences about musical form, it is extremely likely you are going to overfit your theory, due to the amount of complicated moving parts that allow the composition to function.

This is even a problem when more recent types of music are used to study form in the psychomusicalogical literature. The few studies which have been done on perception of form in 20th Century music have used complex works with ambiguous formal structures (see the use of Berio and Boulez in (Deliège 1989, 2006) and the use of Xenakis, Ligeti and Leroux in (Lalitte and Bigand 2006)).

It would seem a more sensible approach to avoid overfitting would be to take music which was as simple as possible, with a limited number of independent variables, and use that as the basis for inferences.

4.1.4 Symptoms and Causes

Many theories of form are interested in the symptoms of form, not its underlying mechanisms. For instance, identifying that *Arch Form* exists is not the same as explaining the reasons for its existence, nor its place within the larger cosmos of musical ordering.

It is only when we understand the underlying mechanisms beneath surface phenomena that we can start intelligently manipulating them to our advantage. In the late 1920s and early 1930s, American physicists at Bell Labs started experimenting with semiconductors, mostly motivated by a desire to harness their abnormal electrical and physical properties. Yet it was only when this empirical stream of American experimentalism integrated the ideas of the more theoretical European physicists, such as Schrödinger and Planck, that they were able to understand why and how these phenomena were happening, which finally allowed them to control current flow across the surface of the material and create the transistor (Riordan and Hoddeson 1997).
I want to explain the why and how of Arch Form, not simply acknowledge that it exits - talk about causes, not symptoms.

4.1.5 My Aims

This chapter is going to put forward a new theory of musical form. Nothing fancy, it’s really an extrapolated and elaborated version of James Tenney’s ideas. It addresses gaps in Tenney’s formulations and combats the four problems outlined above.

This theory treats form not as a genre, but concentrates on the commonalities of ordering across genres.

This theory is predictivist and not overfitted. It uses the ideas of completism to outline the entire combinatoric space of the structural and morphological components of a musical form. It puts forward an algorithm and a way of thinking that allows the enumeration of all possible formal structures and shapes without exception. This means that it can encompass even those which have not been used yet - it is temporally predictive.

This theory uses simple, *ceteris paribus* examples to draw its inferences about form. I eschew the ambiguous complexity of the Classical period, from which most formal theorists draw their examples, and instead focus on Experimental Music, which contains numerous musical works with minimal independent variables (think of the simplicity and foregrounding of form in Tenney’s *Having Never Written A Note For Percussion*). It is from these parametrically simplistic examples that we can start to draw inferences about form, with a minimal fear of overfitting.

Finally, this theory focuses on causes, not symptoms. Given this long, anticipation-building introduction, I can understand if you feel a little disappointment upon reaching the end of this long chapter, only to find a typology of form consisting mostly of ones you already know, such as Arch Form or Moment Form. But these forms are only symptoms. Symptoms of underlying structures, states and morphologies of which these are only the visible protuberance. The text between here and that typology gives the causes of these constructions and their place within a wider formal universe.

I am not interested in defining new forms, but I am interested in a theory which is able to accommodate not only my own forms (which are currently ill-served by the existing literature) but forms which don’t even exist yet. The difference between my definition of Arch Form and many older ones is that whilst they might situate it within a set of previously used forms, I situate it within the combinatoric space of all possible forms. This means that it can not only be related to forms which have *existed* but to all forms which can *exist*, making it magnitudes more flexible and predictive.

4.2 Typologies of Narrative

I was on a dérive through Huddersfield University Library when, out of the corner of my eye, at the end of the shelf, I was drawn towards Robert McKee’s book *Story* (1999) . . .

McKee’s *Story* is about the art of screenwriting and the art of storytelling. Early on in the book, he proposes a diagram (the *Archplot - Miniplot - Antiplot* triangle in Fig. 4.2) which encompasses all possible cinematic narratives:

Although the variations of event design are innumerable, they are not without limits. The far corners of the art create a triangle of formal possibilities that maps the universe of stories. Within this triangle is the totality of writers’ cosmologies, all their multitudinous visions of reality and how life is lived within it. To understand your place in this universe, study the coordinates of this map, compare them to your work-in-progress, and let them guide you to that point you share with other writers of a similar vision.

---

1*Literally translated from Latin: other things being equal. This means that other things which could change are for the moment being assumed to remain constant. The ceteris paribus assumption is made in economic reasoning to focus attention on the effect of changes in a limited set of variables of interest.* (Black, Hashimzade, and Myles [2017])
Perhaps something similar could be attempted with musical form. But why look to screenwriting pedagogy to solve musical problems?

From conversations with other composers, I have come to believe that part of the reason that discussion of form has been so neglected in Contemporary Music arises from a misplaced belief that it is impossible to discuss. This stems from the idea that form is a result, contingent upon, or an emergent property of, lower-level material and that, due to the huge differences in style and individual taste between composers, this low-level material is so disparate that the forms built upon it are too numerous to make any general statements about. I do not believe this is correct. We too could imagine a 'universe of stories', a cosmology of compositions...

Screenwriting and composing are similar disciplines. Both involve a highly mediated process of a creative individual inscribing information into some type of notation which is then given to others to perform. Yet their pedagogical approaches are completely different. Screenwriting pedagogy tends to be top-down, focusing on form and structure over local-level detail, style and genre; while composing pedagogy tends to be bottom-up, focusing on local-level detail, style and genre over form and structure.

A good example of this can be seen by comparing Charles Wuorinen’s *Simple Composition* (1979) book with McKee’s *Story*. Wuorinen’s book starts with teaching the reader how to build a twelve-tone row, and spends 144 pages showing how this can be used to derive local-level pitch and rhythm. Form is only discussed in the last 18 pages of this 168 page book. McKee’s *Story*, on the other hand, front-loads with descriptions and typologies of form - only mentioning genre after 79 pages, and then only in relationship to structure (in the chapter *Structure and Genre* (McKee 1999, 79)).

I think that adopting some ideas from screenwriting pedagogy can help in the construction of my theory of form. I can take McKee’s lead by adopting the type of large-scale thinking seen in his book and mimicking his use of geometry to create a 'cosmology of possible stories'.

---

2It should be noted that the entirety of this chapter will only be concerned with music from the western classical/western art-music tradition that my works form a part of. Musics of other traditions will not be considered as these are sometimes rooted in paradigms of time unsuited to the type of analysis I will propose. I am primarily interested in how I can better build a framework that can explain the music that I write and the tradition it stems from, rather than creating some sort of holistic system for all musical form.

3Literary theory has an entire sub-field entitled narratology which is dedicated to understanding the formal construction of narrative, and to which several journals are dedicated (*Journal of Narrative Technique, Journal of Narrative Theory*).
James Tenney’s Ideas On Form

The composer James Tenney is one of the few writers who has tried to develop the type of top-down theory of form similar to those found in the screenwriting literature. His genre-ambivalent, non-overfitted approach, seen in the texts *Meta + Hodos* (1961), *META Meta + Hodos* (1975), *Form in Twentieth-Century Music* (1969-70) and *Hierarchical Temporal Gestalt Perception in Music: A Metric Space Model* (with Larry Polansky) (1978-80) (Tenney 2015), lends itself well to the study of Contemporary Music.

Tenney’s writing on form sought to build a model of musical formal perception based on gestalt psychology, embodied in the concept of *Temporal Gestalts*.

...for the musician, a piece of music does not consist merely of an inarticulate stream of elementary sounds but a hierarchically ordered network of sounds, motives, phrases, passages, sections, movements, etc. - i.e., time-spans whose perceptual boundaries are largely determined by the nature of the sounds and sound-configurations occurring within them. What is involved ... is a conception of distinct spans of time at several hierarchical levels, each of which is both internally cohesive and externally segregated from comparable time-spans immediately preceding and following it. Such time-spans (and the events or processes that define them) will here be called temporal gestalt-units (or TGs).

- James Tenney and Larry Polansky *Hierarchical Temporal Gestalt Perception in Music* (Tenney 2015, 201)

At the smallest phenomenological level, a Temporal Gestalt is referred to as an *element*, groups of which then form higher-level TGs known as *clangs*, which in turn group together to form *sequences*, which then chunk together to form an indefinite number of hierarchical levels, eventually forming a Temporal Gestalt at the highest level which is the piece itself. As *element* is defined as the smallest phenomenologically indivisible gestalt, anything at a lower-level hierarchy (i.e. perceptually indivisible) is ignored as an *infra-formal* area not useful for the study of form, while a post-piece hierarchical level is referred to as *ultra-formal* and is similarly ignored. This type of hierarchical, gestalt-based grouping is what might, in the current psychological literature, be referred to as *chunking*.

Importantly, Tenney’s idea grounds a theory of form in a study of its perceptible features, marking it out as a type of *aural form*, following Baldini (Baldini 2014). Rather than a focus on mathematical or theoretical abstraction, temporal gestalts are related to the phenomenological process of hearing.

Table 4.1 shows Tenney’s hierarchy of form (adapted from various writings in (Tenney 2015)), along with similar types of temporal division found in Curtis Roads’ *Microsound* (Roads 2004, 1-40) and McKee’s *Story* (McKee 1999, 31-42).

Tenney’s views on form changed over the years - most notably between *Meta-Hodos* and *Form in Twentieth-Century Music*, which present slightly different views on the way in which hierarchical levels are created - yet one thing is consistent between both: the idea that form consists of three elements: *Shape* (morphology), *Structure* (structural) and *State* (statistical):

- **SHAPE (MORPHOLOGY):** "contour, the variation of some attribute of a thing in space or time... In music, shape is the result of changes in some attribute or parameter of sound." (Tenney 2015, 150) "... a more superficial (i.e., pertaining to 'surface') or external aspect of form (relating to profile or contour)" (Tenney 2015, 63)

- **STRUCTURE (STRUCTURAL):** "the disposition of parts, relations of part to part, and of part to whole... structure has to do with various relations between sounds and sound-configurations, at the same or at different moments in time." (Tenney 2015, 150) "... usually refers to an internal aspect, 'connections' or interrelations among component parts that (interrelations) are not necessarily apparent 'on the surface' of the form - i.e., in its shape." (Tenney 2015, 63)

There is no musical equivalent to this field, so I will also be borrowing liberally from it in building up my theory of musical form, as I think it offers much to learn from.

4 In literary narratology, similar types of gestalt thinking can be found in ideas such as David S. Miall’s *episode; sentence, discursive and narrative topics* in Umberto Eco; *sequences* in Roland Barthes and Roman Ingarden; and *gestalts* specifically in Wolfgang Iser (Miall 2004, 114).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Scale</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Time Scale</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Time Scale</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Infinite</td>
<td>The ideal time span of mathematical durations such as the infinite sine waves of classical Fourier analysis.</td>
<td>Ultra-formal area</td>
<td>The higher-level hierarchy larger than the form of the piece.</td>
<td>Life</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supra</td>
<td>A time scale beyond that of an individual composition and extending into months, years, decades and centuries.</td>
<td>Piece</td>
<td>The number of intermediate hierarchical levels between those of the sequence and the piece is variable.</td>
<td>Story</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macro</td>
<td>The time scale of overall musical architecture or form, measured in minutes or hours, or in extreme cases, days.</td>
<td>Sequence</td>
<td>A temporal succession of two or more clangs, perceived as a larger, if looser, gestalt.</td>
<td>Acts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Meso</td>
<td>Clang</td>
<td>Singular configurations of elements, forming units at the second hierarchical level. A TG at the lowest hierarchical level within which still-lower-level TGs are perceived. Although the clang is often equivalent to the &quot;motive&quot; or &quot;phrase&quot; of traditional musical analysis, it should be understood here to include any collection of sound elements perceived as a primary aural gestalt.</td>
<td>Scenes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sound object</td>
<td>Element</td>
<td>The smallest &quot;indivisible&quot; sound units at the first hierarchical level. An element is a TG that is perceived as (temporally) singular, i.e. not divisible into lower-level (shorter) TGs.</td>
<td>Beats</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Micro</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sound particles on a time scale that extends down to the threshold of auditory perception (measured in thousandths of a second or milliseconds).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sample</td>
<td></td>
<td>The atomic level of digital audio systems: individual binary samples or numerical amplitude values, one following another at a fixed time interval.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Subsample</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fluctuations on a time scale too brief to be properly recorded or perceived, measured in billionths of a second (nanoseconds) or less.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Infinitesimal</td>
<td></td>
<td>The ideal time span of mathematical durations such as the infinitely brief delta functions.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.1: Table comparing temporal scales in the writings of Curtis Roads, James Tenney and Robert McKee
• **STATE (STATISTICAL):** "A description of the shape (or sometimes, the structure) of a formal unit at one of these hierarchical levels frequently involves certain statistical characteristics of the formal units at the next lower level — e.g. the average value and range of each important parameter." (Tenney 2015, 151)

In other words, "[w]e thus have three aspects of form to consider at each hierarchical level: the structural (internal relations), the morphological (shape), and the statistical (state, condition)" (Tenney 2015, 151).

Tenney’s conception of form is recursive and hierarchical, involving the nesting of morphological, structural and statistical elements to build larger structures. The smallest temporal gestalts then, can be grouped together into larger gestalts, which can then be grouped into larger gestalts and so on. The form which these groupings take is a function of the shape, structure and state of the gestalts on a lower hierarchical level, this can be seen in Figure 4.3.

These relations between state, shape and structure at adjacent hierarchical levels are, incidentally, relevant to the old problem of 'form vs. content'. A little reflection will show that the 'content' of a formal unit at a given hierarchical level is determined by the structural, morphological and statistical properties — i.e. the form — of each of its component units at the next lower level. Conversely, formal properties at one hierarchical level become the 'content' of formal units at the next higher level.

- James Tenney *Form in Twentieth-Century Music* (Tenney 2015, 151)

Figure 4.4 shows a diagram I have created, designed to clearly lay out Tenney’s ideas about form as described in *Meta + Hodos*, *META Meta + Hodos* and *Form in Twentieth Century Music*. My theory of form makes several modifications to Tenney’s approach:

1. **Filling of theoretical gaps** For instance, at the very end of the article *Form in Twentieth Century Music* Tenney lists the types of ergodic shape as "arch-form", "ramp-form?" and "(others?)". I use combinatorics to enumerate all possibilities in order to fill in these missing elements.

2. **Clear separation of the three components of form** In his writing, the distinctions between *shape, structure, and state* are frequently confusing, due to the way in which they interact. In order
Figure 4.4: A diagram showing James Tenney’s conception of form, which I created from his writings in *Meta + Hodos* and *Form in 20th Century Music*
to ameliorate this, I base each of these upon a different mathematical approach: combinatorics (structure), vectors (shape), statistics (state), and separately classify the interactions between them (statistical morphology etc.)

3. **Replacement of ad hoc classifications** Categories such as *Classical* and *Kitchen Sink* represent simple-to-complex and complex-to-simple structures, but these are really manifestations of a more general low-to-high and high-to-low parametric movement. I replace these types of classifications with exhaustive ones grounded in combinatorics. The similarly *ad hoc, developmental, permutational* and *windowed* categories are also changed.

4. **Removal of ergodic/non-ergodic and metamorphic/heteromorphic/isomorphic classifications** I use completist principles as a base for building a set of new classifications based around the topology of the combinatoric space.

My theoretical approach reworks the entirety of Tenney’s formal theory from the top three categories (*shape, structure, state*) (seen in Figure 4.4) downwards. Simple applications of combinatorics, geometry, statistics and narratology allow me to propose a practical approach to formal analysis that further reifies some of the abstractions in Tenney’s ideas.
4.3 A Completist Approach To Structure

*Omnia probate*
"Try/check all things"
(Sutton 1994, 57)

All that follows is based upon a simple assumption:

*All of the music deriving from the western classical tradition is discrete.*

There are no continuities in this music. This music is based upon discrete mathematics. In fact, all of its power stems from its discrete nature.

Although I use ideas of narrative from literary studies, I do not mean to propose that music is in any way a language. However, music does have similarities with language, specifically that they are both *particulate systems*:

This conceptual power [of human language] is derived from what William Abler (1989;1997) has called "the particulate principle," the idea that the unlimited diversity of structure within a given system emerges from the capacity to recombine a finite set of discrete particles or elements (atoms in chemistry, integers in our number system, and genes in genetics); the basic elements or particles in these systems have no *meaning* on their own, which is why they provide the basis for a powerful combinatorial system.

(Hauser 2000, 448-449)

Therefore, we can think of music not *as* language, but as being, similarly to language (and atoms and integers and genes), a particulate system. One important aspect of these types of systems is that it is their discrete nature which allows them to be so powerful.

In contrast, blending systems fail to provide such diversity because the elements lose their identity or integrity in the same way that white and red paint lose their identity when combined to make pink paint. Studdert-Kennedy (1998) has argued that the only way to develop a communication system with the unbounded scope or expressive power of language is by tapping into a particulate system.

(Hauser 2000, 448-449)

The musics derived from the western classical tradition involve the breaking down of complex phenomena into discrete objects (quantization). I believe this is the first fundamental act of composition, of dividing a part of the world from the chaos around it, and more importantly, it makes the world discrete such that it can be manipulated in a way which maximises an expressive power which would be absent otherwise. In fact, this may be a universal musical characteristic:

One recent cross-cultural review proposes a list of statistical universals of musical structure; specifically, these include the use of discrete pitches, octave equivalence, transposability, scales that commonly have seven or fewer pitches in unequal steps, the use of melody, pitch combination rules and motivic patterns, as well as the use of timing, duration and beat. The pitch continuum is discretized (based on culturally established musical scales), and so is the timing continuum by a process of beat induction (which establishes some kind of grid overlaying a musical sequence).

(Rohrmeier et al. 2015, 2)

### 4.3.1 Synoptic Letter Diagrams

My new framework for formal analysis starts at the highest possible level of the formal hierarchy. To start to understand how form gains meaning at this level, it is useful to look at the conventional ways in which it is quantized through *synoptic letter diagrams*.

A synoptic diagram is any representation of a piece which represents a *synopsis* of the work, in other words, reproducing salient elements of the work in a reduced form.
What I refer to as synoptic letter diagrams are those diagrams in which letters are used to create this synopsis. For instance, a rondo form may be represented in a synoptic letter diagram as ABACA. As well as letters, synoptic letter diagrams also use prime-marks (i.e. ' ') to indicate sections which are a variation on a previous section (e.g. a piece of material (A) followed by three variations may be notated AA’A”A”).

This practice only arose at the beginning of the 20th century and, in fact, it wasn’t until the early 19th Century that diagrams of any type were used to represent musical form. Not until 1825, when Antoine Reicha (1770–1836) provided diagrams to supplement his accounts of binary, ternary, and rondo forms in his *Traité de haute composition musicale*, did any critic or theorist attempt to depict musical form in an essentially spatial manner…Reicha’s efforts, moreover, found little resonance among his contemporaries. Even as simple a synoptic diagram as a series of letters—something along the lines of A B A C A, for example—would remain a rarity for another seventy-five years or so.

(Bonds 2010 266-267)

The division of a musical work into parts is probably not only a human characteristic as similar structural divisions have been found in bird songs (see Figure 4.5).
Synoptic letter diagrams are often used to describe types of formal genres. A list of commonly-used, historical musical forms and their letter diagrams can be seen below:

- **Strophic** - AAA . . . A
- **Variation** - AA’A”A’” . . .
- **Binary** - AB
- **Ternary** - ABA
- **Rondo** - ABACA
- **Arch** - ABCBA
- **Sonata Rondo** - ABACABA

(komponist 2007) & (Scholes 1976)

An astute reader, now familiar with my compositional practice, cannot help but to now see these strings of letters not only as diagrammatic representations, but as combinatorial possibilities.

This begs the question: **AAA, AB, ABA, ABACA** are some of the possible combinations of letters that could be used to describe musical form, but what are all the combinations?

What would a completist approach to synoptic letter diagrams look like?

**Rules for Synoptic Letter Diagrams**

All synoptic letter diagrams follow a simple set of rules:

1. Each large-scale formal section in a piece of music is labelled using a letter (e.g. A) and an optional number of prime symbols (e.g. A’, C’’)
2. Where a labelled section is identical to a previous section it will be assigned the same letter and prime symbols.
3. Where a labelled section is different from all previous sections it will be assigned a new letter.
4. Sections which are musically related to an existing section but are not different enough to warrant a new letter can be labelled using prime notation, with A’ indicating a section which is a variation on A.
5. In all diagrams, the labelling of sections is alphabetically sequential. Therefore, the form ABC is possible, but not ABD or CAB\[^8\]
6. Due to the above rule, all diagrams must start with A.
7. Prime notation is also sequential: AA’A”A’” would be a form consisting of a section A and three different variations on it. The form AA’A”A’ would be possible, but not A”AA’ or A””A’A’.

The rules above are a loosely formalized algorithm for labelling a musical form using letters.

Due to the sequential, branching nature of the way in which these diagrams unfold, the potential direction of a given piece has some similarities with the way in which memory functions, specifically as it was understood by Husserl in *A Phenomenology of the Consciousness of Time* (Husserl 1999) (see Figure 4.6).

Although we have been using the word *form* to describe these synoptic letter diagrams, what we are really defining is something more specific - namely the *structure*, as Tenney understands it:

\[^{8}\]It might be argued that non-alphabetically sequential structures might occur when composers are working with cut-up forms in which works are made from rearrangements of previously sequential material. However, in my usage, these diagrams only signify the similarity between two sections, not their semiotic content.
I have defined structure as involving the 'interrelations among component parts,’ so that the existence of structure in the first place is contingent upon the existence of subordinate parts within a given gestalt. But even at the most immediate perceptual level, a thing can be resolved into parts only when there are differences of some kind between one point or region in the perceptual field and another. . . . if no [subordinate] parts are perceived, there can be no 'interrelation of parts’, and thus, no structure . . .

(Tenney [2015], 63)

Tenney also defined Structure in relation to the similarities of the shapes at a particular hierarchical level (Tenney [2015], 158):

1. isomorphic: identity of shape
2. metamorphic: partial similarity of shape
3. heteromorphic: complete dissimilarity of shape

However, there are several problems with this approach, namely that it ignores the function of structural and statistical properties upon structure. Instead, I shall be using Tenney’s fundamental definition of structure as a starting point to build up a combinatorial classification system.

Tenney defines structure in the first of the quotes above as emanating from two things: (1) the number of subordinate parts and (2) their differences.

(1) Number of Subordinate Parts (Number of Sections)

The number of subordinate parts is the length of the synoptic letter diagram. I will refer to each of these subordinate parts as sections. The smallest, monosectional diagram would be A. A polysectional work such as ABA'CA has 5 sections.

There is no limit to the possible maximum number of sections.

(2) Differences Between Subordinate Parts (Number of Material Types)

Each section is the result of the grouping together of the shapes, structures and states of lower-levels. These three factors define the characteristics of each section and help to decide how it should be labelled, based on its similarity or difference to other sections, and its place in the chronology.

When a section is not the same as any that has occurred before it, it will be labelled using either a new letter, or an existing letter with an incremented number of prime-marks. We could describe each uniquely-labelled section as being of a different material type. The number of sectional material types is then the number of uniquely-labelled sections.

We can therefore think of each section labelled with a different letter as containing a different material type and every letter with a prime-mark as containing a material type that is phenomenologically related in some way to the material contained in the section with the same letter that has no prime-marks.

We can then distinguish between two ways of counting the number of material types: prime-exclusive and prime-inclusive, where prime-exclusive involves counting only the sections labelled with a unique letter and ignoring those marked with prime-marks, while prime-inclusive treats those letters with prime-marks as separate material types. For example, Table 4.2 shows prime-inclusive and prime-exclusive counts of material types in a set of structures. We could define a structure which only uses one material

---

7 This definition is specifically to account for variation forms in which relationship between two variations may have little commonality, but all variations are related to the first statement of the material.
Table 4.2: Table showing relationship between material types, sections, and structure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>No. of Sections</th>
<th>Prime-Inclusive No. of Material Types</th>
<th>Material Types</th>
<th>Prime-Exclusive No. of Material Types</th>
<th>Material Types</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABA’</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>(A,A’,B)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>(A,B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAAAA</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(A)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA’”A””’</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>(A,A’,A”,A””)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABCD</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>(A,B,C,D)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>(A,B,C,D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABACADA’</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>(A,A’,B,C,D)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>(A,B,C,D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABB’AA”CB”CB”’</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>(A,A’,B,B”,B””,C)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>(A,B,C)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.2: Table showing relationship between material types, sections, and structure

The Combinatorial Space of Musical Structure

We can now make a series of suppositions:

1. All music derived from the western classical music tradition is discrete.

2. The structure of all musical works derived from this tradition can be represented using synoptic letter diagrams.

3. The structures of all of these musical works can be described by two factors:
   (a) The number of sections
   (b) The number of different material types used (whether prime-inclusive or -exclusive)

4. The number of material types is always less than or equal to the number of sections.

5. Given these two factors, it is possible to calculate all possible structures without exception within a given range, up to a finite, practically-computable limit.

Appendix B shows the Ruby code for an algorithm to perform these calculations. This algorithm was used to generate a table containing all possible structures with up to 5 sections and 5 types of material (prime-exclusive). This is shown in Figure 4.7.

In laying out all of the possibilities in this way, the exhaustive limits, size, and idiosyncrasies of this combinatorial space become clear. Specifically, there are several unique types of structures that become obvious in this particular spatial arrangement (these are also labelled in Figure 4.7):

- Unity Structure: At the top of the table is what I refer to as Unity Structure, which consists of only one section featuring one type of material (A).

- Loop Structure: Down the left hand side of the table is what would traditionally be referred to as strophic form, but which I prefer to label loop structure. It consists of one material type with no variations, repeated again and again (e.g. AAAAA)

- Variation Structure: The second column in the table is variation structure, in which only variations of a single material type (prime-exclusive) occur (e.g. AA’A”’A”).

Importantly, in all of these definitions, we are not interested in what any of these sections actually contain, only whether they are the same, different, or related, to each other. This means that we can make comparisons of structure irrespective of genre or style, a flexibility which is one of the reasons that synoptic letter diagrams have such widespread use in the existing musicological literature.
• **n-ary Structure:** The rightmost column of the table consists of a structure in which every subsequent section features a material type (prime-exclusive) different from all others that have occurred (e.g. **ABCD**). The name *n-ary* comes from the generalized classification used for the terms *binary*, *ternary*, *quaternary* etc. (with the added bonus that sections with a large number of section can be labelled by prefixing a number (e.g. **13-ary**)).

Whilst **AB** is a binary structure, I uncharacteristically categorize **ABC** rather than **ABA** as *ternary*. This is due to the fact that I see *n-ary structures* as an extrapolation of the logic embedded in binary structure (i.e. difference), and that **ABA** can be better classified using morphological categorizations (such as *Arch Shape*) discussed later.
Figure 4.7: An enumeration of all possible structures up to 5 sections and 5 material types.
4.3.2 The Structural Triangle

The table in Figure 4.7 could be extended rightwards and downwards infinitely. It shows that number of possible structures exponentially increase in relation to the number of sections and material types. This suggests that the chart should be re-shaped to account for this fact. The small number of possibilities at the top of the diagram and the large number at the bottom immediately suggest one shape: a triangle - just like the McKee example in Figure 4.2!

This **Structural Triangle** can be seen in Figure 4.8 with content removed. As can be seen, this triangle extends out to infinity in both horizontal and vertical dimensions. The \( n \)-ary, variation and loop structures gradually find themselves squeezed out towards the edge of the triangle.

It is conjectured that the closer you are to the centre of this triangle, the less interesting the structure is. In any combinatoric space, the vast majority of possibilities will approach the statistical average of the space. This means that any random selection from within this space is likely to be unremarkable. However, also, in these large combinatorial spaces, amongst the sea of mediocrity, we see thin seams of high semiotic volatility, what I refer to as **shatterbelts**:

The concept of shatterbelts originated in geopolitical writings in the early 20th century. The term ‘shatterbelt’ generally refers to a geographical region that is plagued both by local conflicts within or between states in the region, and by the involvement of competing major powers from outside the region. Shatterbelt regions are often blamed for a large proportion of interstate conflict, particularly with respect to major power conflict. (Hensel and Diehl 1994, 33)

Just as how, in its political usage, the term describes geographical areas of statistical high interstate conflict, we might adapt this term to refer to the parts within a combinatoric space which have aesthetic characteristics with some sort of semiotic volatility that distinguishes them from the rest of the possibilities. However, shatterbelts in a combinatorial space are rare. Consider, for instance, the combinatorial space of all 479,001,600 possible twelve-tone rows and the way in which composers gravitate towards tiny and scarce shatterbelts of symmetry:

Under transposition, retrograde, and inversion, symmetric row classes constitute just 0.13% of the universe of possibilities. Yet they constitute 5% of the row classes in Schoenberg (2 of 42) and 20% of the row classes used by Webern (4 of 21). It seems clear that these composers liked symmetry. If they had chosen row classes at random, without regard to symmetry, it is improbable that either composer would have used such a large number of symmetric row classes. (For Schoenberg, the probability would be .0015; for Webern, \( 1.25 \times 10^{-8} \).) (Hunter and Hippel 2003, 131)

The penchant for composers to choose rows which exhibit characteristics non-typical of the vast majority of the occupants of the combinatorial space points to the lack of interest generated by most of the objects. The topology of the structural triangle helps to reveal the non-typical structures in which those of most interest are likely to lie. Loop, \( n \)-ary, variation and unity structures are rare shatterbelts of semiotic volatility within this combinatorial space.
4.3.3 The Block Structure - Interpolative Structure Pyramid

Structure is defined and bounded using the structural triangle. Within this triangle are all possible structures that can be represented using synoptic letter diagrams.

When I began my PhD, I heard and saw the discreteness of the music around me. More specifically, I saw other composers aiming for continuity but doing it by relying upon methods that were fundamentally discrete, utilizing sets, integers, and discrete mathematics.

These were old methods, from older music which thought in blocks and grids. They gained any sense of continuity through interpolation - moving between fixed points. Interpolation is a term used in mathematics and the sciences for an approximation technique used for 'finding the value of a function or measurement that lies within known values. If the values \( f(x_0), f(x_1), \ldots, f(x_n) \) of a function \( f \) of a variable \( x \) are known in the interval \([x_0, x_n]\), the value of \( f(x) \) for a value of \( x \) inside the interval \([x_0, x_n]\) can be found by interpolation.' (Law and Rennie 2015). In simpler terms, we could see drawing a straight line on a map between a starting-point and a destination as being an interpolation, in contrast to teleportation between the two places.

I thought that the thing preventing music from embracing a paradigmatic shift into purely continuous sound was that the wrong tools were being used. I thought we needed to discard discrete mathematics and embrace calculus. The minimal requirement needed for a piece of music to be discrete is for it to have an attack. So I tried to imagine a music without attack. I thought of pieces that had no beginning\(^8\) of Catherine Christer Hennix’s Hilbert Space Shruti Box . . . \(^9\)

I now realize that I was wrong. This is because all of the power of music comes from its particulate nature - it is impossible for western art-music to be continuous: only interpolative.

To compose is to quantize - to first divide up the world, then to organize it using the traditional tools of our craft: discrete mathematics. We can never speak of a Deleuzian becoming in music, it is never emergent, it is always between two fixed points:

One does not break with the arborescent schema, one does not reach becoming or the molecular, as long as a line is connected to two distant points, or is composed of two contiguous points. A line of becoming is not defined by points that it connects, or by points that compose it; on the contrary, it passes between points . . . a line of becoming has neither beginning nor end, departure nor arrival, origin nor destination.

(Deleuze and Guattari 2004, 323)

There are no becomings in music, and to perceive music as such is to make the same mistake as when we see children as human becomeings as opposed to human beings (see Qvortrup), a mode of thought that transforms them into the colonized-subjects of adulthood (Albon 2012, 161). Instead, we must accept beingness, pace Hubbard and its 'assumption (choosing) of a category of identity' (Hubbard 1999, 21).

The Structural Pyramid

In relationship to structure, we can think of a movement between two adjacent sections as being of either a block or interpolative type. A block type movement would involve the sudden change between each sec-

---

\(^8\) Any music which starts has an attack, so you would need a work which never started. In order for a work to never start, it would always have to be there, so it would be a work that lasted for the length of time the universe has been in existence. However, the universe itself has an attack: the Big Bang, so the minimal amount of attacks a work can have is 1.

\(^9\) [SIMON DUFF:] "The whole world can be understood as just one single vibration." You said this in a recent interview. Will you explain what you mean by this?

[Catherine Christer Hennix] I mainly intended an allusion to modern cosmology which regards the universe as a collection of oscillations. At the lower end of this wave spectrum is the Hubble frequency presently at about \(3 \times 10^{-18} \) Hz with a wave length the diameter of the entire universe. And at the upper end there is a cut-off frequency at about \(10^{44} \) Hz (also known as the 'Planck frequency') the wave length of which is limited by the Uncertainty Principle. Between these two extremes there is a discontinuous spectrum with millions of frequencies of which we only know a fraction. However they all compose as a single composite wave form which may be considered as the form of the universe as such. A tiny subset of this giant 62- fold composite wave form is within hearing range and will be something we can listen to in the future. I call this abstraction The Hilbert Space Shruti Box when I draw on these frequencies as they are selected for my compositions. This quotation was originally available from an interview by Simon Duff titled The Electric Harpsichord on the Art & Music Magazine website at http://artmag.saatchigallery.com but has now been removed. The text above is sourced from a personal archive of the webpage that I made in 2013.
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tion, whilst an interpolative transition would involve the changing of one section into the other without a clear sectional division. There are several ways of mathematically interpolating between two points, such as linear or polynomial techniques, but these will not be dealt with here. The more important idea is how these two structural options help shape the form of the musical work.

Let us return to the structural triangle. Each of these structures shows only the relationship between sections, not the way in which the transition between each occurs. Let us consider this structural triangle as not consisting of all possible structures, but of all possible block structures i.e. those structures which only possess the block type of transition between sections.

We can then imagine this triangle to simply be a single 2D plane in a 3D space. More precisely we can consider it as one triangular face of a pyramid. This side of the pyramid contains all block structures. On the opposite side of the pyramid we can imagine a mirror-image of this face, containing all interpolative structures.

The interpolative structure triangle is based on exactly the same combinatoric system as the block structure triangle, only here all sections are smoothly transitioned between. We could distinguish these in the notation by using an arrow to imply an interpolation between two different sections. For instance ABA would be found on the block structure face of the pyramid and, at a corresponding point on the opposite side, the interpolative structure face would show A→B→A, meaning all of these sections are continuously transitioned between. At the top of this pyramid is Unity Structure, a structure the same on both block and interpolative faces, as no transition is possible due to there being only a single section.

If we imagine slicing horizontally through this structural pyramid we can see the process of transition between block and interpolative structures. This can be seen in Figure 4.9 in which we have sliced through the layer containing structures involving three sections. Here another combinatoric procedure is used to exhaust the possibilities of block and interpolative transitions internal space of the pyramid between the two faces.

Although, here I am only dealing with topmost hierarchy of organization of a musical piece, it is also possible that this technique of structural organization could be recursively nested at lower levels, just like tuplets or syntax. The structural pyramid contains all possible structures.
4.4 A Vector-Based Approach to Morphology

In Tenney’s theory, Morphology could be broken down into Ergodic and Nonergodic forms:

…the perception of a large-formal shape that I shall call ergodic (borrowing a term from mathematics), which I am using to mean a process in which the statistical properties of each part at the next lower hierarchical level are the same at this (lower) level and of the whole … Among the ergodic forms, we may further distinguish two types. In one, the statistical homogeneity is the result of the constant use of the entire range of possibilities in each parameter—often by way of chance methods, though sometimes serial methods also. In the other, the statistical homogeneity is the result of what are often severe restrictions of parametric ranges within which 'all possibilities' are still made use of.

(Tenney 2015, 157)

Within these two different types, Tenney enumerates numerous subtypes and possible shapes, in an ad hoc way. Here, as with structure, I will take the basic higher-level category, ignore the lower-level classifications, and instead take a simplified mathematical approach to try and tease out a more exhaustive typology of morphological categories.

Figure 4.10: The Parsons code for Happy Birthday

(Rudder 2014, 262)

Shazam is a mobile phone application which can quickly detect the author and title of a piece of music from only a short amount of a musical recording, picked up by the phone’s internal microphone.

As Christian Rudder explains in his book Dataclysm, this is achieved through conceiving of the entirety of recorded song as being represented by a ternary string, known as a Parsons code:

Shazam relies on an incredible principle: that almost any piece of music can be identified by the up/down pattern in the melody - you can ignore everything else: key, rhythm, lyrics, arrangement … to know the song, you just need a map of the notes’ rise and fall. This melodic contour is called the song’s Parsons code, named after the musicologist who developed it in the 1970s. The code for the first two lines of 'Happy Birthday is •RUDUDDRUDUD, with U meaning 'melody up', D meaning 'melody down' and R for 'repeated note. The dot • just marks the beginning of the tune.

(Rudder 2014, 261-262)

Thought of in another way, a Parson’s code uses vectors as a way to encode musical melody.

What is a vector? The school textbooks usually define a vector as ‘a quantity having magnitude and direction’, such as the velocity vector of an object moving through space. It is helpful to represent a vector as an ‘arrow’ attached to a point of space. But one is not supposed to think of the vector as being firmly rooted just at one point … It is better, then, to think of a vector as an instruction to move (‘Proceed one mile east-south-east’) rather than as an arrow pointing from one fixed point to another.

(Roe 1997, 22)

The power of using vectors to encode information and their possibilities for allowing comparison between two similarly-coded elements is well showcased in Shazam’s accuracy. Vectors can also be useful as they encode shape, and thus can be used as a metric for describing the morphology of musical forms.

Using the structural triangle, which is populated through a combinatoric exhaustion of all possible synoptic letter diagrams, it is now possible to attempt a vector-based analysis of their morphology. I propose an idea I call Formal Vectors, mainly inspired by Larry Polansky’s paper Morphological Metrics (Polansky 1996).
Imagine a synoptic letter diagram projected into 2-dimensional space, with each letter's height on the y-axis equivalent to its material type. The y-axis distance between each letter can then show the shape/morphology of the diagram, and the relationship between each section can be described using a vector. This list of integers can be described as a *morphological vector array*. An example of this can be seen in Figure 4.11.

In essence, a morphological vector array gives the direction and amount of change between two structural sections as an array of integer values. These vectors can then be used for analyzing the morphology of a given diagram in order to compare the shape of two different forms or to extract salient features of its trajectories.

For example, if we take the forms ABA and AA′A', although the sections in each are labelled differently, a vector analysis shows that they have similar properties, as their morphological vectors are both [1,-1]. This vector also indicates that they exhibit a more general morphological feature: that of an *arch* shape.

Formal vectors may also help analytical discrepancies that can occur due to the lack of strict rules for the assigning of letters to material types in synoptic letter diagrams. This often results in situations where one analyst might label a formal section as *B*, whilst another may label it as *A′*. In a morphological vector analysis, however, both of these could have the same morphological vector, allowing comparison of shape across analyses.

Comparison metrics for morphologies are extensively outlined in Polansky’s *Morphological Metrics* paper (Polansky 1996).

### 4.4.1 Calculating Morphological Vectors

Morphological vectors are calculated using the following algorithm:

1. First enumerate all material types in the piece, ordering them first alphabetically, and then according to the primes within a material type.
   e.g. AACBB’CA’A” would be re-ordered as AAA′A”BB’CC.

2. Remove any duplicates from this re-ordering.
   e.g. AAA′A”BB’CC would become AA′A”BB’C.

3. This ordered set of material types is mapped to the set of natural numbers.
   e.g. \{A,A′,A”,B,B’,C\} → \{0,1,2,3,4,5,6\}.

4. This mapping is then applied to the original structure.
   e.g. The original structure \{A,A,C,B,B’,C,A’,A”\} would then be translated to its mapped equivalent in natural numbers: \{0,0,6,4,5,6,2,3\}.

5. The differences between each consecutive element in this set then give the morphological vectors:
   e.g. \{0,0,6,4,5,6,2,3\}: \[(0-0),(6-0),(4-6),(5-4),(6-5),(2-6),(3-2)\] = \{0, 6, -2, 1, 1, -4, 1\}

6. Where there is interpolative movement between sections, this can be indicated through placing an arrow above the vector between the sections where the interpolation occurs.
   e.g. A→BAC→A could be represented as \{1, -1, 2, -2\}

The code in Appendix B is also able to generate the morphological vectors for any structure that can be represented in the block structural triangle.
### Table 4.3: Table showing historical forms and their morphological vectors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Sections</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>General Rule</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Loop</strong></td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>AAAAA</td>
<td>AAAA</td>
<td>AAAAAA</td>
<td>AAAA</td>
<td>AAAA</td>
<td>[0,0...0]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Variation</strong></td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>AAAA</td>
<td>AAAA</td>
<td>AAAA</td>
<td>AAAA</td>
<td>AAAA</td>
<td>[0,0...0]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>n-ary</strong></td>
<td>ternary</td>
<td>ABC</td>
<td>ABCD</td>
<td>ABCDE</td>
<td>ABCDEF</td>
<td>ABCDEFG</td>
<td>ABCDEFG</td>
<td>[1,1...1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Arch</strong></td>
<td>ABA</td>
<td>ABCBA</td>
<td>ABCDCBA</td>
<td>ABCDCBA</td>
<td>ABCDCBA</td>
<td>ABCDCBA</td>
<td>ABCDCBA</td>
<td>[1,1...1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rondo</strong></td>
<td>ABACA</td>
<td>ABACA</td>
<td>ABACA</td>
<td>ABACA</td>
<td>ABACA</td>
<td>ABACA</td>
<td>ABACA</td>
<td>[1,1,2...2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sonata-Rondo</strong></td>
<td>ABABA</td>
<td>ABABA</td>
<td>ABABA</td>
<td>ABABA</td>
<td>ABABA</td>
<td>ABABA</td>
<td>ABABA</td>
<td>[1,1...2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sonata-Allegro</strong></td>
<td>ABA</td>
<td>ABA</td>
<td>ABA</td>
<td>ABA</td>
<td>ABA</td>
<td>ABA</td>
<td>ABA</td>
<td>[2,2...2]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4.4.2 Morphological Vector Types

Just as with structures, morphological vectors can be categorized. Table 4.3 shows the morphologies of a set of historical forms, mentioned at the start of the chapter, along with the general rules used for generating them.
4.5 State

Tenney talks little about state in his discussion of form. Primarily, state is seen as the statistical, "non-formal" features that "pertain rather to some general condition or state of these component materials" (Tenney 2015, 61). However, the statistical nature of a part of a work can help define a section or a morphology at a higher hierarchical level.

In *Hierarchical Temporal Gestalt Perception in Music: A Metric Space Model* (Tenney 2015), Tenney and Polansky discuss ways in which changes in state can be used for defining temporal gestalts. Here, a more operational definition of state is given:

> By "state" I mean the set of average or mean values of a TG [Temporal Gestalt] (one for each parameter except time), plus its starting-time. The state of a TG might thus be compared to the 'center of gravity' of an object in physical space, except that the temporal counterpart to mean parametric value is the beginning of the TG, rather than its 'center.'

(Tenney and Polansky 1980, 214)

In fact, in this paper, Tenney discounts both shape and structure as a way of defining a temporal gestalt, stating:

> It is not yet clear what role similarities and differences of shape and structure might have in temporal gestalt perception, but it is quite clear that state-differences have virtually the same effects at the higher levels that they have at the element-level. Consequently, shape and structure play no part in the current model, but state-differences (i.e. intervals and distances) are treated essentially the same way at all hierarchical level.

(Tenney and Polansky 1980, 216)

However, it might be surmised that similarities of state across a particular time span might be part of the way in which a section in a structure is defined.

4.5.1 Probability Density Function Rhythm in Feldman’s *Patterns in a Chromatic Field*

A good illustration of the power that comes with taking a statistical view of musical analysis can be seen in an approach to rhythm that I have developed called *Probability Density Function Rhythm*, or PDF Rhythm for short.

This approach treats rhythm not as specific temporal instances, but as the probabilistic outcome of a density function. The basic principle of this approach is as follows:

1. Take a probability density function - this can be from an existing work, or generated especially.
2. Use random sampling to select a series of time points within this function.
3. Quantize the output to a particular notation.

To illustrate this procedure, I will take the piano part seen in the opening of Morton Feldman’s *Patterns In A Chromatic Field*. Feldman’s late work is often seen as resistant to analysis (see (Hanninen 2004)). This is usually seen as stemming from the unpredictable rhythmic language. However, by taking a statistical approach (i.e. by ignoring the traditional musicological focus on shape and structure), we can uncover its hidden patterns.

In a previous paper, I have shown how famous composers’ use of the piano keyboard tends towards a normal distribution (see Figure 4.13 and (Pocknee 2015)). This is far from the first time someone has used probability to study music and, in fact, probability density functions are already used to describe the deviations of inter-onset intervals in rhythmic playing (see Figure 4.14). However, I don’t think that there has been a statistical analysis of Feldman’s work, and I propose that much of its rhythmic language, which seems to confound conventional analysis, can in fact be described using a very simple set of statistical descriptors.

The first page of Morton Feldman’s *Patterns In A Chromatic Field* was chosen for this type of analysis as the piano part exhibits an approach to pulse that lies between the periodic and the aperiodic,
Figure 4.12: First page of Morton Feldman's *Patterns in a Chromatic Field*. 
and thus proves difficult to analyze with traditional tools. The piano part of the first page consists of a repeated bar length, within which the same two piano chords play, one after the other, appearing at slightly different places in each new bar. They always occur rhythmically within triplet groups of 3 or 5.

The first page of the work can be seen in Figure 4.12. I will be only focusing on the rhythm of the piano part, ignoring its pitch. The cello part is also ignored as it can be easily analyzed using the type of combinatoric approach outlined elsewhere in this thesis.

In each bar, there are essentially three events happening in the piano: The start of the first chord, the end of the first chord/start of the second chord, and the end of the second chord. As can be seen, although there is some movement in terms of the placement of these events within the bar, each bar follows a similar pattern of a short first chord directly followed by a longer second chord. A rhythmic reduction of the first page can be seen in Figure 4.15.

The length of each bar on the first page of Feldman’s work is \( \frac{4}{16} \) at a tempo of between 63 and 66bpm. I analyzed the timing in milliseconds of each of these three events in all 36 bars on the first page. In order to minimize millisecond floating-point errors, the tempo of my analysis was set to 62.5bpm, giving a bar-length of 960ms. These values can be seen in the first 4 columns of Table 4.4.

Over the 36 iterations on the first page, within each bar, each event seems to be most statistically likely to occur at particular places. We can use standard deviation to measure this statistical spread (Figure 4.16). The probability density functions of these events, generated using a normal distribution, can be seen in Figure 4.16.

We can use random sampling from the density functions to give probable positions within the bar of each of the three events. This resulted in the data given in the columns 5-10 in Table 4.4. Because Feldman’s score is within a notational medium, these raw results were then quantized using the same tuplet divisions that Feldman himself used: a quintuplet division with a minimum duration of a 32nd-note, giving 10 divisions of the bar, and a triplet division with a minimum of duration of a 32nd-note division, giving 12 divisions of the bar.

An algorithm performed a random sampling and quantization procedure, choosing the tuplet division with the least distortion (giving the values seen in column 11 of Table 4.4 in Table 4.4). This resulted in the music in Figure 4.17. As can be seen, this extract very closely models Feldman’s original, showing

\[ \text{For ease of description I will be using standard deviation to measure these distributions, even though other measures, such as } \chi^2 \text{ or F-distribution may more accurately capture the skewed nature of some of these distributions.} \]
Figure 4.14: Diagram showing how a probability density function can describe the deviations from a fixed pulse in rhythmic playing (Hennig et al. 2011).

Figure 4.15: Rhythmic reduction of the piano part of the first page of Morton Feldman’s Patterns in a Chromatic Field. Notes below the line represent the first chord, above the line represent the second.
the power and possibilities of this type of approach, not only for analysis, but for composing.

By conceiving of rhythm in this way, fluid movements between periodic and aperiodic rhythm can be smoothly done by altering the density function and its attendant attributes. With PDF Rhythm periodic rhythm can be conceived as rhythm with an extremely small standard deviation, whilst an aperiodic tempo can be conceived as having a large standard deviation.

A beautiful thing about standard deviation is that this curve is asymptotic, meaning that it encompasses both the infinitely long and the infinitely short, even if only at miniscule probabilities. We can therefore conceive of the probability of a beat happening at any point in time, spreading out infinitely each side of the mean which determines its probable appearance. If an algorithm with a large enough resolution was used, it is possible that, although extremely statistically unlikely, the value randomly-sampled would occur at the death of the universe.

Figure 4.16: Probability Density Functions for all 3 events in the piano part of the first page of Feldman’s Patterns In A Chromatic Field, generated using a normal distribution. Red = start of the first chord, Blue = end of the first chord/start of the second chord, Green = end of the second chord.
Figure 4.17: Piano part created using using PDF Rhythmic approach.
4.6 Interactions of Shape, State and Structure

Tenney sees the construction of Temporal Gestalts on a particular hierarchical level as the result of the interactions of shape, structure and state at a lower level. We can then explode out Tenney’s diagram in Figure 4.3 to show these inter-hierarchical interactions (Figure 4.18).

Figure 4.18: Diagram showing the interactions between shape, structure and state between one hierarchical level and the level directly above.

There are nine possible interactions. These are further elaborated in Figure 4.19, where visual illustrations of these processes are shown. I treat each of these interactions as being of potentially equal value in determining the shape, structure or state in the hierarchical level directly above. This labelling is not found in Tenney’s work, and he sees certain of these interactions as contributing more to particular factors than others:

...shape, at a given hierarchical level, depends on statistical properties at the next lower level, while structure, at a given level, depends primarily on the morphological properties at the next lower level, secondarily on the structural and statistical properties at the next (or several) lower level(s).

- James Tenney Form in Twentieth-Century Music (Tenney 2015, 151)

In contrast, in my theory, each of these nine interactions has equal weighting. However, values of certain factors help to define the nature of the shape, structure or state more than others. For instance, structure is primarily created psychologically with a mechanism known as Closure Positive Shift which identifies ‘ending points’ of sections and chunks them. This chunking process occurs more readily with particular morphological and statistical values, as shown by Neuhaus in their study of simple ABAB and AABB structures: 'Independent of form type, chunking tendencies become stronger whenever rhythmical contrast between A- and B-parts is sharp, and when melodic contours are upward-downward.' (Neuhaus 2013, 122).

Most importantly, all of these interactions need not be geared towards creating the same formal result. For instance, the structure of a piece and its statistical morphology can imply different things. This can be seen most clearly by taking an example from literary analysis.

4.6.1 Shape, Structure and State in Shakespeare’s Macbeth

Similarly to music, a distinction can be made between plot (i.e. the sequence of events - something equivalent to structure) and the statistical morphology of a narrative (i.e. the way in which a story’s statistical features imply form).

A good example of this can be found in comparing two analyses of Shakespeare’s Macbeth.

---

11 Neurological research has shown electroencephalographic evidence of a phenomena known as Closure Positive Shift (CPS) that occurs at sectional divisions and is typified by a centro-parietal positivity peaking roughly 550ms after the sectional boundaries and is interpreted as a marker of boundary perception (Silva et al. 2014, 99).
Figure 4.19: Diagram showing the interactions between shape, structure and state between one hierarchical level and the level directly above.
Christopher Booker’s 2004 book *Seven Basic Plots* identifies seven different types of story structure, adding to an extensive tradition of story classification that includes Georges Polti’s *Thirty-Six Dramatic Situations* (1896), Vladimir Propp’s *Morphology of the Russian Folk Tale* (1928), and Joseph Campbell’s *Hero With a Thousand Faces* (1949) (Adams 2008, 104).

Booker classes *Macbeth* as a Tragedy plot-type and, in his discussion of tragedies claims that these go through five different stages:

1. *Anticipation Stage*: the hero is in some way incomplete or unfulfilled and their thoughts are turned towards the future in hope of some unusual gratification. Some object of desire or course of action presents itself, and their energies have to find a focus.

2. *Dream Stage*: they become in some way committed to their course of action ... and for a while things go almost impossibly well for the hero ... 

3. *Frustration Stage*: almost imperceptibly, things begin to go wrong ...

4. *Nightmare Stage*: things are now slipping seriously out of our hero’s control. They have a mounting sense of threat and despair ...

5. *Destruction or death wish Stage*: either by the forces they have aroused against themselves, or by some final act of violence which precipitates their own death (e.g., murder or suicide), the hero is destroyed. (Booker 2004, 156)

These are structural divisions and, in *Macbeth*, they correspond to the five acts of the play. This analysis stresses the importance of a 5-section structure, with each one having a particular characteristic.

In contrast to this structural analysis, research by Reagan et al. show a different form at work in the play. They use a technique known as *sentiment analysis*, which attempts to infer the emotional content of a text by through analyzing its vocabulary (Cambria 2016, 103).

Through mapping the amount of 'happy' or 'sad' words used over the course of the text, they are able to build a graph of the emotional arc of the writing:

The emotional arc of a story does not give us direct information about the plot or the intended meaning of the story, but rather exists as part of the whole narrative (e.g., an emotional arc showing a fall in sentiment throughout a story may arise from very different plot and structure combinations).

(Reagan et al. 2016, 1)

Reagan et al. performed sentiment analysis upon 1,737 English-language fiction books from the Project Gutenberg repository (Reagan et al. 2016). Their analysis of *Macbeth* can be seen in Figure 4.20 and, contrary to Booker’s analysis, shows not a 5-part division, but a smooth movement from unhappiness to happiness and back again: an arch shape. Reagan et al. use the *statistical morphology* of a text to illuminate its form, whilst Booker uses structure.

It is important to note that Booker’s and Reagan et al.’s analyses are not exclusive and neither invalidates the other; instead they highlight the complex interactions of shape, structure and state in the construction of form.\footnote{One can see something similar in the way that Christ’s movement towards death on the cross is divided into seven sections of Forgiveness, Salvation, Relationship, Abandonment, Distress, Triumph and Reunion based upon his seven utterances listed in the gospels, yet the statistical movement of the body is towards death. }
4.6.2 Statistical Morphology and Sonata-Allegro Form

There is some precedence for using narrative to try and understand musical form. Sonata-allegro form has often been compared to the Aristotelian three act plot structure of beginning, middle and end, especially in the arguments for an "organic" approach to musical formal organisation by Shaftesbury and Baumgarten during the 18th Century (Whittall 2001, 92-93). In fact, a similar interaction between statistical morphology and structure seen in the Macbeth analyses above can be seen at work in sonata-allegro form.

Since the 1980s there has been an increasing body of psychomusicological literature dealing with the perception of large-scale musical form (see especially (Granot and Jacoby 2011a) and (Lalitte and Bigand 2006) for an overview of this research). These studies have frequently shown that both musicians and non-musicians are unable to follow large-scale formal changes, especially harmonic ones - a fact which is surprising, since this is often seen as one of the primary characteristics of the historically popular sonata-allegro form. In reviewing previous research, Granot and Jacoby state that although Western listeners, even those with no formal training have a "vast implicit knowledge about the harmonic structure of tonal music", this sensitivity is limited to local harmonic relationships with the inability to integrate these markers into an overall structure (Granot and Jacoby 2011a, 366). Research by Tillman and Bigand (2004) showed that "untrained musical listeners can easily grasp small-scale musical structures within the time span of 30s but seem completely unaware of structural change when dealing with large-scale musical forms, for instance a sonata movement." (Neuhaus 2013, 110)

Granot and Jacoby’s two 2011 jigsaw-puzzle style studies ((Granot and Jacoby 2011a) and (Granot and Jacoby 2011b)) tasked musicians and non-musicians with re-ordering Classical piano sonatas which had been chopped into sections and scrambled. The two works were Mozart’s piano sonata K. 570/I in B flat major and Haydn’s piano sonata Hob: XVI-34/I in E minor. Both of these works are in sonata-allegro form, and the results led the authors to conclude that: "Our two studies show that if there is anything cognitively privileged about the sonata form, it is not found in its tonal design or process but rather in its overall A–B–A’ organization around the non-stable and tense B section." The authors even went as far as to say that "As in previous studies, we found no evidence for integration of the harmonic information into a global structure. Therefore, the conceptually appealing idea that one can recursively apply the rules of harmonic syntax to larger and larger units may not be perceptually valid — at least for the large majority of listeners, both musically trained and untrained." (Granot and Jacoby 2011b, 79) (Granot and Jacoby 2011b, 75).

One of the most interesting elements of the discussion in these papers was the suggestion that the ability of ‘participants to ‘recompose’ structures which, although different in detail, share the general A–B–A’ structure in an above chance manner" (Granot and Jacoby 2011b, 79) was due to a "convex contour of tension, proposed by a number of theorists as one important defining feature of the sonata form" (Granot and Jacoby 2011b, 75). This, and the conclusions of many of the other papers on this subject seem to imply that the perception of sonata-allegro form is based upon its statistical morphology, not its structure. More importantly, this statistical listening is related not to the type of specific large-scale harmonic movement proposed by researchers such as Schenker, but instead on a more general type of listening tied to general and simple categories of stable/unstable, rather than specific and complex movements between tonic/dominant movement. This research seems to show that, in sonata-allegro form, the statistical harmonic tension of a particular point in a piece is a better indicator of its formal position than its structural characteristics.

4.6.3 Wave-Shapes

One of the conclusions of Reagan et al.’s corpus-based research, was the discovery that many of the stories found in the corpus they studied had wave-shaped emotional arcs which correlated to those outlined by Kurt Vonnegut in his rejected masters thesis (Figure 4.21). These narrative wave-shapes are enumerated in Table 4.5 which also translates these into synoptic letter diagrams and morphological vectors.

---

13 This is an idea which found its most extreme manifestation in Jerrold Levinson’s idea of concatenationism, which states "that we simply hear musical sections in succession by remaining in the musical present, and that large-scale musical form has no conscious influence on the listening process at all." (Neuhaus 2013, 125) (see (Levinson 1997)).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>Morphology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rags to riches</td>
<td>rise</td>
<td>AB / A→B</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tragedy or Riches to rags</td>
<td>fall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Man in a hole</td>
<td>fall-rise</td>
<td>ABA / A→B→A</td>
<td>[1,-1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Icarus</td>
<td>rise-fall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cinderella</td>
<td>fall-rise-fall</td>
<td>ABAB / A→B→A→B</td>
<td>[1,-1,1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oedipus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.5: Typology of story types based on Kurt Vonnegut’s rejected masters thesis (Reagan et al. 2016) with corresponding structures and morphologies.

Figure 4.21: Figure from (Reagan et al. 2016): "First 3 SVD modes and their negation with the closest stories to each. ... In parentheses for each story is the Project Gutenberg ID and the number of downloads from the Project Gutenberg website, respectively. Links below each story point to an interactive visualization on http://hedonometer.org which enables detailed exploration of the emotional arc for the story."
It appears as if wave-shapes can be used to describe the emotional arcs of many stories, and that the sonata-allegro form also owes its success to a similarly-wave-shaped convex contour of harmonic tension.

I believe that musical form is intimately connected to the forms of storytelling, and share the belief of musicologist Byron Almén, that "narrative is an archetypal practice underlying many forms of art and culture" (Hoffman 2012, 41). The process of musical form unfolding in a piece is an oblique type of narrative with similar relations between shape, structure and state. A good musical form is, in essence, a good story.

Given these parallels, could it be possible to explain historically-successful musical forms in relationship to the way in which they mimic the types of wave-shapes found in Reagan et al.’s study of stories?

A wave is defined by three characteristics: Its frequency \( f \), its wavelength \( \lambda \), and its amplitude \( a \) (see Figure 4.22). In translating these parameters into those which would be useful for studying musical form, we can propose the following mappings:

1. Frequency \( f \): The number of oscillations of the wave occur during the length of the form.
2. Wavelength \( \lambda \): The number of formal sections covered by one complete oscillation.
3. Amplitude \( a \): The number of material types covered by one complete oscillation.

In order to convert wave-shapes into morphological vectors, we will be using triangular waves, rather than sine waves. For ease of calculation, these waves oscillate between 0 and 1.

For example:

- The ABA form has a formal vector of \([1,-1]\) and consists of 1 oscillation \((1\lambda)\).
- The form AB has a formal vector of \([1]\) and consists of half an oscillation (at amplitude 1) \((0.5\lambda)\).
- The form ABAB has a formal vector of \([1,-1,1]\) and consists of one-and-a-half oscillations \((1.5\lambda)\).

Also, as part of this translation, we will only be using waves that start at 0, as all synoptic letter diagrams start at A, and thus, no formal vector can start with a decline. Amplitude in this context is the number of material types that the wave-shape encompasses. Here I depart from the traditional usage of the term for the height from the peak to the normal (or equilibrium) level, and instead use it to describe the height of the wave from peak to trough.

The relationship between frequency and amplitude can be seen in Figure 4.23, which shows some common formal shapes. It will be noticed that a wave with a frequency of 0.5\( f \) describes a \( n \)-ary shape, while one of 1\( f \) describes an arch shape. For our purposes, amplitude and wavelength are treated as interlinked.

Additionally, Loop Shape \([0,0,0,\ldots,0]\) can be created through either using wavelengths of infinite length \((\text{inf} \lambda)\) or an amplitude of 0 \((0a)\).
4.6.4 Hybrid Wave-Shapes

Simple wave-shapes can be used for describing Unity Shape, all n-ary shapes, all Loop Shapes and all Arch Shapes.

I will now propose that all other historically successful musical morphologies can be described by the multiplication or addition of two simple wave-shapes. Figure 4.24 shows the formal possibilities that emerge from this approach, with the bottom left of the chart showing morphologies created through addition and the top right showing those created by multiplication. Remember the list of possible historical forms on page 159: Strophic, Variation, Binary, Ternary, Rondo, Arch, Sonata Rondo. These can all be described using one wave-shape or the combination of two wave-shapes.

It can be seen in Figure 4.24 that many of the hybrid combinations do not result in canonically successful shapes. This is mostly due to the way in which the interaction of the two waves creates a result which erases key characteristics of the original.

The literary theorist Peter Brooks sees narrative as a metaphor for the conflict between the death drive and the pleasure/reality principle (Felluga 2015), seeing in Freud’s Beyond the Pleasure Principle a dynamic model that “proposes that we live in order to die, hence that the intentionality of plot lies in its orientation toward the end even while the end must be achieved only through detour” (Brooks 1984, 108). This detour is achieved through the embodiment of the pleasure principle within the mechanism of repetition.

The death drive moves towards the ending of death, whilst the pleasure principle endlessly repeats - this interaction between narrative movement and the stasis of repetition is the key behind the most historically successful forms and I posit that music is no different. The rondo is a great example of this: the constant return to A (ABACA), coupled with the constant movement away from A (ABACA). This is why the most historically successful hybrid wave-shapes in Figure 4.24 are ones which combine one shape of movement (e.g. 0.5f), with one of repetition (e.g. 3f).
Figure 4.24: Possible hybrid formal vectors created through the addition or multiplication of two wave-shapes. The top right of the chart shows hybrids created through addition, while the bottom left shows hybrids created through multiplication. Greyed out graphs indicate that the morphology would not be a possible formal vector. Some quantization has been applied to get the charts to conform to the integer-based formal vector system. In each graph, the x-axis indicates section, whilst the y-axis indicates material type. All original graphs were scaled between 0 and 1.
4.7 Formal Types

Now that shape, structure and state and their interrelations have been defined, along with their grounding in vectors and waves, combinatorics, and statistics, we can rebuild Tenney’s theory of form based upon these principles. Figure 4.26 shows a reworking of Tenney’s ideas of form from Figure 4.4. I have kept the elements of Shape, Structure and State, but replaced their subcategories with descriptions that relate directly to the ideas outlined over this chapter. Each musical form is created through the interaction of shape, structure and state. Each difference in the relation between these three things creates a different form.

It is not possible, in the space of this chapter, to outline a comprehensive typology of form. However, hopefully what follows gives enough of a starting point for further research. Figure 4.26 shows a few simple, commonly-used forms and the way they have been derived from the theoretical framework outlined in this chapter. As I stated at the beginning of this chapter, the beauty of this theoretical framework is that it is predictive so, even though I use it here to primarily define formal types which already exist, this is only to prove its efficacy; it is more than possible to extend what follows to build a more extensive and completist typology. Many of the forms described will be familiar or existing forms - Variation Form, Arch Form, Loop Form and Moment Form are certainly not my inventions, but here they are placed within a much larger cosmology and coupled with ceteris paribus examples to more clearly illustrate their characteristics.

4.7.1 Linear Form

Linear Form has an Interpolative Binary Shape involving the interpolation between two parametric states. In other words, its structure is $A \rightarrow B$ with a morphological vector of [1]. It consists of four different subtypes: Ramp Form, Funnel Form, Wedge Form, and Ergodic Form. Each of these four forms is based around the relationship between the state of the two sections and the size of the spread of a particular parameter in each of them:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Form Type</th>
<th>Parametric Spread of A Section</th>
<th>Parametric Spread of B Section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ramp</td>
<td>Narrow</td>
<td>Narrow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wedge</td>
<td>Narrow</td>
<td>Wide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funnel</td>
<td>Wide</td>
<td>Narrow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ergodic</td>
<td>Wide</td>
<td>Wide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Types of interpolative binary form
Figure 4.26: My new version of Tenney’s conception of form. This diagram is not exhaustive but highlights some of the key forms and some of the examples that can be found over the next few pages. The second column lists whether the form uses Only Primes (i.e. those structures which only feature prime-marked variations on a single section e.g. AA’A”) and No Primes (i.e. those structures which feature no sections marked with primes). This is because the archetypal types of form listed are more prevalent at these extremes and not in structures which mix the two categories. Also, the state categories are not exhaustive and simply there to highlight sub-categories of linear form which seem bountiful in Experimental Music.
Ramp Form

*Ramp form* was a term coined by James Tenney in his article *Form in Twentieth-Century Music*. It describes a piece that has "been ‘moving’ in a given direction, which has finally brought it to some intrinsic limit" (Tenney 2015, 157). We can think of this form as being in two sections: a place which has been moved from, and a place that is being moved to. These two places are then interpolated between. The second of these two sections consists of a state in which one parameter is at an extreme; an "intrinsic limit" in Tenney’s words. Additionally, we can imagine the first of these sections consisting of a state in which that parameter is at the opposite extreme.

A good example of ramp form can be found in Tenney’s piece *Koan* (Figure 4.27). This work, for solo violin, consists of double-stopped pitches slowly moving in a continuous glissando from the bottom of the violin to the top. The A section of this work has a state in which the pitch of the violin is at its extreme lowest, and the B section of the work has a state in which the pitch of the violin is at its extreme highest, with the work interpolating between these two extremes A → B.

It is important to note that, even though this form is a binary form, the interpolative nature of the movement between the two sections and the lack of sectional division can make it appear like a Unary structure.

Ramp form can be seen in many of my works, where it primarily involves the movement from the lowest to highest pitch of a particular instrument, such as in *Augenmusik VI: Asch*, or in its inverse in *The Descent* (seen in Figure 4.28). This approach was applied to two instruments in *We Doubled Down The Base Camps* (2016) for violin and cello, in which the instruments move from their lowest pitches to their highest. The underlying ascending harmonic structure of this work is laid bare in *It’s A Diagram If You Believe It, A Relic If Not* for four tunable sustaining instruments (Figure 4.29).

The clarity of a ramp form is defined by the logic of its beginning and ending points. In using instrumental limits as the beginning and ending points of a form, and moving from one to the other at a continuous speed, an expectation is set up: the piece will terminate once we have transitioned from one extreme to the other, or we have reached a point at which the performer can physically go no further (e.g. has reached the top of the piano keyboard, after which there are no more keys).
Figure 4.28: Score of *The Descent*. 
It's A Diagram If You Can Believe It, A Relic If Not
for quartet of tunable instruments

David Pocknee
Types of Ramp Form: Entropic and Negentropic Forms

Although ostensibly any parameter or parameters can have ramp form applied to them, two sub-types are worthy of note: *entropic* and *negentropic* forms. In each of these, there is the movement between maximal and minimal entropy.

*Entropic* and *Negentropic* are similar to Tenney’s definition of *Kitchen Sink* and *Classical* forms, which describe complex-to-simple and simple-to-complex formal trajectories.

Seth Kim Cohen’s work for drumkit, *Forever Got Shorter*, is one example of an entropic form, in which the piece moves from minimal to maximal entropy. An extract of the score, presented in Figure 4.30, explains how this is achieved - the regularity of a drumbeat gradually dismantled through the re-adjusting of the slope of the stage.

The opposite of *entropic form* is *negentropic form* in which the piece moves from a high level of entropy to a low level of entropy. The best example of this that I could think of is a work written just before my PhD, called *Conditioned* (2013), in which a performer is slowly conditioned, using a Pavlovian methodology, over the course of the piece, to play one of a set of sounding objects when shown a symbol on a screen, correct answers being rewarded with sweets. The work moves from a situation in which there is no relation between the symbols and the sounding result, to one in which the two are clearly causally linked.

Figure 4.30: Extract from the score of Seth Kim-Cohen’s *Forever Got Shorter* (from a t-shirt of the same name) (Kim-Cohen 2010, 1)

A drummer on a small platform plays a slow, heavy trap kit beat. Each time the drummer presses down on the kick drum pedal, he or she is also pressing down on a car jack. The jack gradually lifts the drummer’s end of the platform until the drums and cymbals begin to tumble away. The drummer’s seat is secured, ensuring that he or she will not similarly tumble. The piece is finished when the drummer can no longer reach any drums or cymbals.

Figure 4.31: Set up for *Conditioned* for the ’subject’ being conditioned (photo by Ana Lemnaru).
Wedge Form

_Wedge form_ involves the movement from a narrow parametric spread to a wide one. An example of this can be seen in Ligeti’s _Continuum_, which starts in a small pitch area, confined to the centre of the harpsichord’s range, but opens out to encompass the entire range of the keyboard (see Figure 4.32).

All of the pitch material in _But I Guess, In The End, We Just Moved Furniture Around_ is based on small and large scale wedge-forms that operate on the parameter of pitch (see Figure 4.33).

Funnel Form

_Funnel form_ is the opposite of wedge form, in that the parametric spread goes from being very wide to very narrow. An example of this can be found in Steve Reich’s _Pendulum Music_ (score in Figure 4.34a), where there is a literal funnelling of the breadth of swing of the microphones from large to small.

What is seen in Reich’s piece is an example of _damped harmonic motion_, in which "the amplitude of any real oscillating spring or swinging pendulum decreases in time until the oscillations stop altogether", usually caused by the resistance of air and internal friction within the oscillating system (see Figure 4.34b, which shows a typical graph of the displacement as a function of time) (Giancoli 1980, 284).

_Akmost Every_ uses a mimicked version of damped harmonic motion to create a trajectory from a large amount of parametric movement to near-stasis. This is also a form that can be seen in the work _Funnel_ in Figure 4.35.

Ergodic Form

_Ergodic form_ is another phrase coined by Tenney to describe "a process in which the statistical properties of each part at the next lowest hierarchical level are the same as those of every other part at the same level." (Tenney 2015, 157). Although he distinguishes two types of ergodic form, here it will only be used to describe works in which "the statistical homogeneity is the result of the constant use of the entire range of each parameter-often by way of chance methods, though sometimes via serial methods also." (Tenney 2015, 157). John Cage’s _Music of Changes_ may be a good example of ergodic form, wherein there is a continual use of a very wide parametric spread.
4.7.2 Unity Form

Unity form consists of a single section in which parametric change is minimal. A good example of this is LaMonte Young’s Composition 1960 #7 (Figure 4.36) in which only one thing happens, without any notated change for “a long time”.

4.7.3 Variation Form

My use of the term Variation form differs little from its historical usage to signify a work consisting of multiple sections, each involving musical material that is repeated, with a different developmental approach applied each time. This can be seen in some of the works I have previously discussed, especially those in the Augenmusik series. However, it might worth noting that, in my typology, variation need not be limited to the usual block forms. In Figure 4.37, Richard Glover’s Seventh Inversions provides a good example of an Interpolated Variation Form, in which the variations on each of the seventh chords (i.e. their inversions) are interpolated between using glissandi.

4.7.4 Loop Form

Loop form simply involves the repetition, verbatim, of a section of music. A good example of this is Erik Satie’s Vexations, which asks the player to repeat the same page of material 840 times (Figure 4.38).
4.7.5 Arch Form

My use of the term *arch form*, like *variation form*, differs little from its historical usage. Probably the clearest *ceteris paribus* example of this form in action is in James Tenney’s *Having Never Written A Note For Percussion*. An arch form always involves a return - in this way it is similar to *Voyage and Return* types of narrative, such as *The Odyssey*.

4.7.6 Moment Form

*Moment form* is a form pioneered by Karlheinz Stockhausen, discussed in his articles *Momentform* (1960) and *Erfindung und Entdeckung*, and utilised in works such as the electronic piece *Kontakte* (1959-60) and *Moment* (Kramer 1978: 179).

Moment form is a "succession of self-contained sections that do not relate to each other in any functionally implicative manner" (Kramer 1978: 179), what Dahlhaus refers to as *musique informelle* (Whittall 2001: 93) or what A. B. Marx refers to as *Gang* (Marx 1997: 67). Moment form consists of an n-ary structure of four or more sections: ABCDE...n.

In relationship to our discussion of the connections between musical and narrative forms, Stockhausen specifically defines moment forms as opposed to "dramatic" or "closed development" form. This is partly due to the inability for moment form to create large scale narrative.
Since moment forms verticalize time, render every Now, avoid functional implications between moments, climaxes, they are not beginning-middle-end forms. piece must start for simple practical reasons, it may must stop, but it may not end. . . .

(Kramer 1978, 180)

4.7.7 Formal Types Involving Multi-Hierarchical Relations

All of the above types of form deal with only the organization of the very topmost hierarchical level of a musical work. However, as we have seen earlier, Tenney’s idea of form is based on a recursively nested set of shape, structure and state whose properties at a given hierarchical level help define the shape, structure and state of that directly above.

Obviously, some forms are defined not only by their highest hierarchical level and, though the following is incomplete, I describe below some of the more common types of multi-hierarchical formal organization.

Arborescent and Rhizomatic Form

Arborescent forms are defined by very specific relations between their elements, which resemble the branching of trees. Examples of this can be found in Xenakis’s explicitly arborescent work such as Evryali and Eríkthon (see Figure 4.40) as well as, more metaphorically, in the branching focal pitches in Giacinto Scelsi’s fourth string quartet (see Figure 4.41).

In contrast, one could define a rhizomatic form as consisting of a multi-hierarchical set of connections, but one in which the causal linkages between each element are less top-down. In contrast to the continual branching from a given “trunk”, a rhizomatic form might be one in which this branching happens without
Figure 4.40: Compositional sketches for Xenakis’s arborescent piece *Eriikthon* from (Matossian 1986, 237)

any trunk having been defined.

![Figure 4.41: An analysis of focal pitches in Giacinto Scelsi’s *Quartetto No 4* (Pocknee 2009)](image)

For instance, my *Chronotopes* series asks performers to find their way, in a dot-to-dot manner, through a series of notes spread randomly over a sheet of paper (see Figures 4.43, 4.44, 4.45). A Rhizomatic form can also be seen in *Selfhelplessness*.

**Fractal Form**

*Fractal forms* are those in which the relationship between large and small hierarchical elements is identical. This can be seen in works such as Tom Johnson’s *Self-Similar Melodies* and John Cage’s early percussion works, such as *First Construction In Metal*, although, being pre-fractal, he refers to this as “micromacrocosmic ordering” (Guessford 2004).

A similar fractal structure can be found in my flute work *Gray Winter Grimes* in which the tablature pattern showing which fingers on the flute should be depressed is based on a *gray code*, a structure created by the mathematician Frank Gray that allows the exhaustation of all combinations of 1s and 0s.
Figure 4.42: Example of a fractal melody from (Johnson 1996, 146): 'The sequence is self-similar at the ratio of 4:1 and ar 16:1, and you can read an inversion of it if you look at every second note or every eighth note, and it behaves like a single repeated procedure, even though it is a hybrid that alternates between two transformation rules.'

in a binary bit-string of a given length in an order that ensures the change of only bit between each combination. This translates to a set of flute fingerings in which only one finger at a time is moving. More importantly, the standard gray code is fractal.

\[14\text{More information on the musical application of Gray Codes can be seen in Michael Winter's paper On Minimal Change Morphologies (Winter 2014).}\]
About

Chronotopes is based on the solo piano piece Chronotope\(^1\) and uses scales and relationships taken from the piano work Labyrinth II.

Any ensemble (2 - \(\infty\) players) of pitched, equal tempered instruments can play this work.

In this work, a series of numbered chords or notes are arranged randomly and out-of-order on sheet(s) of paper – one per performer.

The performers try to find and play each chord or note in order, treating the score like a dot-to-dot puzzle.

All durations are based around the time it takes the performer to find the next chord.

For this reason, the score should not be seen by the performer before the moment of performance, to prevent memorization of the order.

For the same reason, any score can only be used once – each performance should use a new score, specifically generated by the composer for the occasion.

The scores are algorithmically generated and take approximately two minutes to produce.

No score can be used more than once.

If you would like to perform this work, please contact the composer for a unique score (my contact details can be found at [www.davidpocknee.com](http://www.davidpocknee.com)).

Performance

All performers should start with their part placed on a music stand with the side of the paper containing the notes not visible.

On a cue, given by one of the players, all performers should simultaneously flip over their part so that they can see the notes.

Each performer immediately starts trying to find the note/chord numbered “1”.

Upon finding this note/chord they should play it and immediately start searching for the note/chord numbered “2”.

They must hold note/chord “1” until they find note/chord “2”.

Upon finding chord “2” they immediately stop playing note/chord “1” and play note/chord “2”.

They continue in this manner, sustaining the last note/chord they found until they find the chord numbered sequentially higher, until they can no longer find any more chords/notes.

If the performer is not physically capable of sustaining the note/chord for the length needed to find the next one, they should sustain it for as long as is physically possible/their instrument allows e.g. length of a breath/sonic decay.

If a player is performing on an instrument with infinite sustaining capabilities, they should stop playing as soon as they realize there are no more chords to find in the piece.

---

1 I use the Alien method of assigning titles. If the series continues I look forward to writing Chronotopes vs Predatix.
Dynamics
No dynamics are given in any parts.
There are several options of which dynamics to use in this piece, the piece can be played in several versions:
Wandelweiser Version – all notes/chords should be played as quiet as possible.
First Hague School Version – all notes/chords should be played as loud as possible.
Romantic Version – the dynamics of each note/chord should be played according to the inner-soul of the performer.
Cage Version - the i-ching is cast to decide on the dynamics for each note/chord.
Boulez Version – the dynamic series from Structures is used.
Mezzo Forte Version – all notes/chords should be played mf.
Pixies Version - quietquietquietLOUDLOUDLOUDquietquietquietLOUDLOUDquietquietquiet etc.

Players should either all use the same dynamic option, or each pick their own.
If there are large discrepancies in volume between the dynamic ranges of the instruments, all instruments should match their dynamic range to that of the quietest instrument
  e.g. in an ensemble of marimba and guitar, the marimba should scale its loudest note to the guitar’s loudest note.

Scores
All versions of Chronotopes DD_MM/YYYY-HH:MM:SS exist only as a set of parts, not a full score, for obvious reasons.

Example
Over the page is an example work for orchestra and piano.

This score is ONLY AN EXAMPLE and should NOT be used for performance.
Figure 4.45: The flute part from a version of *Chronotopes*
Figure 4.46: Page 1 of *Gray Winter Grimes* (the full gray code can be seen above the title).
Main aim of the piece:  Either complete as much of this piece as possible in one breath
Or complete the entire piece in as few breaths as possible.

Choose breathing, embouchure and finger speed to optimally achieve this aim.
This piece only notates the movement of each of the nine fingers traditionally used to play the flute.
Each finger should be in its conventional place on the flute, positioned over one of its traditionally assigned keys.
Each thin horizontal line in the score is assigned to a specific finger.
Where a black rectangle occurs on this line, the corresponding finger should fully depress a key.
Where there is the option that multiple keys could be played with a specific finger, the key that helps best achieve the main aim should be chosen.
Vertical lines are used to show the order of events in time, but not necessarily their proportional relation to each other.
The order of events must be strictly followed.
When a key combination allows the possibility for a pitch to sound, this pitch must sound.

dp (2017-04-27)
Chapter 5

Process

I will fasten a wolf skin about my back, and o'er my head put the brute's gaping jaws; then fitting its fore-feet to my hands and its hind-feet to my legs I will go on all-fours in imitation of its gait to puzzle the enemy when I approach their trenches and barriers around the ships. But whenever I come to a deserted spot, on two feet will I walk; such is the ruse I have decided on.

- Euripides Rhesus (Euripides 1952, 205)

And this is like the trailer before the main feature, or perhaps, more accurately, like watching the Making Of before the movie - spoiler alert! But, if you’ve read this far, you can probably guess what comes next: This chapter outlines the processes used to compose the Conclusion of this thesis. The Conclusion is where I show some of the possibilities of taking a compositional approach to thesis writing. It’s not exhaustive, nor perfect, but hopefully imaginative and inspiring. I’m merely pointing towards the possibilities of what a thesis could be.

The last four chapters have been necessarily associative and logical, justifying the acausal, bisociative and process-driven nature of the Conclusion. Over these chapters, I have laid down a conceptual framework that allows process-led, bisociative, compositional thesis-writing to be justified in relation to the existing practice-as-research paradigm, meaning that if someone in the future should want to attempt the type of compositional thesis writing you will see in the Conclusion, they can skip all the justifications and cut straight to the chase, with this thesis sitting referenced, linked and loved in the backmatter of their bibliography. And maybe it seems a disappointment to see bisociation squeezed finally at the end of such an epic (read "long") piece of writing, but sometimes we must work in disguise to achieve our aims, to put on our wolfskin to infiltrate the enemy encampment.

Over the previous four chapters of this thesis I have explicated the principles behind my process of composition. No matter how disparate the material, this process asserts itself again and again:

1. **Quantize** reality to create discrete compositional elements (Chapter 1).
   The first stage of any composition is the gridding of the undifferentiated, chaotic continuity of reality into discrete objects - the formulation of a particulate system upon which the discrete nature of a compositional system can operate upon - *compositional quanta*. This can occur through a straight-forward quantization process or via the imposition of conceptual grids, using *theoretical readymades*.
   e.g. In *Almost Every* we imposed a grid which only included fingered pitches and natural harmonics (up to the 5th partial) on the guitar which sounded the pitch E.

2. Use a *completist* approach to list the combinatoric possibilities of the quantized elements (Chapter 3).
   Permutation, combination, enumeration, mapping, re-ordering and direct transcription are all techniques for calculating the combinatoric potentials of the compositional quanta. A completist approach reduces redundancy, ensures the exhaustion of all possibilities, and delimits a compositional space.
   e.g. In *Almost Every* every playable combination of the 17 Es was calculated.
3. If the number of possibilities is too large, reduce the options or use divination to select a subset of the possibilities (Chapter 2).

Completest procedures often generate numbers of possibilities that exceed practicality. This can be avoided by re-quantization or by using divinatory procedures to navigate the combinatoric space. e.g. It would be impossible to read every book in the University of Huddersfield Library, so divination was used to select the books and journals to read.

4. Order the possibilities selected in time; create a form (Chapter 4).

As Robin Maconie, discussing Stockhausen’s work, notes: "Ending a permutational form is nearly always a matter of taste, not design. While the listener may be satisfied with a sensation of completion, the composer knows that though a series of permutations may eventually be exhausted, it does not automatically resolve. The ending’s essential arbitrariness has to be disguised." (quoted in [Kramer 1978, 180-181]). The combinatorial space is ordered to create meaningful high-level shapes, structures and states.

The Conclusion to this thesis has a number of different processes applied to it, each of which follows the compositional approach above.

5.1 Design

I start a new paragraph. I refer to a footnote¹

I go to a new sheet of paper.

¹. I am very fond of footnotes at the bottom of the page, even if I don’t have anything in particular to clarify there.

Figure 5.1: (Perec [1999], 11)

Again, in B.S. Johnson’s House Mother Normal, typography and the physical form of the book function to reinforce its theme. Each line of each chapter corresponds in time to the same line in each of the other chapters, an attempt at simultaneity which has occasionally humorous ramifications: the final chapter has several blank pages - the character has dozed off.

(Ryf [1977], 64)

In Chapter 1, I identified page layout and font as the two design elements I would be composing. In literature and poetry, this approach has many predecessors, especially in Concrete Poetry (see [Williams 2013]), and in the work of writers such as Raymond Federman, particularly in his book Double or Nothing (see Figure 5.2).

In music, this approach could be traced back to the typographic experiments of Ars Subtilor and also seen in later works such as John Cage’s 62 Mesostics re Merce Cunningham (see Figure 5.7b) and the more subtle serialized typesetting of Stockhausen’s Aus Den Sieben Tagen (see Figure 5.3 and [Bandur 2001, 45]).
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Figure 5.2: Extract from Raymond Federman's *Double or Nothing* (from Wielgosz [1995])
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text order-number</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Scoring</th>
<th>Process-type</th>
<th>Typography</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>RICHTIGE DAUERN c. 4 players</td>
<td>Pointillist</td>
<td></td>
<td>Centered</td>
<td>May 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>UNBEGRENZT</td>
<td>ensemble</td>
<td>Pointillist</td>
<td>Centered</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>VERBINDUNG</td>
<td>ensemble</td>
<td>Scale</td>
<td>Left margin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>TREFFPUNKT</td>
<td>ensemble</td>
<td>Refrain</td>
<td>Centered</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>NACHTMUSIK</td>
<td>ensemble</td>
<td>Mediation</td>
<td>Centered</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>ABWÄRTS</td>
<td>ensemble</td>
<td>Scale</td>
<td>Left margin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>AUFWÄRTS</td>
<td>ensemble</td>
<td>Mediation</td>
<td>Centered</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>OBER UND UNTEN theater piece</td>
<td>Mediation</td>
<td></td>
<td>Centered and Left margin</td>
<td>May 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>INTENSITÄT</td>
<td>ensemble</td>
<td>Pointillist</td>
<td>Centered</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>SETZ DIE SEGEL ZUR SONNE</td>
<td>ensemble</td>
<td>Mediation</td>
<td>Centered</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>KOMMUNION</td>
<td>ensemble, at first 3, then 4, 5, 6, 7</td>
<td>Scale</td>
<td>Left margin</td>
<td>May 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>LITANEI</td>
<td>&quot;to the player&quot;</td>
<td>Non-Process [speaker or choir]</td>
<td>Left margin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>ES</td>
<td>ensemble</td>
<td>Pointillist</td>
<td>Centered</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>GOLDSCHAUB</td>
<td>small ensemble</td>
<td>Pointillist</td>
<td>Centered</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>ANKUNFT</td>
<td>any number of musicians</td>
<td>Non-Process [speaker or speaking-choir]</td>
<td>Left margin</td>
<td>May 11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Karlheinz Stockhausen, *Aus den sieben tagen* (1968): Abwärts and Richtige Daurn (Kohl, 1961, p. 241) has shown that serial thinking even in this text-based composition is a relevant feature.

Figure 5.3: Typography in Stockhausen’s *Aus Den Sieben Tagen*, from (Bandura 2001, p. 45)
5.1.1 Page-Layout

This thesis is presented on a rectangular sheet of A4 paper in the 'portrait' orientation. The space of this paper is a 2D combinatorial space ripe for exhaustion. On the pages of this thesis, all text and images occur within a smaller rectangle surrounded by blank margins. We can refer to this smaller rectangle as the writing-rectangle (see Figure 5.4).

The page is a continuous space, but if we apply the type of quantization process outlined in the first chapter, this can be transformed into a composable space. First, a grid must be imposed. Within this grid, the size and position of the writing-rectangle can be defined by the two coordinates of its top-left and bottom-right corners.

We can define a grid by its number of horizontal ($h$) and vertical ($v$) divisions. The number of possible unique writing-rectangles can then be calculated using the following equation:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{h} n \times \sum_{k=1}^{v} n$$

For instance, we could enumerate the number of possible unique writing rectangles in a 5x5 grid as follows:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{5} n \times \sum_{k=1}^{5} n = 15 \times 15 = 225$$

This 5x5 grid was used to create a t-shirt, inspired by the page-layout used in the conclusion of this thesis (Figure 5.5).
Q: What's the deal with these grids?
A: Oh. You're back.

Q: I had to take a phone call.
A: For 113 pages?

Q: I was trying to sort out a - it doesn't matter. What are you trying to do?
A: I want the Conclusion of this thesis to use all possible page-layouts in a particular grid.

Q: Ah, a completist thing, OK, but why not just randomly pick any grid and use that - like how you did in your divination chapter?
A: Because I want the writing-rectangles on all of the page-layouts to be filled, and if I'm using every possible writing-rectangle in a given grid, the amount of writing that can be fitted into all of these page-layouts changes wildly depending on the grid used.

Q: Oh, so you're afraid that if you choose the wrong grid, you'll have too much or too little writing to fill all of the possible page-layouts?
A: Exactly.

Q: So did you write the Conclusion beforehand and are trying to find a set of possibilities that it can fit in?
A: Yes, I wrote it first - it took up approximately 13 pages with a normal page-layout (the one used on this page) and using that information I calculated the approximate surface area the text would need. In a normal page-layout, there is a margin of 1 inch (2.54 cm) around the writing-rectangle, so its surface area can be calculated by subtracting the 1 inch (2.54 cm) margins from the length and width of the page:

\[(21 - 2.54 - 2.54) \times (29.7 - 2.54 - 2.54) = 391.95 \text{cm}^2\]

Multiplying this by 13 gives the total surface area needed for the Conclusion: \(391.95 \times 13 = 5095.36 \text{cm}^2\).

Q: Sorry, nodded off there - so, cut to the chase; what was the best size of grid to use?
A: Applying a grid of 3x3, with a sigmoid variable of 2.5 ... 

Q: A what?
A: I weighted the grids using a sigmoid function, so that the grid-lines get closer together as they approach the edge of the page.

Q: Why would you do that?
A: I think it lessens the abruptness of transition between the normal page-layout and these new ones.

Q: Sure, whatever. So how many page-layouts do you get with a 3x3 grid?
A: 36 (seen in Figure 5.6). The grid occurs at the following points: \(x = 2.54\text{cm}, 6.80\text{cm}, 14.20\text{cm}, 18.46\text{cm}; y = 2.54\text{cm}, 9.13\text{cm}, 20.57\text{cm}, 27.16\text{cm}\). The total surface area of the writing-rectangles is \(4801.4\text{cm}^2\).

Form in my Works

Q: You've talked about form a lot - how did you end up ordering these 36 page-layouts? I've noticed you're pretty much a one-trick pony when it comes to form.
A: What do you mean.

Q: Well, it's either Linear Form or Variation Form, isn't it? Just look at Table 5.1 where I've categorized the contents of your portfolio according to the form used.
Figure 5.6: The 36 possible page-layouts when the page is divided into 3 sections placed into an order such that there is minimal change between the size and position of each page-layout.

A: Yes, but it’s more complicated than that, it’s a dialec-

Q: I bet you’ve just used these two forms for all of the typographical, syntactic and semantic processes in the conclusion, haven’t you?
A: Yes, but there’s layering and interac-

Q: Thought so. So which of these forms did you use for the page-layouts?
A: Ramp Form.

Q: Classic A → B narrative. What were the starting and ending points, though?
A: Well, it works like this . . .

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formal Type</th>
<th>Diagram</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Works</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Linear Form</td>
<td>A→B</td>
<td>One thing slowly changing into another.</td>
<td>• Almost Every</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• We Doubled Down The Base Camps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• The Chord Catalogue (Redux)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• But I Guess, In The End, We Just Moved Furniture Around</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variation Form</td>
<td>AA’A”…</td>
<td>One thing repeated, slightly different each time.</td>
<td>• Augenmusik IV: Paperwork</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Augenmusik V: Blooper Reel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• MG3250 Performs Cornelis Cardew’s “Treatise”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear/Variation Combination</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>• Augenmusik VI: Asch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhizomatic</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>• Selfhelplessness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Cipher for the Lighthouse Twins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>• Digging Piece</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• A Beethoven Mesostic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.1: Types of forms used in works in my PhD portfolio.

1. Let us first conceive of an A → B narrative:

2. Given that this process will begin directly after the termination of the chapter you are reading, which uses a conventional page-layout, we can choose A to be the type of page-layout used here,
and in the rest of the thesis, allowing a seamless transition from the static nature of page layouts in the previous chapters to this new process.

3. **B** must now be chosen.

4. Given my appreciation of terminal narratives, it makes sense to me that **B** is a page layout which is at the extreme of the possibilities of page layouts.

5. One extreme, given the relatively large starting size of the page layout for **A**, is to make **B** the smallest possible page layout.

6. Given the sigmoid-derived distribution of the grid used, the smallest page layouts will be found in the four corners.

7. Given the direction of writing in English (left-to-right, top-to-bottom), it might be interesting to then choose the bottom-right corner as **B**.

8. I now order the possible page layouts according to the following rules, designed to minimize change between each page layout:

   (a) Each page layout is defined by four values: the top-left corner of the writing-rectangle \((x_1, y_1)\) and the bottom-right corner of the writing-rectangle \((x_2, y_2)\).

   (b) Each consecutive writing-rectangle can only change by one value.

   (c) The value changed can only be by -1 or +1.

9. This leads to the order found in Figure 5.6. These page-layouts have been arranged such that there is minimal change in size and position between each layout, that they start with the “normal” page-layout used for the rest of this thesis, and that terminates with the smallest page-layout in the bottom-left of the page\(^1\).

### 5.1.2 Font

**Q:** Are we going to see some cool font stuff in the Conclusion?

**A:** Yes, I ended up designing 11 fonts especially for it.

**Q:** That’s pretty complicated, isn’t it? Shouldn’t you leave it to the experts?

**A:** Well, there’s some software you can use to easily make fonts that is built into LaTeX.

**Q:** That’s the typesetting software this thesis is coded in, right?

**A:** Yep. Anyway, it’s called METAFONT.

**Q:** How does it work?

**A:** Well, it’s basically a way of coding fonts that allows for multi-parametric font composition. You can see an example in Figure 5.7a taken from a paper by Donald Knuth, who designed it. The figure shows one font slowly changing into another. You can see an example of the METAFONT syntax Figure 5.8.

**Q:** John Cage did some composed typesetting stuff, didn’t he?

**A:** Yes, I guess the 62 Mesostics re Merce Cunningham (Figure 5.7b) has some of that but it’s, understandably, a more random approach to what I’m interested in doing.

**Q:** Which is?

**A:** A subtle linear interpolation between a serifed and sans serifed version of the same font.

**Q:** So ramp form again?

---

\(^1\)This order doesn’t exactly fulfil all the criteria above, but is as close as possible.
The LORD is my shepherd; I shall not want. He maketh me to lie down in green pastures: he leadeth me beside the still waters. He restoreth my soul: he leadeth me in the paths of righteousness for his name’s sake. Yea, though I walk through the valley of the shadow of death, I will fear no evil: for thou art with me; thy rod and thy staff they comfort me. Thou preparest a table before me in the presence of mine enemies: thou anointest my head with oil, my cup runneth over. Surely goodness and mercy shall follow me all the days of my life: and I will dwell in the house of the LORD for ever.

A: Yes - I took the **roman** and **sans serif** versions of the *Computer Modern* font designed by Donald Knuth using METAFONT.

**Q: Is that the font you’re writing in now?**

A: Well, this is a slightly modified version called *Latin Modern*, but they’re really similar. I linearly interpolated the values between the two fonts and then created 11 new fonts by taking the values at 11 equally-spaced points between the starting and ending values.

**Q: Why 11?**

A: There are 13 sections in the Conclusion, so I use one font for each section, including the original two fonts. You can see all the fonts in Figure 5.9. I rendered them using the program *FontForge* and coupled them with the page-layouts in *Adobe Illustrator*.
Figure 5.8: Start of the two metafont files open in the program Sublime Text. The file on the left is the roman version of Computer Modern, the file on the right is the sans serif version.

Figure 5.9: The 13 fonts used in the Conclusion. The top and bottom fonts are the roman and sans serif versions of the Computer Modern font.
5.2 Semantics

5.2.1 Quantized Divination: Using Random Numbers to Select Books in Huddersfield University Library

I have managed to locate only one eye-witness description of the process that John Cage used to select library books for his class at UC Davis, discussed in Chapter 2. This account is in an article by John Dinwiddie, published in Issue 7 of *Source* magazine:

By consulting the *I Ching*, specific information could be derived in the following manner:

1. Out of the total number, one card drawer in the library is derived.
2. Out of the total in the drawer, one card is derived to indicate a specific unit of information (e.g., a book, a folio, a magazine, a score, a research report, a thesis, a microfilm, etc).
3. Out of such a selection, progressively smaller units of information are gleaned (e.g., a page, a paragraph, line, sentence, chapter, photo, diagram, even a single word or letter).

Instead of throwing coins or sticks to determine *I Ching* chance operations, Cage utilized the computer-programmed version by Ed Kobrin to derive the necessary hexagrams for the procedure described above.

(Dinwiddie 2011, 235)

Q: So Cage’s residency at UC Davis was after he had started using a computer to consult the *I Ching*, rather than doing all the laborious coin-tossing by hand?

A: Yes, the computer program was written by Ed Kobrin in FORTRAN for Cage and Lejaren Hiller’s *HPSCHD* work (the first performance of this work was earlier the same year as this class, on 18 May 1969 at the University of Illinois (Heimbecker 2008, 475)). It simulated the mechanism of the *I Ching* and even printed out the shape of the hexagrams (Revill 1992, 226). In later years, there was an implementation in *C* by Andrew Culver which was often used in works such as the number pieces (Weisser 2003).

Q: How did you choose the books in the university library that you used to write the Conclusion?

A: In October 2013, at the start of my PhD, I asked University of Huddersfield Library to send me a list of every book they had on their shelves. I received a list of 148,402 books as an electronic .csv file. This allowed me to easily use random numbers to select books from this corpus.

Q: So you didn’t have to deal with the more cumbersome draw-based library cataloguing system of the 1960s?

A: No, I just got a computer to generate some random numbers between 1 and 148,402 and then I had my books to read.

Q: Did you use Kobrin or Culver’s programs to generate an *I Ching*-based random number?

A: No, as I mentioned before, Cage basically used the *I Ching* as a glorified random number generator anyway, so I didn’t see the point in sticking to those.

Q: Also, don’t those programs use pseudorandom number generators, rather than real randomness?

A: Yes, but according to Culver, Cage wasn’t bothered by those sorts of distinctions; ‘John couldn’t have cared less, didn’t want to know about it really.’ (Tenney et al. 2010, 202).

Q: Do you?

A: Not really.

Q: But pseudorandomness is different from randomness.

---

2Culver’s version is available for free from his website, both as a dos program (http://www.newmus.net/filelib.htm) and as a web-based application (http://www.anarchicharmony.org/IChing/ic.cfm) (Culver, n.d.).

3As an interesting side-note, according to my correspondence with the library, this was quite a task, as no-one had ever asked for this before, and it involved the compiling of several separate databases. As a result of this, the library learned that they had significantly less books than they had thought, leading them to correct this fact in their promotional literature. I would like this noted as part of my ‘original contribution to knowledge’. 213
A: Well, a lot of online cryptographic security is premised upon the idea that pseudorandom number generators can produce numbers indistinguishable from random ones. Do you use online banking?

Q: Yes, but -
A: So it would seem hypocritical to put the safety of your life savings in the hands of online banking but shirk at the use of pseudorandomness in composition. If there’s any difference, it’s between pseudorandom number generators and cryptographically secure pseudorandom number generators, like Fortuna. Anyway, I bypassed these types of problems by using random.org, which utilizes atmospheric data as its main entropy source.

Q: OK, so what exactly did you do?
A: On 5 April 2017 at 15:06 I generated 9 random numbers between 1 and 148,402 using random.org which I then used to select books from the corpus of library books. I read all of these books and then used this information to trigger bisociative inspiration that fed into the Conclusion. This list of books can be found in the Quantized Divination Bibliography, after the Conclusion.

Q: You read all nine of them?
A: Yup.

Q: You maniac.

5.2.2 Unquantized Divination: Dowsing for Journals in Huddersfield University Library

Dowsing is a form of unquantized divination, sometimes also referred to as "divining" or "water-witching" (Dillinger 2012):

Dowsing could be defined as the systematic search for hidden objects with a help of instruments that are—according to the standards of science—unsuitable for that task. The divining rod or dowsing rod (German: Wünschelrute; Italian: bacchetta da rabdomante; Spanish: vara de zahorí; French: baguette divinatoire/baguette de sorcier) is the most important instrument of dowsers. A dowser (or diviner) might claim to be able to find a hidden spring with a simple Y-shaped stick cut from some tree. The diviner would hold the two short ends of the rod in both hands and move about with it. When the long end of the rod began to tremble or bend down—supposedly on its own accord—it indicated where hidden items could be found. Earlier, dowsers claimed to be able to find water, minerals or buried treasures that way.

(Dillinger 2012, 2)

Some students of dowsing believe that it is a way of externalizing aspects of the subconscious which we would otherwise not have access to.

Once we have acknowledged the importance of the subconscious, dowsing becomes so much more than a means of locating either water or minerals, valuable as these abilities may be. If the dowsed reflex accurately mirrors the unconscious mind, then we have access, albeit through a limited means of communication, to the unconscious mind.

- Dowsing for Beginners (Ozaniec 1994, xi)

A more rational explanations of the phenomena is that it is an example of ideomotor suggestion, the same principle used to explain the functioning of Ouija boards, in which, "if you focus on the idea of making a movement, you will end up making a similar tiny movement without realizing it" (Brown 2006, 45). These small movements are then amplified through the light and loosely-grasped dowsing rod.

Q: Wait - what? Did you go dowsing?
A: Yes.

Q: Where?
A: In the University of Huddersfield Library.

Q: You went dowsing for books?
A: Well, seeing as I already had a divinatory way of navigating the library’s books, I decided to use dowsing to locate knowledge from within their extensive collection of journals (see Fig 5.10).

Q: But if you’re looking for journal articles, couldn’t you just search online, using the University’s access to online journals?
A: Many of the journals in the library are pretty old and aren’t accessible digitally because the university subscribed to the online versions of journals only from the date at which they stopped ordering physical copies.

Q: What did you find?
A: Check the Unquantized Divinatory Bibliography for Chapter 4 and Conclusion after the Conclusion.

5.2.3 Unquantized Divination: Dérive for Journals in Huddersfield University Library

Much like Malcolm Gladwell’s purposeless ambling around Bobst Library, I wandered along the aisles of journals in the University of Huddersfield Library, randomly pulling out journals to see if there was anything inspiring inside.

Q: This is that psychogeographic idea you were talking about in Chapter 2, right?
A: Yes. I was a bit stricter with how I used this technique, though - I looked at one issue of every single journal held in the library.

Q: Every one? Even stuff like Abstracts of Chemistry, which you have no way of understanding?
A: OK, I looked at one issue of every non-hard science journal in the library.

Q: How did you do that?
A: Started at the top floor of the library, went shelf-by-shelf until I’d looked at all of those journals, then worked my way down floor-by-floor. It took me three full days.
Q: Why didn’t you do something a little looser, like with the dowsing?
A: I wanted to cover as much of the journal collection as possible and this was a way I could miss less and mop up stuff that wasn’t covered during dowsing.

Q: When you’re looking at a shelf of issues of a particular journal, how do you choose which one to look at?
A: Often the volume chosen was just intuitive, picking from between a set of identical looking spines which revealed almost nothing of their content. Other times I used multi-sided dice to select the cardboard container and issue, using a similar method to that behind my piece *Some Short Pieces for Robert Blatt* (2016), in which different-sided dice are used to select which note on the keyboard will be played (see Figure 5.11).

Q: Did you read all the articles in every volume you selected?
A: No, I’d do a quick skim read of everything in there then photocopy any article that was interesting to read at home.

Q: What did you find?
A: Check the *Unquantized Divinatory Bibliography for Chapter 4 and Conclusion* after the Conclusion.

Q: Did you read all of the articles in that bibliography?
A: Yes.

### 5.2.4 Information Found

Q: But if I look at the Conclusion of this thesis, how can I tell that any of the information you went out of your way to select through divinatory procedures and then read had any impact upon the finished result?
A: What do you mean?

Q: I mean that if part of your argument is about the importance of bisociative thinking in constructing new knowledge and making an ‘original contribution’, how do I know that the ideas in the conclusion don’t come from associative sources?
A: I suggest looking at Figure 5.12, in which I documented the origins of a large amount of ideas in the Conclusion and Chapter 4, and whether they came from bisociatively or associatively selected material.

Q: So, there’s bisociative thinking in Chapter 4 as well?
A: Originally, there was no Conclusion to this thesis and, instead, all of the semantic, syntactic and design processes were going to be implemented in the fourth chapter on form. However, the problems that led to the unexpected lengthening of Chapter 4 (outlined in its introduction) forced me to abandon that idea, keep the more mathematical form-oriented stuff in it and move the more abstract ideas to the
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>No. of references</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>No. of references</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>75.44</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>60.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10.53</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>33.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed/Unknown</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14.04</td>
<td>Mixed/Unknown</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>57</td>
<td></td>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.2: Table showing the gender of authors in Figure 5.12.

Conclusion.

Q: But why keep Chapter 4 so conventional?
A: Chapter 4 is a large collection of a lot of simple ideas put together in a novel way. The difficulty in writing that chapter is in conveying the simple ideas in a way that is straightforward enough that their interactions are understandable. I couldn’t do that if I applied the type of syntactic processes seen in the Conclusion.

Q: So does Figure 5.12 show all of the ideas used in Chapter 4 and the Conclusion?
A: Not all of them. For clarity, I only documented the start of the process. I finished Chapter 4 and the Conclusion (prior to syntactic restructuring) on 6 July 2017. The process of bisociative knowing started with the selection of the nine library books on 5 April 2017. The chart tracks the process of generating and sourcing ideas from 5 April – 14 May 2017. Not all the ideas in the chart were used, and there are ideas which occurred later which do not appear in it. Yet, hopefully this is a useful way of helping to track the genesis of ideas and their elaboration.

Q: So, it’s what? - yellow and purple nodes are books or journals found via bisociative knowing and all other colours were associatively found?
A: Yes, and the outlines around each node indicate whether they are from female authors (red), male authors (blue), or mixed/unknown authors (grey).

Q: Why bother? Sounds like more bullshit liberal identity politics.
A: Well, I think it helps prove the point I made in Chapter 2, that "if the corpus of books contains an equal amount of men and women, it is statistically likely that the reference list of your thesis will be gender-balanced."

Q: I can’t imagine randomly choosing which resources you read rather than associatively picking them would make any real difference.
A: In Table 5.2 I analyze the gender make-up of Figure 5.12 - it actually appears the number of female authors is pretty dire with the associatively chosen references, but increases by 23% if you bisociatively choose what you read.

Q: A 60%/34% split is still pretty unequal, though.
A: True, but it is better.

5.2.5 The Sortes and Random Quotations from Greek Dramaturgy and Shakespeare

Q: You know, I’ve also seen you referencing some of the things found in the Divinatory Bibliographies outside of Chapter 4 and the Conclusion.
A: That’s true, occasionally I’d come across something by bisociative means that needed to appear in the associative sections of the thesis, so there’s a sprinkling of bisociative thinking all the way through.
Q: So it’s sort of similar to how you spread those quotations from Shakespeare and Ancient Greek dramatists at the start of sections and chapters throughout the thesis?

A: Yes, they were nearly all chosen using a sorts-style procedure of flipping to random pages in large collected volumes of works by these writers and seeing if there is anything on the open page which was relevant to the subject being written about.

Figure 5.12: (following page) Chart showing the way in which ideas for Chapter 4 and the Conclusion were generated. Nodes with a blue outline indicate they were written by male writers, those with a red outline were written by female writers, and those with a grey outline were written by a mixed group of writers, or a writer whose gender was not able to be determined.
5.3 Syntax

Syntax, traditionally, is the unity, the continuity of words, the law which dominates them. It reduces their multiplicity, controls their violence. It fixes them into a place, a space,-prescribes an order to them. It prevents them from wandering. Even if it is hidden, it reigns always on the horizon of words which buckle under its mute exigency.

Cast your mind back to the chapter headings from *Linguistics for Dummies*, presented as a theoretical readymade in Chapter 1. In the Conclusion, as well as composing the design and semantics, I have composed the syntax of the writing.

5.3.1 Clauses

Syntax refers to how words group together to make phrases and sentences (Eppler and Ozón 2013, 248). An important intermediary between word and sentence is the clause, which can be defined as follows:

A term used in some grammars to refer to a grammatical unit intermediate between PHRASE and SENTENCE. The distinctive feature of clauses is that they have a subject-predicate structure. A predicate is typically a claim or assertion made about a thing or person. Its formation requires a predicate, or verb, with which to make the claim:

- **subject**
  - The boy
  - The tall masted schooner
  - She
  - (Finch 2000, 88)

- **predicate**
  - kicked the ball over the fence
  - sank
  - slept

Another characteristic of clauses is that they can function as a sentence on their own, even when outside of the sentence structure of which they are a part. The subject-predicate structure of a clause can be broken down into four elements:

- **Subject (S)** - the main actor in the clause. Usually a noun or person.
- **Verb(s) (V)** - the verbs used in the clause. Finite verbs can be used to control tense.
- **Complement (C)** - an optional component, used with transitive verbs. There are three types of complements:
  - Complement direct object (Cdo) - appear in clauses which involve a subject and an object. *(He ate the apple).*
  - Complement indirect object (Cio) - involve three participants *(He gave the apple to her)*
  - Intensive complement (Cint) - provide an attribute or quality of the participant *(He is hungry).*
- **Adjunct (A)** - an optional component, used for giving extra information about the clause, such as time, place, manner, reason, cause, effect, agency etc. (referred to in some texts as an adverbial).

Parse/Syntax Trees

4It is important to note that there are many different types of linguistic schools and subsequently many different types of linguistic analysis. The analytical terminology and techniques used within this thesis is not only specific to the English language but also reflects the theoretical orientation of the linguists I drew upon, specifically the writers of *Introducing Language In Use* (Merrison et al. 2005). In other words, the terminology and definitions I use in this thesis do not hold across all linguistic schools or even all linguistics textbooks.

5In some texts a clause can also be broken down into three elements: Subject, Verb, Object - SVO form; or five elements: Subject, Predicator/Verb, Object, Complement, Adjunct - SPOCA/SVOCA form. (Finch 2000, 115-118) The framework I am using, however, treats the object(s) of the sentence as a part of the complement structure.
The image in Figure 5.13 is of a parse tree, an analytical tool for understanding the structure of clauses. If you are a musician, there are two things you may notice. Firstly, it shares visual similarities with tuplets in musical notation. Secondly, it shares another characteristic of musical tuplets, in that it is recursive. In conventional western musical rhythmic notation, a tuplet can be placed inside another tuplet, such as a triplet being placed inside another triplet (see Figure 5.14).

This recursivity is also present in sentence structures in English, where clauses can be nested inside other clauses. This can be seen in the example, with a relative clause being nested inside a noun phrase (NP), which is in turn part of a clause itself.

In order to understand Figure 5.13 it is necessary to define some basic linguistic components used in these diagrams.

The English language can be conceived of as consisting of five basic components that are ordered in different ways to create meaning: Noun Phrases, Adjective Phrases, Adverb Phrases, Proposition Phrases and Verb Groups. These components can fit into different slots in the SVCA structure. Each of these components has a different structure:

- **Noun Phrase (NP)** consists of:
  - Premodifier (optional): Determiner (det e.g. the, a, an) / Adjective Phrase (AdjP) / Adverb Phrase (AdvP) / Noun Phrase (NP)
  - HEAD (obligatory) - noun (e.g. apple)
  - Postmodifier (optional) - Preposition Phrase (PP) / Noun Phrase

- **Preposition Phrase (PP)** Consists of:
  - Preposition (prep e.g. of, in, on)
  - Noun Phrase

- **Adjective Phrase (AdjP)** consists of:
  - Premodifier (optional): Adjective Phrase / Adverb Phrase
  - HEAD: adjective (adj e.g. cold, green, ripe)
‘...adjectives in English absolutely have to be in this order: opinion-size-age-shape-colour-origin-material-purpose Noun. So you can have a lovely little old rectangular green French silver whittling knife. But if you mess with that word order in the slightest you’ll sound like a maniac. It’s an odd thing that every English speaker uses that list, but almost none of us could write it out. And as size comes before colour, green great dragons can’t exist.’ (Forsyth 2013)

- **Adverb Phrase (AdvP)** consists of:
  - Premodifier (optional): adverb (adv e.g. best, most, up, particularly)
  - HEAD: adverb
- **Verb Group (VG)** consists of:
  - Finite (optional)
  - Predicator

Using some completist-style thinking, we can enumerate all possible structures of these components (excluding Verb Groups, which will be discussed later) without recursion (see Figures 5.15). Given the possible valid arrangements of SVCA structure, I estimate this would give 36,720 possible clause structures, a figure which exponentially increases as levels of recursion are added.

Having defined the combinatorial space, this is clearly too large to exhaustively enumerate over the course of the conclusion. Given the similarities of parse trees to tuplets, perhaps some of the compositional processes behind writing tuplets can be applied directly to language?

**Ferneyhough-ing Language**

Parse trees have been used to analyze musical examples, as in Figure 5.16. However, as far as I know, musical processes have not been used to compose parse trees.

Brian Ferneyhough is a composer who makes extensive use of nested tuplets in his works. Figure 5.17 is taken from his article *Duration and Rhythm As Compositional Resources* (Ferneyhough 1995, 51-65). In it, a simple layering of three numerical processes of differing length change the rhythmic density of each bar, creating an implied accelerando towards the middle of the example. Ferneyhough conceptualizes bars as "not primarily a unit of emphasis, of agogic priorities, but a space, serving to delimit the field of operations or presence of specific sound qualities, of musical processes" (Ferneyhough 1995, 52).

In other words, the measure can be conceived of as a container of energy. Perhaps, we could similarly conceptualize the clause as a container of energy, defined by its density and complexity and which, through the imposition of processes, we could compose to achieve particular compositional effects.

![Figure 5.17: Example of one of Ferneyhough’s characteristic processes used to compose tuplets (Ferneyhough 1995, 55).](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of pulses in bar</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bar length</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 5.3: Process used in Figure 5.17**

Mikhaïl Malt’s description of Ferneyhough’s rhythmic approach also highlights underlying ideas about why there might appear to be similarities between parse trees and his compositional approach, stating that Ferneyhough’s compositional procedures ‘are applied to rhythms represented as trees (a representation discarded by a majority of composers but which fits surprisingly well Brian Ferneyhough’s
Figure 5.15: Table exhausting all possibilities of different phrase structures at 0 levels of recursion.
Figure 5.16: Music/parse-tree analysis from (Rohrmeier et al. 2015)
imagination)” going as far as to code rhythms using a symbolic representation known as *Rhythmic Trees* (Malt 2008, 8).

The Footnote

*Checkhov told an aspiring writer “If in the first chapter you say that a gun hung on the wall, in the second, or third chapter it must without fail be discharged”.*

(Abbott 2008, 60)

Remember that enormous footnote on the first page of Chapter 1?

Q: How could I forget? What was it even there for? It was just rambling sub-Beat Generation nonsense; it was like slapping the reader in their face as soon as they got through the door.

A: There’s something I really like about it, actually; an energy, a style, a poetry - I would have written the entire thesis like that if I could.

Q: You like it. Great. But why include it?

A: And it’s not nonsense - it’s about the limits of analyzing your own work and it serves a very specific function as a style model for the Conclusion.

Q: What do you mean?

A: I mean that the entirety of the Conclusion is built around the syntactic structure of that footnote. I used parse trees to deconstruct the footnote so I could replicate its energy. If you read the opening of the Conclusion it has the *exact same* syntactic structure as the footnote.

Q: Wait - so the footnote was a set-up to a punchline that only gets paid off 220-something pages later?

A: Exactly. As I said in the Introduction: "the aim of every facet of this document is to illuminate parts of my working process and approach to art-making in a way that would be difficult to achieve with words alone."

Q: But that whole first chapter is littered with unnecessary footnotes, literary flourishes and bizarre digressions - the whole chapter isn’t a style model.

A: No, but it’s trying to prove a point. Do you ever wonder why, in my compositions, I go out of my way to force my creative energy through such restrictive constraints?

Q: What do you mean?

A: I mean why have a guitar piece which is all Es? Why give myself the burden of having to surmount these self-imposed restrictions?

Q: Don’t know. Masochism? Self-sabotage?

A: No. Chapter 1 is the answer. Chapter 1 is what happens when I write completely associatively and without constraints. And it’s a mess. With its overlong footnotes and digressions and literary pretensions. I need constraints. I need those restrictions so that my work can make sense. To prevent me disappearing into self-indulgence and predictability.

Q: So Chapter 1 and the Conclusion are mirrors of each other, then? One extremely associative and free, the other extremely bisociative and constrained.

A: Exactly.

Q: So the construction of this whole thesis is just a meta-commentary on your own work - a ramp form between the extreme of your “natural” unconstrained associative self and the idealized constrained and bisociative self of your work?

A: Just as this conversation is a meta-commentary on that meta-commentary.
Q: So how does this Conclusion work? How did you manage to apply the syntactic structure of that original footnote?
A: I used a linguistic parse tree to analyze that footnote. This analysis can be found in Appendix E.

Q: The analysis is sort of weird...
A: How so?

Q: Well, it looks like you do a strange thing as the footnote goes on. You increasingly disregard conventional SVCA clause structure and instead start constructing 'clauses' from isolated noun phrases in which all of the information is nested inside the postmodifier slot. Clauses 7 and 8 in Sentence 2 both take a head noun with determiner ("a grass", "a landscape") and then place all of the information as a postmodification of that head noun.
A: Oh.

Q: Were you aware you were doing that?
A: No. Interesting.

Q: So what did you do with that analysis?
A: Well, I picked three linguistic levels, taken from the hierarchy of rank in Figure 1.33 in Chapter 1:

1. Sentences in Paragraph
2. Clauses in Sentence
3. Words in Clause

Then did some analysis according to these categorizations, which can be seen in Figure 5.18.

Q: There's some interesting wave and arch shapes going on there.
A: I know, right.

Q: I guess, if you have a breakdown of the clauses you want to replicate into parse trees then it's pretty easy to just Mad Lib in substitutions for different syntactic elements to create your meaning.
A: Well, not easy; imagine trying to pack a drumkit into a Vauxhall Corsa so that you can still play it. Several dozen times. But, yes, that is the basic principle.

Q: And formally? Because the Conclusion doesn’t look static - looks a bit like there’s a sort of inverse process to the one going on in Robert Fitterman’s conceptual writing poetry book No, Wait. Yep. Definitely Still Hate Myself (Fitterman 2014), made from quotations by depressed people on internet message boards and re-arranged into a poetic structure in which the length of lines gradually expands.

A: Exactly, this is another ramp form in which the clause structures of the footnote gradually shrink to the shortest paragraph possible in the English language: a paragraph consisting of a single sentence, which consists of a single clause, which consists of a single word. This can only be a verb in the imperative, such as 'Stop!'.

Q: So you then algorithmically interpolate between these two extremes over the course of the Conclusion?

A: Yes, I divided every clause into a number of component parts (see Appendix E). These divisions were based upon how much of each clause could be removed whilst still leaving it grammatically correct. In each of the 13 sections of Conclusion, I sequentially reduce the number of components in clauses with the largest number of divisions each section, resulting in a gradual decreasing of syntactic complexity. This process is shown in Table 5.4 in which the clauses removed each time were based upon their numbering in Appendix E with lower-numbered components being removed first.

Q: What happens if the clause structure is too short or long for the section?

A: The structure will loop around or halt midway.

Q: There are some parts in italics which seem to break with your system.

A: Oh, yeah. Sections written in italics indicate where often quote-heavy text was unable to be integrated successfully into the syntactic structure. The syntactic process carries on after the italicized sections, from the place it left off.

Q: Apart from that, is the process followed strictly.

A: At the start of the process I was very strict, so the first two sections of the conclusion use the syntactic structure exactly. Then, as the Conclusion went on, I became looser in its application, partly as a way to find a balance between the subject matter and the increasingly restrictive options offered by the quickly reducing syntax trees. This is partly explained in the Conclusion itself when I talk about how a constraint can function as an ideal parent.

Q: But the clauses aren’t kept in the same sentences as in the original footnote, no?

A: The clauses were not kept in the same sentences and slowly shifted over the course of the conclusion, eventually changing so that there was only one sentence per paragraph, to fit with the process.

5.3.2 Words: Tenses of Verbs

Q: But what’s up with the Verb Groups? Are they like sacred or something?

A: No, I don’t think so. I treat the Verb Groups separately because verbs control tense and I want to have a different process that controls the Conclusion’s relationship to time.

Q: Why?

A: Well, the end of a PhD thesis should move from discussing the past to discussing the future. So I want to control the tense of each Verb Group to create a ramp form that moves from far in the past to far in the future.

Q: How many tenses are there anyway?

A: Well, English really only has two tenses; the past and the present - everything else is created through the use of primary and auxiliary verbs. I made this nice diagram in Figure [5.19] that shows how
these work in verb groups.

Q: And I guess Table 5.5 is a list of tenses that you use in the Conclusion?
A: Well, the conclusion has 13 sections and Table 5.5 shows the 13 main tenses arranged from the furthest in the past to furthest in the future (ignoring conditional forms).

Q: But when I look at the Conclusion, I don’t see these.
A: Yes, well this is one of the ideas I had to abandon during the creation of this thesis, along with the application of the typology outlined in Murray S. Davis’s (1971) paper That’s Interesting!, which attempts to define what makes academic propositions interesting. I found applying the constraints on tense too difficult to do successfully and abandoned it after the first few paragraphs. I think this is technically possible but trying to integrate it with all the other processes I ended up using proved beyond my abilities - but, hey - that’s experimental composition for you! Failure is always an option.

5.3.3 Words: The Sortes and Random Dictionary Words

Below is a list of words I compiled by turning randomly to different pages in the dictionary and looking for words I did not know. These have then been used extensively in the Conclusion and also sporadically over the length of the thesis. The dictionary was the Concise Oxford English Dictionary 2009.

Vade Mecum

embrasure n. 1 an opening in a wall or parapet, used for shooting through. 2 an opening or recess around a window or door forming an enlargement of the area from the inside.

elytron each of the two wing cases of a beetle

embolus a blood clot, air bubble, piece of fatty deposit, or other object obstructing a blood vessel.

embonpoint plumpness or fleshiness, especially with reference to a woman’s bosom.

gigantomachy (in Greek mythology) the struggle between the gods and the giants.
Figure 5.19: Diagram by the author, showing the construction of Verb Groups.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TENSE</th>
<th>TYPE OF TENSE</th>
<th>EXAMPLE</th>
<th>MATERIAL TYPE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PAST</td>
<td>Past Perfect Progressive</td>
<td>He had been speaking.</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Past Perfect Simple</td>
<td>He had spoken.</td>
<td>A'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Past Progressive</td>
<td>He was speaking.</td>
<td>A&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Simple Past</td>
<td>He spoke</td>
<td>A'''</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRESENT</td>
<td>Present Perfect Simple</td>
<td>He has spoken.</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Present Perfect Progressive</td>
<td>He has been speaking.</td>
<td>B'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Simple Present</td>
<td>He speaks.</td>
<td>B&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Present Progressive</td>
<td>He is speaking.</td>
<td>B'''</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUTURE</td>
<td>Future I Simple</td>
<td>He is going to speak.</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Future I Simple</td>
<td>He will speak.</td>
<td>C'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Future I Progressive</td>
<td>He will be speaking.</td>
<td>C&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Future II Progressive</td>
<td>He will have been speaking.</td>
<td>C'''</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Future II Simple</td>
<td>He will have spoken.</td>
<td>C'''」</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.5: A list of possible tenses

- **gimcrack** adj. showy but flimsy or poorly made. n. a cheap and showy ornament.
- **ginglymus** a hinge-like joint such as the elbow or knee.
- **ginnel** a narrow passage between buildings.
- **girandole** a branched support for candles or other lights.
- **lex fori** the law of the country in which an action is brought.
- **lex loci** the law of the country in which a transaction is performed, a tort is committed or a property is situated.
- **lex talionis** the law of retaliation, whereby a punishment resembles the offence committed in kind and degree.
- **manticore** a mythical beast typically depicted as having the body of a lion, the face of a man, and the sting of a scorpion.
- **marasmus** undernourishment causing a child’s weight to be significantly low for their age. derivative: marasmic.
- **opprobrious** adj. expressing scorn or criticism.
- **oppugn** to question the truth of something.
- **oppugnant** adj. opposing; antagonistic.
- **opuscule** a small or minor opus.
- **orbicular** 1 having the shape of a flat ring or disc. 2 spherical or rounded.
- **prestidigitation** conjuring tricks performed as entertainment.
- **preterition** the rhetorical technique of referring to something by professing to omit it.
- **prevenient** adj. preceding, antecedent.
- **scry** foretell the future, especially using a crystal ball.
- **scullion** a servant assigned the most menial kitchen tasks.
- **scumble** give a softer or duller effect to (a picture or colour) by applying a very thin coat of opaque paint or a layer of light pencil or charcoal shading.
- **scuncheon** the inside face of door jamb or window frame.
- **scunge** dirt or scum. 2 a disagreeable person.
- **scunner** n. a strong dislike. v. feel disgust or strong dislike.
- **scurf** flakes on the surface of the skin that form as fresh skin develops below, occurring especially as dandruff.
- **scut** the short tail of a hare, rabbit, or deer.
- **scutage** (in a feudal society) money paid by a vassal tp his lord in lieu of military service.
- **sesquipedalian** adj. (of a word) polysyllabic; long > characterized by long words; long-winded.
- **sessile** 1 (of an organism) fixed in one place; immobile 2 (of a structure) attached directly by its base without a stalk or peduncle.
- **se-tenant** (of stamps of different designs) joined together side by side as when printed.
- **table d’hôte** a restaurant meal offered at a fixed price and with few if any options.
- **tachycardia** an abnormally rapid heart rate.
tachyphylaxis rapidly diminishing response to successive doses of a drug rendering it less effective.

Taikonaut a Chinese astronaut.

tapetum a reflective layer of the choroid in the eyes of many animals, causing them to shine in the dark.

taphonomy the branch of palaeontology concerned with the process of fossilization.

tardive dyskinesia a neurological disorder characterized by involuntary movements of the face and jaw.

terpsichorean relating to dancing.

terribilità awesomeness or emotional intensity in an artist or work of art.

terricolous living on the ground or in the soil.

tertium quid an indefinite and undefined third thing related to two definite or known things.

terza rima an arrangement of triplets, especially in iambic pentameter, that rhyme \textit{aba bcb cdc}.

tessera 1 a small block of stone, tile, etc. used in a mosaic.

tendentious calculated to promote a particular cause or point of view.

tenebrous dark, shadowy.

tenesmus a continual inclination to evacuate the bowels.

tatterdemalion tattered or dilapidated.

terminus spasm of the jaw muscles, causing the mouth to remain tightly closed.

triturate grind to a fine powder.

uxorial relating to a wife.

vade mecum a handbook or guide kept constantly at hand.

varec seaweed, especially kelp.

varietist a person who enjoys sexual variety.

variorum (of an edition of an author’s work) having notes by various editors or commentators.

velléity a wish or inclination not strong enough to lead to action.

vena cava each of the two large veins carrying deoxygenated blood into the heart.

venery indulgence in sexual activity.

hamartia a fatal flaw leading to the downfall of a tragic hero or heroine.

consanguineous relating to or denoting people descended from the same ancestor.

auscultation the action of listening to sounds from the heart, lungs, or other organs with a stethoscope.

amyotrophy atrophy of muscular tissue.

boustrophedon (of words) written from right to left and from left to right in alternate lines.

cacoethes an urge to do something inadvisable.

cacodemon a malevolent spirit or person.

ceroplastica relating to modelling in wax.

cerecloth waxed cloth used for wrapping a corpse.
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Conclusion

Constraints

And maybe you had been wondering about the why? Of why I had been making things? and amongst paper and ink had been reading the spliced-together style and maybe had been flipping pages to notice again the much-change erratic-writing throughout inkheavy thesis: uneven, sesquipedalian, tone-conflicted as Burrough’s cutups; poetic, conversational, academic, continually switching every other paragraph; and just genre-mess that transforms word-veneer of coherence slowly into the results of my hamartia.

But realize that firstchapter had been created by writing as intuitively as possible, and having seen the frequent digressions, their shifting style as if obese with compound-nouns, as if the infinite footnotes could justify this; more like a warning against intuition, its sins of indulgence, not like the need for some self-control or the delayed gratification of my pleasure or the necessary compositional constraints for my intuition as it sprawls like infections across works with an undisciplined intensity it cannot stop; these constraints on all scales, with choices slowly reigned in - time fragmented across the listening now, across the composing-then of pastdays slotted between the needs of our animalness; these times that are never really matched but of a type that needs to be of a parity made so careful and controlled, with our rules from which indulgence could never escape; these rules which control me and the pacing and the discrepancies of time and the narrative and my intuition and my ever-writing composing; the constraints as if shackles always clamped and hand trapped but always there behind the successful works, constant, on papers scrawled to blackscratch scattered across that woodtop (everbrown), something for a piece, maybe?

A formal constraint.

Self-Control

And finally you know about my intuition. Of how it ruins things. As if behind work and style lies some good taste but eventually digging down to find only the lastbeer dregs of burnt-tongue flavour; misguided, confused and delusional, all penwielding poised, bodgehanded, stubborn, inept, desperately trying again firstchapter style and, honestly, writing that drives patches of prose nearly to the edge of their absurdity.
But wanting that firstchapter-footnote-prose-excitement re-created with its energy underneath, pulsing; and seeing my creativewriting limits, so clear flaggedup as if tapetum-sparkled in wood-dark, as if that thesis vision had disintegrated here; yet with formal compositional constraints underneath prose-skin, those tables, below, scrawlsteering, not like my altoohuman blindness to the future and the myopic viewpoint of the present and my pathetic, intuitive inability in this thesis to write all with style as similar as that third first-chapter-footnote. The Kyoto agreement on climate change like a formal compositional constraint, its nature, futurefaced, constraining impulses;

Form is like our impulse-control, really, through its limitations on actions of ourselves, forced into a confrontation with our subconscious ...

_Control Theory is an idea from criminology which posits that “crime is natural and conformity is the area that requires explanation” (Marsh et al. 2006, 107). Control theory ultimately explains criminality as resulting from a lack of self-control due to “the breakdown of the socialization process” combined with the opportunities for criminality (Marsh et al. 2006, 107), in other words “If the opportunity to commit a crime arises then the person with low self-control will commit it, whereas the person with high self-control will not.” (Marsh et al. 2006, 108). . .

Crime is truly natural and from a vulnerability that results from a failure: forsaken by a socialization process through which self-control is slowly inculcated; a self-control which is socialized and is artificial and an invulnerability to temptations and law-abiding. These formal compositional constraints, behindscenes, are “self-control”; and still there with reduced crime-opportunities, composed by forms constraining the options, preventing that compositional crime-committing. History of our violence, certainly: “Self-control has been credited with one of the greatest reductions of violence in history, the thirtyfold drop in homicide between medieval and modern Europe.” (Pinker 2011, 592)

Formal Compositional Constraints as Parent (Confessions of a Mechanick Preacher)

And perhaps you ask of the why? of why I constrain things? And Hirschi and Gottfredson noted the family role, always socializing to strengthen, especially that crime-inoculating self-control of childhood discipline (Geis 2000): do, donots and shoutnouts in boxmall carparks. And childlike, playful, open, undoubtedly, are art-making necessities, then possibly "compositional socialization" projects the composer's relationships to their parents as the constraints.

And creating the constricting formal compositional constraints, their parental nature as if homeworkwatching at predinner, as if their everchecking regulation could reign-in our behaviour, so short of sight, our actions so childish, like the fireplace handstick of the toddling, or the staircase wander of the tinyfooted; and these formal compositional constraints as our parents as they arise from introjection.

Introjection is a term "used in psychoanalysis to denote internalization, particularly of deeply-held moral values (e.g. those of parents)" (Stuart-Hamilton 2007, 146). Freud describes how the introjection of the parental relationship to the child occur at the termination of the Oedipal Phase of psychosexual development, wherein "[t]he authority of the father or the parents is introjected into the ego, and there it forms the nucleus of the super-ego, which takes over the severity of the father and perpetuates his prohibition against incest, and so secures the ego from the return of the libidinal object-cathexis" (Freud 2005, 399).

According to Maccoby and Martin, there are four types of parent ...
Authoritative: high expectations of the child’s behaviour and maturity, firm negotiated boundaries, good communication and high levels of warmth and responsiveness to the child’s needs.

Authoritarian: high expectations of the child’s behaviour and maturity, set boundaries and more severe disciplinary measures, poorer levels of responsiveness and communication.

Permissive: good communication, warmth and responsiveness, low expectations of behaviour and maturity, fewer and less consistent boundaries or disciplinary measures.

Neglecting/uninvolved: poor levels of responsiveness and communication, low expectations of behaviour and maturity, lack of boundaries and controls. (Kay 2007, 13-14)

The projection of our introjected parental relationships: our formal constraints, Fossdyke-like, running parrallel. I posit that a composer’s work, potentially, through the arrangement of its form, correlates with the style of their upbringing. My constraints, that stay resiliently strict, of a discipline that reflects back an authoritarianism buried as deep and unscrubbable as a warmRibena, an introjection of my own?

A work which is loosely-structured and written intuitively, and uses few constraints, and has an undisciplined form; no discipline, as if its author has low self-control: a more permissive upbringing.

And still there, our formal constraints, mirroring, shadowing the socialization process that children go through (and which is so key to preventing criminality), in which “the ‘disciplining’ of children’s bodies can be seen in the use of timetables and mechanistic routines in which time is demarcated to such an extent that the immediate lived needs of young children are subordinated to the imposed timetabling of practitioners” (Albon 2012, 161-162). Libidinal desire into regulated temporal constructs.

A similar thing.

**Formal Compositional Constraints as God**

*With parental authority introjected and forming the centre of the super-ego in the termination of the Oedipal Phase of psychosexual development, this construct can then be projected outwards not only as the authoritiorial constraints of form, but also as God (i.e. God as father-figure (Beit-Hallahmi and Argyle 1975))*
In fact, the talk of self-control and its relation to formal compositional constraints might bring to mind writings such as the famous and influential 18th Century treatise on the dangers of masturbation: Onania, or the Heinous Sin of Self-Pollution, and All Its Frightful Consequences, in Both Sexes, Considered. With Spiritual and Physical Advice for Those Who Have Already Injur’d Themselves by This Abominable Practice, published in 1716 (Stolberg 2000, 37).

We might then presume that any formal compositional constraint asks the composer to rather “undergo the severest discipline, to keep under the body for a while, than to to be tormented in soul and body for ever” (Stolberg 2000, 45) than to suffer gonorrhea ex frequenti masturbatone (p. 49) or some other maladie deriving from “draining away all the radical moisture” (p. 41), “their generative faculties weaken’d, if not destroy’d in the prime of their years, a jest to others, and a torment to themselves” (p. 41).

Or perhaps, even, a more general type of religious mistrust of the body, as seen in John Bunyan’s The Pilgrim’s Progress:

Pru. Do you not yet bear away with you some of the things that then you were conversant withal?”

Chr. Yes but greatly against my will; especially my inward and carnal cogitations; with which all my Country-men, as well as myself, were delighted; but now all those things are my grief; and might I but chuse mine own things, I would chuse never to think of those things more. (Sim 1987, 321)

However, Hirschi and Gottfredson were careful to distinguish Control Theory from possible religious implications it might have “In suggesting that law-breaking, rather than law-abiding, behaviour is natural, Hirschi is not restating the ‘classical’ theoretical position that crime is an expression of free will - people are not born wicked or ‘criminal’” (Geis 2000, 108).
The Protestant Work Ethic

But perhaps Calvinism has an influence, an influence on why we make things? And Onania and The Pilgrim’s Progress have had a real enough influence and perhaps, digging down, to find only the Protestant principles of the construction of modern western morality and capitalism; ascetic, sessile, tatterdemalion, in The Protestant Work Ethic. Max Weber, sociologist, philosopher, writer, obsessively scribbling about capitalist tachyplaxis and suddenly, The Protestant ethic and the spirit of capitalism proposes ascetism of Protestantism as the formation of Capitalism (Giorgi and Marsh 1990, 500). But maybe compositional formal constraints are like the theories of Weber and manifest that much-written Work Ethic, its silent everpresence beneath atheistic surface, tenebrous, as if its ancient values ovenencrusted.

Exactly as the paper of Giorgi and Marsh (1990), that hint of stillfunctioning, found in Western Europe, and the majority Protestant Countries, and in those who had been educated for longer (Giorgi and Marsh 1990, 514-515). My composing, in England, its set-up so post-Reformation, keeping Protestant; me composing in a Higher Education Institution, now, as if that demographic of Giorgi and Marsh was something I was trying to attain. An influence that was always there, but of a type that hides below the culture, mixed so deepdown and nevertalk, with uselessness which we breed from our valleity.

Completist aesthetics at their worst can be lazy and boring and neurotic, an approach as if Work-Ethic-Borne and it cheats but still there, with Marxian labour-hours, embedded, its output valorized through work, shorn-up in sub-prime style; religion with your wealth, perhaps?

A work ethic.

*In fact, one could see Completism as a stand-in for Calvinism when, in 1662, Anglican archbishop, man of moderation, and author Simon Patrick praised “that vertuous mediocrity which our Church observes between the meretricious gaudiness of the Church of Rome and the squalid sluttety of Fanatick conventicles”.*
The Perfect Parent

And now you wonder about the constraints, about how we choose them because “by intuition and feeling” isn’t a good enough answer, and eventually digging down to find instead these formal constraints upon composing intuition, restrictive, obsessive and limiting, cross-referencing, table-checking, slow-writing, obsessively searching for an ideal parent and then an ideal form that fulfils needs of composing, immaculately, as the best of parents.

Kay points out that “Authoritative parenting approaches have the most positive outcomes for children’s behavioural development in terms of mature behaviour, good social skills, emotional stability and self-control, and effective learning skills” (Kay 2007, 21). From our earlier discussion, we know that authoritative parents set “high expectations of the child’s behaviour and maturity, negotiate firm boundaries, have good communication and high levels of warmth and responsiveness to the child’s needs.” (Kay 2007, 15-16)

And know that constraints originate from projecting the perfect parent, and seeing the authoritative parent of firm boundaries, with expectations for aesthetics of a high level, setting firm boundaries zoals een ouder ideaal as our police, or immaculate parent as our God, or a perfect, authoritative parent of our art as we play.

Stylistic Control

But perhaps you recognize these processes and how they seem familiar, as if originality and uniqueness was a real enough goal, but now searching around to find, of course, the usual suspects of literary processes; experimental, strange, languagemangling; smudging, palmsweating, boustrophedoned, terza rima’d, obsessively scribbling, and especially writers that constrain processes of writing such as Oulipo, and shoutout that syntax deconstruction beyond meta-created by Craig Dworkin in Parse and
acknowledging the North Americans, their conceptual writing like
Bach fugues polyphonicizing language, especially like those works of
Christian Bok, that hint of everobsessive; not like my writing work
of this thesis and the composer viewpoint of its processes, the
process of the changing of things, as time passes. Writer’s processes
unchanging through the pages endward, through the paragraphs in
stasis of dynamism, stuck; some writing that was never truly moving
but of a process that stays in its place, locked so fixed and timeless:
the process of Perec’s Es in La Disparation, and always missing, and
ever absent; my processes as if time always moves and changes
things. And now here in so fucked sentences, changing, through
points interpolated between, shortening. Strangeness in this course,
maybe?

A piano trio?

Figure Conc.1: Diagram showing the process behind Clarence Barlow’s
1981: “piano trios by the three composers Muzio Clementi (La Chasse in C,
1788), Robert Schumann (Trio No.2 in F, 1847) and Maurice Ravel (Trio
in A minor, 1914), respectively, one movement each, formed the point of
departure for this composition. The compositional process is as follows.
Each of the three instruments, violin, cello and piano, describes an
individual spiral starting at the centre of an equilateral triangle with the
composers Clementi, Schumann and Ravel at the apices marked “C”, “S”
and “R”… The numbers alongside the images of the three instruments are
score markers, multiples of 18 bars. The distance of the instruments’
position from an apex at any given time is related to the amount of the
corresponding composer’s music in the general mix. Thus, at the beginning
of the piece, at the triangle centre, 33% of the notes in the score come from
each of the three composers. However at bar 410, just to the left of marker
23, all three instruments are together at the Clementi apex, meaning that
the score contains 100% of his music at this point, together with a small
percentage of the music of the other two composers, more than zero since
they are not infinitely distant.” (Barlow 2012, 8)
And perhaps Clarence Barlow’s *1981* (for violin, cello, and piano) has the answers for why I interpolate things? As if between A and B lies a something else, and never replicating directly, to generate instead, an aesthetic weirdness between fixed points, half-A, half-B and not both; transforming, changing, shifting, slowly negating all internal essences and eventually something that makes strangeness of style inexorably into a newness.

But know that style can be used for validating the work, so experiment-like and becomes the “scientific” control, its suspicious easyanswers outside upthumbed without content, as if the aesthetic purpose was to be surface-found. Rather like the writing of Christian Bök with style-model for everypoem, like an easy standard of comparison or a quantifiable success or a redeeming, constraint-saving metric for the experiment. A poem as an experiment, its set-up such that it can never fail.

*A quotation, perhaps erroneously attributed to Kagel, is that “experimental music should be an experiment it is impossible to validate”.*

I want, despite my portfolio, however, beyond the constraints underneath pieces, amid processes working, a style that is never directly copied but of a type that emerges out of the experiment, moving toward newness and strangeness, a style that undermines itself and its validation and its function as control, and your expectations, a style as if it never stole from the dead. And sometimes there, in successful pieces, slotted, in portfolio sparkling between failures, experiments without a style, almost.

An achieved goal?

“In our town,“ a subscriber wrote to the Gentleman’s Magazine in 1794, “the venerable remains of the dead ‘hearsed in earth’ have burst their cerements,‘ and been exposed to every insult
and indignity which the unprotected can experience.”
(Sanchez-Eppler 1988, 422)

Is that my portfolio? Pieces as gravestones, scores as epitaphs. Yet
this is not real mourning, as “the ready-made epitaph marks only
the form, not the feeling, of commemoration, allowing affectation to
stand in the place of affection” (Sanchez-Eppler 1988, 428).

Yet, though the gravestone is presented as the parent of
poetry, it too is secondary, merely a marker gesturing
towards the dead body decaying beneath it. The epitaph
“presupposes a monument” and the monument
presupposes a body. Wordsworth’s Essays are continually
repeating this movement from the inscribed word to the
unlettered “external sign” of the monument and finally to the
corpse.
(Sanchez-Eppler 1988, 428)

*I must leave the corpses in the earth, apply my own 1832 Anatomy Act
and end the body-snatching.*

*In 1281 the Icelandic national assembly, or Alþing, ratified the*
Jónsbók. This new lawbook had a prohibition against theft, with an exception “for a man who steals food because he is not able to earn himself a living by work, and who steals out of starvation. That theft is by no means punishable.” (Stein-Wilkeshuis 1984, 10-11).

I am not yet starving.

What Is Good Form?

There is one way to differentiate good form from bad form:

Listen to a piece of music and, when it has finished, ask yourself: “Why has this piece ended?”

Meaning not “I wish this piece could go on forever” but “what has happened in the x minutes that I have been listening that necessitated the piece to stop at this moment?”

What were the causal links that led to its termination at this specific point in time?

If you can find a satisfactory answer to this question, the piece has good form, if you cannot it has bad form.

I seek to ensure all my pieces can satisfactorily answer the question of why they have ended.
...the end is built into the beginning...

- Synecdoche, New York (Kaufman 2008)

**Music-as-Rhetoric/Dialectic/Logic**

And maybe western art music has moved through three paradigms from Aristotle, I argue; as if principles of argumentation undergirded the music-making process, and probably over-analyzing to find there a tripartite division of convenience:

*Rhetoric*, which studies the process of argumentation,

*Dialectic*, which studies the procedure of argumentation,

*Logic*, which studies the product of argumentation (Blair 2012, 149).

Popular, practiced and citable, certainly conceptualized around the Baroque period - *music-as-rhetoric* which reveals itself in Haydn’s work (see *Haydn and the Performance of Rhetoric* (Beghin and Goldberg 2007)).
In this paradigm the “enthmeme, or rhetorical demonstration, underlay the Affekten; contradiction in music was meant to exert the same oratorical force as rebuttal in debate, but whose object now was the listener’s submission to an Affekt rather than to an argument” (Greenbaum 2008, 344).

The Music-as-Rhetoric period of the Baroque gave way to the Music-as-Dialectic period of the late-Classical and Romantic Period, embodied in the sonata-allegro form, with its emphasis on development by contradiction, mirroring the dialectic “strategies (to be used in dialogue games) for proving or refuting (either by deductions or by generalizations) propositions about which disagreement is reasonable and there is a prospect of success” found in Aristotle’s Topics (Blair 2012, 154).

The use, by Forkel, of the terms Hauptsatz (thesis) and Gegensatz (antithesis) to
describe the main and contrasting theme in the sonata movement in 1788 pre-dates Hegel, although Hegel did use similar language in his Vorlesungen über die Aesthetik (1820–1829) (Greenbaum 2008, 344).

The music-as-logic period occurred in the Twentieth Century with its increasing scientism, probably starting with the arrival of Werner Meyer-Eppler at the Darmstadt Summer Course in 1951 and the dissemination of his ideas connecting music with information theory (Iddon 2013) (see esp. his article Musical Communication as a Problem of Information Theory in Volume 8 of Die Reihe (Meyer-Eppler 1968)).

And forget those paradigms shifted beyond privileging the speech of humans, and forget the redendes Prinzip, that “oratorical” or “speaking” principle in the musical concepts which rule us (Greenbaum 2008, 344); instead with the idea of privileging a type of causality; like our contemporary musical forms and their subtle, consanguineous manifestation of consequence.

Death and Pleasure

And undoubtedly you know of the logic of death...
All men are mortal  
Caius is a man  
Therefore Caius is mortal

-Kieswetter, quoted in (Krouglov 2011, 62)

With a narrative emanating from our Freudian desires, and always found there, located there - the theory of Peter Brooks: narratologist, writer, literary theorist, uncovering little stories and most notably death drive that powers narratives: “All narrative may be in essence obituary in that ... the retrospective knowledge that it seeks, that comes after, stands on the far side of the end, in human terms on the far side of death.” (Brooks 1984, 95).

And know that narrative is like manifesting the gigantomachic battle of drives and encoding the everpresent death drive, its want of terricolous quiescence like a house-guest who never leaves; completely unlike the nature of the pleasure/reality principle, that need for everliving (Felluga 2015).

According to the dynamic model of Freud’s Beyond the Pleasure Principle “we live in order to die, hence that the intentionality of plot lies in its orientation toward the end even while the end must be achieved only through detour” (Brooks 1984, 108). This detour, as the mechanism of repetition, directly embodies the pleasure principle. Drives fighting with each other, constantly, in our narratives: a drive that is ever quickly moving and toward a termination: narrative dies/concludes; a drive that wants repetition, and its pleasure and the continuation of plot and its survival; two drives of wholesale embrace of death or the endless repetition of the present, but most truthfully, despite Brooker’s ideas, written, so eloquent; drives exist in my work separately.

An idiosyncratic tic?
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And honestly I do not know about the reasons for this. As if my works do not have a real narrative, and rather worryingly seeing only the drives of Freud split, separate, unconflicted, infecting different pieces and somehow, music that has one drive only and finding that my works are either moving toward their termination unimpeded, or repeating the same thing, the excessive looping as if the material wanted to never die, like the variation form of _Augenmusik IV_; those loops of neverending, or like the unstoppable, deathneeding movement of _ramp form._

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Research</th>
<th>Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Quantization</td>
<td>Extreme Associative</td>
<td>Variation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Divination</td>
<td>Normal Associative</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Completism</td>
<td>Normal Associative</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Form</td>
<td>Bisociative/Associative</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Process</td>
<td>Bisociative/Associative</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conc.</td>
<td>Constraints</td>
<td>Extreme Bisociative</td>
<td>Ramp</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ramp and Funnel
Forms: A to B is a Story

And always ramp and funnel forms build in death, as if born to die; and embedded there, we find the hamartia of heroes deteriorating in Ancient Greek tragedy, fatal flaws that mirror our form (Booker 2004, 187) and create a terminal narrative embedded through planning and composing the linear form, its ending as a causal necessity
existing at an extreme, so like the utterances of oracles at those ancient, tragic openings: the witches at their meeting with Macbeth, hail him “Thane of Cawdor” and “king hereafter”; Teiresias’s warning to Oedipus (in Sophocles’ Oedipus the King) that “he shall be found at once brother and father of the children with whom he consorts; son and husband of the woman who bore him; heir to his father’s bed, shedder of his father’s blood.” (Sophocles 1952, 103)

*The sense of a beginning, then, must in some important way be determined by the sense of an ending. We might say that we are able to read present moments-in literature and, by extension, in life-as endowed with narrative meaning only because we read them in anticipation of the structuring power of those endings*
that will retrospectively give them order and significance of plot.
(Brooks 1984, 94)

An approach to form that is of maximal predictability, a mirror which reflects life: the process for how people enjoy existence by just focusing on ever-present now, ignoring, knowledge of our death, however.

The little things?

And perhaps composers’ forms show their acceptance, and morphology reveals deathfears, and digging down we find composers of wondering, fragmentary forms rejecting their mortality? and compositions that abandon narrative closure are in denial ...
Let A be one extreme of a parameter (i.e. birth).

Let B be the other extreme of a parameter (i.e. death), a point at which it is impossible to go further, a logical termination point of the work.

A→B is then a terminal narrative.

And terminating a particular work, a parameter like its pitch being used, simply at the top of the instrument that high, final note occurs: the ending via the instrument’s limits, Augenmusik VI: Asch-like terminating there.

Physical properties halting these processes, through their
boundaries. A beach that shone so bright; a labour that lit itself, a work, *Digging Piece*, made in collaboration with Ana Lemnaru, Grzegorz Marcinak, Maya Verlaak, and Adam Basanta, and still there a night audience, digging; piece for a beach, however.

An empty beach.
And slowly they unearth the lights beneath sand, buried earlier and digging down to find sirens under beach, calling softly upwards and work that ends when all are dug up and see that darkbeach punctured with embrasures of light and sound (see Figure C.2) after telling the seablasted audience, with shovels to that way walk, listen, and dig where sound seeps up.

Or like the B of overlaying, with the parameters stacked, with linear processes as their guide, their termination, finally happening at B, all reaching that no-return point. This technique, that was used in We Doubled Down The Base Camps, four parametric interpolations occurring over the 12 minute piece:

1. Lowest pitches on the instruments → highest pitches
2. mf → ppp
3. normale → sul tasto
4. slow → fast

Determinism and Predestination

And slowly we move toward death, as if A→B is a story, and mirroring us to show only our journey towards emptiness, embracing death without detour, and pleasure that negates ending, and know these works play with determinist ideas, and seeing the Calvinist concepts of predestination, as if I had planted them intentionally.

The concept of philosophical determinism arises "from a strict interpretation of causation ... that every state of affairs is determined by the situation preceding it and constitutes a link in an unalterable chain of events" (Rohmann 2002, 100).

In other words, it is a belief that, in its most extreme version, an individual has no free will, their behaviour being the result of a set of biological, social, psychological, physical, economic, geographical etc. systems over which they have no conscious control.
“Suppose I were faced with a choice between vanilla and chocolate ice cream, and I chose vanilla. Could I have chosen chocolate? If determinism is true, then we can find some causal law linking the choice I made with antecedent conditions such as my upbringing, my tastes, my moods, and so forth.”

(Dworkin 1970, 6-7)
Much of my work (often unconsciously) seems philosophically determinist; our agency, in this world, without substance, instead, delusion of choice. Humans robbed of any power.

My works, as if choice is presented but then brutally undermined, crushed; appearance of some openness maybe, an illusory choice.

And, really, human beings have no agency, as if appearance was enough and digging down to find the earlier work by me: Hans Haacke-inspired systems works like the Parameters series, and see that veneer of openness and choice, and watch all player-"decisions", their circumscription within systems controlled by chaotic feedback, completely beyond their individual control.
Like *Parameters I* (2012) for string quartet (Figure Conc.3) and *Parameters VII: Infectious Diseases in Cattle* (2012) for four dancers; the series at its extreme, its determinism, Cage and John Kerrich-like in *Parameters IX: The Obscure Moon Lighting An Obscure World* (2012), only instruction: “Flip a coin to decide if you will
flip the coin again”, and *Economics* (2010, revised in 2017 - see Figures Conc.4 and Conc.5), a piece which replicates free-market capitalism; and *Conditioned* (2013), with its performer Pavlovian-conditioned; and still there in *Selfhelplessness* and *Cipher for the Lighthouse Twins* (see Chapter 2); nothing of your choice, maybe?

*Open scores are only open if you believe that humans have free will.*

And perhaps you remember about Beethoven?: That research found in Chapter 4, and looking again to find the normal-curve so mediocre,
Figure Conc. 2 Image of the work *Digging Piece* made in collaboration with Ana Lemnaru, Grzegorz Marcinak, Maya Verlaak, and Adam Basanta. From the “Sand Songs” event organized by iii (http://instrumentinventors.org/production/sand-songs/) at the Zandmotor, The Hague, June 18th 2016. Photo by Pieter Kers (http://beeld.nu).
disappointing us; and creativity that is constrained, and knowing his keyboard did it. And stripping the illusions of choice, its temptations, from our music-making.

And like the fixed-media work *MG3250 Plays Cornelis Cardew’s “Treatise”* (2015), like Treatise’s “openness”: the realization, as a warning, graphic scores, playing into the dull predictability of human behaviour. Printer printing out the score as if music, a sound that is more interesting (Figure Conc.6).
In his consideration of the differences between Bunyan’s Calvinist The Pilgrim’s Progress, and archbishop Simon Patrick’s earlier, but Anglican The Parable of the Pilgrim, Sim locates the difference between the two in Patrick’s narrative avoidance of spiritual conflict and contradiction with Bunyan’s conflict-oriented narrative which directly confronts the paradoxes of Calvinist predestination, stating that “the solitary nature of so many of Christian’s [the main protagonist’s] trials tends to encourage self-reliance and a sense of one’s own individuality ... The more Christian seeks to bind himself the more he succeeds in individualising himself.” (Sim 1987, 320)

This individualizing, as if composition also does this; and still there, in formal compositional constraints, catalysing; free will of the
Variation
Form
And surely variation form is repetition?

Repetition, in its usual narrative conflict with the death drive, allows the creation of meaning whilst retarding “the pleasure principle’s search for the
gratification of discharge, which is another forward-moving drive of the text.” (Brooks 1984, 103):

Crucial to the space of this play [between the two drives] are the repetitions serving to bind the energy of the text so as to make its final discharge more effective. In fictional plots, these bindings are a system of repetitions which are returns to and returns of, confounding the movement forward to the end with a movement back to origins, reversing meaning within forward-moving time, serving to formalize the system of textual energies, offering the pleasurable possibility (or illusion) of “meaning” wrested from “life”.

(Brooks 1984, 108)
And comparison is used, and illuminating the main musical centre, finding absence, variations crowding; and nothingness that sits central, and see those constellations surrounding, and seeing a central emptiness.

Much like this conclusion: a set of variations, ways to interpret my practice, a core similarly as empty?

My work which is about psychology. My work which is about parents or Calvinism, God, or determinism, or children’s storytelling, or historiography, or medieval Icelandic law…

And perhaps you ask why? and think a lack of information prevents knowledge of an object.

Like pieces that are named untitled. But know an approach more obscurantist.

And approaching it infoglut, more like a toomuchness:

This might be described, following the philosopher Slavoj Zizek’s invocation of Freud, as the “borrowed kettle” alibi of power. The
term refers to the multiplication of contradictory narratives refuting apparent facts: confronted with the fact that a borrowed kettle was returned with a hole in it, the person accused of breaking it responds with several mutually contradictory excuses: "there was already a hole when I borrowed it; the hole wasn’t there when I returned it; I didn’t even borrow the kettle."
(Andrejevic 2013, 6)

Too many narratives,
identity obscured.

Multiple stories told by
The Joker.

Conflicting stories told of
his facial scars in *The
Dark Knight* (2008)

Multiple names given.

Multiple stories given.

Who are the young men?

They torture the family in
Michael Haneke’s *Funny
Games* (1997).

Call it *Four Yorkshireman
Variation Form.*

Narrative escalation
happens in that Monty
Python sketch.

*The Lonely Island*
undermine narrative in
*Like A Boss.*

Don’t write one title.

Write 48 titles.
Start a piece 48 times.

*Augenmusik IV: Paperwork* does this.

It dies 48 times.

A variation form.

*Gratis deo.*

Stop!
(a) Excerpt from *Parameters I* (2012) for String Quartet (Rehearsal Mark P). Each box is a different instrument that shows how you should react to specific parameters played by another member of the quartet.

(b) Sketch showing the interaction between instruments for the excerpt on the left. The sketch shows how each instrumentalist is mapping parameters played by another instrument onto their own. Notice how the interactions are arranged in one long cycle, creating a chaotic feedback system over which no single player has control.

Fig. Conc. 3 Comparison of sketch of *Parameters I* and finished score.
Economics (2017 Version)

Set-up
All players should sit or stand with page 2 of the score in front of them. All players should be able to reach everybody else's page 2.

Instructions
The circles on your page 2 indicate how you should modify the sound you are playing. When money is placed in one of your circles you must modify your sound in that way.
   e.g. if 5 Euro Cents are placed in your Dynamics: Louder circle, then you must play louder.

   Speed is the time between the attacks of notes. The amount of time you sustain each attack for is the Duration.

   The higher the amount of money placed in a circle, the more you must modify your sound.
   You can place any amount of money into another player's circle at any time in the piece.
   Money in your circles can be placed in another player’s circle.
   However, you cannot move any money into your own circles – instead, you must wait for the market to deliver it.

Performance
All players empty any money in their pockets into a pile in front of them.
All players start by playing sounds:
   in the middle range of their instrument,
   mezzo piano,
   every quarter-note at around $d=80$, unsynchronised with the other players,
   with each note lasting half of the duration between attacks (i.e. one 8th-note at $d=80$).

After the first piece of money has been moved, players have the option to slightly vary their parameters.
   Small variations within all parameters are allowed,
   e.g. pitches can be a collection of alternated pitches in the required range.
   However, these variations should be small enough such that even the addition of the smallest piece of currency will still result in a noticeable change.

   Pitches need not be equally-tempered.
   Chords can be used, but only if all participating instruments are capable of playing chords of that size and dynamic.
   The piece can finish upon a monopoly being reached, stasis, chaos, systemic collapse, or a fixed time-limit (5-10mins has been the average performance length so far).

   It is best to make a note of how much money you put into the piece before starting. This prevents fights.
   Players can ask the audience for money. This is a form of public subsidy.

   This piece can also be performed using any money substitutes, such as: alcohol, property, or sexual favours.

For 6-10 players of any instrument with controllable pitch, volume and dynamics
Written for the Kick The Can protest concert
"In art as in life, those with the most money control the cultural agenda"

David Pocknee
Den Haag, March 2010
revised Den Haag, April 2010
and Manchester, June 2017

Fig. Conc. 4 The score of Economics (2017 Version), page 1.
Fig. Conc.5 The score of *Economics (2017 Version)*, page 2.
Cornelis Cardew’s “Treatise” is an immense graphic score. It is 192 pages long and comes with no instructions on how to read it. It fluidly moves between abstract shapes and conventional musical notation, forcing the performer to question their received notions about both, as they try to make sense of the score.

“Treatise” was designed for humans. Its raison d’être is playing in the middle-ground between what is and is not represented. Cardew wrote the work with his improvising ensemble AMM in mind.

So, is this recording a valid realization of the work?

This is a recording of a printer performing a piece of music. Perhaps a better audience for it might even be other printers, rather than humans.

It is a non-anthropocentric performance, in that it refuses human taste in favour of that of a non-human.

Sure, we have music made by machines already, but they are always designed to make music that suits humans.

There’s no trickery here, it’s not like those internet videos where someone gets computer hard drives to play Radiohead’s “Nude”, this recording is just the sound of an inkjet printer printing a pdf of Cardew’s “Treatise” until it runs out of paper. There’s no special programming, and no attempt to get the printer to “play” the work in a way other than the way that naturally happens when you press “Print”.

There is something about an inkjet printer that seems to suit a realization of “Treatise” so well. Human interpretations of “Treatise”, as with most interpretations of graphic scores, tend to highlight the inability of humans to imagine anything interesting or surprising, even with a beautiful image in front of them for inspiration. So it is refreshing to hear a performance which doesn’t even try. In fact, although it lacks conventional, human “imagination”, its repeated, yet irregular and slowly changing, swoops over the page show more creativity than the usual “x=time/y=pitch” mappings of the work. In this interpretation, every line is rendered. And more importantly, each rendering directly generates a sonic outcome.

Perhaps this interpretation is a bit too showy for my tastes, we can hear the printer audibly casting the paper onto the floor after finishing each page, like a petulant pianist, an act which strikes me as slightly too theatrical for a performance so restrained.

But I guess I have to forgive it, and like much of this recording, just submit to the creativity of a machine performing an action that was never designed to be sonically aestheticized. Submit myself to a non-anthropocentric aesthetic.

With a recording so uninterested in a human response to it, a logical question is: what am I, as a listener, meant to get from it? In answer, I submit that old joke about a music obsessive and record collector, who is asked whether he likes Captain Beefheart’s “Trout Mask Replica”, a copy of which he has just been given, and an album which is widely regarded as one of the best rock albums ever made. Their answer: “Not yet.”

Fig. Conc.6 Liner notes to the album MG3250 Plays Cornelis Cardew’s “Treatise”
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Appendices
Appendix A

Optimal Quantization Methods

How might three pulses one second apart be represented in traditional Western European rhythmic notation?

The distance between each attack can be referred to as an inter-onset interval (or IOI). The inter-onset interval between each pulse is 1 second (or 1000 milliseconds). A notation of this sound will be conceived of as optimally quantized where the computed IOI between attacks in the notation and attacks in the recording are the same i.e. \( d(x, y) = 0 \).

Optimal Quantization by Metre

The simplest way to create optimally quantized musical notation is a process we could call \textit{Optimal Quantization by Metre}. This involves imposing a metre onto a set of equidistant pulses such that they coincide with the highest-level metric stresses.

If all inter-onset intervals are the same (equidistant), this can be calculated through the following formula where \( i = \text{inter-onset interval in seconds} \) and \( t = \text{tempo (bpm)} \):

\[
 t = \frac{60}{i}
\]

Therefore, a possible optimally quantized tempo for three pulses with inter-onset intervals of 1 second (1000ms) would be calculated using the following equation, and which results in the notation in Figure A.1:

\[
 \frac{60}{1.0} = 60\text{bpm}
\]

A time signature is defined by two elements: the numerator (top number) and denominator (bottom number). \textit{Optimal Quantization by Metre} always quantizes the input to the denominator, where 2 = half-note, 4 = quarter-note, 8 = eighth-note, 16 = sixteenth-note etc. . . . The numerator can then be set to any arbitrary length, although in the type of optimal quantization we are doing here, it will always be the number of onsets/pulses i.e. 3.

By extending this equation to include the denominator of the time signature as a parameter, \textit{optimal quantization by metre} can be calculated for metres other than those using a quarter-note as the denominator. In the equation below, \( TS_d = \text{time signature denominator} \).

\[
 t = \frac{60}{i} \times \frac{4}{TS_d}
\]

Figure A.1: Simple metric optimal quantization of three pulses one second apart using a 60bpm tempo
By enumerating all possible integers which are powers of 2, we can list all possible optimal quantizations by metre for rational time signatures. When we use integers which are not powers of 2, we can list all possible irrational time signatures.

The psychomusicologist Justin London, in his book on rhythm *Hearing In Time*, points out that humans can only reliably entrain (synchronize) to rhythms higher than 30 and lower than 150 bpm (London 2012), therefore, in all the examples that occur in this section, only tempi which fall within this range will be used.

Figures A.2 and A.3 show the notations of quantizations for rational and irrational quantizations of three pulses 1 second apart, within 30-150bpm:

![Figure A.2: All possible optimal quantizations of three pulses one second apart, using rational metres lying between 30 - 150 bpm](image)

![Figure A.3: All possible optimal quantizations of three pulses one second apart, using irrational metres lying between 30 - 150 bpm](image)

### Optimal Quantization by Rhythm

Another procedure that can be used alongside the method of optimal quantization by metre, outlined above, is optimal quantization by rhythm. whereas optimal quantization by metre uses the tempo and time signature denominator as a way of quantizing the material, optimal quantization by rhythm uses rhythm to accomplish the same aim.

This procedure involves the choice of a notational duration that is not based on a power of 2, e.g. a duration that is not a quarter-note, eighth-note, half-note etc. but instead, something like one-and-a-half quarter-notes. If the notational duration is represented in a form such that quarter-note = 1, eighth-note = 0.5 etc. then the following equation can be used to calculate the tempo for any optimal quantization.

**The Variables:**

- \( t = \) tempo (bpm)
- \( i = \) inter-onset interval (s)
- \( TS^n = \) time signature numerator \((TS^n \in \mathbb{Z})\)
- \( TS_d = \) time signature denominator \((TS_d \in \mathbb{Z})\)
• \( m = \) the notated duration that the metronome marking is in reference to (quarter-note = 4, eighth-note = 8 etc.)

• \( l = \) note length (in beats) (this is how many beats the note lasts, where one quarter-note = 1, one eighth-note = 0.5 etc.)

First, the numerator of the time signature is calculated:

\[
TS^n = l \times \frac{TS_d}{4}
\]

Then, the tempo can be calculated:

\[
t = \frac{60}{i} \times \frac{m}{4} \times l
\]

In practice, suppose that we wished to re-quantize the three pulses one second apart using a time signature denominator of a 16th-note (\( TS_d = 16 \)), with each pulse being re-notated as a dotted-eighth-note (\( l = 0.75 \)), with a tempo marking that is in relationship to quarter-notes (\( a = 4 \)):

First, the numerator is calculated:

\[
TS^n = 0.75 \times \frac{16}{4} = 9
\]

Giving a time signature of 9/16. Then, the tempo can be calculated:

\[
t = \frac{60}{1.0} \times \frac{4}{4} \times 0.75 = 45
\]

Giving the notation shown in Figure A.4.

These two new equations can also be used to generate all the examples quantized by tempo in the previous section.

So why bother defining these things mathematically? Well, by swapping out some of the variables in the equation, it is possible to algorithmically generate all possible rational and irrational \textit{optimal quantizations by rhythm} of the three pulses, within constraints. Here I will only deal with rational metres for the sake of brevity but the equation can be used to easily generate quantizations using irrational tempi.

Results where \( n_{SIG} \notin \mathbb{Z} \) were discarded, as these would give invalid time signatures e.g. \( \frac{13}{4} \). Results are shown in Figure A.5 with the following values used to generate them: \( TS_d = \{2, 4, 8, 16\} \) and \( l = \{0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1.0, 1.25, 1.5, 1.75, 2.0\} \), \( i = 1, p = 3, m = 4 \).

**Optimal Quantization by Tuplet**

A third layer of quantization can be applied to \textit{optimal quantization by rhythm} and \textit{optimal quantization by metre}: \textit{optimal quantization by tuplet}. This involves applying a further tuplet structure to the tempi and rhythmic quantization.

The following variables are used in \textit{optimal quantization by tuplet}:

• \( i = \) Inter-Onset Interval of pulses

• \( p = \) number of pulses in the bar (\( p \in \mathbb{Z} \))

• \( t = \) Tempo

• \( m = \) The notated duration that the metronome marking is in reference to
Figure A.5: Optimal quantization by metre of three pulses one second apart.
Figure A.6: Result of quantization procedure.

- $l$ = Notational length of pulses
- $TS^n$ = Time signature numerator
- $TS_d$ = Time signature denominator
- $TUP^n$ = Tuplet numerator ($TUP^n \in \mathbb{Z}$)
- $TUP_d$ = Tuplet denominator ($TUP_d \in \mathbb{Z}$)
- $TUP_r$ = Length of note used in tuplet ratios (quarter-note = 4, eighth-note = 8 etc.)

A simple calculation for ascertaining the tempo of a bar, given the numerator and denominator of a tuplet and its reference note is:

$$t = \frac{60}{i} \times \frac{TUP_d}{TUP^n} \times \frac{4}{TUP_r}$$

So, given an inter-onset interval of 1 second and a tuplet ratio of 3:2 quarter-notes, you would need a tempo of:

$$t = \frac{60}{1} \times \frac{2}{3} \times \frac{4}{4} = 40 \text{bpm}$$

This equation can be combined with that used for quantization by rhythm to produce an equation that can be used for any type of quantization, be it metre, rhythm or tuplet:

The numerator of the time signature:

$$TS^n = \frac{TS_d}{TUP_r} \times TUP_d$$

The numerator of the tuplet:

$$TUP^n = l \times p \times \frac{TUP_r}{4}$$

Tempo (this will always be in reference to the denominator of the time signature):

$$t = \frac{TS^n}{i \times p} \times 60$$

To generate an optimal metric quantization which has no tuplets, make it so that $TUP_d = TUP^n$.

**Example**

We are writing a piece of music at quarter-note = 40 ($m = 4, t = 40$). We want to quantize 3 pulses ($p = 3$), 1 second apart ($i = 1$) within one bar at this tempo. We want the length of each pulse in the notation to be a dotted-quarter-note ($l = 1.5$).
1. We decide on the length that the ratios of the tuplet refer to. We shall choose an eighth-note \((TUP_r = 8)\) (this would mean that the ratio 3:2 would refer to 3 eighth-notes in the space of 2 eighth-notes).

2. Calculate the tuplet numerator.

\[
TUP_n = l \times p \times \frac{TUP_r}{4} = 1.5 \times 3 \times \frac{8}{4} = 9
\]

3. Calculate the numerator of the time signature. We will choose the time signature denominator to be 4 \((TS_d = 4)\).

\[
TS_n = \frac{TS_d \times TUP_d}{TUP_r} = \frac{4 \times 4}{8} = 2
\]

4. This will give us the notation seen in Figure A.7.

**Our Syntax: x Pulses y Second(s) Apart**

The equations above were used to generate the work *Our Syntax: x Pulses y Second(s) Apart*, using the following variables:

**Fixed variables:**

- \(i = 1\)
- \(p = 3\)

**Permutated Variables:**

- \(l = \{0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1.0, 1.5, 1.75, 2.0\}\)
- \(TUP_d = \{2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9\}\)
- \(TUP_r = \{4, 8, 16\}\)
- \(TS_d = \{4, 8, 16\}\)

Outputs were only used when they meet all of the following criteria: \(30 < \text{tempo} < 150\), \(n_{TUP} \in \mathbb{Z}\), and \(n_{SIG} \in \mathbb{Z}\).
Appendix B

Code For Generating All Possible Structures

Ruby code for generating all possible structures and morphological vectors within a given range:

```ruby
# Ruby
class FormMaker
  def initialize(int_ext)
    # This initialization takes one argument: Whether the output is to the ruby window
    # or to a separate .txt file named using the current date and time.
    # "ruby" = ruby window, "file" = file
    @int_ext = int_ext
    @alpha = Hash[(1..26).to_a.zip("A".."Z")..to_a]
    @numberer = Hash[(["A".."Z")..to_a.zip((0..25).to_a)]
  end

  def time_filename(affix, filetype)
    # This function creates a filename with the current date and time
    # and outputs a file name consisting of:
    # Date-time_filename e.g. 2017-04-16_12-15_testfile.txt
    time = Time.now
    timesplit = time.to_s.split(" ")
    clock = timesplit[1].split(":")
    @filename = "#{timesplit[0]}_#{clock[0]}_#{clock[1]}_#{affix}.#{filetype}"
    @f = File.new(@filename, "w")
  end

  def primer(primearray)
    # This converts an array of subarrays (primearray) into a string of letters and prime marks
    # into a letter with x prime marks afterwards e.g. primer(["A",3]) = A'''
    primearray.each do |lettouts, numout|
      stringout = ("" * numout) + " "
      return "#{stringout}"
    end
  end

  def outputter(outter)
    # This takes a string and outputs it either to a file or the ruby console
    if @int_ext == "ruby"
      puts outter
    else
      @f.puts outter
    end
  end

  def generator(sections, mat_type)
    # This def takes 2 initialization values, taken from the onscreen prompts:
    # the number of sections the piece has, and the number of material types.
    @sections = sections
    @mat_type = mat_type
    @letters = []
    (1..@mat_type).to_a.each { |x| @letters.push(@alpha[x]) }
    @primes = []
    for x in (0...@letters.length) do
      primes = @letters[x]
      for i in (0...(@sections.x)) do
        @primes = @primes.push(@letters[x], i)
      end
    end
    outputter("#{@sections} Sections #{@mat_type} Materials")
    outputter("Material Types: #{@primes}")
  end

  def vectorizer(vector)
    # This generates the morphological vector
    if vector.length > 1
      hashvector = vector.uniq
      vect_out = [1]
      v = 0
      for i in (0...vector.length-1) do
        vect_out.push(hashvector.sort.zip(0...hashvector.length)[v])
        v = v + 1
      end
    else
      vect_out = [1]
    end
  end
end
```
```ruby
# This is a less computationally expensive way of generating the combinations
if @sections < 3
    @perms = @primes.repeated_permutation(@sections).to_a
else
    bpl = []
    for il in (0 . . . (sections -1))
        permil = []
        @primes.each do |prilet, prinum|
            if prinum <= (il + 1) && (@numberer[prilet]+prinum) <= (il + 1)
                permil.push([prilet, prinum])
            end
        end
        bpl.push(permil)
    end
    @perms = bpl[0].product(bpl[1 . . -1])
    @perms.each { |ooo| ooo.unshift(["A", 0])}
end
end

def permutations (vnum, vo)
    # calculates permutations. The one variable is whether vectors are printed ('v') or not ('w')
    if @mat_type < @sections
        primer
        counter = 0
        @perms.each do |z|
            checker is the starting value for a series of tests
            if the tests show the permutation (z) is unsuitable, checker will be changed to 0
            if permutation z passes all the tests it will be printed.
            checker = 1
            if z.first != ["A", 0]
                checker = 0
            else
                letters.each do |w|
                    numorder = []
                    z.each do |lett, num|
                        if lett == w; numorder.push(num); end
                    end
                    jumpchecker(numorder)
                end
                letterorder = []
                z.each { |lett, num| letterorder.push(@numberer[lett])}
                if letterorder.uniq.length != @mat_type
                    checker = 0
                else
                    jumpchecker(letterorder)
                end
            end
            if checker == 1
                counter += 1
                outputter("#{counter}", @mat_type, @sections)
                if vnum == 'y' && vo == 'v'
                    outputter("#{counter}", @mat_type, @sections)
                elsif vnum == 'y' && vo == 'w'
                    outputter("#{counter}", @mat_type, @sections)
                elsif vnum == 'n' && vo == 'v'
                    outputter("#{counter}", @mat_type, @sections)
                else
                    outputter("#{counter}", @mat_type, @sections)
                end
            end
        end
        counter = 0
        outputter("#{counter}", @mat_type, @sections)
        outputter(‘---’)
        outputter(‘‘ ‘ )
    end
end

def jumpchecker (inarray)
    if inarray.length > 0
        jumpcheck = -1
        inarray.each do |inner|
            if inner > (jumpcheck+1)
                checker = 0
            else
                inner > jumpcheck
                jumpcheck = inner
            end
        end
    end
end

def filehandler
    if @int_ext == 'file'
        if close
            puts 'Wrote @filename'
        end
    end
end
```

```ruby
else
  filey = 'ruby'
end

puts 'Should it print forms with vectors (type v) or without (type w)?'
vonoff = gets.chomp

puts 'Should it number the forms? Type y for yes, type n for no.'
numfo = gets.chomp

puts 'Do you want to select the Sections and Material Types (type s)?'
puts 'or calculate combinatorically all possibilities between 1 and 5? (type c)?'
sm = gets.chomp

if sm == 's'
  puts 'WARNING: Don’t go above 6 sections With 6 material types,'
  puts 'the possibilities become too large!'
  puts 'How Many Sections Does The Work Have?'
  questsect = gets.chomp.to_i
  puts 'How Many Material Types Are Used In The Work?'
  mat = gets.chomp.to_i
  sectmat = [[questsect, mat]]
else
  sectmat = [[1,2,3,4,5],repeated_permutation(2)].to_a
end

form = FormMaker.new(filey)
form.time_filename('all forms', 'txt')
sectmat.each do |matty|
  form.generator(matty[0], matty[1])
  form.permutations(numfo, vonoff)
end

form.filehandler
```
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Appendix C

Completist Structures

Form Consisting of 1 Section

1 Sections 1 Materials:
Material Types: A
1: | A | [0] |

Form Consisting of 2 Sections

2 Sections 1 Materials:
Material Types: A A'
1: | A A | [0] |
2: | A A' | [1] |

2 Sections 2 Materials:
Material Types: A A' B
1: | A B | [1] |

Form Consisting of 3 Sections

3 Sections 1 Materials:
Material Types: A A' A''
1: | A A A | [0, 0] |
2: | A A A' | [0, 1] |
3: | A A A'' | [1, -1] |
4: | A A' A' | [1, 0] |
5: | A A' A'' | [1, 1] |

3 Sections 2 Materials:
Material Types: A A' A'' B B'
1: | A A B | [0, 1] |
2: | A A' B | [1, 1] |
3: | A B A | [1, -1] |
4: | A B A' | [2, -1] |
5: | A B B | [1, 0] |
6: | A B B' | [1, 1] |

3 Sections 3 Materials:
Material Types: A A' A'' B B' C
1: | A B C | [1, 1] |

Form Consisting of 4 Sections

4 Sections 1 Materials:
Material Types: A A' A'' A'''
1: | A A A A | [0, 0, 0] |
2: | A A A A' | [0, 0, 1] |
3: | A A A' A | [0, 1, -1] |
4: | A A A' A' | [0, 1, 0] |
5: | A A A' A'' | [0, 1, 1] |
6: | A A' A A | [1, -1, 0] |
7: | A A' A A' | [1, -1, 1] |
8: | A A' A A'' | [1, -1, 2] |
9: | A A' A' A | [1, 0, -1] |
10: | A A' A' A' | [1, 0, 0] |
11: | A A' A'' A'' | [1, 0, 1] |
12: | A A' A'' A' | [1, -1, 1] |
13: | A A' A'' A'' | [1, 1, -1] |
14: | A A' A'' A'' | [1, 1, 0] |
15: | A A' A'' A'' | [1, 1, 1] |
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### 4 Sections 2 Materials:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material Types: A A' A'' A''' B B''</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1: A A B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2: A A A' B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3: A B A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4: A A B'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5: A A B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6: A A B'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7: A A' A B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8: A A' A' B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9: A A' A'' B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10: A A' B A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11: A A' B A'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12: A A' B A''</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13: A A' B B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14: A A' B B'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15: A B A A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16: A B A A'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17: A B A'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18: A B A' B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19: A B A' A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20: A B A' A'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21: A B A' A''</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22: A B A' A'''</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23: A B B A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24: A B B A'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25: A B B A''</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26: A B B B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27: A B B'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28: A B B' A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29: A B B' A'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30: A B B' B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31: A B B' B'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32: A B B' B''</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Form Consisting of 5 Sections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material Types: A A' A'' A''' A''''</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1: A A A A A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2: A A A A A'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3: A A A A A''</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4: A A A A A'''</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5: A A A A A''''</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6: A A A A' A''</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7: A A A A' A'''</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8: A A A A'' A''</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9: A A A A'' A''''</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10: A A A A'''' A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11: A A A A'''' A'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12: A A A A'''' A''</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13: A A A A'''' A''''</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14: A A A A'''' A'''''</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15: A A A A'''' A''''''</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16: A A A A'''' A''''''</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17: A A A A'''' A''''''</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18: A A A A'''' A''''''</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19: A A A A'''' A''''''</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20: A A A A'''' A''''''</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21: A A A A'''' A''''''</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22: A A A A'''' A''''''</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23: A A A A'''' A''''''</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24: A A A A'''' A''''''</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25: A A A A'''' A''''''</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26: A A A A'''' A''''''</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27: A A A A'''' A''''''</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28: A A A A'''' A''''''</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29: A A A A'''' A''''''</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30: A A A A'''' A''''''</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31: A A A A'''' A''''''</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32: A A A A'''' A''''''</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33: A A A A'''' A''''''</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34: A A A A'''' A''''''</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35: A A A A'''' A''''''</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36: A A A A'''' A''''''</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37: A A A A'''' A''''''</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38: A A A A'''' A''''''</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39: A A A A'''' A''''''</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40: A A A A'''' A''''''</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41: A A A A'''' A''''''</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42: A A A A'''' A''''''</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43: A A A A'''' A''''''</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44: A A A A'''' A''''''</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45: A A A A'''' A''''''</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4 Sections 3 Materials:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material Types: A A' A'' A''' B B'' B C C'</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1: A B C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2: A A' B C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3: A B A C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4: A B A' C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5: A B B C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6: A B B' C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7: A B C A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8: A B C A'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9: A B C B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10: A B C B'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11: A B C C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12: A B C C'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4 Sections 4 Materials:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material Types: A A' A'' A''' B B'' B C C' D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1: A B C D</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 5 Sections 2 Materials:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material Types: A A' A&quot; A'&quot; A B B' B&quot; B'&quot;</th>
<th>66: A A' B A' A&quot;</th>
<th>1, 2, -2, 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1: A A A B A</td>
<td>67: A A' B A' B</td>
<td>1, 1, -1, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2: A A A B A'</td>
<td>68: A A' B A' B'</td>
<td>1, 1, -1, 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3: A A A B A B</td>
<td>69: A A' B A' A&quot;</td>
<td>1, 2, -1, -2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4: A A B A' A B</td>
<td>70: A A' B A' A&quot;</td>
<td>1, 2, -1, -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5: A A A B B</td>
<td>71: A A' B A' A&quot;</td>
<td>1, 2, -1, 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6: A A B B' A</td>
<td>72: A A' B A' A&quot;</td>
<td>1, 3, -2, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7: A A A' A B</td>
<td>73: A A' B A' B</td>
<td>1, 2, -1, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8: A A A' A B</td>
<td>74: A A' B A' B</td>
<td>1, 2, -1, 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9: A A A' A&quot; B</td>
<td>75: A A' B B A</td>
<td>1, 1, 0, -2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10: A A' A A' B A</td>
<td>76: A A' B B' A'</td>
<td>1, 1, 0, -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11: A A A' B A</td>
<td>77: A A' B B' A'</td>
<td>1, 1, 2, -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12: A A A' B A&quot;</td>
<td>78: A A' B B B'</td>
<td>1, 1, 0, 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13: A A A' B A B</td>
<td>79: A A' B B B'</td>
<td>1, 1, 0, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14: A A' A B B'</td>
<td>80: A A' B B' A</td>
<td>1, 1, 1, -3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15: A A B A A'</td>
<td>81: A A' B B' A'</td>
<td>1, 1, 1, -2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16: A A B A'</td>
<td>82: A A' B B' A&quot;</td>
<td>1, 2, 1, -2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17: A A B A B</td>
<td>83: A A' B B' B</td>
<td>1, 1, 1, -2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18: A A A B'</td>
<td>84: A A' B B' B'</td>
<td>1, 1, 1, 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19: A A B' A</td>
<td>85: A A' B B'' B'</td>
<td>1, 1, 1, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20: A A B' A'</td>
<td>86: A B A A A</td>
<td>1, -1, 0, 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21: A A B' A&quot;</td>
<td>87: A A B A A</td>
<td>2, -2, 0, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22: A A B' B</td>
<td>88: A A B A B</td>
<td>1, -1, 0, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23: A A B' B</td>
<td>89: A A B' B</td>
<td>1, -1, 0, 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24: A A B' A A</td>
<td>90: A A' B A' A</td>
<td>2, -2, 1, -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25: A A B' A B</td>
<td>91: A A B A A'</td>
<td>2, -2, 1, 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26: A A B B B</td>
<td>92: A A B A' A</td>
<td>3, -3, 1, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27: A A B B B'</td>
<td>93: A A A' B A</td>
<td>2, -2, 1, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28: A A B B' A</td>
<td>94: A A A' B B</td>
<td>2, -2, 1, 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29: A A B B' A'</td>
<td>95: A A B A A</td>
<td>1, -1, 1, -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30: A A B B' B</td>
<td>96: A A B A</td>
<td>2, -2, 2, -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31: A A B' B'</td>
<td>97: A A B B</td>
<td>1, -1, 1, 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32: A A B' B&quot;</td>
<td>98: A A B B</td>
<td>1, -1, 1, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33: A A' A A B</td>
<td>99: A A B B' A</td>
<td>1, -1, 2, -2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34: A A' A A' B</td>
<td>100: A A B B'</td>
<td>2, -3, -2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35: A A' A A B</td>
<td>101: A A B B'</td>
<td>1, -1, 2, -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36: A A A B A B</td>
<td>102: A A B B'</td>
<td>1, -1, 2, 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37: A A A A B A</td>
<td>103: A A B B&quot;</td>
<td>1, -1, 2, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38: A A A B A</td>
<td>104: A A' B A' A</td>
<td>2, -1, -1, 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39: A A' A B B</td>
<td>105: A A' B A' A</td>
<td>2, -1, -1, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40: A A' A B B</td>
<td>106: A A' B A' A</td>
<td>3, -2, -1, 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41: A A B A B</td>
<td>107: A A' B A</td>
<td>2, -1, -1, 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42: A A' A A' B</td>
<td>108: A A' B A'</td>
<td>2, -1, -1, 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43: A A' A' A' B</td>
<td>109: A A' A A'</td>
<td>2, -1, 0, -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44: A A' A B A</td>
<td>110: A A' A' A'</td>
<td>2, -1, 0, 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45: A A' A' B A</td>
<td>111: A A' A A' A</td>
<td>3, -2, -1, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46: A B A' A B</td>
<td>112: A A' A' B</td>
<td>2, -1, 0, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47: A A' B A B</td>
<td>113: A A' B A' B</td>
<td>2, -1, 0, 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49: A A' A B B</td>
<td>115: A A' A' A'</td>
<td>3, -2, 1, -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50: A A' A B B</td>
<td>116: A A' A A' A&quot;</td>
<td>3, -2, 1, 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51: A A' A A' B</td>
<td>117: A A' A' A A&quot;</td>
<td>4, -3, 1, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52: A A' A A B B</td>
<td>118: A A' A' B</td>
<td>3, -2, 1, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53: A A' A B B</td>
<td>119: A A' A B B</td>
<td>3, -2, 1, 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54: A A' A B B</td>
<td>120: A A' B B A</td>
<td>2, -1, 1, -2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55: A A' A B B</td>
<td>121: A A' B B A</td>
<td>2, -1, 1, -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56: A A' A B B&quot;</td>
<td>122: A A' B B A</td>
<td>3, -2, 2, -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57: A A A B B</td>
<td>123: A A' B B B</td>
<td>2, -1, 1, 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58: A A' A B B</td>
<td>124: A A' B B B</td>
<td>2, -1, 1, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59: A A' A B A</td>
<td>125: A A' B B' A</td>
<td>2, -1, 2, -3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60: A A' A B A</td>
<td>126: A A' B B' A</td>
<td>2, -1, 2, -2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63: A A' A B A</td>
<td>129: A A' B B' B</td>
<td>2, -1, 2, 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64: A A' A B A</td>
<td>130: A A' B B' B</td>
<td>2, -1, 2, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65: A A' A B A</td>
<td>131: A A B B A</td>
<td>1, 0, 0, -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66: A A' A B A</td>
<td>132: A A B B A'</td>
<td>2, 0, -2, 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 5 Sections 3 Materials:

**Material Types:** A A’ A” A’” A”” B B’ B” B’” C C’ C”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C^m</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A’</td>
<td>A’</td>
<td>A”</td>
<td>A”</td>
<td>A”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>B’</td>
<td>B”</td>
<td>B’”</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.  | A A | A B | C | 0, 0, 1, 1 |
2.  | A A’ | A’ B’ | C | 0, 1, 1, 1 |
3.  | A A’ | A” B | C | 0, 1, -1, 2 |
4.  | A A | A” B’ | C’ | 0, 2, -1, 2 |
5.  | A A’ | B | C | 0, 1, 0, 1 |
6.  | A A’ | B’ | C’ | 0, 1, 1, 1 |
7.  | A B | C | 0, 1, 1, -2 |
8.  | A B’ | C’ | 0, 2, 1, -2 |
9.  | A B’’ | B | C | 0, 1, 1, -1 |
10. | A B’ | C’’ | 0, 1, 1, 0 |
11. | A’ A’’ | B | C | 0, 1, 1, 1 |
12. | A’ A” | B’ | C’ | 0, 1, 1, -2 |
13. | A’ B | C | 0, 1, 1, -1 |
14. | A’ B’’ | B’’ | 0, 1, 0, 1 |
15. | A’ B’’ | B’ | 0, 1, 1, 0 |
16. | A’ B’” | B” | 0, 1, 1, -1 |
17. | A’ B’ | C | 0, 1, -1, 2 |
18. | A’ B’” | B” | 0, 1, -1, 1 |
19. | A’ B’” | B” | 0, 1, -1, 0 |
20. | A’ B’” | B” | 0, 1, -1, 2 |
21. | A’ B’” | B” | 0, 1, -1, 3 |
22. | A’ B’” | B” | 0, 1, -1, 4 |
23. | A’ B’” | B” | 0, 1, -1, 5 |
24. | A’ B’” | B” | 0, 1, -1, 6 |
25. | A’ B’” | B” | 0, 1, -1, 7 |
26. | A’ B’” | B” | 0, 1, -1, 8 |
27. | A’ B’” | B” | 0, 1, -1, 9 |
28. | A’ B’” | B” | 0, 1, -1, 10 |
29. | A’ B’” | B” | 0, 1, -1, 11 |
30. | A’ B’” | B” | 0, 1, -1, 12 |
31. | A’ B’” | B” | 0, 1, -1, 13 |
32. | A’ B’” | B” | 0, 1, -1, 14 |
33. | A’ B’” | B” | 0, 1, -1, 15 |
34. | A’ B’” | B” | 0, 1, -1, 16 |
35. | A’ B’” | B” | 0, 1, -1, 17 |
36. | A’ B’” | B” | 0, 1, -1, 18 |
37. | A’ B’” | B” | 0, 1, -1, 19 |
38. | A’ B’” | B” | 0, 1, -1, 20 |
39. | A’ B’” | B” | 0, 1, -1, 21 |
40. | A’ B’” | B” | 0, 1, -1, 22 |
41. | A’ B’” | B” | 0, 1, -1, 23 |
42. | A’ B’” | B” | 0, 1, -1, 24 |
43. | A’ B’” | B” | 0, 1, -1, 25 |
44. | A’ B’” | B” | 0, 1, -1, 26 |
45. | A’ B’” | B” | 0, 1, -1, 27 |
46. | A’ B’” | B” | 0, 1, -1, 28 |
47. | A’ B’” | B” | 0, 1, -1, 29 |
48. | A’ B’” | B” | 0, 1, -1, 30 |
49. | A’ B’” | B” | 0, 1, -1, 31 |
50. | A’ B’” | B” | 0, 1, -1, 32 |
51. | A’ B’” | B” | 0, 1, -1, 33 |
52. | A’ B’” | B” | 0, 1, -1, 34 |
53. | A’ B’” | B” | 0, 1, -1, 35 |
54. | A’ B’” | B” | 0, 1, -1, 36 |
55. | A’ B’” | B” | 0, 1, -1, 37 |
56. | A’ B’” | B” | 0, 1, -1, 38 |
57. | A’ B’” | B” | 0, 1, -1, 39 |
58. | A’ B’” | B” | 0, 1, -1, 40 |
59. | A’ B’” | B” | 0, 1, -1, 41 |
60. | A’ B’” | B” | 0, 1, -1, 42 |
### 5 Sections 4 Materials:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material Types: A A’ A’’ A’’’ A’’’’ B B’ B’’ B’’’ C C’ C’’</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1:</td>
<td>A B B’ C D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:</td>
<td>A A’ B C D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:</td>
<td>A B A C D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:</td>
<td>A A’’ C D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:</td>
<td>A B B’ C D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:</td>
<td>A B B’’ C D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:</td>
<td>A B C A D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:</td>
<td>A B C A’ D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:</td>
<td>A B C B D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:</td>
<td>A B C B’ D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:</td>
<td>A B C C D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:</td>
<td>A B C C’ D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:</td>
<td>A B C D A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:</td>
<td>A B C D A’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:</td>
<td>A B C D B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:</td>
<td>A B C D B’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17:</td>
<td>A B C D C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:</td>
<td>A B C D C’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19:</td>
<td>A B C D D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20:</td>
<td>A B C D D’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5 Sections 5 Materials:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material Types: A A’ A’’ A’’’ A’’’’ B B’ B’’ B’’’ C C’ C’’</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1:</td>
<td>A B C D E</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix D

List of Possible Morphological Vectors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sections</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Materials</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[0]</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[1]</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table D.1: All possible morphological vectors with 1 or 2 sections.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sections</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Materials</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[0, 0]</td>
<td>[0, 1]</td>
<td>[1, 1]</td>
<td>[0, 0]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[0, 1]</td>
<td>[1, -1]</td>
<td>[1, 1]</td>
<td>[0, 1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[1, -1]</td>
<td>[1, 1]</td>
<td>[1, -1]</td>
<td>[1, 1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[1, 1]</td>
<td>[2, -1]</td>
<td>[2, 0]</td>
<td>[2, -1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[2, 0]</td>
<td>[2, 0]</td>
<td>[2, -1]</td>
<td>[2, 0]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table D.2: All possible morphological vectors with 3 sections.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sections</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>all</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Materials</td>
<td>[0, 0, 0, 0]</td>
<td>[0, 0, 1, 0]</td>
<td>[0, 1, 1, 0]</td>
<td>[1, 1, 1, 1]</td>
<td>[0, 0, 0]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[0, 0, 1]</td>
<td>[0, 1, -1]</td>
<td>[1, -1, 2]</td>
<td>[0, 0, 1]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[0, 1, -1]</td>
<td>[0, 1, 1]</td>
<td>[1, 1, -2]</td>
<td>[0, 1, -1]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[0, 1, 1]</td>
<td>[0, 2, -1]</td>
<td>[1, 1, 1]</td>
<td>[0, 1, 1]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[0, 2, 0]</td>
<td>[0, 2, 0]</td>
<td>[1, 2, -1]</td>
<td>[0, 2, -1]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1, -1, 0]</td>
<td>[1, -1, 0]</td>
<td>[1, 2, 0]</td>
<td>[0, 2, 0]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1, -1, 2]</td>
<td>[1, -1, 2]</td>
<td>[2, -1, 2]</td>
<td>[1, -1, 0]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1, 1, -2]</td>
<td>[1, 1, -2]</td>
<td>[2, 0, 1]</td>
<td>[1, 1, -2]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1, 1, 1]</td>
<td>[1, 1, 1]</td>
<td>[2, 1, -1]</td>
<td>[1, 1, -2]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1, 2, 0]</td>
<td>[1, 2, -1]</td>
<td>[2, 1, -2]</td>
<td>[1, 1, 1]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[2, -2, 2]</td>
<td>[1, 2, 0]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[2, 0, -2]</td>
<td>[2, -1, -1]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[2, 0, 1]</td>
<td>[2, -1, 2]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[2, 1, -1]</td>
<td>[2, -2, 1]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[3, 0, 0]</td>
<td>[2, -2, 2]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[2, 0, -2]</td>
<td>[2, 0, 1]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[2, 1, -1]</td>
<td>[2, 1, -1]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[3, 0, 0]</td>
<td>[2, 1, -2]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[2, 0, 0]</td>
<td>[2, -2, 2]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[0, 3, 0]</td>
<td>[0, 1, 0]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[0, 1, 2]</td>
<td>[0, 2, -1]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[0, 2, -2]</td>
<td>[0, 1, 2, 0]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[0, 2, -2, 2]</td>
<td>[0, 2, -1, -1]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[0, 2, 0, -2]</td>
<td>[1, -1, 2, -2]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[0, 2, 0, 1]</td>
<td>[0, 2, 1, -2]</td>
<td>[1, 1, 0]</td>
<td>[0, 1, -1]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[0, 2, -1]</td>
<td>[0, 2, -1]</td>
<td>[1, 2, -1]</td>
<td>[0, 1, -1]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[0, 2, 1, 0]</td>
<td>[0, 2, 1, -2]</td>
<td>[1, 2, 3]</td>
<td>[0, 2, 0]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[0, 3, 0]</td>
<td>[0, 2, -2]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1, -1, 0]</td>
<td>[0, 2, 0, -2]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1, -1, 0, 0]</td>
<td>[0, 2, 0, -2]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1, -1, 0, 2]</td>
<td>[0, 2, 0, 1]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1, -1, 2]</td>
<td>[0, 2, 1, -2]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1, -1, 2, 1]</td>
<td>[0, 2, 1, -2]</td>
<td>[1, 1, 1]</td>
<td>[0, 2, 1, -1]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1, -1, 3, 0]</td>
<td>[0, 3, 1, 0]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1, -1, 3, 0]</td>
<td>[0, 3, 1, 0]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1, -1, 3, 0]</td>
<td>[0, 3, 1, 0]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1, -1, 3, 0]</td>
<td>[0, 3, 1, 0]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1, -1, 3, 0]</td>
<td>[0, 3, 1, 0]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1, -1, 3, 0]</td>
<td>[0, 3, 1, 0]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1, -1, 3, 0]</td>
<td>[0, 3, 1, 0]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1, -1, 3, 0]</td>
<td>[0, 3, 1, 0]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1, -1, 3, 0]</td>
<td>[0, 3, 1, 0]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1, -1, 3, 0]</td>
<td>[0, 3, 1, 0]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1, -1, 3, 0]</td>
<td>[0, 3, 1, 0]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1, -1, 3, 0]</td>
<td>[0, 3, 1, 0]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1, -1, 3, 0]</td>
<td>[0, 3, 1, 0]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1, -1, 3, 0]</td>
<td>[0, 3, 1, 0]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1, -1, 3, 0]</td>
<td>[0, 3, 1, 0]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1, -1, 3, 0]</td>
<td>[0, 3, 1, 0]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1, -1, 3, 0]</td>
<td>[0, 3, 1, 0]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1, -1, 3, 0]</td>
<td>[0, 3, 1, 0]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1, -1, 3, 0]</td>
<td>[0, 3, 1, 0]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1, -1, 3, 0]</td>
<td>[0, 3, 1, 0]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1, -1, 3, 0]</td>
<td>[0, 3, 1, 0]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1, -1, 3, 0]</td>
<td>[0, 3, 1, 0]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1, -1, 3, 0]</td>
<td>[0, 3, 1, 0]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1, -1, 3, 0]</td>
<td>[0, 3, 1, 0]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1, -1, 3, 0]</td>
<td>[0, 3, 1, 0]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1, -1, 3, 0]</td>
<td>[0, 3, 1, 0]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1, -1, 3, 0]</td>
<td>[0, 3, 1, 0]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1, -1, 3, 0]</td>
<td>[0, 3, 1, 0]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1, -1, 3, 0]</td>
<td>[0, 3, 1, 0]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1, -1, 3, 0]</td>
<td>[0, 3, 1, 0]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1, -1, 3, 0]</td>
<td>[0, 3, 1, 0]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1, -1, 3, 0]</td>
<td>[0, 3, 1, 0]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1, -1, 3, 0]</td>
<td>[0, 3, 1, 0]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1, -1, 3, 0]</td>
<td>[0, 3, 1, 0]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1, -1, 3, 0]</td>
<td>[0, 3, 1, 0]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1, -1, 3, 0]</td>
<td>[0, 3, 1, 0]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1, -1, 3, 0]</td>
<td>[0, 3, 1, 0]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1, -1, 3, 0]</td>
<td>[0, 3, 1, 0]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 0, 1, -3</td>
<td>1, 2, -1, -2</td>
<td>2, -1, 2, 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 0, 1, 1</td>
<td>1, 2, -1, 2</td>
<td>2, -1, 3, -1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 0, 2, 0</td>
<td>1, 2, -3, 2</td>
<td>2, -1, 3, 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 1, -1, -2</td>
<td>1, 2, -3, 3</td>
<td>2, -2, 1, 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 1, -1, 2</td>
<td>1, 2, 0, -3</td>
<td>2, -2, 2, 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 1, -3, 2</td>
<td>1, 2, 0, 1</td>
<td>2, -2, 3, -1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 2, 1, -2</td>
<td>1, 2, 1, -1</td>
<td>2, -2, 3, -2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 2, -2, 2</td>
<td>1, 2, 1, -2</td>
<td>2, -2, 3, 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 2, 0, -2</td>
<td>1, 3, -1, 0</td>
<td>2, 0, 1, -3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, -3, 3, 0</td>
<td>1, 3, -2, 1</td>
<td>2, 0, 1, 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, 0, 3, 3</td>
<td>1, 3, -2, 2</td>
<td>2, 0, 2, -1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, 0, 0, -3</td>
<td>1, 3, -3, 0</td>
<td>2, 0, 2, 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, 0, 0, 1</td>
<td>2, 1, -1, -2</td>
<td>1, 3, 0, 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, 0, 1, -1</td>
<td>2, -1, -1, 0</td>
<td>2, -1, -1, 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, 1, -1, 0</td>
<td>2, -1, -2, 1</td>
<td>2, -1, -2, 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4, 0, 0, 0</td>
<td>2, -1, -2, 3</td>
<td>2, -1, 1, 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, -1, 2, 1</td>
<td>2, 1, -2, 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, -1, 3, 0</td>
<td>2, 1, -3, 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, -2, 0, 1</td>
<td>2, 1, -3, 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, -2, 0, 2</td>
<td>2, 1, -3, 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, -2, 1,</td>
<td>2, 2, -1, 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, -2, 2, -2</td>
<td>2, 2, -2, 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, -2, 3</td>
<td>2, 2, -3, 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 0, 0, -2</td>
<td>2, 2, -3, 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 0, 1, -3</td>
<td>3, -1, 0, 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 0, 2, -1</td>
<td>3, -1, 2, -2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 0, 2, 0</td>
<td>3, -2, 1, 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 1, -1, -2</td>
<td>3, -2, 1, 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 1, -1, 1</td>
<td>3, -2, 3, 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 1, -2</td>
<td>3, -2, 3, 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 1, -3, 1</td>
<td>3, -2, 3, -1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 1, -3, 2</td>
<td>3, -2, 3, -2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 1, -1, -2</td>
<td>3, -3, 0, 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 1, -1, 0</td>
<td>3, -3, 0, 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 1, -3, 0</td>
<td>3, -3, 1, -1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 1, -3, 2</td>
<td>3, -3, 1, -2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 2, -1, -1</td>
<td>3, -3, 2, -1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 2, -1, 0</td>
<td>3, -3, 2, -2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 2, -2, 0</td>
<td>3, -3, 3, 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 2, -2, 1</td>
<td>3, -3, 3, 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 2, -3, 0</td>
<td>3, -3, 3, 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 2, -3, 1</td>
<td>3, -3, 3, 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 2, 2, -2</td>
<td>3, -3, 3, 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 2, 2, 0</td>
<td>3, -3, 4, 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 2, 3, -2</td>
<td>3, -3, 4, 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 3, -2, -2</td>
<td>3, -3, 4, 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 3, -2, -3</td>
<td>3, -3, 4, 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 3, -2, 0</td>
<td>3, -3, 4, 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 3, -1, -2</td>
<td>3, -3, 5, 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 3, -1, -1</td>
<td>3, -3, 5, 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 3, 0, 0</td>
<td>3, -3, 5, 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 3, 0, 1</td>
<td>3, -3, 5, 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 3, 1, -2</td>
<td>3, -3, 6, 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 3, 1, 0</td>
<td>3, -3, 6, 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 3, 1, 1</td>
<td>3, -3, 6, 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, -3, 3, -3</td>
<td>3, -3, 6, 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, -3, 3, 0</td>
<td>3, 0, -1, -1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, -3, 3, 1</td>
<td>3, 0, -1, 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, -3, 3, 2</td>
<td>3, 0, -1, 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, -3, 3, 3</td>
<td>3, 0, -1, 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, -3, 4, -1</td>
<td>3, 0, -2, -1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, -3, 4, 0</td>
<td>3, 0, -2, 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, -3, 4, 1</td>
<td>3, 0, -2, 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, -3, 4, 2</td>
<td>3, 0, -2, 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, -3, 4, 3</td>
<td>3, 0, -2, 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, -3, 5, -1</td>
<td>3, 0, -3, -1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, -3, 5, 0</td>
<td>3, 0, -3, 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, -3, 5, 1</td>
<td>3, 0, -3, 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, -3, 5, 2</td>
<td>3, 0, -3, 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, -3, 5, 3</td>
<td>3, 0, -3, 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, -3, 6, -1</td>
<td>3, 0, -4, -1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, -3, 6, 0</td>
<td>3, 0, -4, 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, -3, 6, 1</td>
<td>3, 0, -4, 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, -3, 6, 2</td>
<td>3, 0, -4, 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, -3, 6, 3</td>
<td>3, 0, -4, 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, -3, 7, -1</td>
<td>3, 0, -5, -1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, -3, 7, 0</td>
<td>3, 0, -5, 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, -3, 7, 1</td>
<td>3, 0, -5, 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, -3, 7, 2</td>
<td>3, 0, -5, 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, -3, 7, 3</td>
<td>3, 0, -5, 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.1, -1, -2</td>
<td>3.1, -1, 0</td>
<td>3.1, -1, -1</td>
<td>3.1, -1, -2</td>
<td>3.1, -1, 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.1, -1, 0</td>
<td>3.1, -1, 1</td>
<td>3.1, -1, 2</td>
<td>3.1, -1, 0</td>
<td>3.1, -1, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.1, -3, 1</td>
<td>3.1, -3, 2</td>
<td>4. -1, 0, 0</td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 1</td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.1, -3, 2</td>
<td>4. -1, 0, 0</td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 1</td>
<td>4. -2, 1, -1</td>
<td>4. -2, 2, -2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. -1, 0, 1</td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 2</td>
<td>4. -1, 1, 1</td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 1</td>
<td>4. -2, 1, -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 0</td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 1</td>
<td>4. -2, 1, -1</td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 1</td>
<td>4. -2, 1, -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 2</td>
<td>4. -2, 1, -1</td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 1</td>
<td>4. -2, 1, -1</td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 2</td>
<td>4. -2, 1, -1</td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 1</td>
<td>4. -2, 1, -1</td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 2</td>
<td>4. -2, 1, -1</td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 1</td>
<td>4. -2, 1, -1</td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 2</td>
<td>4. -2, 1, -1</td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 1</td>
<td>4. -2, 1, -1</td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 2</td>
<td>4. -2, 1, -1</td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 1</td>
<td>4. -2, 1, -1</td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 2</td>
<td>4. -2, 1, -1</td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 1</td>
<td>4. -2, 1, -1</td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 2</td>
<td>4. -2, 1, -1</td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 1</td>
<td>4. -2, 1, -1</td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 2</td>
<td>4. -2, 1, -1</td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 1</td>
<td>4. -2, 1, -1</td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 2</td>
<td>4. -2, 1, -1</td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 1</td>
<td>4. -2, 1, -1</td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 2</td>
<td>4. -2, 1, -1</td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 1</td>
<td>4. -2, 1, -1</td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 2</td>
<td>4. -2, 1, -1</td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 1</td>
<td>4. -2, 1, -1</td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 2</td>
<td>4. -2, 1, -1</td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 1</td>
<td>4. -2, 1, -1</td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 2</td>
<td>4. -2, 1, -1</td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 1</td>
<td>4. -2, 1, -1</td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 2</td>
<td>4. -2, 1, -1</td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 1</td>
<td>4. -2, 1, -1</td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 2</td>
<td>4. -2, 1, -1</td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 1</td>
<td>4. -2, 1, -1</td>
<td>4. -2, 0, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix E

Analysis Of Footnote

An analysis of the footnote from the first page of Chapter 1 of this thesis. The way I have broken down the footnote is such that not all of the clauses are "true" clauses, in the grammatical sense, but each division aims to aid the composition process used in the Conclusion. Each of the numbered red boxes indicate how the clause has been divided up. The amount of these parts of the clause used in each section of the Conclusion can be found in Table 5.4. lower-numbered components are removed first.
Figure E.1: Analysis of footnote from the first page of Chapter 1 split into component parts indicated by the numbered red boxes.
Figure E.2: Analysis of footnote from the first page of Chapter 1.
Figure E.3: Analysis of footnote from the first page of Chapter 1.
Figure E.4: Analysis of footnote from the first page of Chapter 1.
Figure E.5: Analysis of footnote from the first page of Chapter 1.
Figure E.6: Analysis of footnote from the first page of Chapter 1.
Figure E.7: Analysis of footnote from the first page of Chapter 1
Figure E.8: Analysis of footnote from the first page of Chapter 1