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Overview

• Homeopathy in the UK
• The campaign against homeopathy degrees
• Boundary work in the campaign
• Implications for theory
Homeopathy

Figure 1: Homeopathy Books (Nourished and Nurtured, 2015)

Figure 2: Homeopathic pharmacy (Homeobook.com, 2011)
Homeopathy in the UK

Figure 3: The Prince of Wales with some homeopathic remedies in Poundbury, Dorset in 2004 (Daily Mail, 2004)

Figure 4: Royal London Homeopathic Hospital Sign (Morris, 2014)
Lay homeopaths

Figure 5: Homeopathy consultation room (Restore Within, 2014).
Figure 6a: Want to go to university (Newrickstonesacademy, 2014); Figure 6b: University of Westminster (dcscience, 2008)
Figure 6c: Uclan (Bizzeducation, 2017); Figure 6d: Sulphur slide (dcscience, 2010)
Science degrees without the science

Some UK universities offer science degrees in complementary medicine. David Colquhoun argues that these are not science but anti-science, and asks who is to blame.

Figure 7: Headline from David Colquhoun’s Nature article (dcscience, 2007)
“Sir,
I'm not a doctor or a scientist, but I have had training in statistics. On balance I'm probably relieved that neither Cambridge nor Manchester, the universities I attended, are offering BSc degrees in homoeopathy; but, equally, I'm quite pleased that some universities are. Would it be OK if successful students were awarded a BA? ...
John Gibbon”
“Losers see their claims moved out from fact to illusion, lie, ulterior motive, or faith while they (and their methods, practices, organisations, and institutions) get marginalised or excluded fully from the domain of epistemic authority reserved for science and its genuinely licenced practitioners.”

(Gieryn, 1999, p.13)
Mumbo-jumbo and barmpots: a glossary of Magic Medicine

- **Homeopathy**: giving patients medicines that contain no medicine whatsoever.
- **Herbal medicine**: giving patients an unknown dose of an ill-defined drug, of unknown effectiveness and unknown safety.
- **Acupuncture**: a rather theatrical placebo, with no real therapeutic benefit in most, if not all, cases.
- **Chiropractic**: an invention of a 19th-century salesman, based on nonsensical principles: shown to be no more effective than other manipulative therapies, but less safe.
- **Reflexology**: plain old foot massage, overlaid with utter nonsense about non-existent connections between your feet and your thyroid gland.
- **Nutritional therapy**: self-styled “nutritionists” making untrue claims about diet in order to sell you unnecessary supplements.
- **Spiritual healing**: tea and sympathy, accompanied by arm-waving.
- **Reiki**: ditto.
- **Angellic reiki**: the same but with added

---

Figure 8a: FT cover (dcscience, 2009a)
Figure 8b: Magic Medicine (dcscience, 2009b)
Changes in media attitudes to homeopathy degrees over time (Caldwell, 2017)
Media constructions of the boundary between science and homeopathy (Caldwell, 2017)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Science</th>
<th>Homeopathy (non science)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Motivated by pursuit of truth and knowledge</td>
<td>Motivated by profit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Based on logic and rationality</td>
<td>Based on magic and faith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old, elite research universities</td>
<td>Newer universities, Mickey Mouse degrees</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Boundary work in the case of homeopathy degrees

• Expel homeopathy to protect science – from ‘diluting’, ‘undermining’ influences that don’t fit current conceptual and disciplinary structures

• Preserve autonomy from outside influence (government, fringe medical practitioners, popular opinion) as to what should be taught as science
What does this tell us about medicine and science

• Shift in the discourse on CAM from therapeutic efficacy to therapeutic agents
• Scientists intervening in the affairs of another profession, namely medicine
• Medicine as an ally of science, must be protected by scientists

Figure 9: Homeopathic surgery (Kent, n.d.)
Conclusions for boundary work theory

- Binary oppositions from almost any field can be used to illustrate the division between science and non-science.
- Cartographic lexicon of boundary work, of ‘borders, territories, labeled landmarks, scale and coordinates’ (Gieryn, 1999, p.29), must expand to include alliances and coalitions.
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