

University of Huddersfield Repository

Delzendeh, Elham, Wu, Song, Lee, Angela and Zhou, Ying

The impact of occupants' behaviours on building energy analysis: A research review

Original Citation

Delzendeh, Elham, Wu, Song, Lee, Angela and Zhou, Ying (2017) The impact of occupants' behaviours on building energy analysis: A research review. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 80. pp. 1061-1071. ISSN 1364-0321

This version is available at http://eprints.hud.ac.uk/id/eprint/32834/

The University Repository is a digital collection of the research output of the University, available on Open Access. Copyright and Moral Rights for the items on this site are retained by the individual author and/or other copyright owners. Users may access full items free of charge; copies of full text items generally can be reproduced, displayed or performed and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided:

- The authors, title and full bibliographic details is credited in any copy;
- A hyperlink and/or URL is included for the original metadata page; and
- The content is not changed in any way.

For more information, including our policy and submission procedure, please contact the Repository Team at: E.mailbox@hud.ac.uk.

http://eprints.hud.ac.uk/

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/rser

The impact of occupants' behaviours on building energy analysis: A research review



Elham Delzendeh^a, Song Wu^{a,*}, Angela Lee^a, Ying Zhou^b

^a University of Huddersfield, UK

^b Huazhong University of Science and Technology, China

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Occupant behaviour Building energy consumption Energy behaviour Energy simulation Occupancy Review

ABSTRACT

Over the past 15 years, the evaluation of energy demand and use in buildings has become increasingly acute due to growing scientific and political pressure around the world in response to climate change. The estimation of the use of energy in buildings is therefore a critical process during the design stage. This paper presents a review of the literature published in leading journals through Science Direct and Scopus databases within this research domain to establish research trends, and importantly, to identify research gaps for future investigation. It has been widely acknowledged in the literature that there is an alarming performance gap between the predicted and actual energy consumption of buildings (sometimes this has been up to 300% difference). Analysis of the impact of occupants' behaviour has been largely overlooked in building energy performance analysis. In short, energy simulation tools utilise climatic data and physical/ thermal properties of building elements in their calculations, and the impact of occupants is only considered through means of fixed and scheduled patterns of behaviour. This research review identified a number of areas for future research including: larger scale analysis (e.g. urban analysis); interior design, in terms of space layout, and fixtures and fittings on occupants' behaviour; psychological cognitive behavioural methods; and the integration of quantitative and qualitative research findings in energy simulation tools to name but a few.

1. Introduction

Over the years, the need to be more sustainable has significantly increased global focus towards energy related analysis. Climate change is foreseen to be the greatest environmental threat and challenge of modern times. International agreements such as the Kyoto Protocol; European agreements such as the European Emissions Trading Scheme and European Directive on the Energy Performance of Buildings (EPBD); and UK national measures such as the United Kingdom's Climate Change Programme (UKCCP) and the Climate Change Levy (CCL); all demonstrate its prominence. Thus, government, businesses and wider society all have a pivotal role to address human impact (hence, occupant behaviour) on the environment. In this regard, predicting energy demand is becoming more important in the design and construction of buildings, from early design stages to post occupancy. According to Janda [1], the growth in knowledge and public concern with regards to climate change has ensured increased attention towards energy consumption in relation to buildings. Statistics have affirmed that buildings are colossal consumers of energy. As published in the "International Energy Outlook" by the U.S. Energy Information Administration [2], 20% of the total energy consumed worldwide is within the building sector (including residential and commercial). Another study [3] demonstrated that from 1970 to 2014, the domestic sector alone used between 24% and 27% of the total energy consumption in Europe. Likewise, a separate study undertaken by the European Environment Agency (EEA) [4] presented similar results in their analysis. In 2015, EU statistics [5] reported that buildings (including services and households) consumed around 40% of the total energy use in 2015. In China and India, the building sector accounts for 37% [6] and 35% [7] of the total energy consumption, respectively.

Such that is the acute need to drive down energy consumption, in 2002, the Energy Performance Building Directive (EPBD) announced new regulatory conditions for all EU countries to decrease the energy needed for heating, cooling, ventilation and lighting in buildings. Therefore, estimated energy efficiency level of buildings has to be considered in the design of buildings, and subsequently in construction documentations [8] as part of the planning process.

Energy consumption of buildings is related to various factors including: the thermo-physical properties of the building elements,

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2017.05.264

^{*} Correspondence to: University of Huddersfield, HD1 3DH UK. *E-mail address:* s.wu@hud.ac.uk (S. Wu).

Received 27 September 2016; Received in revised form 23 May 2017; Accepted 29 May 2017 1364-0321/ © 2017 Published by Elsevier Ltd.



Fig. 1. The gap between the predicted and actual use of buildings.

its construction technical details (energy-efficient building elements may not perform efficiently if poorly-constructed), climatic location characteristics, the quality (and maintenance) of the installed HVAC system, and occupants' behaviour and activities towards energy utilization [9,10]. During the design stage of buildings, energy simulation is used to predict energy consumption of buildings based on design information, however, several studies [8,11-16] showed that there was a considerable discrepancy between the predicted and actual energy consumption of buildings. The studies demonstrated that the actual energy consumption of buildings is sometimes up to 3 times greater than the estimated calculation. Thus, this performance gap is due to the difference between the building design and the as-built building in terms of the technical workmanship and installations, choice of equipment and material during the construction stage, and the energy behaviour of occupants, which has been disregarded in the energy simulation process [8,16] (Fig. 1).

Nevertheless, post occupancy energy-use evaluation has been analysed in numerous research projects. For example, the ROWNER project [14] considered three stages: design and construction, postoccupancy evaluation and overheating. The project analysis [14] demonstrated a significant difference between the total energy consumption between two flats within the same building block due to differing occupant behaviours, including: different presence at home, different occupancy levels, and variations in the occupants' thermal preferences. Similarly, major differences in energy consumption of similar building blocks were reported in another study [17]: Martinaitis, Zavadskas [13] conducted five different studies to highlight that buildings did not perform as predicted, even when the energy simulation was very accurate. They concluded that human behaviour and occupant preferences as an important contributor of the gap between the predicted and actual building energy performance. Furthermore, Schakib-Ekbatan, Cakici [12] identified occupants' behaviour as the most overlooked parameter that "might not be considered as part of the energy design" within the chain of design, construction, operation and maintenance. As such, a range of studies have ensued focusing on the influence of occupants' behaviour on building energy consumption with the focus to interpolate behavioural aspects into building energy simulation tools to improve their accuracy [18]. However, despite active research being undertaken in this area, the findings are fragmented and, therefore, there is a real need for international collaboration in the sharing of collected data and discovered findings [19]. This paper aims to undertake a comprehensive review of existing studies in this area to identify research trends and gaps for future studies.

2. Method and material

2.1. Research method

This review paper aims to provide a summary of the extant literature. The selection criteria of the literature used for this critical review paper was primarily based on the direct relevance to the subject, and also a number of studies which focused on related subjects due to their substantial importance.

Review papers usually follow a process of 'search' for relevant publications, utilising citation indexes against pre-determined criteria for eligibility and relevance to form an inclusion set relating to the research area. To reduce bias in this process, an objective and transparent approach for research synthesis was adopted, including both quantitative analysis and qualitative reviews. Therefore, Science

Direct and Scopus databases, two of the leading citation index organisations, were used. For this study, the terms "building energy" and "occupant" were used to select any papers where it was found in the title, abstract and/ or keywords. In order to limit this wide scope (more than a thousand papers were identified by Science Direct and Scopus) and to focus closely on the influence of occupant behaviour on building energy consumption, a further search was made through the existing database using more relevant keywords. As a result, both "occupant behaviour" and "energy consumption" have been repeatedly used in the title, abstract and as keywords of various research papers that were considered as the closest key words for the topic of this research review paper. Following such, a search up to and including August 2016 identified more than 100 research papers for this review. with the majority directly related to the impact of occupant behaviour on building energy consumption were published between 2013 and 2016, to reflect this fast developing research area.

According to the reviewed papers, the most frequent key words used by scholars in this subject area are 'occupant behaviour', 'energy consumption or energy use', 'energy simulation or modelling' and 'energy efficiency or performance', followed by 'comfort' and 'behaviour' (Fig. 2). Thus, this identifies the notable relevance of comfortrelated studies in occupant behaviour.

The papers identified were subsequently categorised in terms of the methodology used, building type (i.e. residential, offices, etc), occupants' interactions with buildings and the influential parameter(s) identified in the papers on occupants' energy behaviours (see Table 1). Analysis of Table 1 is concluded as follows:

- Residential buildings and offices respectively account for 44% and 31% of the reviewed studies in this topic area. Less than 20% of these studies used commercial and educational buildings as their case studies, and cultural and recreational buildings and health centres have not been sufficiently researched and reported, and thus, require further investigation. The number and percentage of each building types used as case studies in the reviewed papers is illustrated in a pie chart (Fig. 3).
- The majority of studies focused on one or more particular types of occupant's interaction, such as the use of electricity and plug loads (31%), window opening behaviour (18%) and use of fans/ air conditioning (15%) (Fig. 4). Although the use of hot water (4%) is limited in the literature, it starts to appear in the more recent publications.
- Many studies focused on one or more influential parameters of the occupant's choice of behaviour and satisfaction. Among those parameters, climatic (environmental, physical) and personal (psy-chological and physiological) parameters have attracted more attention than other parameters, and accounted 33% and 28% respectively of the totally review papers. Other parameters, such as



Fig. 2. Frequency of the key words among the 43 occupancy related papers.

Control Interaction of the Single S	Author (s), year	Methodology	Building type	Occupants interactions	Influential parameter
(1)) Solutions Evention Evention <t< td=""><td>Gandhi and Brager (2016) [20]</td><td>2 Years Field Study, Data Analysis Using Rstudio</td><td>Commercial, Offices</td><td>Plug Load (desktops, laptops, monitors, and task lichts)</td><td>Personal (Influence of Game)</td></t<>	Gandhi and Brager (2016) [20]	2 Years Field Study, Data Analysis Using Rstudio	Commercial, Offices	Plug Load (desktops, laptops, monitors, and task lichts)	Personal (Influence of Game)
(2) Community Comm	Jang and Kang (2016) [21]	Case Study, Survey, Gaussian Process Classification	Residential (High-Rise)	Heating and Electricity consumption	I
3 Tran and hole-concurse Measurements, Chatenta Description Comparis	Rafsanjani and Ahn (2016) [22]	Non-Intrusive Occupant Load Monitoring (NIOLM)	Commercial	Occupants' energy behaviours	Arrival- Departure
[2] Constant	Karatas et al. (2016) [23]	Pre and Post-occupancy Measurements, Clustering	Residential, Commercial	Occupants' energy behaviours	Personal (Behavioural Studies)
(a) Dependent sector sector Description	Karjalainen (2016) [24]	Case Study, Survey	Offices	Occupants' energy behaviours	Design Features
1 Designer floert, Qualitative Untat	Ahn and Park (2016) [25]	Experiment, Real-time Monitoring	Laboratory	Occupants' Presence and energy heleaviours	1
21 Reven Configuration Configuration Continuestication Continuesticaticatication <td>von Grabe (2016) [26]</td> <td>Decision Theory. Oualitative Data</td> <td>1</td> <td>Occupants' energy behaviours</td> <td>Personal (psychological)</td>	von Grabe (2016) [26]	Decision Theory. Oualitative Data	1	Occupants' energy behaviours	Personal (psychological)
[16] Speriment, Distant, Tread I Itidati Markov Molet Building Ingreated Cannol Tashod Building Ingreated Cannol Tashod Distant Construction and window Constr	Salcido et al. (2016) [27]	Review	Offices	Use of mixed-mode ventilation	Climatic
Case Stoop, Montoning uning sensors Educational Educational <theducational< th=""> Educational <th< td=""><td>Ryu and Moon (2016) [28]</td><td>ient, Decision Tree and Hidden Markov</td><td>Building Integrated Control Test-bed</td><td>Electricity Consumption</td><td>Climatic</td></th<></theducational<>	Ryu and Moon (2016) [28]	ient, Decision Tree and Hidden Markov	Building Integrated Control Test-bed	Electricity Consumption	Climatic
(10) Case Study. Field Meaturement. Residential Community Residential Community Residential Community Community Currential Community Currentia <thcurentia< th=""> Curential</thcurentia<>	Pisello et al. [29]		Educational	Electricity Consumption and windows/	Personal/ Climatic
(10) Case Study. Study. Field Measurement Residential constrontic das Study. Maniform, Sea Study. Maniform, Maniform, Sea Study. Poly. That. Indiang Sea Study. Maniform, Maniform, Maniform, Sea Study. Poly. That. Indiang Statistical Study. Polynomial and Mackov ChimManiform, Maniform, Field Stravy. Questionmain. Residential Statistic				doors opening	
010 323 Case Nully controring. Casafication and Predictions Eduction of construction of the construction. Development of the construction of the construction. Development of the construction of the construction. Development of the construction of the construction. Development of the construction of the construction. Development of the construction of the constructi	Pellegrino et al. (2016) [30]	Case Study, Field Measurement	Residential	Use of air conditioning	Climatic
(a) (b) (c) search Montioning, Sensitivity Analysis Commercial Sensitivity Analysis Commercial Sensitivity Analysis Personal Montioning, Personal Montioning Analysis Per	Ouf et al. (2016) [31]	Case Study	Educational (School)	Electricity Consumption	Old/ New Building - Type of Activity
31 Case Study, Montoring, Sensitivity Analysis Residential Generating 2-year Survey, Data, Data mining based Methodology. Residential Stating 2-year Survey, Data, Data mining based Methodology. Residential Correptions for errory behaviours - 31 Generating 2-year Survey, Data, Data mining based Methodology. Residential Correptions for errory behaviours Correptions for errory behaviours - 31 Residential Corrections Residential Corrections Residential Corrections Server, Methodology. - 31 Residential Corrections Residential Corrections Residential Corrections Server, Methodology.	Khosrowpour et al. (2016) [32]	Sensor-based Monitoring, Classification and Predictions	Commercial	Use of appliances	Personal
[14] Field State, Monitoring, Seidential Residential comparison for energy behaviours - [15] Approximative Monitoring Seidential Residential comparison for energy behaviours - [16] Approximative Monitoring Seidential Residential comparison for energy behaviours - [17] Approximative Monitoring Comparison for energy behaviours - [18] Approximative Monitoring Comparison for energy behaviours - [18] Approximative Monitoring Comparison for energy behaviours - [19] Approximative Monitoring Comparison for energy behaviours - [10] Loss Monitoring Statistical Survey, Measurements - [11] Survey, Measurements - - [12] Survey, Measurements - - [14] Monitoring Data, Loss Monitoring - - [14] Monitoring Data, Loss Monitoring - - [14] <t< td=""><td>Kazmi et al. (2016) [33]</td><td>Case Study, Monitoring, Sensitivity Analysis</td><td>Residential</td><td>Use of hot water</td><td>1</td></t<>	Kazmi et al. (2016) [33]	Case Study, Monitoring, Sensitivity Analysis	Residential	Use of hot water	1
 (14) Agent-based Barborun Model, 2010 Stating Santos Sarroy Data. Data mining-based Methodology Reach-based Barborun Model. On your Stating Variant Test Bed Read Santog Santos Barroy Santos Mandology Read Santog Santos Barroy Santos Mandology Read Santos Personal Santos Sa	Calì et al. (2016) [16]	Field Study, Monitoring	Residential	Occupants' energy behaviours	I
Residential Excidential Composition Composition Constraints Constraints <thconstraints< th=""> <thconstraints< th=""></thconstraints<></thconstraints<>	Langevin et al. (2016) [34]	Agent-based Behaviour Model, Case Study Simulation	Offices, Building Controls Virtual Test Bed	Occupants' energy behaviours	1
1 Onlogy Constraints Evaluation Evaluation Evaluation Evaluation 7 Observation Comparison <	Yu et al. (2015) [35]	2-year Survey Data, Data mining-based	Residential	Use of appliances	1
(1) Field Massurement, Questionnuire Survey, Smatificity Aualysis Residential Residential Comment Comment Comment (2) Case Study, Consumption Consumption Consumption Consumption Socio-Person (10) Thermal Confirm Survey, Measurements Offices Compants Study Compants Study Compants Study Socio-Person (10) Laggital Case Study, Survey, Measurements, Human Tracking Offices Compants Study Compants Study Compants Study (12) Survey, Measurements, Human Tracking Residential Compants Study Compants Study Compants Study (14) Multiple-Case Study, Polynomial and Markor Chain-Monte Chain-Monte Compants Study Compants Study Compants Compants (14) Multiple-Case Study, Polynomial and Markor Chain-Monte Catin Compants Compants Compants (14) Multiple-Case Study, Polynomial and Markor Chain-Monte Catinatic Compants Personal (15) Streption Catinatic Compants Personal Compants (15) Multiple-Case Study, Polynomial and Markor Chain-Monte Catinatic Compants Personal (16) Laggitant Case Study Compants Personal Compants <t< td=""><td>Hong et al. (2015) [18]</td><td>Ontology</td><td>I</td><td>1</td><td>Personal (Behavioural Studies)</td></t<>	Hong et al. (2015) [18]	Ontology	I	1	Personal (Behavioural Studies)
(7) Onestimative Constant control Parton Offices Description Socio-Person (18) Thermal Confort Survey, Orestionanite, Post Occupanty Meastreenens Residential Exercity Constantprion Socio-Person (2013) [12] Case study, Morenty Dat, Logisth Regression Analyses Offices Corapant's stratication Socio-Person (40) Logisth Regression Analyses Offices Corapant's energy behaviours Fermion Residential Construction Residential Residential Construction Residential Residential Construction Residential Residential Construction Residential Residentia Comparatis residentian Residentia Socio-Preson (14) Multiple-Case Rudy, Polynomial and Muckov Chain-Muckov Chain Residential Residential Residential Residential Residential Residentia Coctorates Residential Resignation Residential	Wang et al. (2015) [36]	Field Measurement, Questionnaire Survey, Sensitivity Analysis	Residential	Heating	Climatic
(38) Tare of comparison frequencies Residential of memol confront shreey. Logistic Regression Analyses Concertants Residential case study. Nonincring Data. Logistic Regression Analyses Concertants Case study. Survey. Logistic Regression Analyses Concertants Residential case study. Survey. Logistic Regression Analyses Concertants Residential case study. Survey. Logistic Regression Analyses Comparis Faired Study. Concertants Residential case study. Survey. Measurements. Human Tracking Residential Comparis Faired Study. Comparis Faired Study. Sector Personal Comparis Faired Study. Sector Personal Sector Personal Comparis Faired Study. Sector Personal Sec	Tetlow et al. (2015) [37]	Questionnaire	Offices	Electricity Consumption	Socio-Personal (psychological: TPB)
(38) Thermal Control Survey, Measurements, Human Tracking Offices Occupants energy behaviours Personal (Astro- transic (Ind) (40) Longitudinal Case Study, Survey, Measurements, Human Tracking Offices Occupants energy behaviours Personal (Astro- transic (Ind) (41) Longitudinal Case Study, Survey, Measurements, Human Tracking Offices Occupants energy behaviours Personal (42) Survey, Oustionnie Offices Occupants energy behaviours Climatic (Ind) (43) Regidential Case study, Folynomial and Markov Chain-Monte Carlo Offices Occupants energy behaviours Personal (14) Multiple-Case Study, Folynomial and Markov Chain-Monte Carlo Offices Occupants energy behaviours Personal (14) Multiple-Case Study, Statistical Survey Residential Occupants energy behaviours Personal (14) Multiple-Case Study, Statistical Survey Natexton Design Featu Climatic (Ind) (14) Multiple-Case Study, Statistical Survey Natexton Design Featu Climatic (Ind) (14) Multiple-Case Study, Statistical Survey Natexton Design Featu Climatic (Ind) (14) Multiple-Case Study, Foldon Statistical Survey Design Featu Design Featu (15) Restricturin Carpatres	HUB (2015) [14]	Case Study, Occupant Questionnaire, Post Occupancy Measurements	Residential	Gas, Electricity and Water consumption	Socio-Personal
(2015) Agenchased Model, One-yaar Field Study Offices Occupant's energy behaviours Climatic, Behaviours (40) Longitudinal Case Study, Survey, Measurements, Human Tracking Offices Windows opening Curants (Binatic, Binatic, B	Indraganti et al. (2015) [38]	Thermal Comfort Survey, Logistic Regression	Offices	Occupants' satisfaction	Personal(Age, Gender)
 (2015) [12] Case study, Monitoring Data, Logistic Regression Analyses [140] Longitudinal Case Study, Survey, Measurements, Human Tracking [143] Survey, Measurements, Human Tracking [143] Survey, Measurements, Buman Tracking [144] Multiple-Case Study, Polynomial and Markov Chain-Monte Carlo [145] Survey, Measurements, Buman Kanaka (Tana Chain-Monte Carlo [146] Survey, Measurements, Human Tracking [147] Survey, Measurements, Human Tracking [148] Survey, Measurements, Buman Markov Chain-Monte Carlo [149] Survey, Nestionnaire [141] Multiple-Case Study, Polynomial and Markov Chain-Monte Carlo [142] Survey, Statistical Survey [143] Multiple-Case Study, Polynomial and Markov Chain-Monte Carlo [144] Multiple-Case Study, Polynomial and Markov Chain-Monte Carlo [145] Survey, Statistical Survey [145] Review, Simulation [146] Multiple-Case Study, Statistical Survey [147] Monitoring, Real Time Location Systems, Wireless Energy Metrican [148] Multiple-Case Study, Medvo Chain-Model [149] Review, Simulation [149] Monitoring, Real Time Location Systems, Wireless Energy Metrican [140] Review, Simulation [141] Monitoring, Real Time Location Systems, Wireless Energy Metrican [142] Monitoring, Real Time Location Systems, Wireless Energy Metrican [143] Thermostat, Window opening [144] Monitoring, Real Time Location Systems, Wireless Energy Measurements [145] Thermostat, Multiple Regression Tennes [145] Monitoring, Real Time Location Systems, Wireless Energy Measurements [146] Monitoring, Real Time Location Systems, Wireless Energy Measurements [147] Monitoring, Real Time Location Systems, Wireless Energy Measurements [148] Monitoring Rea	Feng et al. (2015) [39]		Offices	Occupants' energy behaviours	Climatic, Behaviour Theories
[40] Longitudinal Case Study, Survey, Measurements, Human Tracking Offess Occupants' energy behaviours Comparities Personal 14:3 Survey, Measurements Residential Residential Cumpties Cumpties Personal 14:3 Survey, Measurements Residential Residential Cumpties Cumpties Cumpties 14:41 Multiple-Case Study, Polynomial and Markov Chain-Monte Carlo Ontreetal Occupants' energy behaviours Socio-Person 14:41 Multiple-Case Study, Statistical Survey Residential Occupants' energy behaviours Socio-Person 14:43 Reperiment Cumpties Cocupants' energy behaviours Socio-Person 14:45 Multiple-Case Study, Statistical Survey Nature Statistical Survey Socio-Person Cumpties 11 Reperiment, Data Multiple Case Study, Markov Chain Statistical Model Nature Statistical Model Socio-Person Cumpties Cumpties 10 Repriment, Data Markov Chain Statistical Model Residential Cocupants' energy behaviours Cumpties 11 Repriment, Data Multistantin Cocupants' energy behaviours <td>Schakib-Ekbatan et al. (2015) [12]</td> <td></td> <td>Offices</td> <td>Windows opening</td> <td>Climatic (Indoor/outdoor</td>	Schakib-Ekbatan et al. (2015) [12]		Offices	Windows opening	Climatic (Indoor/outdoor
140 Dogutudinal Case Study, Survey, Measurements, Human Tracking Offices Comparis energy behaviours Personal 143 Survey, Measurements Exercited analysis Offices Comparis Personal 143 Survey, Measurements Exercited analysis Commercial Socio-Person 143 Survey, Measurements Residential - Comparis Personal 143 Survey, Questionnaire Commercial Residential - Comparis Personal 143 Survey, Questionnaire Offices Offices Comparis Personal Socio-Person 144 Experiment Multiple-Case Study, Statistical Survey Offices Comparis Personal 145 Review, Simulation - Comparis Personal Socio-Person 154 Review, Simulation - Comparis Personal 154 Review, Simulation Comparis Comparis Personal 155 Munitoring Rati Time Losofton Fold				-	temperature)
14.1 Burey, Measurements Cummetcial - 14.3 Survey, Measurements Residential - 14.4 Multiple-Case Study, Polynomial and Markov Chain-Monte Carlo Offices (Business, Administration, Multiple-Case Study, Polynomial and Markov Chain-Monte Carlo Commercial - 0.14.5 Experimental data analysis Commercial Commercial Commercial 0.14.5 Experimental data analysis Commercial Commercial Commercial 0.14.5 Experimental data analysis Scientific Research) Uritual Environments Lighting choice Design Featu 0.15.6 Experimental data analysis Nutriple-Case Study, Statistical Survey Nutriple-Case Study, Statistical Survey Design Featu 1 Review, Simulation Uritual Environments Lighting choice Design Featu 2015 Paramic Simulation Uritual Environments Uritual Environments Design Featu 2016 Review, Simulation Offices Occupancy Commercial 2013 Monting, Real Time Locition Systems, Wireless Energy Meters Offices Occupancy Ease Study, Field Mould 1 Review, Simulation Time Use Survey for Montoning Design frequency Design frequency Design frequency 2013 Experiment Anadols </td <td>Langevin et al. (2015) [40]</td> <td>Longitudinal Case Study, Survey, Measurements, Human Tracking</td> <td>Othes</td> <td>Occupants' energy behaviours</td> <td>Personal</td>	Langevin et al. (2015) [40]	Longitudinal Case Study, Survey, Measurements, Human Tracking	Othes	Occupants' energy behaviours	Personal
01431 Sweeting behaviours Socientifie Resentual Occupants energy behaviours Socientifie Resentual 01441 Multiple-Case Study, Polynomial and Markov Chain-Monte Carlo Offices (Business, Administration, Suchedifie Research) Use of appliances (Computers) Sype of activity 01451 Multiple-Case Study, Polynomial and Markov Chain-Monte Carlo Offices (Business, Administration, Stephariours) Design Feature 01451 Multiple-Case Study, Statistical Survey Nethods Cocupants' energy behaviours Design Feature 01451 Multiple-Case Study, Markov Chain Suttistical Survey Comments Cocupants' energy behaviours Design Feature 1 Experiment, Data Mining Corporates Cocupants' energy behaviours Design Feature 2015 F47 Multiple-Case Study, Markov Chain Suttistical Model Residential Cocupants' energy behaviours Design Feature 2016 Karlow Markov Chain Suttistical Model Residential Cocupants' energy behaviours Design Feature 2013 Time Use Survey, Markov Chain Suttistical Model Residential Cocupants' energy behaviours Design Feature 2014 Offices Time Use Survey, Markov Statistical Software Offices Cocupants' energy behaviours Cocupants' 2014 Dimed Statistical Analysis Using Stortenerginal Statistical Software	Rijal et al. (2015) [41]	Survey, Measurements	Residential	-	Climatic (Humidity)
[43] Experimental data analysis Commercial Commercial Commercial Commercial Personal 0 [44] Multiple-Case Study, Polynomial and Markov Chain-Monte Carlo Offices Study, Polynomial and Markov Chain-Monte Carlo Occupants' energy behaviours Personal 1 Experiment Nultiple-Case Study, Statistical Sturvey Occupants' energy behaviours Presonal 1 Review, Simultation Occupants' energy behaviours Clamatic 1 Review, Simultation Occupants' energy behaviours Clamatic 1 Review, Simultation Occupants' energy behaviours Clamatic 1 Reperiment, vireless Theory Meters Offices Occupants' energy behaviours Clamatic 9 Dynam Filter Agordhm Monthoring, Real Time Location Root Markov Statistical Software Offices Occupants' energy behaviours Clamatic 9 Experiment, vireless Network for Monitoring Diffices Occupants' energy behaviours Clamatic	Mohamed et al. (2015) [42]	Survey, Questionnaire	Kesidential	Occupants' energy behaviours	Socio-Personal
0 (44) Multiple-Case Study, Polynomial and Markov Chain-Monte Carlo Offices (business, Administration, Multiple-Case Study, Statistical Survey Upper of activity 0 (45) Experiment Experiment Usp timent Usp timent 1 (45) Experiment Usp timent Usp timent Usp timent 1 (45) Experiment Usp timent Usp timent Usp timent 1 (45) Experiment, Data Mining Usp timent Design Feature 1 (45) Experiment, Data Mining Usp time Location Systems, Wrieless Energy Meters Use of appliances Company 4-2015) (47) Monitoring Real Time Location Systems, Wrieless Energy Meters Offices Occupanty Company 4-2015) (47) Monitoring Real Time Location Systems, Wrieless Energy Meters Offices Occupanty Companies 4-2015) (47) Monitoring Real Time Location Systems, Wrieless Energy Meters Offices Occupanty Companies 9) (1) Experiment, Data Mining Occupanty Cocupanty Companies Companies 0) Kalman Filter Algorithm Residential Thermostat, Wrindow opening Companies Companies 0) Kalman Filter Algorithm Residential Thermostat, Wrindow opening Companies <	Gulbinas et al. (2015) [43]	Experimental data analysis	Commercial	Occupants' energy behaviours	Personal
5) [45] Methods Scientic Research) Lighting choice Design Fature 5) [45] Experiment Nuthole-Case Study, Statistical Survey Scientid Design Fature 1 Review, Simulation Company Company Classification 1 Review, Simulation Company Company Classification 1 Review, Simulation Company Company Classification 4-2015) FAT Monitoring, Real Time Location Systems, Wireless Energy Meters Offices Occupany Cassification 4-2015) FAT Monitoring, Real Time Location Systems, Wireless Energy Meters Offices Occupany Classification 0.01 Experiment, Data Mining Offices Occupany Cassification Classification 0.01 Experiment, Nations Systems, Wireless Energy Meters Residential Decompany Classification 0.01 Experiment, vieless Network for Montoring Residential Decompany Classification 0.01 Experiment, Vindow Opening Design Fature Decompany Classification 0.01 Experiment, vieless Network for Montoring Decompany Decompany 0.01 Experiment, Vindow Opening Decompany Decompany 0.01	Wang and Ding (2015) [44]	Multiple-Case Study, Polynomial and Markov Chain–Monte Carlo	Offices (Business, Administration,	Use of appliances (Computers)	Type of activity
(145) Experiment Lighting choice Design Faut Multiple-Case Study, Statistical Survey Review, Simulation Occupants' energy behaviours Design Faut 1 Review, Simulation - Occupants' energy behaviours Design Faut 1 Review, Simulation - Occupants' energy behaviours Design Faut 1 Review, Simulation Offices Occupants' energy behaviours Classification 1 Review, Simulation Offices Occupants' energy behaviours Classification 1 Review, Simulation Time Use Survey, Markov Chain Statistical Model Residential Design Faut Design Faut 1 Review, Simulation Offices Occupants' interactions - - 0 Residential Dynamic Simulation Tool IDA Ice Occupants' interactions - - 0 Review, Field Observation, Data Analysis Using SNS Statistical Software Offices Occupants' interactions - - 0 Experiment, wireless Network for Monitoring Educational, Commercial Occupants' interactions - - 0 Experiment, wireless Statistical Software <td< td=""><td></td><td>Methods</td><td>Scientific Research)</td><td></td><td></td></td<>		Methods	Scientific Research)		
Multiple-Case Study, Statistical Survey Residential Occupancy Classification Classification 1 Experiment, Data Mining - - Classification Parameters 1 Experiment, Data Mining Offices - Classification Parameters 1 Experiment, Data Mining Offices - Classification Parameters 4-2015) [47] Monitoring, Real Time Location Systems, Wireless Energy Meters Offices Cecupancy - - 9 Dynamic Simulation Tool IDA Ice Offices Cecupancy Cecupancy - - 0 Kalman Filter Algorithm Residential Thermostat, Window opening - - 1 Experiment, wireless Network for Monitoring Residential Thermostat, Window opening - - 10 Experiment, wireless Network for Monitoring Educational, Conmercial Offices - - - 10 Experiment, wireless Statistical Software Offices Offices - - - - - -	Heydarian et al. (2015) [45]	Experiment	Virtual Environments	Lighting choice	Design Features
1 Review, Simulation -	Chen et al. (2015) [9]	Multiple-Case Study, Statistical Survey	Residential	Occupants' energy behaviours	Classification of Influential
1 Experiment, Jata Mining - company. - company. - company. 1 Experiment, Real Time Location Systems, Wireless Energy Meters Offices Use of appliances Climatic 4-2015) [47] Monitoring, Real Time Location Systems, Wireless Energy Meters Offices Use of appliances Climatic 9] Dynamic Simulation Tool IDA Lee Residential Use of appliances Cocupany 0] Kalman Filter Algorithm Residential Residential Cocupants' interactions 0] Kalman Filter Algorithm Residential Cocupants' interactions 0] Experiment, wireless Network for Monitoring Educational, Commercial Occupants' energy behaviours 0] Experiment, wireless Network for Monitoring Educational, Commercial Occupants' energy behaviours 1] Experiment, wireless Network for Monitoring Educational, Commercial Occupants' energy behaviours 1] Experiment, wireless Network for Monitoring Pried Observation rules mining Offices Window opening 1] Experiment, wireless Network for Monitoring Offices Offices	Eans at al (3015) [30]	Doritour Cimuration			Farameters
 4-2015) [47] Montoring, Real Time Location Systems, Wireless Energy Meters Offices 4-2015) [47] Montoring, Real Time Lues Survey, Markov Chain Statistical Model 4-2015) [47] Montoring, Real Time Lues Survey, Markov Chain Statistical Model 4-2015) [47] Montoring, Real Time Lues Survey, Markov Chain Statistical Model 4-2015) [47] Montoring, Residential 4-2015) [47] Montoring, Real Time Location Systems, Wireless Energy Meters 4-2015) [47] Montoring, Residential 4-2015) [47] Montoring 4-2015) [47] Montoring, Data mining 4-400 Montoring, Data m	Than at al (2013) [32]	Acview, Summanum Rynammant Data Mining	Offices	Occupancy Itea of amiliances	Climatio
481 Time Use Survey, Markov Chain Statistical Model Residential Companys interactions - 90 Kalman Filter Algorithm Residential Dynamic Simulation Tool IDA Ice Residential Dynamic Simulation Tool IDA Ice - 00 Kalman Filter Algorithm Experiment, wireless Network for Monitoring Educational, Commercial Occupants' energy behaviours - 10 Experiment, wireless Network for Monitoring Educational, Commercial Occupants' energy behaviours - 10 Experiment, wireless Network for Monitoring Educational, Commercial Occupants' energy behaviours - 11 Experiment, wireless Network for Monitoring Educational, Commercial Occupants' energy behaviours - 11 Experiment, wireless Network for Monitoring Educational, Commercial Occupants' energy behaviours - 12 Equestional Combined Statistical Analysis (with two data-mining techniques: Offices Window opening - 13 Constraited Companys energy behaviours Window opening - - 14 Condot Statistical Analysis (with two data-mining techniques: Offices Window opening - -	Masonidifar et al (2014-2015) [47]	Experiment, Data Amung Monitoring Real Time Location Systems, Wireless Finerary Maters	Offices	Ose of appliances	
91 Dynamic Simulation Tool IDA Lee Residential Thermostat, Window opening - 01 Kalman Filter Algorithm Educational, Commercial Offices Lighting/ Window opening - 01 Experiment, wireless Network for Monitoring Educational, Commercial Offices Lighting/ Window opening - 01 Experiment, wireless Network for Monitoring Educational, Commercial Occupants' energy behaviours - 03 Experiment, wireless Network for Monitoring Educational, Commercial Occupants' energy behaviours - 03 (2014) Combined Statistical Analysis (with two data-mining techniques: Offices Window opening - 03 (2014) Combined Statistical Analysis (with two data-mining techniques: Offices Window opening - 03 (2014) Combined Statistical Analysis (with two data-mining techniques: Offices Window opening - 04 Context analysis and association rules mining) Offices Window opening - - 05 Case Study, Monitoring Data mining Educational Educational Electricity Consumption Climatic 56	Johnson et al (2014) [48]	Time IIse Survey Markov Chain Statistical Model	Residential	Occumants' interactions	1
0 Kalman Filter Algorithm Offices Lighting/ Window blinds - 1 Experiment, wireless Network for Monitoring Educational, Commercial Digetimes - 1 Experiment, wireless Network for Monitoring Educational, Commercial Digetimes - 1 Experiment, wireless Network for Monitoring Educational, Commercial Occupants' energy behaviours - 0ng (2014) Combined Statistical Analysis Using SPSS Statistical Software Offices Occupants' energy behaviours - 0ng (2014) Combined Statistical Analysis (with two data-mining techniques: Offices Occupants' energy behaviours - 0ng (2014) Combined Statistical Analysis (with two data-mining techniques: Offices Occupants' energy behaviours - 0ng (2014) Combined Statistical Analysis (with two data-mining techniques: Offices Window opening - - 0nster analysis and association rules mining) Offices Window opening - - - 1 Thermal Comfort Survey Residential Mindow opening - - - 1 Field Study, Survey Residential Low-income Window ope	D'Oca et al (2014) [49]	Dvnamic Simulation Tool IDA Ice	Residential	Thermostat Window onening	I
1 Experiment, microscontration Constant for the properties of the properties	Ginav et al (2014) [50]	Valman Filter Algorithm	Offices	Lichting/ Window blinds	1
Field Observation, Data Analysis Using SPS Statistical Software Offices Window opening Climatic nng (2014) Combined Statistical Analysis Using SPS Statistical Software Offices Window opening - nng (2014) Combined Statistical Analysis Using SPS Statistical Software Offices Window opening - duster analysis and association rules mining) Offices Window opening - Case Study, Monitoring Offices HVAC system (Air handling unit) - Thermal Comfort Survey Residential Educational - Climatic 56] Case Study, Monitoring, Data mining Offices Window opening - Climatic 57] Field Study, Survey Residential (Low-income) Educational Electricity Consumption (Air Quality 58] Interview Residential (Low-income) Occupants' energy behaviours Personal/ Eco 59] Sesitivity Analysis, Multiple Regression Techniques Passive Residentials Heating -	.liavn et al. (2014) [51]	Experiment wireless Network for Monitoring	Educational, Commercial	Occimants' energy behaviours	1
ang (2014) Combined Statistical Analysis (with two data-mining techniques: Offices Window opening - duster analysis and association rules mining) Offices Window opening - duster analysis and association rules mining) Offices Window opening - Case Study, Field Monitoring Offices HVAC system (Air handling unit) - Thermal Comfort Survey Residential Electricity Consumption Climatic 561 Case Study, Monitoring, Data mining Educational Electricity Consumption Climatic 571 Field Study, Survey Residential (Low-income) Electricity Consumption Climatic 561 Interview Residential (Low-income) Docupants' energy behaviours Personal/ Eco 573 Interview Residential (Low-income) Occupants' energy behaviours Personal/ Eco 583 Interview Passive Residentials Low-income) Occupants' energy behaviours Personal/ Eco 591 Sesitivity Analysis, Multiple Regression Techniques Passive Residentials Heating -	Li et al. (2014) [52]	Field Observation. Data Analysis Using SPSS Statistical Software	Offices	Window opening	Climatic
 diuster analysis and association rules mining) diuster analysis and association rules mining) Case Study, Field Monitoring, Data mining Thermal Comfort Survey, Occupant Behaviour Survey Residential Field Study, Survey Field Study, Survey Interview Interview Sesitivity Analysis, Multiple Regression Techniques Passive Residentials HVAC system (Air handling unit) Offices HVAC system (Air handling unit) Offices HVAC system (Air handling unit) Climatic Climatic Field Study, Survey Residential (Low-income) Decuricity Consumption (Air quality rule) Presonal/ Ec Sestivity Analysis, Multiple Regression Techniques Passive Residentials Heating 	Simona D'Oca and Hong (2014)	Combined Statistical Analysis (with two data-mining techniques:	Offices	Window onening	I
Case Study, Field MonitoringOfficesHVAC system (Air handling unit)56Case Study, Monitoring, Data miningResidentialWindow opening / use of fansClimatic56Case Study, Monitoring, Data miningEducationalElectricity ConsumptionClimatic57Field Study, SurveyResidential (Low-income)Electricity ConsumptionClimatic57Field Study, SurveyResidential (Low-income)Electricity ConsumptionClimatic59InterviewResidential (Low-income)Occupants' energy behavioursPersonal/ Ec		construct duration and association rules mining)		Surrado uconin	
Thermal Confort Survey, Occupant Behaviour SurveyResidentialWindow opening / use of fansClimatic56Case Study, Monitoring, Data miningEducationalElectricity ConsumptionClimatic[57]Field Study, SurveyEducationalElectricity ConsumptionClimatic[57]Field Study, SurveyResidential (Low-income)Electricity Consumption (AirClimatic[58]InterviewResidential (Low-income)Occupants' energy behavioursPersonal/ Ec3)[59]Sesitivity Analysis, Multiple Regression TechniquesPassive ResidentialsHeating-	Yun et al. (2014) [54]	Case Study, Field Monitoring	Offices	HVAC system (Air handling unit)	I
Case Study, Monitoring, Data miningEducationalElectricity ConsumptionClimaticField Study, SurveyResidential (Low-income)Electricity Consumption (AirClimatic/ EccField Study, SurveyResidential (Low-income)Consumption (AirQualityInterviewResidential (Low-income)Occupants' energy behavioursPersonal/ EccSesitivity Analysis, Multiple Regression TechniquesPassive ResidentialsHeating-	Rijal (2014) [55]	Thermal Comfort Survey, Occupant Behaviour Survey	Residential	Window opening / use of fans	Climatic
Field Study, SurveyResidential (Low-income)Electricity Consumption (AirClimatic/ EccInterviewconditioning)conditioning)qualityInterviewResidential (Low-income)Occupants' energy behavioursPersonal/ EccSesitivity Analysis, Multiple Regression TechniquesPassive ResidentialsHeating-	Burgas et al. (2014) [56]	Case Study, Monitoring, Data mining	Educational	Electricity Consumption	Climatic
Interview conditioning) conditioning) quality Besidential (Low-income) Cocupants' energy behaviours Personal/ Ecc Sesitivity Analysis, Multiple Regression Techniques Passive Residentials Heating –	Romero et al. (2013) [57]	Field Study, Survey	Residential (Low-income)	Electricity Consumption (Air	Climatic/ Economic/ Building
Interview Cocupants' energy behaviours Personal/ Eo Sesitivity Analysis, Multiple Regression Techniques Passive Residentials Heating –				conditioning)	quality
oesitivity Analysis, Mutupie Regression Lectinques rassive Residentials	Langevin et al. (2013) [58]	Interview	Kesidential (Low-income)	Occupants' energy behaviours	Personal/ Economic
	bugut and coley (2013) [39]	sestimuty Analysis, multiple Regression Leconoques	rassive kesidentials	пеацив	

Author (s), year	Methodology	Building type	Occupants interactions	Influential parameter
Kavousian et al. (2013) [60]	Smart Meter Data Analysis	Residential	Electricity	Climatic
Chen et al. (2013) [61]	Survery Study	Residential	Occupants' energy behaviours	Socio-Economic (age and income)
Agha-Hossein et al. (2013) [62]	Pre and Post-occupancy Surveys	Offices	Occupants' satisfaction	Old/ New Building (Refurbishment)
Fabi et al. (2013) [8]	Case study, Medium/Long-term Monitoring	Residential	Window opening	
Andersen et al. (2014) [63]	Case Study, Markov Chain	Offices	Presence	I
Martinez-Gil, et al. (2013) [64]	Experiment	Residential, Offices	Electricity consumption	1
Aldossary et al. (2014) [65]	Multiple-Case Study, Interviews	Residential	Occupants' energy behaviours	Climatic
Jain et al. (2013) [66]	Empirical Study	Residential	Occupants' energy behaviours	Personal (Information)
De Meester et al. (2013) [67]	Case Study	Residential	Heating	Personal (lifestyle), House/ Family size
Andrews et al. (2013) [68]	Year-round Survey	Commercial	Occupants' energy behaviours	
Fabi et al. (2012) [8]	Review	I	Window opening	Influential Parameters
Park and Kim (2012) [69]	Field Study, Airflow Measurements, Energy Data Collection,	Residential	Use of fans	Climatic/ Economic
	Questionnaire			
Peng et al. (2012) [70]	On-site Observations, Quantitative Data Measurements	Residential	Occupants' energy behaviours	Socio-Personal (lifestyle)
Dall'O' et al. (2011) [71]	Monitoring, On-site Survey, Regression Analysis	Residential	1	1
Yu Zhun Jerry et al. (2011) [72]	Case Study, Data mining	Residential	Occupants' energy behaviours	I
Rijal et al. (2011) [73]	Field survey, Observation	I	Use of windows and fans	I
Schweiker and Shukuya (2011) [74]	Field Measurement, Internet-based Survey	1	Heating and Cooling	Personal (Information)
Goldstein et al. (2011) [75]	Case Study	Offices	Occupancy	Space Layout Design/ Type of Activity
Guerra Santin (2010) [76]	Governmental Database, Regression Analysis	Residential	Occupants' energy behaviours	Regulations
Larsen et al. (2010) [77]	Review, Mixed Method	Residential	Occupants' energy behaviours	
Indraganti and Rao (2010) [78]	Field study	Residential	Occupants' satisfaction	Climatic/ Socio-personal
Steemers and Yun (2009) [79]	Existing "Residential Energy Consumption" Survey (RECS)	Residential	Occupants' energy behaviours	Socio-Economic/ Climatic
Juodis et al. (2009) [80]	Variability Analysis of Existing Data	Residential	Heating	I
Page et al. (2008) [81]	Stochastic Model, Markov Chain	Offices	Presence	I
Yun and Steemers (2008) [82]	Case Study, Monitoring Data	Offices	Window opening	Arrival- Time Dependant
Rijal et al. (2008) [83]	Adaptive Algorithm, One-year Field Survey	Offices	Windows, doors and fans	Climatic
Page et al. (2007) [10]	Stochastic Model/ Markov Chain	Offices, Educational	Occupant presence and energy behaviours	I
Reinhart (2004) [84]	Case Study, Field Data, Use of Sensors	Offices	Electricity lighting/ Blinds	1
Al-Mumin et al. (2003) [85]	Case Study. Survey	Recidential	Itee of annliances (Electricity)	Personal (lifestyle)





Fig. 4. Different types of occupants interactions.



Fig. 5. Influential parameters on occupants' energy behaviours.

building features (old/ new conditions and design quality), economy and regulations, socio-personal, occupant's arrival and departure, and type of activity, were investigated in different studies (Fig. 5).

Thus, in undertaking this review, a number of key topics from the existing studies on the influence of occupants on building energy consumption were also identified as pertinent. A review of each of the topics is discussed in the following sections.

2.2. Occupant behaviour

Occupant behaviour refers to the interaction with building systems in order to control the indoor environment for health, and to obtain thermal, visual and acoustic comfort inside buildings. Mankind's "desire for control" [86] over environmental factors is not limited to the outside environment, but also, within their living spaces. According to Bluyssen [87], improvement in air quality (by bringing fresh air and eliminating air pollution and odour), acoustical conditions (by avoiding unwanted noise and vibrations), visual or lighting quality (by controlling luminance ratios, reflections and glare) and aesthetic status, in addition to, improving thermal comfort inside the living environment, are the building inhabitants' prerequisites for being able to adjust building systems and components. Therefore, occupants can influence the indoor environment through their presence and activities in the building.

Cabanac [88] coined the term "alliesthesia," composed of two words "allios" meaning "changed" and "aisthesis" meaning "sensation". Using this term, the author described that "a given external stimulus can be perceived as either as pleasant or unpleasant depending upon signals coming from inside the body". People naturally try to avoid unpleasant conditions and look for pleasant ones. "If a change occurs, such as to produce discomfort, people react in ways to restore their comfort" [89]. However, due to physical, physiological and psychological differences between people, and many other external drivers such as economic and regulatory issues, people do not "receive, perceive, and respond" the same way [87].

The term "thermal comfort" was introduced during the late 19th century. The principal definition of thermal comfort was described by the American Society of Heating and Air-Conditioning Engineers [90] as: "that condition of [the] mind which expresses satisfaction with the thermal environment and is assessed by subjective evaluation". Despite the subjective nature of thermal comfort, two quantitative formulas, first developed by Fanger [91], are used for its measurement: predicted mean vote (PMV) and predicted percentage dissatisfied (PPD). PMV models integrate the impacts of temperature (air temperature and mean radiant temperature), humidity, air velocity, the metabolic heat rate and clothing thermal properties to predict the thermal comfort level [92]. Since their emergence, thermal comfort and specifically PMV and PDD models have been studied widely and modified by several researchers for use in different types of buildings worldwide.

Thermal comfort factors discussed in PMV models (such as: indoor temperature, humidity, clothing type, etc.) are considered in building energy assessment tools, however, there is the individual aspect in thermal comfort related to personal experiences and expectations which is not reflected in the estimation of energy consumption in buildings.

The total energy consumption of buildings are not only influenced by the metabolic heat produced by occupants passively, which is considered within the occupancy section of energy simulation software, but also by their active energy use. Occupants interact with control systems and building elements to reach their own personal desired level of comfort in different ways: use of building openings (e.g. opening and closing windows), use of lighting and controlling solar shading (e.g. adjusting blinds), use of HVAC systems (e.g. turning airconditioning on or off and adjusting thermostat temperature), use of hot water and electrical appliances (Fig. 6).

The occupant's choice of the type of controls to reach his/ her



Fig. 6. Occupants' types of activities affecting building energy consumption. Adapted from [81].

comfort is based on its efficiency, ease and its potential unwanted consequences [73]. Hong, D'Oca [18] identified actions (such as adjusting the level of clothing, opening a window and turning down the thermostat temperature) and inactions (such as moving to a different location and tolerating some discomfort) as differing strategies of occupants 'behave' (behaviour) towards the same thermal discomfort. These approaches, however, impact on the amount of energy use, and thus, it is important to understand the relationship between the building and its users' living style and their energy use behaviour [9,12,18]. HVAC systems, electrical devices and lighting that enable users [occupants] to manage their own thermal and visual comfort, are the key sources of energy consumption in buildings [93] and variations in using these systems can cause significant variations in the total energy consumption in buildings, and hence, accounts for the gap between actual use and predicted energy consumption.

Several scholars have categorized occupants and their energy attitudes to different groups. D'Oca, Fabi [49] divided occupants into active, medium and passive users of energy. The active user changes the heating set point to get warmer/ cooler; conversely, the passive user choses to do nothing and tolerates some level of discomfort. In another categorisation, Hong, D'Oca [18] ranged people's actions more descriptively from "energy frugal" to "energy profligate" via "energy indifferent". Operating another method, Chen, Yang [9] classified behavioural factors within residential buildings into three levels according to their complexity: simple, intermediate and complex. Further, he suggested three research methods to study each category: statistical analysis, case studies and detailed diagnostics/ simulation, respectively. Thus, occupants profiling based on their energy behaviours could lead to more accurate assumptions in the energy analysis of buildings. However, a large-scale comprehensive study with significant quantitative data is needed to produce reliable energy profiles, which is presently not available.

Additionally, some scholars have focused on a single activity of occupants affecting building energy consumption. For example, the window opening behaviour of occupants has been widely studied within various building types in differing climates [8,12,29,49,52,53,73,82,94,95]. Most of the studies on window opening behaviour have focused on the effect on ventilation [96] and studied the time, frequency and duration of opening windows. However, the calculation of the influence of an open window on building energy consumption requires complex air movement considerations that are not effectively accomplished in any of the existing studies.

Moreover, a number of studies have focused on other types of occupants' energy behaviours such as: the use of appliances and electrical consumption [10,20,28,29,32,35,44,46,56,60,64,68,85], use of lighting [31,45,50,84], use of fans [69,73] and air conditioning [30,54], adjusting blinds [50,84] and changing thermostat set-points [49]. The use of hot water also has been considered, albeit in fewer studies [9,14,33,97]. A recent study [93] showed that water heating accounts for 7% and 18% of the total energy consumption in residential and commercial buildings in the USA, respectively, which is considered as the 4th and 2nd most sources of energy consumption in these building types. Therefore, depending on the building type, it would appear that the use of hot water might have critical influence on the total energy consumption to be conclusive.

Of critical consideration, the majority of existing studies focus on a single energy behaviour, however, in reality, energy behaviours are often inter-linked. The inter-relationship between different energy behaviours of occupants has been highlighted by some scholars in the literature. Bourgeois, Reinhart [98] criticised that although the findings of some studies showed that using automated control in lighting decreased the lighting consumption, in some cases it did not reduce the total energy consumption. In this regard, they [98] suggested the link between the use of natural lighting and energy consumption through cooling or heating and thus developed the

"lighting: cooling:heating ratio". In another study, Yan, O'Brien [99] discussed how occupants' use of window blinds affects the use of daylight. Studies on the inter-relationship between various energy behaviours of occupants are useful but currently limited and further analysis is much needed.

In addition to active energy use, the metabolic heat produced by occupants themselves impact on the building's energy passively by directly increasing the internal heat gain. Occupant's presence and movement within building spaces have been investigated and modelled by a number of scholars [10,13,47,63,81] using various indoor localisation techniques, such as crowd modelling tools and other statistical analysis methods [10,13,47,63,81]. Page, Robinson [81] reported occupant's presence "as an inhomogeneous Markov chain" which was disrupted with absence periods. Later, a model of the presence profile in office buildings with single or more occupants using observation together with inhomogeneous Markov chains was proposed by Andersen, Iversen [63]. The findings of these studies can improve the accuracy of occupancy profiles in building energy predictions, and are beneficial to be extended and used in studies on occupants' active energy behaviours. As an example, Masoudifar, Hammad [47] applied two wireless sensors, one for occupancy location monitoring and the other for monitoring their energy behaviours; in conclusion, they demonstrated a link between occupant's presence and active energy behaviours. Moreover, several studies have demonstrated that the consequences of occupants' behaviours significantly increase the total energy consumption of buildings during non-working and unoccupied hours [15]. A study on the energy consumption of six commercial buildings in South Africa (with hot and dry climates) reported that 56% of the total energy consumption was consumed during non-working hours which was believed to occur simply because of occupants failed to turn off the HVAC system and lights before vacating buildings [100].

Human behaviour is a complex phenomenon; therefore, most human behaviour studies adopted probabilistic methods. Fabi, Andersen [8] underlined that the gap between simulated and actual energy consumption of buildings was the result of deterministic methods and unrealistic schedules used in simulation tools. In a fixed environmental condition, a person may behave completely differently on different occasions, which confirms the importance of using comprehensive data. This emphasizes the importance to use more realistic and comprehensive methods in this subject area.

2.3. Parameters influencing occupants' energy behaviour

As discussed earlier, comfort (specifically thermal comfort) is a state of mind that varies from person to person due to personal (physiological, psychological) and social parameters, which directly affect occupant's energy use. In addition, climatic parameters, economical parameters, regulations and policies, architecture and interior design of the space and building types directly influence energy behaviour of occupants (Fig. 7). Fabi, Andersen [95] reported the influential parameters on window opening behaviour of occupants, and classified these parameters into five groups: physical environmental factors, contextual factors, psychological factors, physiological factors and social factors.

Climatic (environmental, physical) parameters such as outdoor temperature, relative humidity, solar radiation, wind and rain are important parameters influencing occupants' interactions with building systems to acquire thermal comfort. A research study [73] used a clear description of the climatic parameters by providing an example of an office block consisting of different cellular offices: it considered each cellular office had a window and was occupied by one person; the outside weather was cold and all the windows were closed. The research concluded that if the room temperature increased gradually, more and more occupants would feel too warm and would open their windows. The outcome of this research can be presented as a curve to



Fig. 7. Factors and sub-factors influencing energy behaviour of occupants.

show the probability of having open windows, which can be extended to other activities using different scenarios. The influence of climatic parameters on occupants' energy behaviour has been widely studied for different types of climatic conditions [12,36,41,46,52,55,60, 61,65,83,94,101]. These parameters are time/ date dependant, therefore, in many studies stochastic models are used to estimate the probability of potential outcomes. Monitoring occupants' real interactions or (and) occupant behaviour surveys, in addition to, year-round thermal measurements are introduced and used in these climate related studies [94].

The building type determines the type of activity, clothing type, production of metabolic heat, together with the occupants' specific needs and expectations and their possible degree of interactions with building systems. Various research studies have focused on particular building types (or type of activities), focussing heavily on residential [8,9,13,16,21,23,30,33,35,36,41,42,55,57buildings 61,65-67,69-72,76-80,85,89,94,102] and offices [12,20,24,25,27, 34,37,38,40,44,46,47,52-54,62,75,81,101]. The level of attention paid to residential buildings and offices is due to their critical impact on the total energy consumption in the building sector. Some studies have investigated commercial [20,22,23,32,43,51] and educational buildings [29,31,51,56] with limited findings. There have been sparse studies undertaken on other public building types such as exhibitions and health centres.

Social and personal (psychological and physiological) parameters play a substantial role in occupants' comfort and energy attitude and has been broadly studied. Martinaitis, Zavadskas [13] identified social and personal factors affecting energy behaviour of households such as: users' awareness of energy issues, gender, age, employment,

family size and socio-cultural belonging. Also, Janda [1] highlighted the effect of education and awareness-raising on people's energy attitude. Some studies have discussed one social or individual parameter; for example, the differences between male and female thermal preferences have been stated by some scholars [38,78,103,104]. However, the most dependable and comprehensive studies with regards to social and personal factors in this subject area, combined two parameters using human behavioural theories by Tetlow, van Dronkelaar [37] and Ajzen [105] to study occupants' electricity consumption in office buildings. Also, Hong, D'Oca [18] applied an ontology called DNA's framework, using a behavioural-cognitive theory, to suggest four key components governing occupants' energy behaviour: drivers, needs, actions and systems. Various behavioural theories, for example, the theory of planned behaviour [105], cognitive complex theory [106] and cognition as a network of task [107], considered the changeable human cognition process by connecting human and environment. Unfortunately, there is little evidence to suggest that the findings have been incorporated into building energy assessment tools. The authors believe that a multi-disciplinary approach is needed to bring together social scientists, energy modellers and construction engineers to tackle this complex problem. In addition, more detailed quantitative studies governing the sociology aspects of occupants' behaviours are suggested as necessary by some scholars [19], which is essential to improve the accuracy of energy consumption predictions in buildings.

Energy regulations and economical parameters such as energy price and employment have been discussed in various studies. In addition, the influence of these parameters on occupants' energy consumption behaviour in buildings has been raised by some scholars [13,14,16,36,57,58,69,73,76]. Studies show that when occupants are directly responsible for pay energy bills they act more energy frugal [36]. Rijal, Tuohy [73] investigated the relationship between energy price and occupants' thermal tolerance, which affects the total energy consumption of buildings. According to the findings of the study by Park and Kim [69], more than half of the respondents to their questionnaire indicated that energy costs as the main reason for avoiding the use of mechanical fans and accepting some level of discomfort. However, Romero, Bojórquez [57] showed that in harsh climatic conditions (e.g. very hot weather), low-income occupants consumed more electricity for cooling in comparison to other households due to the inadequate thermal insulation of the buildings. Similarly, Chen, Wang [61] stated that occupants' economic situation could determine the quality and size of their housing, which would consequently affect energy consumption. In another study, Langevin, Gurian [58] conducted semi-structured interviews of occupants in lowincome public housing, which revealed notable differences of energy behaviours between rental paying occupants and government subsidised occupants.

A number of studies have revealed that occupants tended to adjust building systems and appliances more at arrival than at departure of a building. Therefore, state of occupants (arrival, presence in the space and departure) have been considered and modelled in a number of research projects [10,22,81,82] and the connection between occupants' movements and their behaviours have been investigated. In order to simulate the occupant's presence, Page, Robinson [81] proposed an algorithm by supposing present/absent status of occupants in each zone as a miscellaneous Markov Chain. Some studies used different indoor tracking methods to capture occupants' movements and presences such as: sensor-based systems (e.g. passive infrared (PIR) motion sensors) [108], vision-based methods [109,110], ultrasound [111] and WLAN location fingerprinting [112,113]. Furthermore, integration of these methods in studies related to occupant's energy behaviour can provide new insight towards the subject area.

The impact of **architecture and interior design features** on occupant's behaviour has been broadly studied [114,115]. With regards

to energy consumption, the term "sustainable interior design" describes the integration of sustainability principles in the interior design of space as part of building construction [116]. The practice is mainly focused on use of green material and energy efficient systems [117]. The interior design of space can influence occupant behaviour in differing ways, including: visual quality of building openings (windows and doors), the architecture circulation and colours, material and compositions of interior spaces which may change occupants' thermal perception. However, the effects of interior design of space on occupants' energy attitudes have not been studied extensively. The differences between occupants' behaviours in old and new (or refurbished) buildings have been reported in several studies [31,62]. Moreover, Goldstein, Tessier [75] stated that space layout could influence occupant's presence, as it could link to the type of activity that occurs at the location within a space. Therefore, the probability of occupant's presence in certain locations based on different functions of the space could be simulated. Also, there is a proven link between lighting design and the occupant's lighting consumption. Gandhi and Brager [20] investigated the influence of occupants on plug load (electricity and lighting) energy consumption in office buildings and proposed an energy efficient strategy by decreasing the general ambient lighting and using task lights instead. Based on a rational statement, Karjalainen [24] suggested that using fixed and robust design strategies can decrease the effects of occupant behaviour on energy consumption in buildings, however, some studies highlighted that built environments with fixed thermal properties consume more energy and do not provide more thermal comfort for the occupants [118].

The term "design for sustainable behaviour," which is mainly used in product design, refers to the role of designer in directing sustainable user behaviour during the design stage [119,120]. It is posited that if appropriate strategies are applied to the design of a product, the designer can positively influence the sustainable use of the product [119]. Also, a number of studies have confirmed the successful role of games, such as Cool Choices [121], as a motivation for occupants to practice more sustainable behaviours [20]. In order to change occupant's energy behaviour, two main approaches have been suggested: disincentive and motivation approaches (e.g. laws and regulations) and by increasing individual's knowledge and awareness [122]. Day and Gunderson [123] pointed out that it is essential to educate occupants and improve their knowledge and understanding of building systems, especially in high-performance buildings. Karatas, Stoiko [23] embraced a framework to measure the results of occupant's behavioural change in energy consumption using a "motivation-opportunity-ability" method. As a result, the study demonstrated effective behavioural change approaches to attain falls in energy consumption in buildings.

Furthermore, the vast majority of research on occupants' energy behaviour focuses on single buildings and there are only a few studies that investigate the urban scale impacts [69,71]. It is suggested that future research could extend to the urban design scale [68] as the understanding of the impact of occupants' energy behaviours on energy consumption on a larger scale improves the credibility of energy consumption policies made using more realistic data. The existing methodologies used to study the subject area in single buildings can be adjusted and used as the basis of further similar studies on the urban scale.

2.4. Occupancy factor in energy simulation

Energy simulation of a building is a mathematical analysis of the physical properties of the building elements, considering thermal and lighting aspects [8]. Jang and Kang [21] explained "building form, thermal properties and energy controls" as different inputs of building energy modelling. Current energy simulation engines such as TRNSYS, ESP-r, IES Virtual Environment or EnergyPlus, follow an almost similar procedure to calculate energy consumption in buildings. The final outputs are heating/ cooling/ ventilation design data, lighting

data, CO_2 emission, the total energy consumption and cost. The reliability of the final output is strongly related to the accuracy of the initial energy model (which is sometimes a simplified version of a complex volume), together with, the ability to set correct data to all the available parameters of the software.

For example, in DesignBuilder, a leading energy simulation tool, energy behaviour is considered in the "activity" section of the software. This section includes: occupancy (to modify the density of people within each zone), activity factor, gender adjustments, clothing and use of computer and other equipment. Another widely used tool, EcoDesigner, has less occupancy inputs including: occupant's presence schedule and type of activity that determines the human heat gain. Autodesk Revit Architecture's energy section is also limited to occupancy schedules. Thus, the majority of specialists entrust default occupancy schedules of energy simulation software for energy analysis. Martinaitis, Zavadskas [13] confirmed the reliability of default occupancy for the energy efficiency assessment of households consisting of 4 occupants with high accuracy, concluding that there is a direct relationship between the importance of occupancy information in energy simulation and the "complexity" factor of the energy performance assessment.

However, neither within both energy efficiency certification methods nor in energy simulation software are occupants' energy behaviours fully evaluated or considered [13]. Yang, Santamouris [15] highlighted the critical importance of occupancy information in indoor environmental quality, energy consumption and building energy simulation. Occupant's impact on building energy consumption is only considered in the occupancy section of energy simulation software. Input data regarding occupancy in energy simulation software is limited to occupants' presence in fixed and scheduled patterns, and these do not reflect reality [8,13]. As an example, in residential buildings, the default occupancy is measured based on the floor area [14]. The ROWNER research project [14] showed that use of electricity in residential buildings was directly related to occupant behaviour and lifestyle. This research project and other similar studies, demonstrated that by neglecting occupants' interactions with building systems in building energy calculations leads to inaccuracies. Wetter, Bonvini [124] criticised the use of imperative programming paradigm in current energy simulation software and suggested using computer algebra instead, which is faster and more accurate. They also highlighted problems such as difficulty for programmers to further develop the current programs, or to add new parameters. The consensus from researchers is that behavioural parameters should be fully incorporated into energy simulation tools in order to provide more accurate energy predictions,

3. Conclusion: current research limitations and recommendations for future studies

The impact of occupants' behaviour on buildings is a growing research topic given the need to address climate change challenges. Numerous studies have investigated the impact of occupants on the energy consumption in buildings with the need to reduce the performance gap between the predicted and actual energy consumption of buildings. Occupants' active and passive energy behaviours (including: window opening, use of solar shading and blinds, adjusting HVAC setpoints, use of hot water, etc.) are not fully considered in current energy analysis tools. Thus, there is an inherent demand for energy modellers, researchers and designers to improve the calculation of energy consumption of buildings by considering energy behaviour of occupants. The main challenge is the complexity and dynamic nature of occupant's energy behaviour, which are influenced by various internal and external, individual and contextual factors. Therefore, occupants' motivations and reasons, and the various factors influencing their decisions to interact with building systems together, with the impacts of their actions on the total energy consumption of buildings, have to

be studied in a multi-disciplinary approach to incorporate the factors from a sociology, psychology, economics, engineering and design perspectives.

This paper reviewed more than 100 publications related to occupant energy behaviour in buildings with the aim to identify the research gaps for future studies. A summary of the key findings are:

- Approximately 75% of the reviewed research, which directly studied the impact of occupant behaviour on building energy consumption, have focused on residential and offices buildings (44% and 31% respectively); fewer number of studies have analysed commercial and educational buildings, while, some building types such as exhibitions, recreational and healthcare facilities have been given sparse attention and require further analysis.
- The review of the literature also revealed that the majority of the research concentrates on single buildings, and urban scale impact has not been investigated adequately, forming a highly recommended area for future research. Likewise, at the micro level, the impact of interior design in terms of space layout, fixtures and fittings on occupants' action scenarios, thermal perceptions, and consequently on their energy behaviour has been overlooked and requires further investigation.
- In terms of the parameters influencing occupants' energy behaviours, personal (physiological and psychological) parameters have been taken into account in many studies (approximately 30% of the reviewed papers). The most recent behavioural methodologies suggest the consideration of not only the individual and personal characteristics of occupants, but also the particular features of their social context. However, only 10% of the reviewed papers have focused on both social and personal (socio-personal) factors. Therefore, the authors believe multi-disciplinary approaches are needed to combine socio-personal parameters through psychological cognitive behavioural methods (e.g. theory of planned behaviour [105], cognitive complex theory [106] and cognition as a network of task [107], which could provide new insights to the domain.
- According to the reviewed publications, the different types of occupants' interactions with building systems, such as use of electricity, use of fans (or air conditioning) and use of building openings (windows and doors), have been investigated. However, some areas, such as the use of hot water has a significant impact on energy consumption in some building types (e.g. residential), have received scant attention in comparison but are considered to have a likely impact on energy use. Furthermore, future investigations about the inter-relationship between different energy behaviours of occupants are needed, which will generate more realistic assumptions in building energy predictions.
- A considerable number of studies contain detailed methodologies including case studies and experiments, using different types of qualitative and quantitative data gathered by pre and post-occupancy surveys, occupant monitoring (using sensors or observation), field measurements and questionnaires, followed by data analysis (Markov Chain, Monte Carlo and logistic regression) and simulations. The findings of these studies have provided a clearer insight towards understanding the impacts of occupants' behaviours on the energy consumption in buildings. However, the findings, at present, have yet to offer significant improvements in predicting occupants' energy behaviour in buildings. Particularly, the translation and integration of the findings of these studies into building energy simulation tools to reduce the gap between predicted and actual energy consumption in buildings still remain a significant research challenge in this area.

Acknowledgement

The research undertaken in this paper was in collaboration with Huazhong University of Science and Technology, China, under a project supported by the Chinese National 12th Five-Year Plan major scientific and technological issues (2015BAK33B04).

References

- Janda KB. Buildings don't use energy: people do. Archit Sci Rev 2011;54:15–22.
 eia. International Energy Outlook. In: energy USdo, editor.: U.S. Energy
- Information Administration; 2016.[3] Wilkes E, Goodright V. Energy consumption in the UK. London: Department of Energy & Climate Change; 2015, [2015].
- [4] EEA EEA. Final energy consumption by sector and fuel. European Environment Agency: 2015.
- [5] EUROSTAT. Consumption of energy. (http://ec.europa.eu/): EUROSTAT; 2015.
- [6] Ji Y, Lomas KJ, Cook MJ. Hybrid ventilation for low energy building design in south China. Build Environ 2009;44:2245–55.
- [7] Manu S, Shukla Y, Rawal R, Thomas LE, de Dear R. Field studies of thermal comfort across multiple climate zones for the subcontinent: india model for Adaptive Comfort (IMAC). Build Environ 2016;98:55–70.
- [8] Fabi V, Andersen RV, Corgnati SP, Olesen BW. A methodology for modelling energy-related human behaviour: application to window opening behaviour in residential buildings. Build Simul 2013;6:415–27.
- [9] Chen S, Yang W, Yoshino H, Levine MD, Newhouse K, Hinge A. Definition of occupant behavior in residential buildings and its application to behavior analysis in case studies. Energy Build 2015;104:1–13.
- [10] Page J, Robinson D, Scartezzini J-L. Stochastic simulation of occupant presence and behaviour in buildings. in: (LESO-PB) SEaBPL, editor. CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL); 2007.
- [11] Maier T, Krzaczek M, Tejchman J. Comparison of physical performances of the ventilation systems in low-energy residential houses. Energy Build 2009;41:337–53.
- [12] Schakib-Ekbatan K, Çakici FZ, Schweiker M, Wagner A. Does the occupant behavior match the energy concept of the building? - analysis of a German naturally ventilated office building. Build Environ 2015;84:142–50.
- [13] Martinaitis V, Zavadskas EK, Motuziene V, Vilutiene T. Importance of occupancy information when simulating energy demand of energy efficient house: a case study. Energy Build 2015;101:64–75.
- [14] HUB ZC. Post-occupancy Evaluation, Rowner Research Project Phase Two. Zero Carbon HUB; 2015.
- [15] Yang J, Santamouris M, Lee SE. Review of occupancy sensing systems and occupancy modeling methodologies for the application in institutional buildings. Energy Build 2015.
- [16] Calì D, Osterhage T, Streblow R, Müller D. Energy performance gap in refurbished German dwellings: lesson learned from a field test. Energy Build 2016;127:1146-58.
- [17] Kalman A. Calculation of energy consumption in dwellings: theory and field data. In: Proceedings of the 5th meeting of IEA Annex 53. The Netherlands; 2012.
- [18] Hong T, D'Oca S, Turner WJN, Taylor-Lange SC. An ontology to represent energyrelated occupant behavior in buildings. Part I: introduction to the DNAs framework. Build Environ 2015;92:764–77.
- [19] Yan D, Hong T. Definition and simulation of occupant behavior in buildings, ANNEX 66. Int Energy Agency (IEA) 2016.
- [20] Gandhi P, Brager GS. Commercial office plug load energy consumption trends and the role of occupant behavior. Energy Build 2016;125:1–8.
- [21] Jang H, Kang J. A stochastic model of integrating occupant behaviour into energy simulation with respect to actual energy consumption in high-rise apartment buildings. Energy Build 2016;121:205–16.
- [22] Rafsanjani HN, Ahn C. Linking building energy-load variations with occupants' energy-use behaviors in commercial buildings: non-intrusive occupant load monitoring (NIOLM). Proc Eng 2016;145:532–9.
- [23] Karatas A, Stoiko A, Menassa CC. Framework for selecting occupancy-focused energy interventions in buildings. Build Res Inf 2016;44:535–51.
- [24] Karjalainen S. Should we design buildings that are less sensitive to occupant behaviour? A simulation study of effects of behaviour and design on office energy consumption. Energy Effic 2016:1–14.
- [25] Ahn K-U, Park C-S. Correlation between occupants and energy consumption. Energy Build 2016;116:420–33.
- [26] von Grabe J. How do occupants decide their interactions with the building? From qualitative data to a psychological framework of human-building-interaction. Energy Res Social Sci 2016;14:46–60.
- [27] Salcido JC, Raheem AA, Issa RRA. From simulation to monitoring: evaluating the potential of mixed-mode ventilation (MMV) systems for integrating natural ventilation in office buildings through a comprehensive literature review. Energy Build 2016;127:1008–18.
- [28] Ryu SH, Moon HJ. Development of an occupancy prediction model using indoor environmental data based on machine learning techniques. Build Environ 2016.
- [29] Pisello AL, Castaldo VL, Piselli C, Fabiani C, Cotana F. How peers' personal attitudes affect indoor microclimate and energy need in an institutional building: results from a continuous monitoring campaign in summer and winter conditions. Energy Build 2016;126:485–97.
- [30] Pellegrino M, Simonetti M, Chiesa G. Reducing thermal discomfort and energy consumption of Indian residential buildings: model validation by in-field measurements and simulation of low-cost interventions. Energy Build 2016:113:145-58.
- [31] Ouf M, Issa M, Merkel P. Analysis of Real-Time Electricity Consumption in

E. Delzendeh et al.

Canadian School Buildings. Energy Build 2016.

- [32] Khosrowpour A, Gulbinas R, Taylor JE. Occupant workstation level energy-use prediction in commercial buildings: developing and assessing a new method to enable targeted energy efficiency programs. Energy Build 2016;127:1133–45.
- [33] Kazmi H, D'Oca S, Delmastro C, Lodeweyckx S, Corgnati SP. Generalizable occupant-driven optimization model for domestic hot water production in NZEB. Appl Energy 2016;175:1–15.
- [34] Langevin J, Wen J, Gurian PL. Quantifying the human-building interaction: considering the active, adaptive occupant in building performance simulation. Energy Build 2016;117:372-86.
- [35] Yu Z, Li J, Li HQ, Han J, Zhang GQ. A novel methodology for identifying associations and correlations between household appliance behaviour in residential buildings. Energy Procedia 2015;78:591-6.
- [36] Wang Z, Zhao Z, Lin B, Zhu Y, Ouyang Q. Residential heating energy consumption modeling through a bottom-up approach for China's Hot Summer-Cold Winter climatic region. Energy Build 2015;109:65–74.
- [37] Tetlow RM, van Dronkelaar C, Beaman CP, Elmualim AA, Couling K. Identifying behavioural predictors of small power electricity consumption in office buildings. Build Environ 2015;92:75–85.
- [38] Indraganti M, Ooka R, Rijal HB. Thermal comfort in offices in India: behavioral adaptation and the effect of age and gender. Energy Build 2015;103:284–95.
- [39] Feng X, Yan D, Hong T. Simulation of occupancy in buildings. Energy Build 2015;87:348–59.
- [40] Langevin J, Gurian PL, Wen J. Tracking the human-building interaction: a longitudinal field study of occupant behavior in air-conditioned offices. J Environ Psychol 2015;42:94–115.
- [41] Rijal HB, Humphreys M, Nicol F. Adaptive Thermal Comfort in Japanese Houses during the Summer Season: behavioral Adaptation and the Effect of Humidity. Buildings 2015;5:1037–54.
- [42] Mohamed AMA, Al-Habaibeh A, Abdo H, Elabar S. Towards exporting renewable energy from MENA region to Europe: an investigation into domestic energy use and householders' energy behaviour in Libya. Appl Energy 2015;146:247–62.
- [43] Gulbinas R, Khosrowpour A, Taylor J. Segmentation and classification of commercial building occupants by energy-use efficiency and predictability. IEEE Trans Smart Grid 2015;6:1414-24.
- [44] Wang Z, Ding Y. An occupant-based energy consumption prediction model for office equipment. Energy Build 2015;109:12–22.
- [45] Heydarian A, Carneiro JP, Gerber D, Becerik-Gerber B. Immersive virtual environments, understanding the impact of design features and occupant choice upon lighting for building performance. Build Environ 2015;89:217–28.
- [46] Zhao J, Lasternas B, Lam KP, Yun R, Loftness V. Occupant behavior and schedule modeling for building energy simulation through office appliance power consumption data mining. Energy Build 2014;82:341–55.
- [47] Masoudifar N, Hammad A, Rezaee M. Monitoring occupancy and office equipment energy consumption using real-time location system and wireless energy meters. IEEE 2014:1108–19.
- [48] Johnson BJ, Starke MR, Abdelaziz OA, Jackson RK, Tolbert LM. A method for modeling household occupant behavior to simulate residential energy consumption. IEEE 2014:1–5.
- [49] D'Oca S, Fabi V, Corgnati SP, Andersen RK. Effect of thermostat and window opening occupant behavior models on energy use in homes. Build Simul 2014;7:683–94.
- [50] Gunay HB, O'Brien W, Beausoleil-Morrison I, Huchuk B. On adaptive occupantlearning window blind and lighting controls. Build Res Inf 2014;42:739–56.
- [51] Chen J, Ahn C. Assessing occupants' energy load variation through existing wireless network infrastructure in commercial and educational buildings. Energy Build 2014;82:540–9.
- [52] Li N, Li J, Fan R, Jia H. Probability of occupant operation of windows during transition seasons in office buildings. Renew Energy 2014;73:84–91.
- [53] D'Oca S, Hong T. A data-mining approach to discover patterns of window opening and closing behavior in offices. Build Environ 2014;82:726–39.
- [54] Yun GY, Choi J, Kim JT. Energy performance of direct expansion air handling unit in office buildings. Energy Build 2014;77:425–31.
- [55] Rijal HB. Investigation of comfort temperature and occupant behavior in Japanese houses during the hot and humid season. Buildings 2014;4:437–52.
- [56] Burgas L, Melendez J, Colomer J. Principal component analysis for monitoring electrical consumption of academic buildings. Energy Procedia 2014;62:555–64.
- [57] Romero RA, Bojórquez G, Corral M, Gallegos R. Energy and the occupant's thermal perception of low-income dwellings in hot-dry climate: mexicali, México. Renew Energy 2013;49:267–70.
- [58] Langevin J, Gurian PL, Wen J. Reducing energy consumption in low income public housing: interviewing residents about energy behaviors. Appl Energy 2013;102:1358–70.
- [59] Blight TS, Coley DA. Sensitivity analysis of the effect of occupant behaviour on the energy consumption of passive house dwellings. Energy Build 2013;66:183–92.
- [60] Kavousian A, Rajagopal R, Fischer M. Determinants of residential electricity consumption: using smart meter data to examine the effect of climate, building characteristics, appliance stock, and occupants' behavior. Energy 2013;55:184–94.
- [61] Chen J, Wang X, Steemers K. A statistical analysis of a residential energy consumption survey study in Hangzhou, China. Energy Build 2013;66:193–202.
- [62] Agha-Hossein MM, El-Jouzi S, Elmualim AA, Ellis J, Williams M. Post-occupancy studies of an office environment: energy performance and occupants' satisfaction. Build Environ 2013;69:121–30.
- [63] Andersen PD, Iversen A, Madsen H, Rode C. Dynamic modeling of presence of occupants using inhomogeneous Markov chains. Energy Build 2014;69:213–23.

- [64] Martinez-Gil J, Freudenthaler B, Natschlaeger T. Modeling User Behavior through Electricity Consumption Patterns. IEEE 2013:204–8.
- [65] Aldossary NA, Rezgui Y, Kwan A. Domestic energy consumption patterns in a hot and humid climate: a multiple-case study analysis. Appl Energy 2014;114:353-65.
- [66] Jain RK, Taylor JE, Culligan PJ. Investigating the impact eco-feedback information representation has on building occupant energy consumption behavior and savings. Energy Build 2013;64:408–14.
- [67] De Meester T, Marique A-F, De Herde A, Reiter S. Impacts of occupant behaviours on residential heating consumption for detached houses in a temperate climate in the northern part of Europe. Energy Build 2013;57:313-23.
- [68] Andrews CJ, Chandra Putra H, Brennan C. Simulation modeling of occupant behavior in commercial buildings. Philadelphia, PA: Center for Green Building at Rutgers University for the Energy Efficient Buildings Hub; 2013.
- [69] Park JS, Kim HJ. A field study of occupant behavior and energy consumption in apartments with mechanical ventilation. Energy Build 2012;50:19–25.
- [70] Peng C, Yan D, Wu R, Wang C, Zhou X, Jiang Y. Quantitative description and simulation of human behavior in residential buildings. Build Simul 2012;5:85–94.
- [71] Dall'O' G, Galante A, Torri M. A methodology for the energy performance classification of residential building stock on an urban scale. Energy Build 2011;48:211–9.
- [72] Yu Zhun Jerry ZJ, Haghighat F, Fung BCM, Morofsky E, Yoshino H. A methodology for identifying and improving occupant behavior in residential buildings. Energy 2011;36:6596–608.
- [73] Rijal HB, Tuohy P, Humphreys MA, Nicol JF, Samuel A. An algorithm to represent occupant use of windows and fans including situation-specific motivations and constraints. Build Simul 2011;4:117–34.
- [74] Schweiker M, Shukuya M. Investigation on the effectiveness of various methods of information dissemination aiming at a change of occupant behaviour related to thermal comfort and exergy consumption. Energy Policy 2011;39:395–407.
- [75] Goldstein R, Tessier A, Khan A. Space layout in occupant behavior simulation. In: Proceedings of the 12th Conference of International Building Performance Simulation Association. Sydney. p. 1073–1080; 2011.
- [76] Guerra Santin O. Actual energy consumption in dwellings: the effect of energy performance regulations and occupant behaviour. Amsterdam: IOS Press; 2010.
- [77] Larsen TS, Knudsen H, Kanstrup AM, Christiansen E, Gram-Hanssen K, Mosgaard M, et al. Occupants influence on the energy consumption of Danish domestic buildings. Aalborg University; 2010.
- [78] Indraganti M, Rao KD. Effect of age, gender, economic group and tenure on thermal comfort: a field study in residential buildings in hot and dry climate with seasonal variations. Energy Build 2010;42:273–81.
- [79] Steemers K, Yun GY. Household energy consumption: a study of the role of occupants. Build Res Inf 2009;37:625–37.
- [80] Juodis E, Jaraminiene E, Dudkiewicz E. Inherent variability of heat consumption in residential buildings. Energy Build 2009;41:1188–94.
- [81] Page J, Robinson D, Morel N, Scartezzini JL. A generalised stochastic model for the simulation of occupant presence. Energy Build 2008;40:83–98.
- [82] Yun GY, Steemers K. Time-dependent occupant behaviour models of window control in summer. Build Environ 2008;43:1471–82.
- [83] Rijal HB, Tuohy PG, Nicol JF, Humphreys MA, Samuel AAA, Clarke JA. Development of adaptive algorithms for the operation of windows, fans and doors to predict thermal comfort and energy use in Pakistani buildings. Am Soc Heat Refrig Air Cond Eng (ASHRAE) Trans 2008:555–73.
- [84] Reinhart CF. Lightswitch-2002: a model for manual and automated control of electric lighting and blinds. Sol Energy 2004;77:15–28.
- [85] Al-Mumin A, Khattab O, Sridhar G. Occupants' behavior and activity patterns influencing the energy consumption in the Kuwaiti residences. Energy Build 2003;35:549-59.
- [86] Endler NS. Desire for control. Personality, social and clinical perspectives. Elsevier Ltd; 1993. p. 361.
- [87] Bluyssen PM. The indoor environment handbook: how to make buildings healthy and comfortable. London: Earthscan; 2009.
- [88] Cabanac M. Physiological role of pleasure. Science 1971;173:1103-7.
- [89] Nicol JF, Humphreys MA. Adaptive thermal comfort and sustainable thermal standards for buildings. Energy Build 2002;34:563–72.
- [90] ASHRAE. Thermal environmental conditions for human occupancy. Am Soc Heat Air-Cond Eng 2004.
- [91] Fanger PO. Thermal comfort, analysis and applications in environmental engineering. New York U6 - ctx_ver=Z39.88-2004 & ctx_enc=info%3Aofi%2Fenc %3AUTF-8 & rfr_id=info%3Asid%2Fsummon.serialssolutions.com & rft_val_ fmt=info%3Aofi%2Ffmt%3Akev%3Amtx%3Abook & rft.genre=book & rft. title=Thermal+comfort%2C+analysis+and+applications+in+environmental+engineering & rft.au=Fanger%2C+P.+O & rft.date=1972-01-01 & rft.pub=McGraw-Hill & rft.externalDBID=n%2Fa & rft.externalDocID=190776 & paramdict=en-US U7 - Book: McGraw-Hill; 1972.
- [92] Ekici C. Measurement uncertainty budget of the PMV thermal comfort equation. Int J Thermophys 2016;37:1–21.
- [93] VSKV Harish, Kumar A. A review on modeling and simulation of building energy systems. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 2016;56:1272–92.
- [94] Rijal HB, Honjo M, Kobayashi R, Nakaya T. Investigation of comfort temperature, adaptive model and the window-opening behaviour in Japanese houses. Archit Sci Rev 2013;56:54–69.
- [95] Fabi V, Andersen RV, Corgnati S, Olesen BW. Occupants' window opening behaviour: a literature review of factors influencing occupant behaviour and models. Build Environ 2012;58:188–98.
- [96] Polinder H, Schweiker M, Schakib-Ekbatan K, Fabi V, Andersen R, Morishita N,

E. Delzendeh et al.

et al. Total energy use in buildings: analysis and evaluation methods, final report Annex 53. Int Energy Agency (IEA) 2013.

- [97] Trust ES. Measurement of domestic hot water consumption in dwellings. Energy Sav Trust 2008.
- [98] Bourgeois D, Reinhart C, Macdonald I A. Assessing the total energy impact of occupant behavioural response to manual and automated lighting systems. In: Proceedings of the Ninth International IBPSA Conference. Montréal, Canada: Building Simulation; 2005.
- [99] Yan D, O'Brien W, Hong T, Feng X, Burak Gunay H, Tahmasebi F, et al. Occupant behavior modeling for building performance simulation: current state and future challenges. Energy Build 2015;107:264–78.
- [100] Masoso OT, Grobler LJ. The dark side of occupants' behaviour on building energy use. Energy Build 2010;42:173–7.
- [101] Langevin J, Wen J, Gurian PL. Simulating the human-building interaction: development and validation of an agent-based model of office occupant behaviors. Build Environ 2015;88:27–45.
- [102] D'Oca S. Influ occupants' Behav Heat Energy Consum Therm Comf Resid Build: Politec di Torino 2012.
- [103] Chow TT, Fong KF, Givoni B, Lin Z, Chan ALS. Thermal sensation of Hong Kong people with increased air speed, temperature and humidity in air-conditioned environment. Build Environ 2010;45:2177–83.
- [104] Lan L, Lian Z, Liu W, Liu Y. Investigation of gender difference in thermal comfort for Chinese people. Eur J Appl Physiol 2008;102:471–80.
- [105] Ajzen I. The theory of planned behavior. Organ Behav Human Decis Process 1991.[106] Kieras D, Meyer D. An overview of the EPIC architecture for cognition and performance with application to human-computer interaction. Human-Comput
- Interact 1997;12:391–438.
 [107] Freed MA. Simulating human performance in complex, dynamic environments. Evanston, Illinois: Northwestern University; 1998.
- [108] Azghandi MV, Nikolaidis I, Stroulia E. Sensor placement for indoor multioccupant tracking. IEEE 2015:1–8.
- [109] Yu C-R, Wu C-L, Lu C-H, Fu L-C. Human localization via multi-cameras and floor sensors in smart home. IEEE 2006:3822-7.
- [110] Milan A, Schindler K, Roth S. Detection- and trajectory-level exclusion in multiple

object tracking. IEEE 2013:3682-9.

- [111] Knauth S, Jost C, Klapproth A. iLOC: A Localis Syst Visit Track Guid 2009:262–6.
- [112] Fet N, Handte M, Wagner S, Marrón PJ. LOCOSmotion: Accel-Assist Person Track Syst Based Wirel Lan 2013:17–31.
- [113] Shih C-Y, Chen L-H, Chen G-H, Wu EHK, Jin M-H. Intelligent radio map management for future WLAN indoor location fingerprinting. IEEE 2012:2769-73.
- [114] Caan S. Rethinking design and interiors, human beings in the built environment. London: Laurence King; 2011.
- [115] Augustin S. Place advantage: applied psychology for interior architecture. Hoboken, N.J: John Wiley & Sons; 2009.
- [116] Sn Moxon. Sustainability in interior design. London: Laurence King; 2012.[117] Lee E, Allen A, Kim B. Interior design practitioner motivations for specifying
- sustainable materials: applying the theory of planned behavior to residential design. J Inter Des 2013;38:1–16.
 [118] Zhu Y, Ouyang Q, Cao B, Zhou X, Yu J. Dynamic thermal environment and
- thermal comfort. Indoor Air 2016;26:125–37.
- [119] Lilley D. Design for sustainable behaviour: strategies and perceptions. Des Stud 2009;30:704–20.
- [120] Wilson GT, Lilley D, Bhamra TA. Design feedback interventions for household energy consumption reduction. In: Proceedings of the 16th Conference of the European Roundtable on Sustainable Consumption and Production (ERSCP) & 7th Conference of the Environmental Management for Sustainable Universities (EMSU); 2013.
- [121] Cool Choices. Cool Choices Inc 2016.
- [122] Crocker R, Lehmann S. Motivating change: sustainable design and behaviour in the built environment. Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge; 2013.
- [123] Day JK, Gunderson DE. Understanding high performance buildings: the link between occupant knowledge of passive design systems, corresponding behaviors, occupant comfort and environmental satisfaction. Build Environ 2015;84:114–24.
- [124] Wetter M, Bonvini M, Nouidui TS. Equation-based languages a new paradigm for building energy modeling, simulation and optimization. Energy Build 2016;117:290–300.