



University of HUDDERSFIELD

University of Huddersfield Repository

Powell, David

Researching teaching, learning and assessment practices

Original Citation

Powell, David (2017) Researching teaching, learning and assessment practices. *InTuition*, 28. p. 15. ISSN 2050-8950

This version is available at <http://eprints.hud.ac.uk/id/eprint/32598/>

The University Repository is a digital collection of the research output of the University, available on Open Access. Copyright and Moral Rights for the items on this site are retained by the individual author and/or other copyright owners. Users may access full items free of charge; copies of full text items generally can be reproduced, displayed or performed and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided:

- The authors, title and full bibliographic details is credited in any copy;
- A hyperlink and/or URL is included for the original metadata page; and
- The content is not changed in any way.

For more information, including our policy and submission procedure, please contact the Repository Team at: E.mailbox@hud.ac.uk.

<http://eprints.hud.ac.uk/>

RESEARCH



David Powell is director of The Education and Training Consortium and the Huddersfield Centre for Excellence in Teacher Training (HUDCETT).



Find out more about
The Education and
Training Consortium
at goo.gl/OsXo0r

Researching teaching, learning and assessment practices

How action research can help educators understand their work better by focusing on day-to-day tasks – from the classroom to administration – and learning lessons from it

By David Powell

Action research is a practitioner-oriented form of research that can be applied in a range of educational settings. There are different forms, though normally action researchers examine their own practice or collaborate with colleagues and students to study an aspect of practice that is of mutual interest and benefit.

Stephen Kemmis, professor of education at Charles Sturt University, Australia, advocates a particular form: critical participatory action research. It is concerned with “changing three things: practitioners’ practices, their understandings of their practices, and the conditions in which they practise” (Kemmis, 2009, p.463).

Having these three goals makes this form of action research socially just, as it promotes equality, and is personally and institutionally transformative.

The term ecologies of practices describes the possible relationships between the five practices found where we work: students and their learning; teachers and their teaching; leadership and administration; professional learning, which includes continuous professional development and initial teacher education; research and evaluation (Kemmis, Wilkinson and Edwards-Groves, 2017).

Each of these practices consists

of their own “sayings, doings and relatings”, also known as their practice architectures, which “hang together” and are shaped by “the arrangements” of the site (Kemmis, McTaggart and Nixon, 2014, p.31).

For instance, what a practitioner says in a class, the activities they have designed and use, and the relationship they have with their students, are determined by, for example, the room set-up and the availability of technology (Mahon, Kemmis, Francisco and Lloyd, 2017).

SOCIALLY JUST

Kemmis and his team invite us to study the ecologies of practices and practice architectures where we work to better understand our own practices, their relationship with other practices where we work and use what we learn to make socially just changes to our practices.

Currently 19 providers in the North East of England and Cumbria are applying these theories within an Outstanding Teaching and Learning Assessment (OTLA) project funded by the Education and Training Foundation (ETF) and managed by a consortium led by Success North, a Centre for Excellence in Teacher Training based at Newcastle College.

These providers include further education colleges, private training organisations, an offender learning service, and adult and community learning. Each provider has been asked to set up a project team that represents the practices of their site so that they can begin “a conversation” (Kemmis, McTaggart and Nixon, 2014, p.149) about changing an aspect of teaching, learning and assessment.

The teams are likely to use questionnaires, interviews and focus groups to collect their data as they are commonly used methods in action research. It will be interesting to see if any team chooses to “make video recordings” to capture “better records” of their practices and the arrangements that shape them (Kemmis, McTaggart and Nixon, 2014, p.224).

It is hoped each of these projects will provide participants with a deeper understanding of their practices and what shapes and influences them, as well as contributing “another brick in the wall” (Wellington, 2000, p.137) in terms of what we know about practices in the FE and skills sector.

In the words of Kemmis, McTaggart and Nixon (2014, p.27) we hope the outcomes of the projects will make the world a “better” place to “live and practise in”.

REFERENCES

- Kemmis, S., (2009) Action research as a practice-based practice, *Educational Action Research*, 17:3, 463–47
- Kemmis, S., McTaggart, R., and Nixon, R. (2014) *The action research planner: doing critical participatory action research*. Dordrecht: Springer.
- Kemmis, S., Wilkinson, J., Edwards-Groves, C., (2017) Roads not travelled, roads ahead: how the theory of practice architectures is travelling. In Mahon, K., Francisco, S., Kemmis, S., Exploring Education and Professional Practice through the lens of practice architectures. (pp239–256). Singapore: Springer.
- Mahon, K., Kemmis, S., Francisco, S., Lloyd, A., (2017) Introduction: Practice Theory and the Theory of Practice Architectures. In Mahon, K., Francisco, S., Kemmis, S., Exploring Education and Professional Practice through the lens of practice architectures. (pp1–30). Singapore: Springer.
- Wellington, J. (2000) *Educational Research: Contemporary Issues and Practical Approaches*. London: Continuum.