



University of HUDDERSFIELD

University of Huddersfield Repository

Berry, Vivien, Sheehan, Susan and Munro, Sonia

Mind the gap – bringing teachers into the language literacy debate

Original Citation

Berry, Vivien, Sheehan, Susan and Munro, Sonia (2017) Mind the gap – bringing teachers into the language literacy debate. In: 39th Language Testing Research Colloquium, 19–21 July 2017, Universidad de los Andes, Las Aguas, Bogotá, Colombia. (Unpublished)

This version is available at <http://eprints.hud.ac.uk/id/eprint/32495/>

The University Repository is a digital collection of the research output of the University, available on Open Access. Copyright and Moral Rights for the items on this site are retained by the individual author and/or other copyright owners. Users may access full items free of charge; copies of full text items generally can be reproduced, displayed or performed and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided:

- The authors, title and full bibliographic details is credited in any copy;
- A hyperlink and/or URL is included for the original metadata page; and
- The content is not changed in any way.

For more information, including our policy and submission procedure, please contact the Repository Team at: E.mailbox@hud.ac.uk.

<http://eprints.hud.ac.uk/>

Mind the gap - bringing teachers into the language literacy debate

Vivien Berry, British Council

Susan Sheehan, University of Huddersfield

Sonia Munro, University of Huddersfield

39th LTRC, Bogotá, Colombia, 19-21 July 2017

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the support of the British Council

The views expressed in this presentation are those of the presenters and do not represent those of the British Council or the University of Huddersfield

INTRODUCTION

Today's talk will have 4 sections:

1. Introduction to language assessment literacy (LAL)
2. Previous research into LAL
 - ▶ survey studies
 - ▶ mixed-methods and classroom observations
3. British Council - University of Huddersfield study
4. Findings and conclusions

1. INTRODUCTION TO LANGUAGE ASSESSMENT LITERACY (LAL)

What is Language Assessment Literacy?

Malone (2011) proposes the following definition:

Assessment literacy is an understanding of the measurement basics related directly to classroom learning; language assessment literacy extends this definition to issues specific to language classrooms

Components of Assessment Literacy

Skills + Knowledge + Principles (Davies 2008)

- ▶ Technical skills
- ▶ Scores and decision-making
- ▶ Language pedagogy
- ▶ Local practices
- ▶ Knowledge of theory
- ▶ Principles and concepts
- ▶ Socio-cultural values
- ▶ Personal beliefs/attitudes

Assessment literacy for teachers involves developing...

- ▶ **Skills** in identifying and evaluating appropriate assessments for specific purposes within specific contexts
- ▶ **Skills** in analysing empirical data in order to improve one's own instructional and assessment practices
- ▶ The **knowledge** required to assess learners effectively and maximise learning
- ▶ The **knowledge** and understanding to interpret and apply assessment results in appropriate ways
- ▶ An understanding of the **principles** and practice of sound assessment
- ▶ The wisdom to be able to integrate assessment and its outcomes into the overall pedagogic process

2. RESEARCH INTO LAL - Survey studies

Berry & O'Sullivan (2014); Brown & Bailey (2008); Crusan, Plakans & Gebril (2016); Fulcher (2012); Hasselgreen, Carlsen & Helness (2004); Jin (2010); Kiomrs, Abdolmehdi & Naser (2011); Malone (2013)

Limitations of survey studies:

- ▶ Respondents to online surveys are probably self-selected as those interested in the topic
- ▶ Responses may reflect what teachers think they should say, rather than what they actually believe
- ▶ Training needs may be exaggerated in the belief it would appear unprofessional to state they had no interest in a topic
- ▶ Answers may be in the affirmative out of curiosity rather than genuine interest or need to know
- ▶ Interpretation of responses may rely too heavily on quantitative analysis

2. RESEARCH INTO LAL - Mixed-methods and classroom observation studies

Colby-Kelly & Turner (2007; Gu (2014);
Jeong (2014); Lam (2015); Leong
(2014); Scarino (2014); Vogt & Tsagari
(2014); Xu & Liu (2009); Xu & Carless
(2016); Yin (2010)

Limitations of mixed-methods and classroom observation studies:

- ▶ Mixed-methods generally include initial questionnaire/survey responses, often with a follow-up interview
- ▶ Follow-up interviews usually aim to gain further insights into responses to questionnaires/surveys
- ▶ Therefore all limitations of survey studies also apply to mixed-methods studies
- ▶ Responses may be constrained by the questions asked
- ▶ Qualitative aspects of mixed-methods and classroom observation studies are generally very small-scale

3. THE BRITISH COUNCIL- UNIVERSITY OF HUDDERSFIELD LAL FOR TEACHERS PROJECT

Definition of ‘teacher’

Following Vogt and Tsigari (2014:377) we adopt this definition of a teacher:

- ▶ Someone who is a practising EFL teacher who has undergone regular training to teach English as a foreign language at state or private tertiary institutions, colleges or schools

The Project

- ▶ Purpose of the research
- ▶ Qualitatively orientated study of teachers' knowledge of assessment and training needs
- ▶ 3 stages of data collection
 - Initial baseline interviews
 - Observations and follow-up interviews
 - Focus group interviews

Initial baseline interviews:

- ▶ Conducted in School of Education of British University with 3 experienced EFL teachers, 1 female + 2 male, age range 30-50 years
- ▶ Asked teachers about their experiences of assessment and how they had developed their assessment practices
- ▶ Discussed initial teacher training and other training opportunities they had had

Questions included:

- ▶ Was assessment included in your initial teacher training?
- ▶ If, yes, what kinds of topics were included?

Classroom observations and follow-up interviews:

- ▶ Conducted in International Study Centre of British University with 3 different experienced teachers, 2 female + 1 male, age range 30-40 years
- ▶ Checklist of 16 observations, every 3 minutes.
- ▶ Teachers reflected on why they had used particular assessment techniques in class

Focus group discussions:

- ▶ Conducted in teaching centres in Madrid and Paris with 48 experienced teachers, 25 female + 23 male, age range 25-60 years
- ▶ Taught general English and EAP to all proficiency levels; all ages of students from kindergarten to adults
- ▶ Teachers discussed how they used assessment in their classes

4. FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

- ▶ The data analysis drew on Davies' (2008) components of assessment literacy: *Skills, Knowledge, Principles*

FINDINGS:

3 main findings are presented here today:

1. Teachers discussed their lack of training in assessment but felt the topics they **had** studied were of greater relevance to them in the classroom.
2. Testing was discussed more often than assessment.
3. There was a need for practical ideas and activities - teachers did not express an interest in theory.

Findings (1):

In terms of Davies' (2008) components, *skills + knowledge + principles* was used as a data code on only 12 occasions. In discussion teachers acknowledged their lack of training:

- ▶ *There are so many things that I didn't have a clue about how to do so I wouldn't put assessment at the top of the list*
- ▶ *We were not planning and designing assessments we were planning and delivering lessons*
- ▶ *We didn't do it (assessment) in practice on the CELTA*

Findings (1- cont.):

- ▶ *In most places testing and assessment is out of the hands of teachers... They are told this is the assessment you are using*
- ▶ *Assessment requires some level of experience with students*
- ▶ *If I have read any books about language testing it was from the perspective of being interested in researching the language classroom and sometimes in classroom research you need tests*

Findings (1- cont.):

- ▶ *You build up your own ideas of assessment just through experience of what your students are capable of doing*
- ▶ *You bring conceptions of how **you** were tested at school and you apply them to the language classroom*

Findings (2):

In discussion participants tended to refer to testing rather than assessment:

- ▶ *None of my experiences of teaching had any focus on any kind of qualification at the end of it*
- ▶ *The idea of grading someone isn't that important*
- ▶ *You need to understand the exam techniques to prepare students to take exams*

Findings (3):

Teachers commented on the types of training materials they would like:

- ▶ *We'd like speaking tasks - task and criteria*
- ▶ *We'd like clear criteria for marking speaking and writing*
- ▶ *Examples of level - recording or writings for non-exam classes*
- ▶ *Video examples of people in everyday situations using the language*

Findings (3 - cont.):

- ▶ *I would have liked more practical elements in my training and assessment - more situation based*

Overall finding:

The term **Language Assessment Literacy** was not popular with teachers and many were not even familiar with the term:

- ▶ *I had never heard of it before I was asked to do the interview*
- ▶ *I have no idea what it means*

CONCLUSIONS:

- ▶ Teachers have minimal training in assessment and have little interest in the theoretical underpinnings of assessment
- ▶ There is evidence that assessment practices are rooted in teachers' own past learning experiences
- ▶ Teachers also engage in developing their assessment practices by learning from each other
- ▶ There may be a disconnect between teachers' interests and beliefs and those of language assessment professionals and researchers

Thank you!

Vivien.Berry@britishcouncil.org

S.Sheehan@hud.ac.uk

S.Munro@hud.ac.uk

References

- ▶ Berry, V & O'Sullivan, B (2014) *The symbiosis of teachers' language assessment literacy and learning-oriented outcomes*. Paper presented at IATEFL TEASIG Conference, October 2014, Granada, Spain
- ▶ Brown, JD & Bailey KM (2008) Language testing courses: What are they in 2007? *Language Testing* 25(3), 349-384
- ▶ Colby-Kelly, C & Turner, C (2007) AFL research in the L2 classroom and evidence of usefulness: Taking formative assessment to the next level. *The Canadian Modern Language Review*, 64(1), 9-37
- ▶ Crusan, D, Plakans, L and Gebril, A (2016) Writing assessment literacy: Surveying second language teachers' knowledge, beliefs, and practices. *Assessing Writing*, 28: 43-56
- ▶ Davies, A. (2008) Textbook trends in teaching language testing. *Language Testing*, 25(3), 327-347
- ▶ Fulcher, G (2012) Assessment Literacy for the Language Classroom. *Language Assessment Quarterly*, 9(2), 113-132
- ▶ Hasselgreen, A, Carlsen, C and Helness, H (2004) European Survey of Language Testing and Assessment Needs: General Findings. Available online at www.ealta.eu.org/resources.htm

References (cont.)

- ▶ Jeong, H (2013) Defining assessment literacy: Is it different for language testers and non-language testers? *Language Testing*, 30(3), 345-362
- ▶ Jin, Y (2010) The place of language testing and assessment in the professional preparation of foreign language teachers in China. *Language Testing*, 27(4), 555-584
- ▶ Kiomrs, R, Abdolmehdi, R & Naser, R (2011) On the interaction of test wasback and teacher assessment literacy: the case of Iranian EFL secondary school teachers. *English Language Teaching*, 4(1), 156-161
- ▶ Lam, R. (2015) Language assessment training in Hong Kong: Implications for language assessment literacy. *Language Testing*, 32(2), 255-276
- ▶ Leong, WS (2014) Knowing the intentions, meaning and context of classroom assessment: A case study of Singaporean teachers' conception and practice. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, 43, 70-78

References (cont.)

- ▶ Malone, M.E. (2011) Assessment Literacy for Language Educators. *CAL Digest October 2011*. Available from www.cal.org
- ▶ Malone, M.E. (2013) The essentials of assessment literacy: Contrasts between testers and users. *Language Testing*, 30(3), 329-344
- ▶ Scarino, A (2013) Language assessment literacy as self-awareness: Understanding the role of interpretation in assessment and in teacher learning. *Language Testing*, 30(3), 309-327
- ▶ Xu, Y & Carless, D ((2016) 'Only true friends could be cruelly honest': Cognitive scaffolding and social-affective support in teacher feedback literacy. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, published online: 29 Aug 2016, 1-13
- ▶ Xu, Y and Liu, Y (2009) Teacher assessment knowledge and practice: A narrative inquiry of a Chinese college EFL teacher's experience. *TESOL Quarterly*, 43(3), 492-513
- ▶ Vogt, K. & Tsagari, D. (2014). Assessment literacy of foreign language teachers: Findings of a European study. *Language Assessment Quarterly*, 11(4), 374-402
- ▶ Yin, M (2010) Understanding classroom language assessment through teacher thinking research. *Language Assessment Quarterly*, 7(2), 175-194.