

University of Huddersfield Repository

Naughton, Robert, Drust, Barry, O'Boyle, Andy, Morgans, Ryland, Abayomi, Julie, Davies, Ian G., Morton, James P. and Mahon, Elizabeth

Daily Distribution of Carbohydrate, Protein and Fat Intake in Elite Youth Academy Soccer Players Over a 7-day Training Period

Original Citation

Naughton, Robert, Drust, Barry, O'Boyle, Andy, Morgans, Ryland, Abayomi, Julie, Davies, Ian G., Morton, James P. and Mahon, Elizabeth (2016) Daily Distribution of Carbohydrate, Protein and Fat Intake in Elite Youth Academy Soccer Players Over a 7-day Training Period. International Journal of Sport Nutrition and Exercise Metabolism, 26 (5). pp. 473-480. ISSN 1526-484X

This version is available at http://eprints.hud.ac.uk/id/eprint/29467/

The University Repository is a digital collection of the research output of the University, available on Open Access. Copyright and Moral Rights for the items on this site are retained by the individual author and/or other copyright owners. Users may access full items free of charge; copies of full text items generally can be reproduced, displayed or performed and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided:

- The authors, title and full bibliographic details is credited in any copy;
- A hyperlink and/or URL is included for the original metadata page; and
- The content is not changed in any way.

For more information, including our policy and submission procedure, please contact the Repository Team at: E.mailbox@hud.ac.uk.

http://eprints.hud.ac.uk/



Daily distribution of carbohydrate, protein and fat intake in elite youth academy soccer players over a 7-day training period

Journal:	International Journal of Sport Nutrition & Exercise Metabolism
Manuscript ID	IJSNEM.2015-0340.R1
Manuscript Type:	Original Research
Keywords:	nutrition, metabolism, sport



1	Daily distribution of carbohydrate, protein and fat intake in elite youth academy
2	soccer players over a 7-day training period
3	Robert J. Naughton ¹ , Barry Drust ^{2,3} , Andy O'Boyle ³ , Ryland Morgans ³ , Julie
4	Abayomi ¹ , Ian G. Davies ¹ , James P. Morton ² and Elizabeth Mahon ¹
5	¹ School of Sports Studies, Leisure and Nutrition
6	Liverpool John Moores University
7	Liverpool
8	L17 6BD
9	UK
10	
11	² Research Institute for Sport and Exercise Sciences
12	Liverpool John Moores University
13	Tom Reilly Building
14	Byrom St Campus
15	Liverpool
16	L3 3AF
17	UK
18	
19	³ Liverpool Football Club
20	Melwood Training Ground
21	Deysbrook Lane
22	Liverpool
23	L12 8SY
24	UK
25	

26	Running head: Nutrition, youth soccer, exercise metabolism
27	Word Count: 3250
28	Address for correspondence:
29	Robert Naughton
30	¹ School of Sports Studies, Leisure and Nutrition
31	Liverpool John Moores University
32	Liverpool
33	L17 6BD
34	United Kingdom
35	Email: <u>R.Naughton@2008.ljmu.ac.uk</u>
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	

47 Abstract

48	While traditional approaches to dietary analysis in athletes have focused on total daily
49	energy and macronutrient intake, it is now thought that daily distribution of these
50	parameters can also influence training adaptations. Using seven-day food diaries, we
51	quantified the total daily macronutrient intake and distribution in elite youth soccer
52	players from the English Premier League in U18 ($n=13$), U15/16 ($n=25$) and U13/14
53	squads (n=21). Total energy (43.1±10.3, 32.6±7.9, 28.1±6.8 kcal·kg ⁻¹ ·day ⁻¹), CHO
54	$(6\pm 1.2, 4.7\pm 1.4, 3.2\pm 1.3 \text{ g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{day}^{-1})$ and fat $(1.3\pm 0.5, 0.9\pm 0.3, 0.9\pm 0.3 \text{ g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{day}^{-1})$
55	intake exhibited hierarchical differences (P<0.05) such that U13/14>U15/16>U18.
56	Additionally, CHO intake in U18s was lower (P<0.05) at breakfast, dinner and snacks
57	when compared with both squads but no differences were apparent at lunch.
58	Furthermore, the U15/16s reported lower relative daily protein intake than the
59	U13/14s and U18s (1.6±0.3 vs. 2.2±0.5, 2.0±0.3 g·kg ⁻¹). A skewed distribution
60	(P < 0.05) of daily protein intake was observed in all squads, with a hierarchical order
61	of dinner (~0.6 g·kg ⁻¹) > lunch (~0.5 g·kg ⁻¹) > breakfast (~0.3 g·kg ⁻¹). We conclude
62	elite youth soccer players do not meet current CHO guidelines. Although daily protein
63	targets are achieved, we report a skewed daily distribution in all ages such that
64	dinner>lunch>breakfast. Our data suggest that dietary advice for elite youth players
65	should focus on both total daily macronutrient intake and optimal daily distribution
66	patterns.

67

68

70 Introduction

71 The function of soccer academies is largely to produce players who can progress to 72 and represent the club's senior first team, and thereby reduce the requirement for 73 clubs to buy or sell players in an attempt to achieve financial targets (Wrigley *et al.*, 74 2014). To support the high training loads (Wrigley et al., 2012) and developmental 75 goals such as muscle hypertrophy (Milsom et al., 2015), it is essential players 76 consume the correct quantity and type of macronutrients. Few studies have 77 investigated habitual energy intakes and dietary habits of elite youth soccer players 78 (Boisseau et al., 2002 & 2007; LeBlanc et al., 2002; Ruiz et al., 2005; Iglesias-79 Gutierrez et al., 2005) with just two in the UK (Russell and Pennock, 2011; Briggs et 80 al., 2015). These studies have typically been limited to reports of total daily energy 81 and macronutrient intake, often concluding that elite youth soccer players habitually 82 don't meet their energy requirements (Boisseau et al. 2002; LeBlanc et al., 2002; Ruiz 83 et al., 2005; Russell and Pennock, 2011; Briggs et al., 2015).

84 In addition to the quantification of daily energy and macronutrient intake, it is 85 important to consider timing of intake in relation to training sessions (Burke, 2010; 86 Mori, 2014), main meals (Garaulet and Gomez-Abellan, 2014; Johnston, 2014) and 87 sleep (Lane et al., 2015). Whilst this is most well documented for carbohydrate 88 (CHO) intake in order to fuel training and matches (Goedecke et al., 2013; 89 Jeukendrup, 2014) and promote glycogen re-synthesis (Zehnder et al., 2001; 90 Gunnarsson et al., 2013), recent data suggests that the daily distribution of protein 91 intake is critical for optimizing components of training adaptations such as muscle 92 protein synthesis (MPS) (Areta et al., 2013; Mamerow et al., 2014). Recent data has 93 highlighted the importance of quantity and timing of protein intake in elite youth 94 soccer players. Milsom et al. (2015) demonstrated that such populations typically

95 present with approximately 6 kg less lean muscle mass than adult professional soccer 96 players. When taken together, these data suggest that dietary surveys of elite youth 97 soccer players should not only quantify total daily energy and macronutrient intake 98 but should also report the timing of nutrient ingestion, thereby having important 99 practical implications for fuelling adequately, promoting training adaptations and 100 optimizing recovery.

101 Therefore, the aims of the present study were two-fold: 1) to quantify the total daily 102 energy and macronutrient intakes of elite youth UK academy players of different ages 103 (U13/14, U15/16 and U18 playing squads) and 2) to quantify the daily distribution of 104 energy and macronutrient intake. In accordance with the higher absolute body masses 105 and training loads of the U18 squads (Wrigley et al., 2012), we hypothesised that this 106 squad would report higher absolute daily energy and macronutrient intakes in 107 comparison to the U13/14s and U15/16s. Furthermore, based on the habitual eating 108 patterns of both athletic and non-athletic populations (Mamerow et al., 2014), we 109 hypothesised that all squads would report an uneven daily distribution of 110 macronutrient intakes, particularly for daily protein intake.

111 Methodology

112 Participants

Elite youth soccer players were recruited from a local English Premier League (EPL) club's academy. Researchers provided a presentation and participant information sheets to invite players from the U13-18s to participate in the study. Ninety-one players were initially recruited, however 32 were withdrawn due to incomplete diary entry, leaving a sample size of 59. All participants gave informed consent and ethical

118 permission was obtained from the Liverpool John Moores University Ethics119 Committee.

120 Participants were subsequently categorised into the following squads; U18s (n=13), 121 U15/16 (n=25) and U13/14 (n=21). The mean (\pm SD) body mass (determined by scale 122 mass – Seca, Hamburg, Germany) and height (determined by stadiometry) were 123 recorded to the nearest 0.1kg and cm, respectively, for each squad and are displayed in 124 Table 1, along with habitual training time albeit collected 2-3 weeks after this study 125 period (Brownlee *et al.* Unpublished Data). Data collection occurred during a 7 day 126 training period of the 2014-15 season, during which no competitive matches took 127 place.

128 Dietary Intake

129 Participants were asked to record everything they consumed in a food diary for 7-130 consecutive days. This time frame was justified by previous research suggesting that 131 7-days provides a more accurate estimation of habitual nutritional intake than a single-132 or 4-day recording (Magkos & Yannakoulia, 2003). Additionally, unpublished pilot 133 research on the current study's population displayed a high completion rate (75%) 134 over the 7-days. To promote high ecological validity, researchers made no attempt to 135 influence the player's diets. Upon giving consent, players attended a presentation that 136 gave detailed instructions on how to fill out the dietary diary. Parents and guardians of 137 the U13/14s also attended, as it was evidenced from pilot research that they were 138 likely to be responsible for completion of the diaries at this age. Participants were 139 asked to provide as much detail as possible, including the type of day it was with 140 respect to their soccer activity (rest, match, or training day), the commercial brand 141 names of the food/drink, cooking/preparation methods, and time of consumption.

142 Time of consumption was used to distinguish between meals; breakfast (main meal 143 consumed between 6-9.30am), lunch (main meal consumed between 11.30-1.30pm), 144 dinner (main meal consumed between 5-8pm), and snacks (foods consumed between 145 main meals). Additionally in table 2 the time and frequency of snack consumption for 146 each team is displayed. Supplements were defined as foods/drinks/powders that were 147 purposefully taken to provide an additional source of any one or combination of 148 macronutrients (e.g. Whey Protein). Participants were asked to quantify the portion of 149 the foods and fluids consumed by using standardised household measures or, where 150 possible, referring to the weight/volume provided on food packages, or by providing 151 the number of items of a predetermined size. Upon return of the food diary the 152 primary researcher checked for any cases of missing data and asked participants for 153 clarification.

154 Data Analysis

155 Food diary data was analysed using Nutritics software (version 3.74 professional 156 edition, Nutritics Ltd., Co. Dublin, Ireland). All analyses were carried out by a single 157 trained researcher so that potential variation of data interpretation was minimised 158 (Deakin, 2000). Total absolute, and relative to body mass (BM), intakes of energy 159 (kcal), CHO, protein and fats were calculated. All data were assessed for normality of 160 distribution according to the Shapiro-Wilk's test. Statistical comparisons between 161 squads' total energy and macronutrient intakes were performed according to a one-162 way between-groups analysis of variance (ANOVA) or, for non-parametric data, the 163 Kruskal-Wallis test. Where significant differences of the ANOVA were present, 164 Tukey post-hoc analysis was conducted to locate specific differences. For non-normal 165 data, post-hoc analysis was performed using multiple Mann-Whitney U tests with a 166 Bonferroni adjustment. For energy and macronutrient distribution across separate

167 meals, a two-way ANOVA was employed and a Tukey post-hoc analysis was 168 conducted where appropriate. Where a significant main difference for age was 169 reported, a one-way ANOVA or, the Kruskal-Wallis test was performed, to assess at 170 which meal the difference occurred. All analyses were completed using SPSS for 171 Windows (version 20, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) where P<0.05 was indicative of 172 statistical significance.

173 Data is presented as mean \pm SD. In the results section, *absolute* refers to the total 174 absolute daily intake and *relative* refers to when the absolute data has been normalized 175 to each participants' BM (i.e. g·kg⁻¹ BM).

176 Results

177 Daily Energy and macronutrient total and relative daily intake

178 No significant difference was found for absolute daily energy (P=0.92), CHO 179 (P=0.70) or fat (P=0.18) intake between squads. However, absolute daily intake of 180 protein showed a significant difference (P < 0.01) between squads, both the U13/14s 181 and U15/16s squads reported lower intakes than the U18 squad (P=0.01). In contrast 182 to the absolute data, significant differences were observed for all variables when 183 expressed in relative amounts (P < 0.05). For relative energy, CHO and fat intake, the 184 U13/14s values were significantly higher compared to both the U15/16s and U18s 185 (P < 0.01 for all comparisons). The U13/14 and U18 squads were both significantly 186 higher in relative protein compared to the U15/16s (P<0.01). Additionally, the 187 U15/16s had a significantly higher relative CHO intake in comparison to the U18s 188 (*P*=0.01) (Table 3).

189 The distribution of energy and macronutrients across separate meals

A significant difference for distribution across meals was found for all variables for both absolute and relative intake (P<0.01). For energy, both absolute and relative intake at breakfast was significantly lower than intake at lunch and dinner (P<0.01). Dinner was significantly higher (P<0.01) than snacks whether expressed as absolute or relative. CHO intake at breakfast was significantly lower than lunch and snacks for both absolute and relative intake (P<0.05), and for absolute dinner intake (P=0.03), but not for relative intake (P=0.06) (Figure 1).

Protein distribution was found to be significant between all meals (P<0.05) for absolute intake, and PRO at breakfast was significantly lower compared to both lunch and dinner for relative intake (P<0.01). Additionally, relative protein intake at dinner was significantly higher compared to snacks (P<0.01). For fat distribution, both absolute and relative intake at dinner was significantly higher (P<0.01) than both breakfast and snacks (P<0.01) (Figure 1).

203 A significant difference was observed between-squads for distribution of absolute 204 CHO and PRO intake (P < 0.01). Specifically, for breakfast and lunch the U18s 205 reported a significantly higher intake of absolute PRO intake compared with the 206 U13/14s and U15/16s (P < 0.01), but when considering relative protein, the U13/14s 207 had a significantly higher ($P \le 0.05$) intake at dinner and snacks compared to their 208 older counterparts, which was also true for relative fat intake. Furthermore, a 209 significantly lower intake of both absolute and relative CHO in comparison to the 210 U15/16s at breakfast was observed ($P \le 0.01$), and with dinner and snacks but only for 211 relative intake compared to the younger groups (Figure 1). The U13/14s have a 212 significantly higher intake of relative energy for every meal compared to the U15/16s 213 and U18s (*P*<0.05).

214 Supplements.

No statistical analysis was performed for supplements as intake within the U13/14 and U15/16 (n=3) was negligible. Within the U18s mean daily intake from supplements were: Energy 89.2±110.4 kcal, CHO 2.5±6.5 g, Protein 15.1±17.3 g, and Fat 0.8±1.1 g.

219 Discussion

220 The aims of the present study were to simultaneously quantify the total daily 221 macronutrient intake and daily distribution in elite youth soccer players of differing 222 ages. With the exception of protein, we observed no significant difference in total 223 absolute energy and macronutrient intake between squads. However, differences in 224 macronutrient intake were readily apparent when expressed relative to BM. We also 225 report for the first time a skewed daily distribution of macronutrient intakes in elite 226 male youth soccer players (irrespective of age), an effect that was especially pertinent 227 for protein intake. Given the requirement for young soccer players to gain lean muscle 228 mass, such data may have practical implications for helping to promote training 229 adaptations.

230 The values reported here for both total daily energy and CHO intake compare well to 231 those previously reported for players of similar ages (Boisseau et al., 2002; Ruiz et 232 al., 2007). For example, Boisseau et al. (2002) reported energy intakes of 38.9 ± 4.4 kcal·kg⁻¹·day⁻¹ and Ruiz et al. (2007) reported CHO intakes of 5.9±0.4 g·kg⁻¹·day⁻¹. 233 234 both of which are similar to the U15/16s in the present study (Table 3). A consistent 235 theme within the literature appears to be that elite youth soccer players consume lower 236 energy intakes than likely daily energy requirements, thus potentially compromising 237 performance. While no differences between absolute energy and CHO intake between 238 squads were observed, large differences were apparent when expressed relative to BM. Indeed, higher CHO intakes in the U13/14 squads $(6\pm 1.2 \text{ g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{day}^{-1})$ compared 239 with both the U15/16s $(4.7\pm1.4 \text{ g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{dav}^{-1})$ and U18s $(3.2\pm1.3 \text{ g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{dav}^{-1})$ were 240 241 found. Carbohydrate requirements for adult athletes are an evolving topic within 242 sports nutrition and there is debate within the literature of the optimal approach. Currently, soccer players are recommended to consume 6-10 $g \cdot kg^{-1} \cdot day^{-1}$ to support 243 244 training and match demands (Burke et al., 2006). Conversely, recent evidence has 245 suggested that athletes (albeit adult populations) may benefit from strategically 246 training with lower CHO availability during carefully chosen sessions (through 247 manipulation of CHO intake and/or timing of training) to enhance training adaptations 248 (i.e. increased mitochondrial biogenesis) (Bartlett et al., 2013; 2015). Given the 249 obvious developmental goals of youth soccer players and the low CHO intakes 250 reported here and previously (Ruiz et al., 2007), these data suggest that youth soccer 251 players are likely under consuming daily CHO and do not meet current daily targets. 252 However, given that these guidelines are for adult populations and there are currently 253 no available CHO guidelines for elite youth athletes, further research is required.

254 Distribution of CHO intake showed a typically lower intake at breakfast, particularly 255 for the U18s, who would have a protein (e.g. eggs) based breakfast in comparison to 256 the schoolboys (U13/14s and U15/U16s), who typically had cereal/toast. In the two 257 schoolboy squads, bread and cereal were the most common CHO choices, similar to 258 the findings of Iglesias-Gutierrez et al. (2012). These CHO choices were often chosen 259 at breakfast (cereal), lunch (sandwiches) and snacks (toast). In contrast, the U18s 260 would have cooked meals at breakfast and lunch, therefore not relying on a school / 261 homemade meal.

262 In relation to protein, marked differences in the total absolute daily intake were 263 observed between squads where the U18s were higher than the U13/14s and U15/16s 264 (142±24 vs. 97±21 vs. 96±24 g, respectively). However, when this value was 265 standardised for BM, the U13/14s reported higher values than the U15/16s and U18s 266 $(2.2\pm0.4 \text{ vs. } 1.6\pm0.3 \text{ vs. } 2.0\pm0.3 \text{ g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$, respectively) (Table 3). Such absolute and 267 relative values are comparable to previous findings in similar populations (Boisseau et 268 al., 2002; Ruiz et al., 2007; Russell & Pennock, 2011; Briggs et al., 2015) and are also considerably higher than current national dietary reference values of 0.8 g kg^{-1} day⁻¹ 269 270 (Department of Health, 1991). The most popular source of protein for all ages was 271 poultry while eggs were only a main choice for the U18s. Similar to the CHO choices, 272 this is likely a reflection of the U18s being provided with a cooked breakfast daily at 273 the academy whereas the younger squads tended to consume cereal based breakfasts 274 at home. To the authors' knowledge, only one research group has assessed the protein 275 requirements of adolescent soccer players (Boisseau et al., 2002 & 2007), using a 276 nitrogen balance methodology. Results demonstrated that protein requirements of players aged 13-15 years range between 1.4-1.6 g·kg⁻¹·day⁻¹ (Boisseau *et al.*, 2002 & 277 2007), which is similar to current guidelines for adult athletes $(1.3-1.8 \text{ g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{day}^{-1})$ 278 279 (Phillips and Van Loon, 2014). Therefore, in contrast to CHO, it appears that elite 280 vouth soccer players are successful in achieving daily protein requirements.

The distribution of daily protein intake may be a more important aspect of an athlete's nutritional strategy than the total daily intake. Recent data has highlighted that distorted protein intake distribution across meals (skewed to higher intake at dinner) in an adult population results in reduced MPS stimulation in comparison to a stable protein intake (~30 g) at each main meal (breakfast, lunch and dinner) even when total absolute intake is matched (Mamerow *et al.*, 2014). The distribution of protein intake 287 at different meals was skewed for all squads in a hierarchical order of 288 dinner>lunch>breakfast (Figure 1). In relation to optimal absolute protein dose, 289 Witard *et al.* (2013) has previously reported that a single meal of \geq 20g high quality 290 fast-digesting protein is necessary to induce maximal rates of MPS. Therefore, it 291 could be suggested that some players were under-consuming protein at specific meal 292 times. For example, the U13/14s and U15/16s consumed 17 ± 5 g and 15 ± 4 g, 293 respectively, at breakfast in comparison to the U18s who consumed 25±5 g. 294 Conversely, Murphy et al. (2014) recently suggested that a protein content of 0.25-0.3 295 g·kg⁻¹ BM per meal, that has high leucine content and is rapidly digestible, can 296 achieve optimal MPS. Therefore, all squads would be achieving that value at each 297 meal and consequently, the finding of <20 g absolute doses at certain meals may be 298 inconsequential. However, a caveat to this paper is that the sources of habitual protein 299 intakes for some squads would likely result in sub-optimal leucine contents. For 300 example, whereas the U18s consume a protein based breakfast (i.e. eggs), the U13/14s 301 and U15/16s intake of protein at breakfast was largely derived from adding milk to a 302 predominantly CHO based breakfast (e.g. cereals, bread). Such pattern of breakfast 303 choices in these squads is also in accordance with breakfast choices of children from 304 the general population (Alexy et al., 2010). Therefore, the schoolboys have not yet 305 adopted a more sports specific diet. Similar to breakfast, the U18s have a significantly 306 higher absolute protein intake at lunch in comparison to their younger counterparts 307 (46±11 vs. 27±7 vs 29±9 g, respectively), but CHO intake was similar across all 308 squads for lunch and dinner (Figure 1).

309 Potential reasons for this difference in macronutrient intake and distribution between 310 squads is likely related to the fact that the U18s are full-time soccer players and it is 311 mandatory for players to consume breakfast and lunch at the academy on days they

attend (5/6 days·week⁻¹). Consequently, the club has greater control over the food and
beverages the U18s can choose from. In contrast, the schoolboys will have meals
provided by the school they attend or packed lunches from home, so the influence of
the club is considerably reduced. When youth players are promoted to full-time U18
squad status, muscle hypertrophy is a key training goal (Milsom et al., 2015), which
may result in players being encouraged to increase protein consumption to support
resistance-training hypertrophy programmes (Phillips et al., 2014).

Distribution of snacks differed between squads (Table 2) and it would appear that this is consequence of differing training times between squads. The fulltime U18s trained in the morning (~10.30am) and only consumed 6% of their snacks during this period. In comparison, the school boy squads habitually train in the evening (~5pm) and consumed ~25% of their snacks during the morning period. This disparity of snack distribution across squads in the morning period may simply be due to the U18s being out training and are therefore restricted in what they can consume.

326 A limitation of the current study is the use of food dairies to analyze nutritional habits, 327 and indeed, previous research has shown a potential under-reporting effect of up to 328 20% (Burke et al., 2001). However, even when accounting for potential under-329 reporting effects, it would appear that the current populations would still be under-330 fueling for performance in accordance with current literature (Burke et al., 2006). To 331 address this hypothesis, future research should accurately quantify the energy 332 expenditure within elite youth soccer players through a variety of techniques such as 333 doubly labeled water and accurate monitoring of training load through GPS 334 technology. Additionally, the sample population for the present study was taken from 335 a single EPL academy, and therefore may not be truly representative of elite players 336 based at other clubs.

337 In conclusion, we provide novel data by simultaneously reporting both the total and 338 daily distribution of macronutrient intakes in elite youth soccer players of differing 339 ages. In agreement with previous authors, we report that soccer players are not 340 meeting current CHO guidelines (especially U18s) though daily protein targets are 341 readily achieved. However, we also report a skewed daily macronutrient distribution 342 in all ages, an effect that was particularly evident for daily protein targets. In this 343 regard, the smallest protein intakes were typically reported at breakfast and snacks 344 whereas the largest intakes were reported in the evening meal. Given the requirement 345 for both optimal energy availability and protein intake to support muscle hypertrophy, 346 our data have important practical implications and suggest that key dietary goals for 347 elite youth players should focus on both total daily macronutrient intake and optimal 348 daily distribution patterns.

349 Acknowledgments

All authors contributed to the design of the study; RN collected and analyzed all data;
RN, JA, IGD, JPM, & EM drafted the manuscript; All authors critically revised the
manuscript; All authors approved the final manuscript for publication. There are no
conflicts of interest to disclose.

354

355

356

357

 360 361 362 363 364 365 366 367 368 References 	359		
 361 362 363 364 365 366 367 368 References 	360		
 362 363 364 365 366 367 368 References 	361		
 363 364 365 366 367 368 References 	362		
 364 365 366 367 368 References 	363		
 365 366 367 368 References 	364		
366 367 368 References	365		
367 368 References	366		
368 References	367		
	368	References	

- 369 Alexy, U., Wicher, M., & Kersting, M. (2010). Breakfast trends in children and
- adolescents: frequency and quality. *Public Health Nutrition*, *13(11)*, 1795–1802.
- 371 Areta, J. L., Burke, L. M., Ross, M. L., Camera, D. M., West, D. M., Broad, E. M.,
- 372 Jeacocke, N. A., Moore, D. R., Stellingwerff, T., Phillips, S. M., Hawley, J. A., &
- 373 Coffey, V. G. 2013. Timing and distribution of protein ingestion during prolonged
- 374 recovery from resistance exercise alters myofibrillar protein synthesis. *The Journal of*
- 375 *physiology*, *591(9)*, 2319–31.
- 376 Bartlett, J. D., Hawley, J. A, & Morton, J. P. (2015). Carbohydrate availability and
- 377 exercise training adaptation: Too much of a good thing? European Journal of Sport
- 378 Science, 15 (1), 3–12.

379	Bartlett, J. D., Louhelainen, J., Iqbal, Z., Cochran, A. J., Gibala, M. J., Gregson, W.,					
380	Close, G. L., Drust, B., and Morton, J. P. (2013). Reduced carbohydrate availability					
381	enhances exercise-induced p53 signaling in human skeletal muscle : implications for					
382	mitochondrial biogenesis. American Journal of Physiologyical: Regulatory,					
383	Integative and Compaative Physiology, 304 (6), 450-458.					
384	Boisseau, N., Le Creff, C., Loyens, M., & Poortmans, J. R. (2002). Protein intake and					
385	nitrogen balance in male non-active adolescents and soccer players. European Journal					
386	of Applied Physiology, 88(3), 288–293.					
387	Boisseau, N., Vermorel, M., Rance, M., Duché, P., & Patureau-Mirand, P. (2007).					
388	Protein requirements in male adolescent soccer players. European Journal of Applied					
389	Physiology, 100(1), 27–33.					
390	Briggs, M., Cockburn, E., Rumbold, P., Rae, G., Stevenson, E., & Russell, M. (2015).					
391	Assessment of Energy Intake and Energy Expenditure of Male Adolescent Academy-					
392	Level Soccer Players during a Competitive Week. <i>Nutrients, 7(10)</i> , 8392–8401.					
393	Burke, L.M., Cox, G. R., Cummings, N. K., & Desbrow, D. (2001). Guidelines for					

- daily carbohydrate intake: do athletes achieve them? *Sports Medicine*, *31(4)*, 267–299.
- Burke, L. M., Loucks, A. B., & Broad, N. (2006). Energy and carbohydrate for
 training and recovery. *Journal of Sports Science*, 24(7), 675-685.
- 397 Deakin, V. (2000). Measuring nutritional status of athletes: Clinical and research
- 398 perspectives. In: Clinical Sports Nutrition. Burke, L. and Deakin, V. eds. Sydney,
- 399 Austraila: McCraw-Hill, 2000. Pp. 30-68.

- 400 Department of Health. (1991). Report on Health and Social Subjects: 41. Dietary
- 401 Reference Values for Food Energy and Nutrients for the United Kingdom. Her
- 402 Majesty's Stationary Office: Norwich.
- 403 Garaulet, M., & Gómez-Abellán, P. (2014). Timing of food intake and obesity: a
- 404 novel association. *Physiology & Behavior*, *134*, 44–50.
- 405 Goedecke, J., White, N., Chicktay, W., Mahomed, H., Durandt, J., & Lambert, M.
- 406 (2013). The Effect of Carbohydrate Ingestion on Performance during a Simulated
- 407 Soccer Match. *Nutrients*, *5(12)*, 5193–5204.
- 408 Gleeson, M., & Bishop, N.C. (2000). Elite athlete immunology: importance of
- 409 nutrition. International Journal Sports Medicine, 21 (1), S44-50.
- 410 Gunnarsson, T. P., Bendiksen, M., Bischoff, R., Christensen, P. M., Lesivig, B.,
- 411 Madsen, K., Stephens, F., Greenhaff, P., Krustrup, P., & Bangsbo, J. (2013). Effect of
- 412 whey protein- and carbohydrate-enriched diet on glycogen resynthesis during the first
- 413 48 h after a soccer game. Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports,
- 414 *23(4)*, 508–515.
- 415 Iglesias-Gutiérrez, E., García-Rovés, P. M., Rodríguez, C., Braga, S., García-Zapico,
- 416 P., & Patterson, Á. M. (2005). Food Habits and Nutritional Status Assessment of
- 417 Adolescent Soccer Players. A Necessary and Accurate Approach. Canadian Journal
- 418 *of Applied Physiology, 30(1),* 18–32.
- 419 Iglesias-Gutiérrez, E., García, Á., García-Zapico, P., Pérez-Landaluce, J., Patterson,
- 420 Á. M., & García-Rovés, P. M. (2012). Is there a relationship between the playing
- 421 position of soccer players and their food and macronutrient intake? Applied
- 422 Physiology, Nutrition & Metabolism, 37(2), 225–232.

- 423 Jeukendrup, A. (2014). A Step Towards Personalized Sports Nutrition: Carbohydrate
- 424 Intake During Exercise. *Sports Medicine*, 44(1), 25–33.
- 425 Johnston, J. D. (2014). Physiological links between circadian rhythms, metabolism
- 426 and nutrition. *Experimental Physiology*, 99(9), 1133–1137.
- 427 Leblanc, J. C., Le Gall, F., Grandjean, V., & Verger, P. (2002). Nutritional Intake of
- 428 French Soccer Players at the Clairefontaine Training Center. International Journal of
- 429 Sport Nutrition & Exercise Metabolism, 12(3), 268.
- 430 Leidy, H. J., Clifton, P. M., Astrup, A., Wycherley, T. P., Westerterp-Plantenga, M.
- 431 S., Luscombe-Marsh, N. D., & Mattes, R. D. (2015). The role of protein in weight loss
- 432 and maintenance. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 101(6), 1320S–1329.
- 433 Magkos, F., & Yannakoulia, M. (2003). Methodology of dietary assessment in
- 434 athletes: concepts and pitfalls. Current Opinion in Clinical Nutrition & Metabolic
- 435 *Care*, *6*(5). 539-549.
- 436 Mamerow, M. M., Mettler, J. a, English, K. L., Casperson, S. L., Arentson-lantz, E.,
- 437 Sheffield-Moore, M., & Paddon-jones, D. (2014). Dietary Protein Distribution
 438 Positively Influences 24-h Muscle Protein Synthesis in Healthy Adults. *The Journal of*439 *Nutrition*, *144* (6), 876–880.
- 440 Mori, H. (2014). Effect of timing of protein and carbohydrate intake after resistance
- 441 exercise on nitrogen balance in trained and untrained young men. Journal of
- 442 *Physiological Anthropology*, *33(1)*, 24.
- 443 Milsom, J., Naughton, R., O'Boyle, A., Iqbal, Z., Morgans, R., Drust, B., & Morton,
- 444 J.P. (2015). Body composition assessment of English Premier League soccer players:

- 445 a comparative DXA analysis of first team, U21 and U18 squads. Journal of Sports
- 446 Science, 16, 1-8.
- 447 Murphy, C. H., Hector, A. J., & Phillips, S. M. (2014). Considerations for protein
- 448 intake in managing weight loss in athletes. European Journal of Sport Science, 15 (1),
- 449 21–28.
- 450 Phillips, S. M. (2014). A brief review of critical processes in exercise-induced
 451 muscular hypertrophy. *Sports Medicine*, 44, S71-77.
- 452 Phillips, S. M., & Van Loon, L. J. C. (2011). Dietary protein for athletes: From
- 453 requirements to optimum adaptation. *Journal of Sports Sciences, 29(1),* S29–S38.
- 454 Ruiz, F., Irazusta, A., Gil, S., Irazusta, J., Casis, L., & Gil, J. (2005). Nutritional intake
- 455 in soccer players of different ages. *Journal of Sports Sciences, 23(3)*, 235–242.
- Russell, M., & Pennock, A. (2011). Dietary analysis of young professional soccer
 players for 1 week during the competitive season. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research / National Strength & Conditioning Association, 25(7),* 1816–
 1823.
- Wrigley, R., Drust, B., Stratton, G., Scott, M., & Gregson, W. (2012). Quantification
 of the typical weekly in-season training load in elite junior soccer players. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *30(15)*, 1573–1580.
- Zehnder, M., Rico-Sanz, J., Kuhne, G., & Boutellier, U. (2001). Resynthesis of
 muscle glycogen after soccer specific performance examined by 13C-magnetic
 resonance spectroscopy in elite players. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*, *84(5)*, 443–447.



Table 1. A comparison of age, body mass, height, BMI, soccer and non-soccer
training between elite youth soccer players from an EPL academy from the U13/14s,
U15/16s and U18s squads. Training data adapted from Brownlee *et al.* (Unpublished
data).

Squad	Age (years)	Body Mass (kg)	Height (cm)	BMI (kg/m ²)	Soccer Training (mins)	Non-Soccer Training (mins)
U13/14s	12.7 ± 0.6	44.7 ± 7.2	157.8 ± 11.0	17.9±1.3	436 ± 29	33 ± 28
U15/16s	14.4 ± 0.5	60.4 ± 8.1	173.1 ± 7.8	20.1 ± 1.5	212 ± 57	81 ± 39
U18s	16.4 ± 0.5	70.6 ± 7.6	180.1 ± 7.3	21.7 ± 0.9	224 ± 38	89 ± 21

- - **Table 2**. A breakdown of frequency of snack consumption for all squads.

	Percentage of snacks consumed within Time Point (%)				
Time Point	U13/14s	U15/16s	U18s		
Morning Snack	24	25	6		
(Between Breakfast					
& Lunch)					
Afternoon Snack	40	49	59		
(Between Lunch &					
Dinner)					
Late Snack	36	26	35		
(After Dinner)					
493					
494					

Values are mean \pm SD.



Table 3. A comparison of daily energy and macronutrient intake between elite youth
soccer players from an EPL academy from the U13/14s, U15/16s and U18s squads
expressed as absolute and relative.

	U13/14s	U15/16s	U18s		
Absolute Energy	1903 ± 432.4	1926.7 ± 317.2	1958.2 ± 389.5		
(kcal)					
Relative Energy	43.1 ± 10.3^{a}	32.6 ± 7.9	28.1 ± 6.8		
(kcal·kg ⁻¹)					
Absolute CHO	266.3 ± 58.4	275.1 ± 61.9	223.7 ± 79.9		
(g)					
Relative CHO	6.0 ± 1.2^{a}	4.7 ± 1.4^{b}	3.2 ± 1.3		
(g·kg ⁻¹)					
Absolute Protein	97.3 ± 21.0	96.1 ± 13.7	$142.6 \pm 23.6^{\circ}$		
(g)					
Relative Protein	2.2 ± 0.5	1.6 ± 0.3^{d}	2.0 ± 0.3		
(g·kg ⁻¹)					
Absolute Fat	56.1 ± 17.5	55.2 ± 10.6	60.0 ± 14.7		
(g)					

Relative Fat
(g*g*)
$$1.3 \pm 0.5^a$$
 0.9 ± 0.3 0.9 ± 0.3 509509510*Denotes significant difference from both U15/16s and U18s. ^b Denotes significant difference511from U18s. ^c Denotes significant difference from both U13/14s and U15/16s. ^d Denotes512significant difference from both U13/14s and U18s. Values are mean±SD.513514515516517518519Figure 1. – Comparison of total and relative CHO and protein intake for each squad520521521532533534535536537538539539530531531531532533534534535535536537538539539530531531531531532533533534535535536537538539539530531531532533533534534535535536537537538538539539539









U18



542





Figure 1. – Comparison of total and relative CHO and protein intake for each squad across different meals. White bars represent U13/14s, grey bars represent U15/16s and black bars represent U18s. All values are mean ± SD. a Denotes significant difference from lunch, dinner and snacks. b Denotes significant difference from both lunch and snacks. c Denotes significant difference from all meals. d Denotes significant difference from both lunch and dinner. e Denotes significant difference from lunch. Denotes significant difference from U18s. ^ Denotes significant difference from U13/14s and U15/16s. * Denotes significant difference from U15/16s and U18s.

184x159mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Dear Editor

We thank the reviewer for their comments and have re-drafted our manuscript to address their concerns;

- Please find the manuscript formatted for submission to the IJSNEM we apologize for this original oversight.
- 2) Word count is now addressed on our cover page 3063.
- We have re-formatted our tables and graphs in our manuscript now contains 2 tables and 1 figure which contains 4 sub-figures, meeting the IJSNEM submission guidelines.

We believe this manuscript is now fit for submission and we hope you consider our manuscript for publication in the *International Journal of Sports Nutrition and Exercise Metabolism*.

Kind Regards Robert Naughton



Dear Prof. Maughen

We would like to thank you for sending our manuscript to review and considering it for publication in the *International Journal of Sports Nutrition and Exercise Metabolism.* We thank the Reviewers for taking the time to review our manuscript and for providing us with excellent feedback. We would like to respond to their comments below;

Response to Reviewer One

The authors would like to sincerely thank Reviewer One for their kind feedback and recommendations for our manuscript. Their comments are extremely constructive and will certainly help improve our manuscript for potential publication.

Below we will answer each of their comments.

Comment 1 – Reference to adaptation

On reflection the authors whole-heartedly agree with the Reviewer and have removed the reference to adaptation from the title.

Comment 2 – Line 174 - Sentences does not make sense.

The authors thank the reviewer for highlighting this point, the amendment has been made.

Comment 3 – Line 249 - It is not clear who you are referring to with the protein intake numbers.

The authors thank the reviewer for highlighting this point, the amendment has been made.



Response to Reviewer Two

The authors would like to sincerely thank Reviewer Two for their kind feedback, thorough comments and excellent recommendations for our manuscript. Their detailed review has helped us to improve this manuscript for potential publication.

Below we will answer each of their comments.

Comment 1 – Title

On reflection the authors whole-heartedly agree with the Reviewer and have removed the reference to adaptation from the title.

Comment 2 – Introduction

The authors agree that displaying the dietary intake for training days vs rest days would certainly be of interest, however within the food diaries these days were not actually reported by participants and some day this data is missing. From this data we have 2 squads reporting 2 rests days and another reporting 1, subsequently we feel we do not have a complete enough data set to accurately quantify comparisons.

Comment 3 – Methods

The authors thank Reviewer Two for their great suggestion and in response we have now gone through each individual food diary to record the timings of when each player consumed a snack (if any). This information is displayed in a new table 2, and discussed on page 13 where relevant, we hope this helps to clarify the timings of the snacks and meets the expectations of the Reviewer.

Regarding the second point, the authors collected the data during a 7-day period of typical training (data displayed in table 1) where there was no competitive match. The authors have now further clarified on page 6, line 123-124.

Comment 4 – Results

The reviewer makes an excellent point, unfortunately, due to insufficient coaching reports / data we cannot reported the training distribution. We have therefore included data which represents typical training loads from a separate study conducted on these age groups by Brownlee *et al.* (Unpublished data). Additionally, in response to comment 1 we have removed the reference to adaptation from our title.

Comment 5 – Discussion

The authors thank the reviewer for highlighting this point, the amendment has been made. The authors completely agree with the Reviewer regarding the CHO requirements of youth athletes being unknown and have added this into our manuscript.

Comment 6 – Figures and Tables

The authors completely appreciate the Reviewers recommendation; however, the authors would like to keep the y axis suitable to the data displayed. Potentially by making the y axis consistent the reader may struggle to fully appreciate the difference between meals and teams – namely for protein.