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From Karachi to San Bernadino: In Quest of an Alternative Discourse on Terrorism

In the aftermath of the Paris and San Bernardino attacks, the world can no longer afford apologist and politically correct discourses on terrorism.

During my recent trip to Pakistan to attend an international educational and cultural conference in Karachi, I had an interesting discussion on the Paris attacks. A warm and friendly American academic colleague suggested how terrorism could be attributed to U.S. foreign policy failure and mentioned Iraq as an example. He opined that global terrorism could be the natural reaction of millions of Muslims who were adversely affected by the U.S.-led invasion of Iraq and elsewhere. Much as I appreciated the self-criticism of my esteemed American colleague, as a Muslim from a multi-sect background, I begged to disagree.

If terrorism is simply a result of bad U.S. foreign policy - and aspects of such policy have certainly been disastrous - then why are the major affectees in Iraq not lashing out?

Why were there no Ezidi (or Yazidi) suicide bombers in Paris or London? Why are no Iraqi Shias - who have suffered the highest casualties not only at the hands of the ISIS but also before that at the hands of Saddam Hussain and his Baathist machinery - exploding themselves in markets and train stations in Europe and North America? And why were there no Pakistani Sunni Barelvis, Sufis, Iraqi Kurds, Syrian Christians and Yemeni Shias amongst the fanatics cowardly gunning down innocent Parisians who were simply enjoying a music concert at the Bataclan theatre?
Closer to my origins in Pakistan, the Sunni Barelivs, Sufis and Shiias along with Ahmadis and Christians suffer regular violence at the hands of proscribed militant outfits such as the Sipah-e-Sahaba Pakistan (SSP, currently operating as Ahle Sunnat Wal Jamaat (ASWJ), Lashkar-e-Jhangvi (LeJ) and the Pakistani Taliban (TTP). Indeed, not a single incident of suicide bombing or indiscriminate massacres in Pakistan can be attributed to Sunni Barelivs, Sufis, Shiias, Ahmadis, Christians or Hindus.

Thus, despite certain ramifications, terrorism is not entirely attributable to bad governmental policy. Instead, irrespective of the geographical or political context, it is possible to trace the common denominator in the world’s most lethal terror outfits, namely Boko Haram, ISIS, Al-Qaeda/Al-Nusra, Taliban and SSP/LeJ.

What’s common in them? It is Takfiri jihadism of the Salafis/Wahhabis which is rooted in the ideologies of Ibn Taymiyyah (1263-1328) and Muhammad ibn Abdul Wahhab (1703-1792). In South Asia, takfiri jihadism was adopted and practiced by the Deobandis and their predecessors, from Syed Ahmed’s (1786-1831) jihadist movement to the Taliban and SSP/LeJ.

Similarly, terrorism cannot be blamed on economic deprivation. If that were the case, the increasingly oppressed and disenfranchised Christian and Hindu communities of Pakistan would have taken the lead in terrorist activities. Yet as per an academic research conducted by Dr. Ejaz Hussain at the University of Pennsylvania, 90% of the terrorists in Pakistan are from the Deobandi sub-sect, a South Asian variant of Wahhabism.

My point to my esteemed American colleague was this: while aspects of U.S. foreign policy are problematic and need to be rectified, we can neither ignore the common identity of terrorists all over the world nor can we overlook the fact that the majority of the victims of this terrorism are Muslims themselves.

After the conclusion of the conference, I took out a few hours to revisit my past years in Karachi from the mid-1990s. At the harbour pier, I came across a local celebrity couple who played the central role in a very popular 1980s TV show, “Sonsa Chandu”. The show was penned by a leading left liberal intellectual, Munno Bhai and centred around a Pakistan where a rural migrant couple displayed simple but sincere folk wisdom to their new urban friends. The show highlighted the diversity, inclusivity and warmth of the average Pakistani. In spite of decades of Saudi funding and takfiri indoctrination during and after the Afghan jihad, this warmth and openness is still the hallmark of the average Pakistan.

Even after the State’s manipulation to reengineer and distort a diverse Pakistani culture rooted in its South Asian past, most Pakistanis I came across still remain open to the world and I was lucky to experience their hospitality during my short trip to Pakistan. However, I could also not ignore the changing ground realities of what decades of takfiriization have done to my country. The Sunni mosque in Karachi’s old district had been taken over by a hardline group with ominous graffiti in the area against Shiias, Sunni Sufis and Ahmadis. After several targeted killings in the neighbourhood, the Shia mosque near Soldier Bazar had multiple checkpoints and tower sentries to protect its attendees from the SSP/LeJ/TTP network. Similar security arrangements were there at the Sufi shrine of Abdullah Shah Ghazi, which suffered a suicide attack in 2010. I had been away for a decade and half but even then I had begun to see the effects of what takfiri indoctrination had done to a very diverse and vibrant society.

Those few hours brought back bittersweet memories of a Pakistan that I grew up in. The Paris attacks and the tragedy it brought to scores of families are still fresh but in Pakistan it seems, the scars run far deeper. As per government estimates, more than 60,000 people have been killed in takfiri terrorism in the last few decades and the study alluded to earlier highlights the breakdown of the perpetrators. From the Ashura massacre of Shiias and Sunnis in Karachi in 2009, to the massacres of Sunni Sufis and Ahmadis in Lahore in 2010 and onward to the massacres of the Hazara Shiias in Quetta (2012-2014), schoolchildren in Peshawar (2014) and Christians in Lahore (2015), there is a common thread. These were not incidents of ‘sectarian violence’ (a false neutral term used in the mainstream media) but systematic attacks against nearly every faith and sect in Pakistan, i.e., all those who disagree with the takfiri and jihadist agendas of the perpetrators.
The common denominator behind all these attacks are interlinked groups like SSP/LeJ and the Taliban. Despite being a banned organization and proudly owning up to thousands of murders and scores of massacres, SSP/LeJ (aka ASWJ) and its various incarnations and aliases roam around freely. They are welcomed and provided a free pulpit on the mainstream media channels and are regularly feted by certain 'sympathetic' journalists and politicians. In Pakistan's capital city Islamabad, the notorious ASWJ-affiliated Lal Masjid (Red Mosque) has publicly declared its support for ISIS even as it refuses to condemn the murder of 150 schoolchildren in Peshawar by the Taliban. Decades after it was founded with the tacit support by the military dictator General Zia-ul-Haq and billions of dollars of Saudi funding later, it is obvious that Pakistan is hostage to this fringe but powerful militant group and the takfiri mosque and madrassa structures that back it.

Days after my return from Pakistan, the San Bernardino tragedy took place and the central perpetrator, Tashfeen Malik, had been radicalized via the same route as scores of my fellow countrymen/women - via the harsh, stark and literalist Saudi-funded school of Wahhabism, and its South Asian version, Deobandism. She and her family are said to have links to the Lal Masjid, the SSP/LeJ and Dr Farhat Hashmi's Al-Huda Institute, known for spreading extremist Salafi ideology in South Asian Muslim women.

Declan Walsh reports in The New York Times (6 Dec '15): "Relatives and neighbours said that, after some years in Saudi Arabia, Ms. [Tashfeen] Malik’s father, Gulzar, rejected the Bareli school of Sunni Islam that his family had traditionally practiced, and turned to the stricter Deobandi school. He stopped returning home for weddings, and his children, including Ms. Malik, did not meet their Pakistani relatives."

This is a major challenge currently facing Pakistan and other Muslim majority nations. Historically peaceful and tolerant Sunni Muslims (Sufis, Hanafis etc) are gradually being exposed to and radicalized by ultra-orthodox Salafi and Deobandi ideologies, embracing the twisted notions of takfir and jihad.

Terrorists like Tashfeen Malik and her husband Syed Rizwan Farook are not dispossessed and oppressed individuals. Like many other extremists, they are educated and come from upper-middle class backgrounds. It is not their religion (Islam) or ethnicity (Pakistani or whatever), it is their takfiri ideology which connects them to the likes of Abu Bakr Al-Baghdadi, Osama bin Laden, Haq Nawaz Jhangvi and Baitullah Mehsud and onward to Ibn Taymiyyah, Ibn Abd al-Wahhab and Syed Ahmed.

From San Bernardino to Karachi and from Paris to Baghdad, there are common threads amongst suicide bombers and terrorists - nearly all of them subscribe to takfiri creeds. Without acknowledging this, we will continue to stumble in the dark and remain beholden to politically correct and apologist driven narratives. Generic terms such as Islamists, extremists or terrorists are counterproductive and useless because they do not specify the perpetrators' identity and the core source of indoctrination. Similarly, calling them Sunni is hugely problematic because the Salafis/Wahhabis and Deobandis represent only a tiny fringe - perhaps less than 10 per cent - of the global Sunni population. As a matter of fact, these militant have killed more Sunnis than any other sect or faith group. Until we diagnose the actual problem of militant Salafism and Deobandism along with its takfiri and jihadist ingredients, we cannot prescribe a solution and will continue to see more lives lost.

At the same time, instead of stereotyping, blaming and scrutinizing all Muslim individuals, sects, mosques and charities, the media and security agencies in the West and elsewhere need to focus their attention and resources to the takfiri elements within Salafis and Deobandis and their financial sponsors in Saudi Arabia, Qatar and elsewhere.

Finally, I would like to offer some advice to my Muslim friends and activists. It is neither truthful nor productive to deny the 'Muslim' identity of these militants. The denialist and apologist approach is as problematic as is a stereotypical approach of blaming all Muslim sects and individuals with a broad brush. By denying or diluting the specific takfiri Salafi and Deobandi identity of these militants, the terrorist apologists and Islamophobes are helping no one but the terrorists, providing them with legitimacy as well as obfuscating their true identity. And by failing to distinguish themselves in clear terms from those fringe sub-sects that are undertaking violence, and by not informing the rest of the world, the Sunni Muslim victims of takfiri violence will continue to be treated in the same category as the perpetrators.

Glossary

Takfiri: A takfiri is an extremist Muslim who accuses other Muslim individuals, groups or entire society of kufri (infidelity) due to political, ideological or sectarian differences, and resorts to violence to enforce a takfiri agenda.

Salafism: The ultra-conservative movement within Sunni Islam that rejects cultural diversity and Sufi practices declaring them religious innovation (bidda) and polytheism (shirk). The Salafi movement generally draws on the ideologies of Ibn Taymiyyah and Muhammad ibn Abd al-Wahhab.

Deobandi: The ultra-conservative movement within Sunni Islam in South Asia, which is influenced by the Salafi/Wahhabi ideology. The name derives from Deoband, India, where the madrassa Darul Uloom Deoband is situated. The Deobandi movement was founded in 1867 in the wake of failed jihadist movements of Syed Ahmed, Shah Ismail and Qasim Nanotvi.

Follow Jawad Syed on Twitter: www.twitter.com/DrJawadSyed

MORE: Terrorism War on Terror Deobandi Islam Salafi Wahhabism Pakistan Islamic State

MOST POPULAR VIDEOS by Gravity
YOU MAY LIKE

£2bn Lloyds share sale: the latest news
Hargreaves Lansdown

See The Online Furniture Store That Has Retailers Worried
Wayfair

Her Stunning Gown Literally Left Fans Breathless (Photos)
StyleBistro

Photos From the Past That The News Wouldn't Or Couldn't Show You
Daily Bananas

Conversations
Ali Rizvi
One of the most comprehensive reports on Takfiri terrorism worldwide. It encourages introspection as opposed to denial and highlights the exact strains of extremists in Islam.

Finally the specific identity sect identity of ISIS and Talibain is being discussed. These Wahhabi Deobandi groups have massacred thousands of Shia and Sunni muslims as well as Christians and Ezidis.

*All religions are not the same just as all Muslim sects are not the same. The Takfiri menace of radical Wahhabism and Deobandism is a threat to everyone.

Tasheen malik was a radical Wahhabi Deobandi muslim
Like · Reply 6 · 8 December 2015 11:38 · Edited

Matt Gwinn
I'll admit I had to struggle through the alphabet soup of sect names that are so foreign to my American ears, but it's a good article in that it calls out a specific group. Not a small group, but a specific group. If you do the math the group called out in the article is about 140 million strong (I had to look up some Pew statistic: 23% of the world is Muslim and 90% are Sunni. According to the article 10% of the Sunni identify as takfiri - if you do the math that's 2% of the world population). What is concerning is the seeming rapid growth of this minority implied by the article. (That's nearly as concerning as a Trump presidency...) What does the growth trajectory say about the future of this group? How long before that is 4% of the world population? The author does a good job debunking the weak answers to the growth of radicalism (poverty, foreign policy, etc). But it begs the question: What is so attractive about this ideology to them?

Like · Reply 1 · 10 December 2015 19:37

Trev Corks
I'm going to read and reread this until I gain understanding. It looks to be an excellent treatise and I'm sure it will help me understand the awesome complexities of the Muslim religion.

Thankyou
Like · Reply 4 · 11 December 2015 12:49

Yasir Abbas Syed · Habib Public School
All I can say is WOW !
Like · Reply 12 December 2015 09:02

Naj Hamid
Much needed conversation happening.
Like · Reply 1 · 13 December 2015 10:12

Wendy McClelland · Chief Creative Officer at Wendy McClelland
Fantastic article. Thank you for your amazing insights. I will be sharing this.
Like · Reply 13 December 2015 11:06

Talha Rizvi · University of Karachi
I have noticed all of these things too. Also how Pakistani women have started wearing Abayas, Niqab and Hijab at an alarming rate.
Like · Reply 1 · 14 December 2015 12:08