



University of **HUDDERSFIELD**

University of Huddersfield Repository

Navendren, Dharshana, Manu, Patrick, Wildin, Keith, Shelbourn, Mark and Mahamadu, Abdul-Majeed

Briefing: Towards exploring profession-specific BIM challenges in the UK

Original Citation

Navendren, Dharshana, Manu, Patrick, Wildin, Keith, Shelbourn, Mark and Mahamadu, Abdul-Majeed (2014) Briefing: Towards exploring profession-specific BIM challenges in the UK. *Proceedings of the ICE - Management, Procurement and Law*, 167 (4). pp. 163-166. ISSN 1751-4304

This version is available at <http://eprints.hud.ac.uk/id/eprint/28174/>

The University Repository is a digital collection of the research output of the University, available on Open Access. Copyright and Moral Rights for the items on this site are retained by the individual author and/or other copyright owners. Users may access full items free of charge; copies of full text items generally can be reproduced, displayed or performed and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided:

- The authors, title and full bibliographic details is credited in any copy;
- A hyperlink and/or URL is included for the original metadata page; and
- The content is not changed in any way.

For more information, including our policy and submission procedure, please contact the Repository Team at: E.mailbox@hud.ac.uk.

<http://eprints.hud.ac.uk/>



University of the
West of England

Navendren, D., Manu, P., Mahamadu, A.-M., Shelbourn, M. and Wildin, K. (2014) Briefing: Towards exploring profession-specific BIM challenges in the UK. *Institution of Civil Engineers Management Procurement and Law*, 167 (4). pp. 163-166. ISSN 1751-4304

We recommend you cite the published version.

The publisher's URL is:

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1680/mpal.14.00004>

Refereed: Yes

<http://www.icevirtuallibrary.com/content/journals> Permission is granted by ICE Publishing to print one copy for personal use. Any other use of these PDF files is subject to reprint fees.

Disclaimer

UWE has obtained warranties from all depositors as to their title in the material deposited and as to their right to deposit such material.

UWE makes no representation or warranties of commercial utility, title, or fitness for a particular purpose or any other warranty, express or implied in respect of any material deposited.

UWE makes no representation that the use of the materials will not infringe any patent, copyright, trademark or other property or proprietary rights.

UWE accepts no liability for any infringement of intellectual property rights in any material deposited but will remove such material from public view pending investigation in the event of an allegation of any such infringement.

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR TEXT.

Briefing: Towards exploring profession-specific BIM challenges in the UK

1 Dharshana Navendren MSc
Graduate, City University, London, UK

2 Patrick Manu BSc, PhD, AFHEA
Senior Lecturer, University of the West of England, Bristol, UK

3 Abdul-Majeed Mahamadu MSc, iCIOB
Doctoral Researcher, University of the West of England, Bristol, UK

4 Mark Shelbourn MSc, PhD, iCIOB, AIBS, FHEA
Senior Lecturer, University of the West of England, Bristol, UK

5 Keith Wildin BSc
Regional BIM Manager and Senior Planner, BAM Construction Ltd, Bristol, UK



Building information modelling (BIM) has been proposed as an enabler for greater efficiency and effectiveness within the UK construction industry, providing digital management of construction data throughout the project life cycle. The potential benefits of BIM have been widely discussed in published literature but relatively less attention has been paid to the discipline/profession-specific challenges of wider industry adoption. Further studies, such as the authors' ongoing research, could help to remedy this.

1. Introduction

Process inefficiencies and lack of innovation have contributed to entrenching long-standing UK construction industry problems of fragmentation and poor delivery, as highlighted in seminal industry reports (Egan, 1998; Latham, 1994; Wolstenholme *et al.*, 2009).

Criticism of the industry goes further to include: overestimated or underestimated target costs; strongly ingrained adversarial culture; fragmentation; unmanageably delegated risks and rewards; inadequate safety and quality; lack of thought assigned to asset life cycle and sustainability; high levels of energy usage and waste; overrun budgets; and delayed completion of construction (Egan, 1998; Latham, 1994; Wolstenholme *et al.*, 2009).

The challenges have been linked to lack of integration and effective communication across the highly diverse professional teams within the project life cycle (Egan, 1998). Technology has been advocated for addressing these challenges and improving the present state (Arayici *et al.*, 2012). Consequently, building information modelling (BIM) is being promoted as a catalyst towards bringing real change within an industry notorious for lack of adoption of innovation (Cabinet Office, 2011).

BIM is expected to deliver several benefits which are, however, reliant on its wider adoption by the relevant key project participants. This paper, in highlighting these benefits as well as the challenges to an industry-wide BIM adoption, reveals an important research gap that remains to be interrogated.

2. BIM and implementation in the UK

BIM is described as a collaborative process underpinned by technology (Arayici *et al.*, 2012; NBS, 2012). In BIM, the parametric three-dimensional (3D) modelling of buildings aids dynamic design and embedment of product and asset data (Eastman *et al.*, 2011). Complementary workflows and processes allow the various construction professionals/project participants real-time access to centralised virtual models, which can be used to perform individual functions such as design works, cost estimation, programming and scheduling, and energy analysis (Singh *et al.*, 2011). BIM offers evolutionary changes from traditional workflows that have been characterised by silos of knowledge and information as well as laborious exchange and sharing in mainly paper-centric communication systems (Eastman *et al.*, 2011).

In the UK, the government's announcement within its 2011 construction strategy has instigated great attention to BIM. All

public projects are expected to use BIM level 2 by 2016 in a road map towards universal adoption (Cabinet Office, 2011). The government's targets include a general increased efficiency of delivery, improved carbon performance and up to 20% cost reduction on public projects when BIM is deployed (Cabinet Office, 2011). It is expected that the achievement of these targets will be delivered by means of the benefits associated with BIM, which are considered in the next section.

3. BIM benefits

BIM is expected to deliver real time sharing of information and collaboration towards an integrated supply chain to unearth the associated efficiencies in the delivery of projects (Eastman *et al.*, 2011). The potential benefits of implementing BIM in a construction project are copious including early collaborative decision-making, increased design clarity, a strong link between design and costs, early virtual prototyping, improved visualisations and simulations, optimal asset performance, reduced waste, decreased errors in documents, reduced costs, better construction outcomes, higher predictability of performance, increased understanding of the entire life cycle, and data sharing between all disciplines from cradle to grave (Bryde *et al.*, 2013; McGraw-Hill Construction, 2010, 2012; Suermann and Issa, 2007). Such benefits have been widely acknowledged within BIM literature including the expected benefits of adoption by various professional disciplines (Eastman *et al.*, 2011). In spite of the benefits of BIM, there are several challenges to its wider adoption within the industry.

4. Challenges/barriers to BIM implementation

Whereas the challenges holding back the progression of BIM implementation are numerous, they can be considered from three viewpoints: technological, organisational and environmental.

4.1. Organisational

Newton and Chileshe (2012), in Australia, reveal that the highest ranked challenges of BIM implementation are: lack of understanding about BIM, education and training costs, start-up costs, and changing the way companies do business. BIM promotes significant knowledge sharing and organisational interoperability that can lead to legal issues regarding data ownership, copyright and data protection (Azhar *et al.*, 2011). When project members contribute information there might be a requirement for licensing issues. Problems may arise relating to data inaccuracies with associated risks that create legal barriers (McAdam, 2010). Beyond these, studies have also reported challenges such as: overcoming the resistance to change, and getting people to understand the potential and the value of BIM over traditional approaches; adapting existing workflows to lean-oriented processes; training people in BIM, or finding employees who understand BIM; and a clear

understanding of the responsibilities of different stakeholders (Arayici *et al.*, 2011, 2012; Eastman *et al.*, 2011). Another related problem revolves around the issue of control, supervision and authority over usage in such integrated environments (Davies and Harty, 2012). In addition, there is an element of confusion surrounding which project participant (e.g. owners, designers or contractors) should develop and operate the BIM models and also who should bear the related costs (Azhar *et al.*, 2011). All of these highlight the socio-organisational implications of BIM adoption including relational and transactional issues that impede adoption.

4.2. Technological

Some challenges are directly related to the current state of development and characteristics of BIM technologies. There is a general lack of high-end hardware resources and networking facilities to run BIM applications and tools efficiently (Eastman *et al.*, 2011; Singh *et al.*, 2011). There is also a lack of interoperability that implies the inability of organisations to exchange, share or integrate information across heterogeneous information systems (Eastman *et al.*, 2011; Gallaher *et al.*, 2004). In the USA, it is estimated that losses to the industry due to such interoperability bottlenecks is as high as US\$15 billion (Gallaher *et al.*, 2004). Lack of universality and poor adoptability of data and software standards; unavailability of vendor-neutral data models for effective exchange; scalability and capacity constraints; accessibility and security of data are all challenges that relate to the current state and capabilities of BIM (Mahamadu *et al.*, 2013; Singh *et al.*, 2011).

4.3 Environmental

Wider industrial support and leadership is vital for BIM adoption from both the construction industry bodies and software vendors (Cabinet Office, 2011; Eastman *et al.*, 2011; Mahamadu *et al.*, 2013). Bryde *et al.* (2013) mention setbacks due to lack of awareness or promotion of BIM software, and Fischer and Kunz (2006) further explore barriers including a lack of standardised BIM processes with defined guidelines. Currently, the UK government is the major client promoting BIM and developing frameworks and strategies to aid practices to implement BIM effectively (BCIS, 2011; Cabinet Office, 2011; NBS, 2012). The adoptability and relevance of these are, however, yet to be tested in practice. Overall, procurement and legal guidance are yet to be fully developed and this is evidenced by the lack of comprehensive coverage of BIM-related issues in current procurement documents used in the UK (McAdam, 2010).

Although the above discussion demonstrates that BIM implementation challenges have been a subject of considerable attention, in the main the challenges reported in the literature, however, tend to be generic. There is a lack of insight into discipline/profession-specific challenges that could be undermining

industry-wide BIM implementation. The fact that BIM awareness and usage surveys have reported different levels of awareness and usage among various professional disciplines (NBS, 2012) could be indicative of peculiar challenges that need interrogation from a discipline/profession-specific viewpoint. It is therefore imperative to look beyond the reported generic challenges and interrogate in greater depth the peculiar challenges being faced by the various individual professions/disciplines. This is very crucial as the various professional practices/project participants will have to engage with BIM within their respective functions/roles, albeit in a collaborative manner.

5. Towards interrogating the profession/discipline-specific challenges to BIM implementation

Although industry surveys within the UK and other contexts have acknowledged the need for consideration of contextual factors (such as professional disciplines) in assessing BIM implementation issues (McGraw-Hill Construction, 2010, 2012; NBS, 2012), this has, however, not led to deeper profession/discipline-specific exploration of BIM implementation challenges. Challenges to implementation are to some extent influenced by industrial norms and environmental settings within which each of the disciplines/professions operate within the industry (Davies and Harty, 2013; Jacobsson and Linderöth, 2010; Vrijhoef and De Ridder, 2007). The individual professional dispositions of these stakeholders affect their attitudes towards adoption of technology including BIM (Jacobsson and Linderöth, 2010). Similarly, this affects their readiness, capability, maturity and subsequently their disposition towards use (Davies and Harty, 2013). Barriers will therefore not be perceived or experienced to the same degree. Furthermore, the levels of technological development and competence across the various professional groups may also differ. Drawing on concepts from task–technology–fit (information systems) theory (Mathieson and Keil, 1998), the ability to use technology such as BIM could be dependent on how suited it is to existing work tasks. This is supported by a very recent Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors research report, which highlights the incompatibility between current BIM technologies and UK quantity surveying practice as one of the key factors responsible for the limited use of BIM by quantity surveyors (Wu *et al.*, 2014).

Given therefore the relatively varied ways of working across construction professions/disciplines, it is expected that challenges to BIM implementation would also be dependent on the extent to which BIM characteristics or capabilities are compatible with existing work processes of each profession/discipline (Arayici *et al.*, 2011, 2012; Eastman *et al.*, 2011). Arguably, different disciplines/professions would thus perceive, experience or adapt to BIM challenges differently. They may

also possess different levels of knowledge and, consequently, their ability to overcome the challenges could also differ.

In summary, the foregoing synthesis of the literature points out a fertile research ground that must be explored in depth if smooth industry-wide adoption of BIM is to be achieved. To this end, further studies on BIM challenges with a discipline/profession-specific focus are encouraged.

6. Conclusion

Undeniably, BIM offers some benefits, which, if well harnessed, could be instrumental in mitigating some, if not all, of the age-long industry problems. There are, however, challenges that if not addressed in a timely manner would undermine the realisation of the improvements being heralded to be derived from BIM. Beyond the generic challenges that have been reported, there are also hints or indications of discipline/profession-specific challenges lurking within the industry. These have to be uncovered to enable clarity of BIM challenges so that effective strategies could be devised to help push the BIM agenda for 2016 and beyond. It is envisaged that ongoing research by the authors will help to shed light on this grey area.

REFERENCES

- Arayici Y, Coates P, Koskela L *et al.* (2011) Technology adoption in the BIM implementation for lean architectural practice. *Automation in Construction* **20**(1): 189–195.
- Arayici Y, Egbu C and Coates P (2012) Building information modelling (BIM) implementation and remote construction projects: Issues, challenges and critiques. *Journal of Information Technology in Construction* **17**: 75–92.
- Azhar S (2011) Building information modelling (BIM): trends, benefits, risks, and challenges for the AEC industry. *Leadership and Management in Engineering* **11**(3): 241–252.
- BCIS (Building Cost Information Service) (2011) *RICS 2011 Building Information Modelling Survey Report*. BCIS, London, UK.
- Bryde D, Broquetas M and Volm JM (2013) The project benefits of building information modelling (BIM). *International Journal of Project Management* **31**(7): 971–980.
- Cabinet Office (2011) *Government Construction Strategy*. Cabinet Office, London, UK. See <http://www.cabinetoffice.gov.uk/sites/default/files/resources/Government-Construction-Strategy.pdf> (accessed 23/01/2013).
- Davies R and Harty C (2012) Control, surveillance and the ‘dark side’ of BIM. In *Proceedings of the 28th Annual ARCOM Conference, Edinburgh, UK* (Smith SD (ed.)). Association of Researchers in Construction Management, London, UK.
- Davies R and Harty C (2013) Measurement and exploration of individual beliefs about the consequences of building information modelling use. *Construction Management and Economics* **31**(11): 1110–1127.

- Eastman C, Teicholz P, Sacks R and Liston K (2011) *BIM Handbook: A Guide to Building Information Modelling for Owners, Managers, Designers, Engineers and Contractors*. Wiley, Hoboken, NJ, USA.
- Egan J (1998) *Rethinking Construction: The Report of the Construction Task Force*. The Stationery Office, London, UK.
- Fischer M and Kunz J (2006) *The Scope and Role of Information Technology in Construction*. Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA. See <http://cife.stanford.edu/online.publications/TR156.pdf> (accessed 24/03/2013).
- Gallaher MP, O'Connor AC, Dettbarn Jr JL and Gilday L (2004) *Cost Analysis of Inadequate Interoperability in US Capital and Facilities Industry*. National Institute of Standards and Technology, (NIST), Gaithersburg, MD, USA, NIST Report.
- Jacobsson M and Linderöth CJH (2010) The influence of contextual elements, actors' frames of reference, and technology on the adoption and use of ICT in construction projects: a Swedish case study. *Construction Management and Economics* **28**(1): 13–23.
- Latham M (1994) *Constructing the Team*. HMSO, London, UK.
- McAdam B (2010) The UK legal context for building information modelling. In *Proceedings W113 – Special Track 18th CIB World Building Congress, Salford, UK* (Barrett P, Amaratunga D, Haigh R, Keraminiyage K and Pathirage C (eds)). CIB, Rotterdam, the Netherlands, CIB Publication 345, pp. 269–286.
- McGraw-Hill Construction (2010) *SmartMarket Report – The Business Value of BIM in Europe: Getting Building Information Modelling to the Bottom Line in the United Kingdom, France and Germany*. McGraw-Hill Construction, New York, NY, USA. See http://images.autodesk.com/adsk/files/business_value_of_bim_in_europe_smr_final.pdf (accessed 30/08/2013).
- McGraw-Hill Construction (2012) *SmartMarket Report – The Business Value of BIM: Addressing America's Infrastructure Challenges with Collaboration and Technology*. McGraw-Hill Construction, New York, NY, USA. See http://download.autodesk.com/us/bim_infra/Business_Value_of_BIM_for_Infrastructure_SMR_2012.pdf (accessed 30/08/2013).
- Mahamadu A, Mahdjoubi L and Booth C (2013) Challenges to digital collaborative exchange for sustainable project delivery through building information modelling technologies. In *Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Urban Regeneration and Sustainability, Putrajaya, Malaysia* (Zubir SS and Brebbia CA (eds)). Wit Press, Southampton, UK, pp. 547–557.
- Mathieson K and Keil M (1998) Beyond the interface: Ease of use and task/technology fit. *Information and Management* **34**(4): 221–230.
- NBS (National Building Specification) (2012) *National BIM Report*. NBS, Newcastle, UK.
- Newton KL and Chileshe N (2012) Awareness, usage and benefits of BIM adoption – the case of south Australian construction organizations. In *Proceedings of the 28th Annual ARCOM Conference, Edinburgh, UK* (Smith SD (ed.)). Association of Researchers in Construction Management, London, UK, pp. 3–12.
- Singh V, Gu N and Wang XY (2011) A theoretical framework of a BIM-based multi-disciplinary collaboration platform. *Automation in Construction* **20**(2): 134–144.
- Suermann PC and Issa RR (2007) Evaluating the impact of Building Information Modelling (BIM) on construction. In *Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Construction Applications of Virtual Reality, Pennsylvania, USA*. Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA, USA.
- Vrijhoef R and De Ridder HAJ (2007) Integrating the construction supply chain by applying systems thinking. *Proceedings of the 3rd Scottish Conference for Postgraduate Researchers of the Built and Natural Environment Glasgow* (Egbu CO and Tong MKL (eds)). Glasgow Caledonian University, Glasgow, UK, pp. 469–479.
- Wolstenholme A, Austin SA, Bairstow M et al. (2009) *Never Waste a Good Crisis: A Review of Progress since Rethinking Construction and Thoughts for our Future*. Constructing Excellence, London, UK.
- Wu S, Ginige K, Wood G and Jong SW (2014) *How Can Building Information Modelling (BIM) Support the New Rules or Measurement (NRM1)*. Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors, London, UK.

WHAT DO YOU THINK?

To discuss this briefing, please email up to 500 words to the editor at journals@ice.org.uk. Your contribution will be forwarded to the author(s) for a reply and, if considered appropriate by the editorial panel, will be published as discussion in a future issue of the journal.

Proceedings journals rely entirely on contributions sent in by civil engineering professionals, academics and students. Papers should be 2000–5000 words long (briefing papers should be 1000–2000 words long), with adequate illustrations and references. You can submit your paper online via www.icevirtuallibrary.com/content/journals, where you will also find detailed author guidelines.