

University of Huddersfield Repository

Crua, C., Stetsyuk, V., de Sercey, G. and Turner, J.

Droplet and liquid size measurements in the near-nozzle region

Original Citation

Crua, C., Stetsyuk, V., de Sercey, G. and Turner, J. (2015) Droplet and liquid size measurements in the near-nozzle region. In: ECN4 Workshop, 5-6 Sept 2015, Kyoto University, Kyoto Japan.

This version is available at http://eprints.hud.ac.uk/id/eprint/27246/

The University Repository is a digital collection of the research output of the University, available on Open Access. Copyright and Moral Rights for the items on this site are retained by the individual author and/or other copyright owners. Users may access full items free of charge; copies of full text items generally can be reproduced, displayed or performed and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided:

- The authors, title and full bibliographic details is credited in any copy;
- A hyperlink and/or URL is included for the original metadata page; and
- The content is not changed in any way.

For more information, including our policy and submission procedure, please contact the Repository Team at: E.mailbox@hud.ac.uk.

http://eprints.hud.ac.uk/



Topic 2: Droplet and liquid size measurements in the near-nozzle region

Cyril Crua*, V. Stetsyuk, G. de Sercey – University of Brighton S. Som, C. Powell, A. Kastengren – Argonne National Laboratory



- Droplet size measurements by long-distance microscopy
 - University of Brighton: Cyril Crua, Viacheslav Stetsyuk, Guillaume de Sercey
- Droplet size measurements by Ultra-Small Angle X-ray Scattering
 - Argonne National Laboratory: Chris Powell, Alan Kastengren
- Atomization and mixing at elevated conditions
 - University of Brighton: Cyril Crua
 - Sandia National Laboratories: Julien Manin, Lyle Pickett



- 1. Objectives
- 2. Measurement techniques
- 3. Spray A measurements
- 4. Comparison with Spray B
- 5. Effect of gas pressure and temperature
- 6. Experimental conclusions and future directions

USAXS setup based on Powell et al. (2013) ILASS Americas

- Use USAXS to probe average droplet size
- Measure number of x-rays scattered as a function of angle
- Slope of curve depends on the shape of the scatterers (rod, plate, sphere)
- Absolute magnitude of the scattering depends on the surface area of the scatterers
- Measured density using radiography
- Can determine Sauter Mean Diameter (diameter of a sphere with the same volume/surface area ratio)
- Measurements are pathlength integrated, space-resolved, and time-averaged over the steady-state period of injection
- Beam size: 100×500 μm





ECN Long distance microscopy (Brighton)

Shadowgraphy setup based on Crua et al. (2015) *Fuel* 157 doi.org/4F3

- Record shadowgraphs of the sprays
- We measure droplet size and (when possible!) velocity by image processing
- Camera: dual-frame 29 megapixel (ROI = 4400×6600 pixels)
- Scale factor: $0.56 \,\mu\text{m/pixel}$ (ROI = 2.46×3.70 mm)
- Resolution: 2 μm at 10% contrast (at optimum conditions)
- Space and time-resolved measurements







- 1. Objectives
- 2. Measurement techniques

3. Spray A measurements

- 4. Comparison with Spray B
- 5. Effect of gas pressure and temperature
- 6. Experimental conclusions and future directions

Injector Spray A #210679

Some deviations from the standard 'Spray A' conditions

Exp. Priority	5	1	4	2	7	3	6
	Oxygen	Temperature [K]	Density [kg/m³]	Inj. Pressure [bar]	Fuel	Inj. Duration [ms]	Nozzle
Spray A standard	0%	900	22.8	1500	n-dodecane	1.5	0.090 mm, axial hole
2	21%	800	15.2	1000	n-heptane	4	3-hole, 145 angle, Spray B
3	13%	1000	7.6	500	77% n- dodecane, 23% m-xylene	0.5/0.5 dwell/0.5	0.2 mm Spray C
4	19%	1200	45.6	2000	50% n- dodecane, 50% iso-octane	0.3/0.5 dwell/1.2	-
5	17%	700	30.4	-	-	-	-
6	11%	950	-	-	-	-	-
7	-	850	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	1100	-	-	-	-	-
	-	300	-	-	-	5	-

Fuel temperature at nozzle	338K (65°C) instead of 363 K (90°C)
Common rail	GM Part number 97303659
Common rail volume/length	22 cm ³ /28 cm
Distance from injector inlet to common rail	24 cm
Tubing inside and outside diameters	Inside: 2.4 mm. Outside: 6-6.4 mm.
Fuel pressure measurement	7 cm from injector inlet / 24 cm from nozzle

Legend				
Completed				
Not met				

September 2015

ECN Ultra-small angle x-ray scattering measurements

- ✤ Accuracy of the measurements is +/- 20% at each measurement location
- The measurements for this particular injector (Spray A) provide much smaller droplets than previous USAXS measurements (~ 4 μm): Cavitation?



Injector Spray A #201.02 (Malbec et al. 2013 papers.sae.org/2013-24-0037)
Some deviations from the standard 'Spray A' conditions

Exp. Priority	5	1	4	2	7	3	6
	Oxygen	Temperature [K]	Density [kg/m³]	Inj. Pressure [bar]	Fuel	Inj. Duration [ms]	Nozzle
Spray A standard	0%, 15%	900	22.8	1500	n-dodecane	1.5	0.090 mm, axial hole
2	21%	800	15.2	1000	n-heptane	4	3-hole, 145 angle, Spray B
3	13%	1000	7.6	500	77% n- dodecane, 23% m-xylene	0.5/0.5 dwell/0.5	0.2 mm Spray C
4	19%	1200	45.6	2000	50% n- dodecane, 50% iso-octane	0.3/0.5 dwell/1.2	-
5	17%	700	30.4	-	-	-	-
6	11%	950	-	-	-	-	-
7	-	850	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	1100	-	-	-	-	-
9	-	750	-	-	-	-	-

Fuel temperature at nozzle	403 K (130°C) instead of 363 K (90°C)
Common rail	GM Part number 97303659
Common rail volume/length	22 cm ³ /28 cm
Distance from injector inlet to common rail	24 cm
Tubing inside and outside diameters	Inside: 2.4 mm. Outside: 6-6.4 mm.
Fuel pressure measurement	7 cm from injector inlet / 24 cm from nozzle

Legend				
Completed				
In progress				
Not met				

9

ECN Results – 0.5 ms after start of injection

- Droplets are visible in the optically-thin region of spray periphery, but challenging to measure:
 - Surrounded by density gradients, vaporised fuel, and shock/pressure waves
- Advanced image processing algorithms identifies many of the small liquid structures, without producing significant false positives in blurred parts of the image (lower left figure)



Optically-thin region is narrow, and generally limited to the highshear and entrainment regions.

Pressure waves are often visible along the spray periphery.

How do they affect droplet formation, mixing and optical resolution?

ECN

September 2015

- Droplet sizes appear normally distributed, and somewhat independent of radial position.
- SMD reduces with axial distance.
- Is the optically-thin region dominated by droplets that can be entrained by small-scale eddies in the shear layer?
- If so, then we should expect larger droplets in the centreline than in the shear layers.



Statistics for x = 1, 2, 4, 6 ±0.25 mm (y = ±1.2 mm; z = ±0.01 mm)



September 2015

ECN

ECN Comparison of LDM and USAXS for Spray A

- LDM and USAXS give different SMD results at 1, 4 and 6 mm
- This may be real, or partially due to differences between the techniques:
 - ✤ USAXS is pathlength-integrated and time-averaged; LDM is space and time-resolved.
 - LDM cannot measure droplets smaller than 2-3µm, so the SMD is biased towards large droplets. The size of droplets may also be overestimated due to low contrast and motion blurring.
- These results represent our best efforts, and they may change as calibration and analysis methods improve. We believe these LDM measurements are an upper limit for the SMD.



ECN Qualitative comparison with Spray B

- During 'steady state' (0.5ms after SOI) Spray B appears broadly similar to Spray A
- Droplets are visible in the optically-thin region of spray periphery
 - Also surrounded by density gradients, vaporised fuel, and shock/pressure waves
- Droplet size distributions to be processed soon but, qualitatively, the shear layer structures appear similar to Spray A



September 2015



- 1. Objectives
- 2. Measurement techniques
- 3. Spray A measurements
- 4. Comparison with Spray B

5. Effect of gas pressure and temperature

6. Experimental conclusions and future directions

ECN Effect of gas conditions on atomization

Atomization and classical evaporation

- Droplets can be seen in the shear layer and at the end of injection
- Droplets deform/oscillate, ligaments converge into spheres
- Droplets diameters progressively reduce, with vapour trails

n-hexadecane into 900 K, 79 bar, 30.4 kg/m³



Atomization and miscible mixing

- Cannot resolve droplets in shear layers
- Breakup is observed with droplets visible at the end of injection
- Droplets deform/oscillate, ligaments converge into spheres
- Droplets suddenly spread out and vaporize

n-hexadecane into 1200 K, 107 bar, 30.4 kg/m³

ECN

700 K Surface tension & classical evaporation

- Droplet remains spherical, with sharp interface
- Progressive mass transfer from liquid to gas

1000 K Surface tension & deformation-accelerated evaporation?

- Rapid transition from spheroid into stretched fluid
- Disintegration process is initiated at the wake side of the droplet

1200 K Surface tension initially followed by evaporation and miscible mixing

- Fluid stretches without a clearly elastic behaviour
- Mixing of two fluids with different densities



- Significant droplet oscillations and deformations
- Disintegration also at the wake side
- But into three separate chunks of fluid



- Manin et al. (2014) Fuel
 - surface tension criteria
 - transition between atomization and diffusion-controlled mixing
- ✤ New results for *n*-heptane, *n*-dodecane, *n*-hexadecane
 - more reliable data \rightarrow improved confidence
 - some surface tension at all conditions for *n*-dodecane and *n*-hexadecane
 - some surface tension for most conditions for *n*-heptane
 - transition from classical evaporation to miscible mixing





New findings

- Atomisation and surface tension
 - Evidence of surface tension for all diesel engine-relevant conditions
 - Under certain conditions surface tension appeared negligible and liquid breakup inexistent
- Droplet size distributions
 - Measured in near-nozzle, optically-thin and optically-dense, regions
 - LDM droplet sizes appear normally distributed, and independent of radial position
- Secondary breakup has not been directly observed (limitation of our instruments?)

Near future

- These results represent our best efforts, and they may change as calibration and analysis methods improve
- ✤ We believe these LDM measurements are an upper limit for the 'true' SMD of Spray A
- We may need to move away from mean droplet size parameters, unless our instruments can resolve *all* droplet sizes:
 - PDF and droplet count distributions would allow selective, and more detailed, comparisons between experiments and simulations



Towards a better understanding of atomization for both Spray A and Spray B

- Droplet size distributions
 - 1. Time evolution of droplet size distributions (including start & end of injection)?
 - 2. Need space-resolved data and simulations, especially radial distributions
 - 3. Need quantification of droplet shapes to better estimate their surface area
- Shear layer dynamics
 - 1. Does local turbulence affect radial droplet size distributions through spatial 'filtering'?
 - 2. Need measurements and simulations for vortex size and velocity profiles
- Boundary conditions
 - 1. How do fuel properties influence size and shape distributions?
 - 2. How does fuel temperature influence size and shape distributions?
- Physics of atomization
 - 1. How do internal flow differences between Spray A and B affect breakup?
 - 2. Is atomization a single stage breakup process? (or do we need better diagnostics)?