



# University of HUDDERSFIELD

## University of Huddersfield Repository

Whittaker, Robert, Hothi, Harry, Meswania, Jay, Bills, Paul J., Racasan, Radu, Eskelinen, Antti, Blunn, Gordon, Skinner, John and Hart, A. J.

36mm Metal-on-Metal Hips have Similar Taper Material Loss Rates as Larger Diameter Hips from the Same Manufacturer

### Original Citation

Whittaker, Robert, Hothi, Harry, Meswania, Jay, Bills, Paul J., Racasan, Radu, Eskelinen, Antti, Blunn, Gordon, Skinner, John and Hart, A. J. (2015) 36mm Metal-on-Metal Hips have Similar Taper Material Loss Rates as Larger Diameter Hips from the Same Manufacturer. In: American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons Annual Meeting, March 24-28 2015, Las Vegas, NV, USA. (Submitted)

This version is available at <http://eprints.hud.ac.uk/id/eprint/23590/>

The University Repository is a digital collection of the research output of the University, available on Open Access. Copyright and Moral Rights for the items on this site are retained by the individual author and/or other copyright owners. Users may access full items free of charge; copies of full text items generally can be reproduced, displayed or performed and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided:

- The authors, title and full bibliographic details is credited in any copy;
- A hyperlink and/or URL is included for the original metadata page; and
- The content is not changed in any way.

For more information, including our policy and submission procedure, please contact the Repository Team at: [E.mailbox@hud.ac.uk](mailto:E.mailbox@hud.ac.uk).

<http://eprints.hud.ac.uk/>

Robert Whittaker, Harry Hothi, Jay Meswania, Paul Bills, Radu Racasan, Antti Eskelinen, Gordon Blunn, John Skinner, Alister Hart

**Summary:** We found that 36mm metal-on-metal hips have similar corrosion and taper material loss as larger diameter hips. This supports their classification as large diameter hips.

**Title:** 36mm Metal-on-Metal Hips have Similar Taper Material Loss Rates as Larger Diameter Hips from the Same Manufacturer

**Introduction:** There is a two-fold difference in failure rate between the two most commonly used metal-on-metal (MOM) bearing types in the US. We compared these two bearing types; one with a 36mm diameter with a modular cup and one with a diameter >36mm with a monoblock cup.

**Methods:** This was a retrospective study involving 60 retrieved LD-MOM-THR hips of 2 different cobalt-chromium bearing designs (n=30 in each group) from a single manufacturer that had been paired with a single cementless titanium 12/14 stem design from the same manufacturer (Table 1). One group consisted of a monoblock cup whilst the other had a modular cup design with separate metal shell and liner components. Paired t-tests revealed that the two groups were statistically matched in relation to patient age, gender and time to revision. We used a well-published scoring method to visually assess the severity of corrosion at each head taper surface on a scale of 1 (none) to 4 (severe). We then used a roundness-measuring machine to measure the volume of material loss at the taper surfaces. The statistical significance of any differences between the two hip designs in relation to corrosion scores and material loss were then evaluated.

**Results:** We found that 93% (n=28 in each group) of the tapers showed evidence of corrosion, however there was no significant difference between the two groups (p=0.61). The monoblock cup group had a median taper material loss rate of 0.397 mm<sup>3</sup>/year (0-4.198) and the material loss of the modular cup group was 0.216 mm<sup>3</sup>/year (0-3.117). There was no significant difference between the two groups (p=0.132).

**Discussion and Conclusion:** We found corrosion and material loss rates for the tapers of 36mm hips to be comparable to larger diameter hips from the same manufacturer. This supports the classification of 36mm hips as large diameter.

<b>Bearing Material</b>	CoCr	CoCr
<b>Stem Design and Material</b>	12/14 Titanium	12/14 Titanium
<b>Head Size (mm)</b>	47 (35-54)	36 (36-40)
<b>Gender (Male : Female)</b>	13:17	15:15
<b>Age at Primary Surgery (years)</b>	57 (43-78)	62.5 (26-73)
<b>Time to Revision (months)</b>	38.5 (7-74)	52.5 (10-770)
<b>Whole Blood Cobalt (ppb)</b>	11.54 (0.6-167)	4.25 (0.6-130)
<b>Whole Blood Chromium (ppb)</b>	7.28 (0.2-66)	3 (0.6-42.4)

**Table 1:** Implant and patient data