Helpseeking from pastoral care in UK high schools: a qualitative study

Abstract

Background

Little is known about high-school students’ perceptions of school-based pastoral support.

Methods

Qualitative interviews explored perspectives on helpseeking of students (N=23) and staff (N=27) in 3 UK high schools where a pastoral project was introduced. Data were analysed thematically.

Results

Student peer groups perceived help-seeking as a sign of weakness. However students valued learning skills in managing emotions and friendships. Staff expressed concerns about students’ ability to help-seek proactively, and highlighted organisational influences on pastoral support.

Conclusions

Increasing student control over the process, and involving trusted staff, could encourage help-seeking in high-school. It is possible to access the views of students who do not help-seek, to improve understanding of helpseeking behaviour.
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Background

Mental health is a pressing public health concern. The UK National Survey of Children and Young People’s Mental Health estimated around 10-13% of 11-16 year olds have a mental disorder (Meltzer et al. 2003), but the real figure is likely to be much higher as the problem

Pastoral care is one of the criteria against which the quality of UK schools is assessed (Office for Standards in Education, Children’s Services and Skills, 2012). Although there does not appear to be a widely accepted UK definition (Calvert 2009), it has been described as: ‘the term used in education in the United Kingdom to describe the structures, practices and approaches to support the welfare, well-being and development of children and young people’ (Calvert 2009: 267). In respect of students’ emotional wellbeing, it is common practice to deliver emotional support programmes to whole schools, cohorts, or to students identified as vulnerable (CAMHS EBPU et al. 2011). The evidence from reviews of emotional wellbeing promotion strategies in school supports curriculum based programmes (Weare and Nind 2010, Greenberg et al. 2003, Durlak et al. 2011). However such large programmes may involve curriculum changes and specialist input, and they can encounter implementation difficulties, or be time limited (Sawyer et al. 2009, Lendrum et al. 2009). Schools can also develop their own models of pastoral provision outside the curriculum (CAMHS EBPU et al. 2011, Vostanis et al. 2012). In UK high schools, much of the routine, day to day, pastoral support for individual students is provided by form tutors and other teaching staff (Department for Education 2012). The support they receive in this role varies between schools (Fox and Butler 2009, Vostanis et al. 2012, Shaper and Streatfield 2012) and routine pastoral care in schools has received little attention in the literature. Some problems, such as disruptive behaviour and under-achievement, cause concern to parents or teachers (CAMHS EBPU et al. 2011, Vostanis et al. 2012). However, less visible problems may only be identified by young people seeking help. According to the health literature, young people may avoid asking for help if they lack trust in the health practitioner’s skill or judgement, or if they feel unwelcome or embarrassed (Gleeson et al. 2002, Ginsburg et al. 2002, Freedenthal and Stiffman 2007). Little attention has been paid to how young people feel about accessing emotional support in school. Potentially, these barriers may also apply to helpseeking in a school setting.
The aim of this study was to examine barriers and facilitators to helpseeking in a pastoral care project in three UK high schools.

Study setting
A project to enhance pastoral care in high schools (‘the project’) was developed from a consultation process with students in three urban UK high schools (A, B and C). It was subsequently delivered in those schools from November to July of a single school year (see Kendal et al. 2011a, Kendal et al. 2011b for detailed reports on development and evaluation). School A had 1200 students, School B had 1700 students and School C had 600 students. Two schools were co-educational and one was a girls’ school.

The aim was to provide structured, low intensity support for students who self-referred with anxiety, low mood and related difficulties, using the supported self help model (Lovell et al. 2006). In the UK, high school students are either in Key Stage 3 (KS3) (11-14 years) or Key Stage 4 (KS4) (14-16 years). The project was delivered by teaching assistants and pastoral staff already based in the schools, who received brief training and weekly supervision specifically for the role of Project Worker. Information about student contact was not shared with anyone outside the Project team, except in the event of concerns about safety. This was counter to the usual information sharing practice in schools. Brief details of student contacts with the project were recorded by Project Workers on anonymised forms that were subsequently made available for analysis.

During the project, students mainly presented with problems of relationships (making and keeping friends, coping with bullies, getting on with teachers, pleasing parents); schoolwork; and anger. Other difficulties were around self-esteem, transition, panic, grief, sexuality and self-harm. Most of these young people described linked difficulties, such as a combination of anger and friendship problems.

However although young people as well as staff gave positive feedback, relatively few had used the project: 12 from KS3, 8 from KS4 and one sixth form student (16-18 years), representing 0.6 % of students compared with an estimated 10-13% prevalence of mental health problems in this age group in the UK, although the rate in these schools was not known. Varying rates of help seeking have been reported previously. A “vaccination” programme delivered in high schools in Greater Manchester reported wide ranging uptake of 33.6-92.0% (Brabin et al. 2011). A KS3 peer anti-bullying scheme in a London girls’ school reported uptake by 30.7% of younger students in KS3 and 10.7% of older students in KS3 (Houlston and Smith 2009). These disparate findings indicate the importance of
understanding the influences on young people’s decisions about accessing wellbeing support in school.

Methods

Methodological approach

The design was conceptually located in the theoretical and modelling phases of the complex interventions framework (Campbell 2007), which emphasises the relevance of context to study design. A qualitative design was appropriate to explore the views of young people and a practical approach was needed to recruit a group who were potentially hard to reach, because they had not used the Project... The approach was informed by principles of flexibility in response to social context and an analytic aim of interpreting the meaning and significance of data (Popay et al, 1998).

Recruitment and Sampling

The aim was to explore views from the perspective both of students who had and had not sought help and members of school staff. A purposive sample was formed comprising students and staff from each of the three schools, including KS3 and KS4 students and staff in a range of roles: teaching assistants, pastoral staff, qualified teachers and managers. Pastoral staff were actively involved in recruitment and made use of their personal contacts within the schools to assemble the sample of students. SK, supported by pastoral staff, recruited staff through a combination of verbal and written invitation.

Data Collection

Students and staff participated in qualitative interviews conducted by the first author which incorporated open questions and prompts about specific components of the intervention relevant to the research aims (Kendal et al. 2011a). The main messages from interviewees were confirmed by them at the end of each interview. Table 1 illustrates a selection of interview topics.

Table 1 Sample topic guide (students)
• What do you know about the Project?
• Can you remember how you heard about it?
• What did you think of the support you get in school at the moment?
• (If used Project:) What kind of support did you get?
• (If did not use Project:) Support: what kind is useful? (E.g. Advice, coping, other)
• How important is confidentiality- school friends, staff, home?
• Could you say a bit about the problems that young people have?
• What do young people like you do about any problems they have?
• What could encourage/discourage someone from using this Project?
• Can you suggest anything to improve this Project?

Ethical issues

The University of Manchester ethics committee approved the study (TPCS/ethics/06166). A safeguarding protocol was agreed with each school to support any participant who might require it, following the interview. Stringent efforts were made to protect the confidentiality of all participants. Therefore demographic data were limited to school, age range or school role, and gender. Participant identity has been concealed in this paper.

Analysis

Data were organised using the framework method (Ritchie et al. 2007) and a thematic analysis was developed by the method of constant comparison and responsiveness to emerging insights. Transcribed interview data were entered into an NVIVO 7 database for data analysis. They were grouped by school, student or staff, and academic Key Stage or staff role. The first level of coding grouped the data into categories that matched the topic guide. As familiarity with the data grew, insights developed and these categories were exploded, merged, and redefined, becoming increasingly conceptual. Areas of disagreement were
discussed and resolved within the research team. From this process of grouping, reading, sorting, reflection, consultation and review, clear themes were identified.

Rigour was enhanced through the following strategies, based on standards set out by Popay et al (1998): The paper’s authors met regularly throughout the analysis period to discuss emerging findings and check their consistency across the data. Links with the raw data were maintained via the software, which created an audit trail and allowed the developing coding framework to be repeatedly tested against the interview transcripts. The project had an advisory group that included young people (15-16 years) from other schools who checked anonymised samples of coded interview data, and either verified or challenged the analysis and interpretation. They had contemporary knowledge about the experiences of being in high school and they were able to advise on how to interpret idiomatic language that was used by student interviewees. The first author had prolonged engagement with the school over 18 months during the planning stages, Project delivery, and data collection and analysis and therefore had a deep understanding of the social context to aid interpretation of the data.

Throughout the study, SK kept a reflexive journal, which helped to clarify researcher influences on the study. These included SK’s insights into the social environment of the school. In addition, the design and conduct of the study was influenced by the authors’ backgrounds in mental health nursing (SK and PK) and children’s nursing (PC).

**Results**

*Interview sample*

The interview sample comprised 23 students (8 male, 15 female; 15 from KS3, 8 from KS4); and 27 staff: (7 male, 20 female; 17 with predominantly pastoral roles including 8 who had delivered the Project, 10 with predominantly teaching or management roles). Table 2 summarises the sample.

**Table 2: Interview sample**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School</th>
<th>Interviewee</th>
<th>Used/delivered project</th>
<th>Did not use/deliver project</th>
<th>Total Students</th>
<th>Total Staff</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Students</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Staff</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Students</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Staff</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Students</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Nine of the student interviewees had used the project. Of these, eight said they had benefitted from it. The quotation below is representative.

“I’ve learnt how to cope with issues, and like problems and everything in a whole, you know, different way” (Female, KS3, School B).

The ninth said it had not helped at all. No harmful effects from the project were observed or reported during the study.

**Interview Findings**

The qualitative interview style encouraged interviewees to explain their personal perspectives on issues around helpseeking for emotional support. There was striking consistency between reports from all the students, suggesting common concerns and values. In contrast, staff highlighted differences between the cultural norms of each school. Students reported a range of internal, personal processes that formed barriers to using the Project, whereas staff tended to focus on organisational issues. Two main themes were identified: students’ fear of emotional exposure in school; and the impact of the organisational context.

**Students’ fear of emotional exposure in school**

Worries about looking vulnerable seemed to pervade social behaviour and patterns of emotional management in students. Students reported the efforts they made to present a self-image that would attract the friendship or admiration of their peers. The school context affected social rules, interactions and perceived threats to social and emotional wellbeing including loss of control over personal information.

*Impact of peer group norms*

The fact of being on the school premises appeared to encourage students to conform to peer group etiquette, a distinct set of social rules that required them to hide any vulnerability from a potentially hostile peer group. As one student explained,
“Everybody kind of puts a mask on, as soon as they come in through the gate, and they bottle up all their emotions, and all this, and the second they get home, they take the mask off, because nobody here is the same as they are when you just see them by themselves.” (Female KS3, School B)

One effect of these rules seemed to be that students were reluctant to ask for emotional support in school. Admitting a need for help, or being known to have asked for help, could create an unattractive impression of weakness that might alienate potential allies. A tough public image was seen as a major asset to making and keeping friends (see Figure 1). This might involve displays of aggression:

“They’re all in a gang at school, they go all around, they don’t bully you if it’s just one on one… [only] if there’s a crowd.” (Female KS3, School C)

There seemed to be a general agreement between the young people that it was undesirable and potentially unsafe, both physically and emotionally, to be socially isolated.

**Weighing up the risks**

Disclosure in school risked showing other people a vulnerability or weakness. It was consistent with peer group values that individuals made exacting analyses of the potential risks and benefits of helpseeking. On the one hand, there was the possibility of resolving or mitigating a problem. On the other, there was a clutch of risks that had to be considered, including feeling inadequate for needing help, the possibility that other people (especially peers and class teachers) might find out, and a judgement of one’s ability to cope if the worst - emotional exposure - should happen.

A recurring message from students was the extent to which worries about exposure could impact on helpseeking:

“[If you tell teachers or parents about being bullied] they might just like tell everyone and then…just make you get more bullied.” (Male, KS3, School A)
“There’s nothing worse than trusting someone…and then it going round the whole school…Because you have to think, will they go and phone the other friend and tell them, will they blurt it out when they are in an argument?” (Female, KS3, School B)

“I think teachers can sometimes be say a little bit nosy, so sometimes if you are upset you have to kind of hide it…[or] everything gets blown out of proportion. (Female, KS3, School B)

Many staff were sensitive to the risks of emotional exposure that students dealt with at school. Using an example from a peer-mentoring project that had previously run in the school, one member of pastoral staff described how serious exposure could be:

“One of our pupils (peer-mentored) another girl, and actually it sort of came out about her, you know, being gay, and her life was virtually destroyed for months, because of how the other person used it.” (Staff, School C)

Some students saw the potential benefits as greater than the risks:

“Um I just said, I just give it a shot, nothing can happen, nobody going to kill me for [using the project].” (Male, KS3, School A).

This sense of resilience to unwelcome exposure was not expressed by many of the young people interviewed. Those students who did communicate resilience tended to have more anecdotes about having survived social problems in school. Older students could have the additional problem of shame.

“The feeling that, oh my God I’m stupid…being ashamed of relying on someone else to help you…it makes them feel a bit weak.” (Male, KS4, School A).

*Lack of confidentiality*

Despite a sensitive attitude among many staff, there was consensus between the students that they should be wary of trusting staff, particularly teachers, with personal information.
Students tended to appreciate that teachers had to follow protocols, but there was also a widely held belief that they enjoyed gossiping with each other:

“Some [teachers] have big mouths.” (Female, KS3, School C).

“Some like teachers in the school, not all of them, but some, would just go and tell all the other teachers when they’re in the staffroom.” (Male, KS4, School A).

Uncertainty about confidentiality seemed to generate a reluctance to access any support in school, unless the individual was willing to cope with the risk of exposure or believed they could trust the person offering help. The Project Workers, who were not qualified teachers, were seen as trustworthy and good people to approach for emotional support:

“With it being [pastoral staff], you know you can trust them with pretty much everything, so that helps a lot” (Male, KS4, School A).

**Impact of the organisational context**

A striking finding from the interviews with staff was that school A had blocked the advertising for the project. Some staff explained that since becoming involved with the project, School A had acquired a new leadership team that was heavily invested in image promotion with school visitors and the local community. As one explained, this was part of a strategy to maintain the school’s popularity:

“A school which suddenly sort of starts to appear to have lots of problems is likely to start to sort of lose people applying to it” (Staff, School A)

This suggests that the project no longer fitted with the school ethos and was not supported by senior management. As access was through self-referral, the lack of advertising was an effective barrier. Only two students from this school used the project, though many others supported the idea of it, once it had been explained to them at the start of the interview:
“If [one of my friends] knew about that project they might go to it” (Male, KS3, School A).

Overall, the Project Workers expressed a need for both moral and practical support for the role. This seemed to depend on having clear championing by the school leadership. Managers in School C, encouraged the staff to participate in the Project, and allocate protected time for this activity. This quotation from School C reflects the enthusiasm of the school management team for the Project.

“There was this great interest from the [teaching assistants] …we got, was it 10 or something like that on board... so that was a great success” (Staff, School C).

Staff in Schools A and B did not have protected time, and this caused them some difficulties:
“We’re there basically to support the students, and [the project] is taking time off the students”; “You almost feel like, sort of, guilty” (Staff, School B).

Project workers struggled to find time to complete the project paperwork, which included consent forms and measures in addition to contact records. Some reported they simply could not manage it.

All the project workers added other referral routes to the self-referral pathway indicated. This was in response to staff concerns that self-referral was inaccessible to the less able students.

“It had to be more flexible… we had to change it… a lot of kids these days… they haven’t got them skills, to actually [self]- refer, you know” (Staff, School A).

However, most students who were interviewed explained that the self-referral route was the main trigger for seeking help, because it promised the privacy they wanted.

“It was okay because I just told my friends I had to see someone and they did not know what I was going for” (Female, KS4, School B).
Discussion

Students’ helpseeking was driven by their sense of who they were, their place in their social groups, and how they wished to be perceived in those groups. According to the data, a person perceived as weak is less likely to attract friends, which in turn causes social isolation and further vulnerability. Conversely, a person perceived as tough attracts friends and shores up social support, hence becoming increasingly protected within the peer group. Two self perpetuating cycles were identified in the data (see Figure 1). In the first, social isolation, visible vulnerability and peer rejection maintain each other. In the second, social appeal, the appearance of strength, and peer approval reinforce each other.

Figure 1: Relationship between emotional exposure and risk

Young people who had used the Project saw helpseeking as a positive act, and it is possible that it was easier for individuals who were less concerned about their social status or else felt sufficiently secure to go against the norms for social behaviour. Some developmental theory suggests that a young person’s self concept and behaviour can be constantly shifting and changing in response to changing social situations (Shavelson et al. 1976, Coleman 2011). This perspective may help explain the strong influence of school on the helpseeking decisions of the young people in the study. Helpseeking in school could be encouraged by emphasising potential gains within the peer group through skills in making and keeping friends, dealing with conflict, and maintaining self esteem.

A further, tentative suggestion is that it may be possible to encourage helpseeking within a school setting by influencing peer values, perceptions of vulnerability, or social relationships.
However School A leadership was concerned about the impact of the Project on the school’s reputation. A school culture that does not support helpseeking may discourage students from accessing emotional support. This reasoning can be seen in the destigmatising components of many health promotion campaigns (Stangl and World 2010). The impact of context on young people’s helpseeking has been reported widely in the health literature (Gleeson et al. 2002, Brabin et al. 2011).

Social cognitive theory proposes that social norms are one of several influences on health behaviour (Conner and Norman 1996). It may be that for the young people in this study, social norms were the primary influence on helpseeking from the Project. This knowledge could help to adapt theories developed for adults, and make them more relevant to young people.

**Strengths and limitations of the study**

The study produced new insights into how young people may feel about seeking emotional support in school which have potential to inform pastoral provision in high school, and the design of exploratory research within high school settings.

The design and scope of this study has implications for interpretation of the findings. The exploratory aims of this research required a qualitative design, in which researcher influence is acknowledged as part of the process (Mays and Pope 2000). The trustworthiness and rigour of qualitative research can be demonstrated via key criteria of credibility, transferability, dependability and confirmability (Guba 1981; Shenton 2004). This study employed a range of techniques, including: the use of established qualitative methods; reflexivity and detailed description; SK’s familiarity with the schools; a wide range of informants including interviewees with no involvement in the project; asking open questions in interviews; checking data with interviewees; involving young people in data analysis; frequent debriefing sessions by the supervising team (PC and PK); and detailed reporting of methods.

Recruitment and data collection were shaped by what was acceptable and feasible within the school setting (Milnes and Kendal 2011). The methods were feasible within the school context and enabled collection of data about potentially sensitive topics, although it is possible that perspectives on some issues may not have been accessed. The study was
developed and managed by a small research team with clinical interests, and their potential influence on the findings must be acknowledged. The recruitment strategy had to fit the context, in which opportunities for interviewing were defined by the schools. There were more staff than students in the sample, which reflects difficulties in recruiting students. Given the students’ concerns about privacy and confidentiality, it is unsurprising that fewer students than staff were willing to be interviewed.

**Conclusion**

The study findings suggest that young people may be reluctant to access help in schools, but also identified strategies that schools might consider adopting, including: involving staff whom students trust (potentially from non-teaching roles); respecting students’ privacy; enabling students to make choices about help seeking and information sharing; and encouraging a supportive social environment.

Further research could develop methods of consulting with young people on the design of pastoral support in school which is appropriate for their needs. The findings from the present study suggest that pastoral support in school is enhanced by involving trusted staff, and finding ways of enabling students who access support to protect their public image.

At an organisational level it may be helpful to explore ways of working with school management, to identify and reduce the stigma associated with emotional difficulties.

Researchers and practitioners attempting to develop pastoral support in schools could invest time in understanding the social norms and value system of each school setting.

Finally, future research could address whether positive school cultures would encourage students to access and engage in available support.

**Key Messages**

- Young people's mental health is a global concern.

- Emotional support provided in schools can help to address this problem, but it often relies on the young person actively seeking help.
• The young people in this study made helpseeking decisions after judging potential risks and benefits as they saw them.

• Peer group perceptions may discourage young people from seeking emotional support within a school setting.

• Helpseeking in school could be encouraged by involving staff whom students perceive as trustworthy; allowing students control over information sharing; and developing a school culture in which helpseeking is seen as a positive action.
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