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Abstract—This paper provides an overview of the current trends and the policy issues for e-learning implementation in Libyan universities LUs; where is present a sample model to comparative between two questionnaires in 2009 & 2013; as a technique to evolution the e-learning policy implementation process in LUs. The paper emphasizes that in spite of the drawbacks faced by most HE institutions, some have managed to successfully introduce e-learning into their strategies. This paper concludes brief considerations of issues of the implementation policy; difficulties in the process and other challenges.

Finally the paper aims into: Provision of a study on overall difficulties of the followed policy initiatives of e-learning implementation into the decision-makers in LUs; provision of conception to the developers to consider the strength and the weakness points when new strategies mode. And provision a set of suggestions for improving the effectiveness of the e-learning systems usage in Libyan HE based on the results of these SWOT analyses.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The Libyan ICT infrastructure has been destroyed by the war in 2011, but many people (especially young generation) started to use more the social media and Internet tools to communicate with their relatives and the rest of the world. The armed conflict in Libya left the country forced it to “start from scratch” in building up its infrastructure and services [1]. One of the challenges is the reconstruction and the policy issues to redeveloping the education system because most of the educational institutions and universities in the affected areas have lost their infrastructure and resources. Implementing any type of process that involves change and alters how people work can present difficulties for an organization. Estimates have shown that up to 70 percent of the cost of implementing a major organizational change effort has been linked to managing employee behavior during the transition [2]. The process of transforming organizations’ objectives into strategies that deliver lasting, sustainable change is, to a large extent, dependent on how institutions approach changing everyday processes. When there are major shifts in the processes faculty and staff rely on to do their jobs on a daily basis, senior administration must fully endorse and engage in the planning, development, and launch of the initiative for it to be successful.

This paper presents the trends and policy issues of e-learning implementation in Libyan universities (LUs) through modern model of SWOT analysis to reflect the evolution of the current implementation strategies. It is obvious that some universities have managed to implement successfully e-learning systems despite the drawbacks faced due to economical, political and social difficulties existing in Libya. The model analyses the actual stage of e-learning implementation in several LUs. to offer appropriate suggestions for improving and to guide the implementation team into the correct performance of e-learning in Libyan HE institutions.

II. THE PROGRESSION OF E-LEARNING IMPLEMENTATION IN LIBYAN UNIVERSITIES FROM 2009 TO 2013.

SWOT is an acronym for Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities and Threats. The ‘opportunity’ and ‘threat’ should both focus on possible future of analysed situation. “The people who use SWOT might conclude that they have done an adequate job of planning and ignore such sensible things as defining the firm’s objectives for alternate strategies” [3]. So it is important to consider various solutions after examining the results of SWOT analysis. SWOT method can be used during the design, development and implementation stages of any project. It is also a convenient tool for the evaluation stage in order to have an initial idea of the future consequences.

The main author of this paper has designed a questionnaire in 2009 to identify the challenges experienced by teachers, students and technical staff when using technology in Tripoli University [4]. A number of 63 as total from teachers, students and technical staff have completed the questionnaire of 2009 (Qu.2009). This questionnaire renewed in 2013 on the same sample with some numbers more, to check if the respondents confirm the trends and the policy issues of using e-learning in LUs. The number of renew questionnaire’s respondents (Qu.2013) was 77 Matures as total of 28 teachers, 39 students and 10 technical staff; they could express their personal opinions about other challenges they are facing when using e-learning and ICT for the educational purposes. The main conclusions of this study are as follows:
A. Strength points:
All respondents have been using the computers for various educational activities as shown in Table 1.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency of computer usage</th>
<th>No. of qu. 2009</th>
<th>% 2009</th>
<th>No. of qu. 2013</th>
<th>% 2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Every day</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>20.6%</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>80.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-4 times every week</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>34.9%</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2 times every week</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>39.6%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rarely</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The total</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The access of Internet was active since 2000 in most the LUs. [5], and from Table 1 that shows there has been an increase in the interest in technology, Because of the LUs are spending more of their budgets on providing their ICT.

B. Opportunity points:

The respondents have chosen the following benefits of using e-learning from a list of options provided in the questionnaire as shown in Table 2. The difference between Qu.2009 & Qu.2013, the question was changed to multi-options.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency of the benefits</th>
<th>No. of qu. 2009</th>
<th>% 2009</th>
<th>No. of qu. 2013</th>
<th>% 2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Language skills acquired</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>58.7%</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>88.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT skills acquired</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15.9%</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>94.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time management</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>66.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comfortable education</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>46.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New method</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>35.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The total</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Multi-option question</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Even though, the method of question had chanced, but still clear the agreement of acquirement to new languages and IT skills.

C. Weakness points:

The respondents have chosen the following barriers to e-learning implementation front of Libyan universities LU. As shown in in Table 3

TABLE 3: PERCEPTIONS OF RESPONDENTS ABOUT THE BARRIERS OF E-LEARNING IMPLEMENTATION IN 2009& 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency of the barriers</th>
<th>No. of qu. 2009</th>
<th>% 2009</th>
<th>No. of qu. 2013</th>
<th>% 2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Technological barriers</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>34.9%</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>32.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mismatch management barriers</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>40.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural barriers</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>20.6%</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others: such as cost, etc.</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15.9%</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The total</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The barriers changed from 2009 to 2013, from the technological to mismanagement barriers. This change due to the following short synopsis for each barrier:

1. Mismanagement and Other reasons include: the social impact after the war; lack of a general strategy of education linking the different stages of study, with a consequent difficulty in accepting E-learning [6]; lack of common regulations or standards for E-learning in a country, which does not generally approve of such methodology; disapproval from the Ministry of Education for E-learning courses [7]; difficulty in securing accreditation collaboration; the management-corruption and lack of cross-institutional collaboration [8].

2. Technological barriers include: insufficient network and systems infrastructures; weaknesses of E-learning development in HE institutions; difficulties in overcoming initial implementation problems; lack of experience in using technology; lack of provision of robust Internet access; lack of specific student services [9].

3. Cultural barriers include: unfamiliarity with the Internet and related technologies results in lack of appreciation and understanding of E-learning and its benefits; opposition to the adoption of the necessary educational changes (e.g. self-regulation, student centred) required for successful E-learning [10].

D. Threat points:

The answers given by the respondents shown that the following categories display resistance to e-learning implementation in Libyan HE as shown in Table 4.

TABLE 4: PERCEPTIONS OF THE E-LEARNING RESISTORS IN 2009& 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency of the resistance to e-learning</th>
<th>No. of qu. 2009</th>
<th>% 2009</th>
<th>No. of qu. 2013</th>
<th>% 2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Academic staff (teachers)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>51.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training staff</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>22.2%</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>76.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government team</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>20.6%</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>28.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15.9%</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>88.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students and scholars</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12.7%</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>27.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The total</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Multi-option question</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
These results of Strength, Opportunity, Weakness and Threat points reflect the SWOT analysis for the main aspects, which should be considered when developing more effective e-learning implementation strategies. It also presents the reflections of the students, lecturers, and technical staff on the trial implementation.

Also Rhema and Miliszewska [10] undertook a SWOT study about the e-learning implementation at Data Analysis Department and identified that technological and cultural barriers are hindering the e-learning implementation in University of Gharian-Libya. Artemi and Ajit [11] emphasized that many people perceive the technological barriers as being essential in the e-learning implementation because people need access to modern technology in order to improve the quality of the teaching and learning processes. SWOT analysis is an essential step to analyse various factors before implementing an e-learning solution at any institution, because the success or failure of an e-learning initiative will be directly related to the policy issues that underpins it [12].

### III. SWOT MODEL

The authors have agreed on that “SWOT analysis is an essential step to analyse various factors before implementing an e-learning solution at any institution” because the success or failure of an e-learning initiative will be directly related to the quality of strategic thinking that underpins it. It is thus important to have an e-learning strategy in place before beginning the implementation process.

This model is based on the personal experiences of the authors who have studied at Tripoli University and then became Lecturers at the same university. Also is based on the study of the latest results related in 2009 to recent SWOT analyses in 2013 of LUs performed by other authors such as (Artemi and Ajit in 2009; Rhema and Miliszewska in 2012). See Table 5.

An e-learning initiative must be tied to the institution’s core business to ensure that that the quality of the educational processes is enhanced [11]. The review recommends that the institutions should start working hard on minimizing the weaknesses (such as poor English skills of students as well as instructors, lacking ICT infrastructure, lack of e-learning know-how, etc). Also the use of blended learning approach will enable the academics and students to have a smooth transition during the e-learning systems implementation [12].

SWOT analysis should help the decision makers at the departmental level to decide on opportunities with respect to e-learning implementation and choose the appropriate policy issues for it, and should be considered: improvement of the learners’ knowledge, learning outcomes, efficiency of the teaching and learning processes and the reductions of costs.

### TABLE 5: MODERN SWOT ANALYSIS OF E-LEARNING IMPLEMENTATION IN LIBYAN UNIVERSITIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strength points:</th>
<th>Weakness points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. The government policy system has been changed on October 2011 when it was officially declared the liberation of Libya. So the new government looks to support the LUs in all the ways.</td>
<td>1. Lack of training courses for the students, technical and academic staff.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. The proliferation of digital technology because majority of people are using computers and social media channels to communicate with each other.</td>
<td>2. Lack of technological support and periodic maintenance of computers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Annual increase the student numbers in the LUs.</td>
<td>3. Lack of online library catalogues in the LUs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. The need to eliminate the administrative corruption aspects.</td>
<td>4. The mismanagement and corruption.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Implement modern and efficient management structures in the LUs.</td>
<td>5. Users’ lack of awareness and fear of negative consequences of using technology in education processes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Libya has a strategic geographical location in Africa. People Niger, Sudan, Mali and Chad could use the e-learning packages developed by the companies situated in the south of Libya. Also, people living at long distances from the main Libyan universities (such as Awbari, Ghat, Aljawf, Murzuq and Alkofra) could complete their courses by distance learning.</td>
<td>6. Post-war chaos that pervades all sectors of Libyan society and economy.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Opportunity points

2. Create new business strategies to attract students from other African countries that still lack the basics of e-learning in their Higher Education Institutes.
3. Reduce the migration of skilled and intelligent people from Libya.
4. Create techno-education competitive environment with the neighboring countries.
5. Development of new courses in foreign languages (English, French, etc.) in LUs.
6. Gradual change of culture including more acceptances to of e-learning systems.
7. Geographical position of Libya in Africa plays a significant role in the successful and the necessary need to implementation of e-learning system in LUs.

### Threat points

1. Numerous barriers related to e-learning systems implementation.
2. Preference of using only academic traditional methods in education.
3. Lack of support from the government.
4. Increased migration of skilled and intelligent people from Libya.

### IV. POLICY ISSUES AND ACCESSIBILITY CHALLENGES

There are still many challenges that face on the successful policy and suitable strategy of e-learning implementation. These challenges are mainly pedagogical, technological, and attitudinal [13] as follows:

**A. Lack of training courses and languages Skills:**

Although most LUs provide each faculty member with a personal computer, a significant percentage of faculty members are still computer illiterate, and one might reasonably estimate resistance from those members toward any attempt to adopt an e-learning model in their discipline within the university. Also some of faculty members have difficulty with the English language.

**B. Lack of interests by university administrations regarding the possibilities of e-learning is also a real challenge:**
Staff team in the Arabic universities fear from e-learning that would abruptly shift traditional education into a new pedagogical venture for which lecturers and policymakers are not sufficiently familiar.

C. **Strong learner- lecturer power structuration:**

In Libya had been a strong power structure governing the relationship between a learner and a lecturer, and any learner may feel subservient to the lecturer and this could prove a problem when the learner is asked to discuss his/her views freely with the lecturer.

D. **Lack of Arabic learning tools and applications for E-learning courses:**

This will be a serious challenge in implementing e-learning into LUs, where Arabic language is the teaching language. But even for the science based colleges where English is the official teaching language, the lack of Arabic learning applications might cause a problem for a large proportion of students.

E. **Highest load on the academic staff:**

The teaching load in Libyan universities is typically large, for example: the average number of teaching hours for academic staff is 24 hours per week, and Libyan universities have not yet established an academic research tradition [15]. Thus, even professors find it difficult to find the time for research activity and educational development. The Libyan business executive survey/global competitiveness report (LBES/GCR) ranks Libya 97th out of 111 countries in university/industry research collaboration [9]. Some academic staff does undertake extra activities such as writing and publishing, e.g. text books, to increase their income.

F. **Lack of official recognition by the qualifications of online studies:**

The teaching and learning are the cornerstones of any HEI. However, maintaining the quality of such processes is a continuous challenge. There is no official recognition in Libya for distance or online learning as a valid mode of education and most LUs have not appointed staff members with formal qualifications in either distance learning or online learning [12].

Once the key players are committed to the implementation process, quality control and measurable outcomes must be considered as part of implementation [16]. So the SWOT analysis should look at the main policy issues, the strategy trends (such as the economic situation), social impacts and technological developments.

V. **CONCLUSION AND A SET OF SUGGESTIONS FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF E-LEARNING STRATEGY IN HE INSTITUTIONS IN LIBYA**

Libyan universities could take many benefits from combining the active learning methods with suitable policy or accessibility into e-learning implementation [8]. Both active learning and e-learning encourage students to use numerous sources of knowledge, and persuade them to integrate and employ information efficiently.

Libya must be match and compete with the standards of other countries’ universities strategies. This goal is part of Libya’s move towards a knowledge society for which ICT is considered a prerequisite to make decisions about technology issues before the implementation of practical e-learning systems aiming to reduce the investment of time, effort and money.

A. **Suggestions to improve the learners’ knowledge and understanding**

LUs should hire an adequate number of support staff to be responsible for administrative duties and take this heavy burden away from academic staff. Support staff may be utilized to regularly check that the course content has been updated. The lecturers should share with the learners their availability schedule to let them know when to expect a response from a lecturer. A backup plan should be put in place in cases where the lecturers will be out of reach or unavailable for a long period and staff members should be provided for support. It would be beneficial for the e-learning policies to have the course content available in Arabic and English languages. As the country has not yet reached a stage where most of the learning materials are home developed, it might be premature to suggest course content to be only in Arabic, as English is considered to be the medium of instruction.

B. **Suggestions for the universities in implementation of policies**

The change policy system must be given dedication and strong motivation to all the decision makers; and the employees should be encouraged to attend internal and external workshops so the software and hardware packages and the relevant ICT support can be updated continuously [12]. To be successful E-learning should have the affirmative support of senior management and a fixed budget that has been set aside to maintain and develop the facilities. Also, the course lecturers and developers should attend regular training courses related to the new hardware, software and learning management packages. The Libyan learners have different educational experiences based on the geographic location of their colleges and universities: the learners from rich areas (such as Tripoli Town Centre) have access to the latest state-of-the-art technology [13]; learners from suburban areas have a lifestyle similar to more developed countries, and learners from areas such as Al-Koofra city have third world experiences and never saw or used a computer until they reach HE. Therefore, the mindsets and the attitudes towards learning of such diverse learners should be taken into consideration.

C. **Suggestions for governmental policies in the LUs**

The Libyan government should give more support to the LUs that have shown success in the implementation and management of e-learning so these institutions will feel encouraged sharing their success with other institutions.
More coordination and resource sharing between different LUs could be of general benefit. Government departments of Higher Education Ministry have all responsibility to sponsor development of technologies in LUs that will produce a workforce that is competent in technologies.
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