

University of Huddersfield Repository

Ousey, Karen

Introduction to Supplement 8(2): The identification and management of moisture lesions

Original Citation

Ousey, Karen (2012) Introduction to Supplement 8(2): The identification and management of moisture lesions. Wounds UK, 8 (2). S3. ISSN 1746-6814

This version is available at http://eprints.hud.ac.uk/id/eprint/14223/

The University Repository is a digital collection of the research output of the University, available on Open Access. Copyright and Moral Rights for the items on this site are retained by the individual author and/or other copyright owners. Users may access full items free of charge; copies of full text items generally can be reproduced, displayed or performed and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided:

- The authors, title and full bibliographic details is credited in any copy;
- A hyperlink and/or URL is included for the original metadata page; and
- The content is not changed in any way.

For more information, including our policy and submission procedure, please contact the Repository Team at: E.mailbox@hud.ac.uk.

http://eprints.hud.ac.uk/

supplement Wounds uk



Karen Ousey, Janice Bianchi, Pauline Beldon, Trudie Young

۲

THE IDENTIFICATION AND MANAGEMENT OF MOISTURE LESIONS

۲



۲

CONTENTS

Wounds uk

Wound digest A look at the latest research on moisture lesions and IAD	s4
Top tips on avoidance of incontinence-associated dermatitis <i>Janice Bianchi</i>	s 6
The causes and clinical presentation of moisture lesions <i>Trudie Young</i>	s 9
The use of faecal management systems to combat skin damage <i>Janice Bianchi</i>	s11
The latest advances in skin protection <i>Pauline Beldon</i>	s17

© Wounds UK Limited, A Schofield Healthcare Media Company

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without prior permission from the publishers.

The views expressed in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of Wounds UK. Any products referred to should only be used as recommended by manufacturers' data sheets.

To reference this document, cite the following: Wounds UK (2012) *Moisture Lesions*

Supplement. Wounds UK, London

INTRODUCTION

oisture lesions, moisture ulcers, perineal dermatitis, diaper dermatitis and incontinence associated dermatitis (IAD) all refer to skin damage caused by excessive moisture. Yet there is often confusion between pressure ulcers and this kind of lesion. Distinguishing between the two is of clinical importance since prevention and treatment are quite different for each (Defloor et al, 2005a). Due to the location of moisture lesions, they are often mistaken for pressure ulcers (Defloor et al, 2005b), however, skin damage as a result of excessive moisture is defined as being associated with incontinence and not pressure or shear (Defloor et al, 2005a), although moisture can contribute to the formation of pressure ulcers (EPUAP and NPUAP, 2009).

Gray et al (2012) defined IAD as erythema and oedema of the surface of the skin, sometimes accompanied by bullae with serous exudate, erosion, or secondary cutaneous infection. The risks of developing pressure ulcers or other problems with the skin increase where there is faecal and/or urinary incontinence, often resulting in maceration of the skin and friction (Cutting and White, 2002). This leads to the protective barrier of the skin being breached, allowing enzymatic attack (Wishin et al, 2008). It is of paramount importance that clinicians are able to correctly identify this and implement strategies for the prevention and/or treatment of these lesions.

The significance of correct identification and classification has never been more central, with many trusts identifying that moisture lesions are often incorrectly categorised as category 2 pressure ulcers. There are a range of tools that can be used for evaluation of IAD, including the Perineal Assessment Tool (Nix, 2002); the Peri-rectal Skin Assessment Tool (Storer-Brown, 1993); IAD Skin Condition Assessment Tool (Kennedy et al, 1996); and the IAD and its severity instrument (Borchert et al, 2010).

Proactive protection of the skin from maceration should be a priority, with regular skin inspection and cleansing and accurate recordings of skin assessment and frequency of incontinence episodes (Ousey and Gillibrand, 2010). A structured skin cleansing regimen that does not deplete the skin of moisture should be implemented. Nix (2006) recommended the use of as humectants, such as glycerine, esters, lanolin, cetyl or stearyl alcohol, and mineral oils, as they prevent the loss of natural moisture from the skin. Treatment goals recommended by Gray et al (2012) include protection of the skin from further exposure to irritants, establishment of a healing environment, and eradication any cutaneous infection.

Karen Ousey, June 2012

Borchert K et al (2010) The incontinence-associated dermatitis and its severity instrument: development and validation . *J Wound Ostomy Continence Nurs* 37 (5): 527-535; Cooper P (2002) Incontinence induced pressure ulcers. *Nurs Residential Care* 5(4): 16-21; Cutting KF, White RJ (2002) Maceration of the skin: 1: the nature and causes of skin maceration. *J Wound Care* 11(7): 275–8; Defloor T et al (2005a) Pressure ulcer classification differentation between pressure ulcers and moisture lesions. Available at: http://www.epuap.org/archived_reviews/EPUAP_Rev6.3.pdf; Defloor T et al (2005b) The effect of a pressure-reducing mattress on turning intervals in geriatric patients at risk of developing pressure ulcers. *Int J of Nurs Stud* 42(1): 37–46; EPUAP/NPUAP (2009) Prevention and treatment of pressure ulcers: quick reference guide. Available: http://www.epuap.org/archived_reviews/EPUAP_Rev6.3.pdf; Gray M et al (2012) Incontinence-associated dermatitis: A comprehensive review and update. *JWOCN*. January/February; Kennedy K et al (1996) *Cost-effectiveness Evaluation of a New Alcohol-free, Film-Forming Incontinence Skin Protectant*. White paper. St Paul, MN: 3M Healthcare; Nix DH (2002) Validity and reliability of the Perineal Assessment Tool . *Ostomy Wound Manage* 48 (2): 43–49 . Nix D (2006) Skin matters: Prevention and treatment of perineal skin breakdown due to incontinence. *Ost Wound Man* 52(4): 26–8; Ousey K, Gillibrand W (2010) Using facal collectors to reduce wound contamination. *Wounds UK* 6(1): 86–91; Storer-Brown D (1993) Perineal dermatitis: can we measure it? *Ostomy Wound Manage* 39(7): 28–31. Voegeli, D (2008) The effect of washing and drying practices on skin barrier function. *J Wound Ostomy Continence Nurs* 35(1): 84–90; Wishin J et al (2008) Emerging options for the management of fecal incontinence in hospitalized patients. *J Wound Ostomy Continence Nurs* 35(1): 104–10.

Wounds UK 2012, Vol 8, No 2 $\,$ S3

۲