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The Study

- Ethnographic study of the experiences of sex offenders living in a Probation Approved Premises (hostel): (21 months)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of data collected</th>
<th>Number of data collection points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Observation in hostel (including informal interviews)</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interviews with residents</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interviews with Staff</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sykes and Matza (1957)
Techniques of Neutralisation

1. Denial of responsibility
2. Denial of Injury
3. Denial of a Victim
4. Condemnation of the Condemners
5. Appeal to Higher Loyalties
Why are Neutralisations Important?

- Offence
- Post-offence use of neutralisations
- Pre-offence use of neutralisations
- Fantasy and offence planning
It’s not my fault

• “She was overly affectionate”
• “My girlfriend miscarried, that’s what prompted me”
• “She was having an affair”
• “She was a bad mother”
• “They were happy with it”
• “I’m being framed”
Admission Without Responsibility: A matter of interpretation?

“He is one of those that doesn’t think it is wrong, so he doesn’t need to justify it to himself” (police officer in MAPPP)
Admission Without Responsibility: A matter of interpretation?

“He is one of those that doesn’t think it is wrong, so he doesn’t need to justify it to himself” (police officer in MAPPP)

• A technique of normalisation?

“[...] everyone in the hostel is a criminal, and 99% of the population are too.” (child sexual abuser)
A solid line denotes additional membership of other groups. A dotted line denotes potential movement between groups. Arrows denote the direction of movement on dotted lines.

Limited movement only, with ‘others’ not moving between the two.
“The thing is you listen to these men, they’ve been offending for years...what do you call it?...justifying it to themselves all this time. And they’re much more convincing than the psychologists [....] and they are there all the time.” (Child sex offender)
Resisting Rehabilitation

Challenging post-offence neutralisations

Group resistance of challenges. Normalisation of neutralisation

Development of pre-offence neutralisations
But.... Grouping Can Support Rehabilitation

- Challenging post-offence neutralisations
- Group challenges. Supportive of offence work
- Admission & acceptance of responsibility
What can be taken from this?

• If grouping were managed in residential settings it could be a powerful mechanism to support RSO and PO work
• If not, the negative effect of grouping needs to be acknowledged
• Neutralisations are used to construct identities – survival technique?
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