Modelling And Simulation Of The Dynamic Behaviour Of Wheel-Rail Interface

Dr Crinela Pislaru

Diagnostic Engineering Research Centre

University of HUDDERSFIELD
Inspiring tomorrow's professionals

Contents

- 2D wheel-rail contact model
- 3D wheel-rail contact model
- Dynamic Behaviour of the Wheelset on the Track
- Conclusions and Future Work

University of HUDDERSFIELD
Inspiring tomorrow's professionals

Wheel-rail contact forces

- N represents the Normal Contact Force acting directly on the rail as a result of the axle load, wheelset mass and contact angle.
- F_x represents the longitudinal creep force acting in the rolling direction of the wheel.
- F_y represents the lateral creep force acting in the lateral direction of the wheel.
- M_z represents the spin creep moment caused as a result rotation of the wheel in the vertical z direction due to wheel conicity



Normal Contact Problem

- Normal contact problem involves calculating the normal contact forces acting on the wheel-rail contact.
- f (contact angle, axle load of the wheelset, wheelset weight).
- The calculated normal forces are used to determine the contact patch shape, size and dimension using Hertz Contact Theory.





Tangential Contact Problem

- Tangential contact problem → creepages and tangential creep forces developed in the wheel-rail contact as a result of acceleration, braking or traction.
- Kalker's linear theory → lateral, longitudinal and spin creep forces (for small creepages)
- For large creepages - Heuristic non-linear model is used to limit the creep forces.
  Prevents excessive damage to the wheels
  Reduces probability of derailment
- Calculated creep forces & lateral, longitudinal and spin creep moments → determine total lateral force and spin moment force acting on the wheelset.
- The lateral and yaw behaviour of the wheelset on the track is investigated by applying Newton's 2nd law of motion.

2D WHEEL-RAIL CONTACT MODEL

Diagnostic Engineering Research Centre

University of HUDDERSFIELD


Wheel-rail contact models considering contact patch size
Hertz Contact Model
Non-Hertzian Contact Models
Finite Element Method
Semi-Hertzian
Multi-Hertzian
University of HUDDERSFIELD
Inspiring tomorrow's professionals


Determination of lateral displacement
Wheel-rail contact Geometry
Normal Contact Problem
Tangential Contact Problem
Wheelset Dynamic Behaviour
Lateral displacement
University of HUDDERSFIELD
Inspiring tomorrow's professionals

Wheel-rail contact geometry





Figure 23 — BS rail section no. 1

Wheel profile P1

Rail profile BS113A

University of HUDDERSFIELD
Inspiring tomorrow's professionals

Wheel-rail contact geometry



University of HUDDERSFIELD
Inspiring tomorrow's professionals

Wheel-rail contact geometry



Wheel-rail contact geometry





Normal Contact Problem



$$N_r \cos(\delta_{wr} + \phi) + N_l \cos(\delta_{wl} - \phi) = (W + mg)$$

$$N_r \sin(\delta_{wr} + \phi) - N_l \sin(\delta_{wl} - \phi) = -(W + mg)\phi$$

Normal Contact Problem

Relative Curvatures Coefficients

$$A = \frac{1}{2R_{wx}} + \frac{1}{2R_{wy}}$$

$$B = \frac{1}{2R_{rx}} + \frac{1}{2R_{ry}}$$

Contact patch semi-axis

$$a = m\left(\frac{3(1-\nu^2)}{2E(A+B)}\right)^{1/3} \times N^{1/3}$$

$$b = n\left(\frac{3(1-\nu^2)}{2E(A+B)}\right)^{1/3} \times N^{1/3}$$



Normal Contact Problem



University of HUDDERSFIELD
Inspiring tomorrow's professionals

Tangential Contact Problem

- Longitudinal creepage $v_x = \frac{V_1^- - V_1^+}{V}$
- Lateral creepage $v_y = \frac{V_2^- - V_2^+}{V}$
- Spin creepage $v_{spin} = \frac{\Omega_3^- - \Omega_3^+}{V}$



University of HUDDERSFIELD
Inspiring tomorrow's professionals

Tangential Contact Problem

Lateral Creepage (Left/right Wheel-rail contact)

$$v_y = \frac{dy}{dt}\left(\frac{1}{v}\right) - \psi$$

Longitudinal Creepage

$$v_{x(left)} = \frac{l_o}{R} + \frac{\lambda y}{R_o} + \frac{l_o}{v}\left(\frac{d\psi}{dt}\right)$$

$$v_{x(left)} = -\frac{l_o}{R} - \frac{\lambda y}{R_o} - \frac{l_o}{v}\left(\frac{d\psi}{dt}\right)$$

Spin Creepage

$$\varphi_{left} = -\frac{1}{v}\frac{d\psi}{dt} + \frac{\lambda}{R_o}$$

$$\varphi_{right} = -\frac{1}{v}\frac{d\psi}{dt} - \frac{\lambda}{R_o}$$



University of HUDDERSFIELD
Inspiring tomorrow's professionals

Tangential Contact Problem

Creepages

Kalker's Linear Theory

Calculate Creep Forces

$$F_x = -f_{11}v_x$$
$$F_y = -f_{22}v_y - f_{23}v_{spin}$$
$$M_z = -f_{23}v_y - f_{33}v_{spin}$$

Heuristic Non-Linear Model

Calculate Normalized Creep forces

$$F_x' = -aF_x$$
$$F_y' = aF_y$$
$$M_z' = aM_z$$

University of HUDDERSFIELD
Inspiring tomorrow's professionals

Parameters for experimental test rig

I_z	Moment of inertial	1.27×10^7 N-mm
K_{py}	Lateral spring stiffness	3.863×10^3 N/mm
K_{px}	Longitudinal spin stiffness	850 N/mm
C_{py}	Lateral damper coefficient	8 Ns/mm
C_{px}	Longitudinal damper coefficient	100 Ns/mm
f_{11}	Longitudinal linear creep coefficient	8.06×10^6 N
f_{22}	Lateral linear creep coefficient	8.09×10^6 N
f_{23}	Lateral/spin linear creep coefficient	2.2×10^7 N-mm
f_{33}	Spin linear creep coefficient	1.27×10^7 N-mm
m	Wheelset mass	1250 kg

University of HUDDERSFIELD
Inspiring tomorrow's professionals

Dynamic behaviour of the Wheelset on the track using Kalker theory



University of HUDDERSFIELD
Inspiring tomorrow's professionals

Dynamic behaviour of the Wheelset on the track using Heuristic method



University of HUDDERSFIELD
Inspiring tomorrow's professionals

3-DIMENSIONAL WHEEL-RAIL CONTACT MODEL

Diagnostic Engineering Research Centre

University of HUDDERSFIELD


3D Wheel-rail contact
Rigid Contact Method
Semi-elastic methods
Minimum Distance method
Minimum Difference method
University of HUDDERSFIELD


Proposed 3D Wheel-rail contact model
Global Reference system
Wheelset
A
B
Track
Local reference frame
Auxiliary reference frame
A = O_w^a (Wheelset centre of mass)
B = O_w^f (Rail curve length)
University of HUDDERSFIELD

Proposed 3D Wheel-rail contact model

- Global reference system (O_f, X_f, Y_f, Z_f) defines the track as a three dimensional curve.
- The Auxiliary reference system (O_a, X_a, Y_a, Z_a) follows the wheelset during program simulation.
- The local reference system (O_w, X_w,Y_w,Z_w) is defined whereby Y_w is rigidly fixed to the wheelset axle. The origin of the wheelset O_w corresponds with the centre of gravity G of the wheelset.

Proposed 3D Wheel-rail contact model



University of HUDDERSFIELD

Proposed 3D Wheel-rail contact model

P8 Wheel Profile →



1:20 BS113A Rail cant →



University of HUDDERSFIELD

Proposed 3D Wheel-rail contact model



Right wheel lateral contact range

(692 ≤ Y_w ≤ 815) mm

Left wheel lateral contact range

(-815 ≤ Y_w ≤ -692) mm

Proposed 3D Wheel-rail contact model



Right wheel lateral contact range

(700 ≤ Y_a ≤ 780) mm

Left wheel lateral contact range

(-780 ≤ Y_a ≤ -790) mm

University of HUDDERSFIELD

Proposed 3D Wheel-rail contact model



University of HUDDERSFIELD

Proposed 3D Wheel-rail contact model

Kinematic equation of the contact point in the Auxiliary system w.r.t the local reference frame

$$V_w^a(X_w, Y_w) = O_w^a + [A_2]V_w^w(X_w, Y_w) = \begin{bmatrix} x_w^a \\ y_w^a \\ z_w^a \end{bmatrix}$$

$$V_w^w(X_w, Y_w) = \begin{bmatrix} X_w \\ Y_w \\ -\sqrt{W(Y_w)^2 - X_w^2} \end{bmatrix} \qquad O_w^a = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ u_y \\ u_z \end{bmatrix} \qquad V_a^a(X_w, Y_w) = \begin{bmatrix} x_w^a \\ y_w^a \\ b(y_w^a) \end{bmatrix}$$

A_2 = Rotation Matrix (link between Local and Auxiliary Reference System)
ψ = yaw angle
ϕ = roll angle
u_y = Lateral displacement
u_z = Vertical displacement

University of HUDDERSFIELD

Proposed 3D Wheel-rail contact model

Find the local minimum between the wheel and the rail contact points

$$D(X_w, Y_w) = (V_w^a(X_w, Y_w) - V_a^a(X_w, Y_w)).C_a$$

C = $V_a^a(X_w, Y_w)$ intersection between the rail surface and line parallel to axis z_r

$$V_a^a(X_w, Y_w) = \begin{bmatrix} x_w^a \\ y_w^a \\ b(y_w^a) \end{bmatrix}$$

D = $V_w^a(X_w, Y_w)$



Take partial derivative of D and reduce to one Dimensional form

$$E_{1,2}(Y_w) = \frac{\partial D(X_w 1,2)}{\partial Y_w} = 0$$

Check for Indentation

$$I_i = D_i^a . n_a^a(V_{ai}^a) \leq 0$$

University of HUDDERSFIELD

Simulated Results

Suspended Wheelset



Rolling direction

Lateral direction

University of HUDDERSFIELD

Simulated Results

Input Parameters	Range	Step
roll angle ϕ (rad)	0– 0.01	0.0005
Yaw angle ψ (rad)	0 – 0.01	0.0005
Lateral displacement$_y$ (mm)	0 – 10mm	0.5

University of HUDDERSFIELD



Lateral contact positions on the right wheel

University of HUDDERSFIELD

Simulated Results



Rolling radius difference function

University of HUDDERSFIELD

Simulated Results



Contact angle function

University of HUDDERSFIELD

Simulated Results



Contact patch the wheel-rail at central position
a = 6.6673mm, b = 3.5872mm

University of HUDDERSFIELD

Simulated Results



Lateral displacement of the wheelset
Forward velocity (V = 2.5m/s)

University of HUDDERSFIELD

Simulated Results



Yaw angle function of the wheelset
Forward velocity (V = 2.5m/s)

University of HUDDERSFIELD

Applications



University of HUDDERSFIELD

ESTIMATION OF RAILWAY VEHICLE DYNAMIC PARAMETERS

USING MOTOR DRIVE BEHAVIOUR

Diagnostic Engineering Research Centre

University of HUDDERSFIELD
Inspiring tomorrow's professionals



University of HUDDERSFIELD
Inspiring tomorrow's professionals

Future contributions

Technologies for accurate measurement and prediction

- **traction and wheel slip/slide control**
- **estimation of vehicle-track dynamics, wear and adhesion**
- **system integration for rail wheelset steering and traction control**

University of HUDDERSFIELD

Future contributions

Technologies for accurate measurement and prediction

- measurement of train ground speed with intelligent data processing
- independent wheel set dynamics
- parameter identification
- automated and adaptive model-based prognostics using Monte Carlo simulation, particle filter

University of HUDDERSFIELD

Future contributions

Mechatronic trains of the future

- remote condition monitoring with wireless intelligent sensors for effective high speed maintenance and inspection of train and track
- moving-load dynamics

University of HUDDERSFIELD

Future contributions

Mechatronic trains of the future

non-linear autonomous systems

- **process monitoring, modelling, control and optimal design**
- **expert systems**

cognitive systems engineering

University of HUDDERSFIELD

With acknowledged contributions from

Professor Andrew Ball

Mr Arthur Anyakwo