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Customer Service Excellence Workshop

Graham Stone, The University of Bolton
Bev Acreman, Taylor & Francis
Customer Service Excellence Workshop

- Aim of workshop
- Survey details
- Charter Mark
- Feedback
- Discussion
Aim of today’s Workshop

• To discuss the problems in the communication chain between publishers and libraries and what we should do to eliminate them
  – Results of two anonymous surveys for librarians and publishers

• Introduce the idea of Charter Mark as a way to improve quality

• To report back from this workshop in a future issue of *Serials*
Survey Details

Survey mailed March 2007 to various listservs

Total Respondents – 218

69% Librarians
31% Publishers

Who were they?

Librarians – Good spread of library sizes

Publishers – mostly those with less than 100 journals (a key problem area in our workshop last year); 30% with 500+ journals
Survey Details

Size of Company

- 1-100 Journals: 47%
- 101-250 Journals: 8%
- 251-500 Journals: 15%
- 500+ Journals: 30%
About the Survey

- Last year we asked about specific problem areas
- This year was more a general request for feedback using ideas the Charter Mark
- Similar issues were raised in responses
Charter Mark
Charter Mark

- Criterion 1 – Set standards and perform well
- Criterion 2 – Actively engage with your customers, partners and staff
- Criterion 3 – Be fair and accessible to everyone and promote choice
- Criterion 4 – Continuously develop and improve
- Criterion 5 – Use your resources effectively and imaginatively
- Criterion 6 – Contribute to improving opportunities and quality of life in the communities you serve
Charter Mark

- Criterion 1 – Set standards and perform well
- Criterion 2 – Actively engage with your customers, partners and staff
- Criterion 3 – Be fair and accessible to everyone and promote choice
- Criterion 4 – Continuously develop and improve
Criterion 1 Set standards and perform well

- You need to show that your organisation:
  - sets clear service and performance standards by consulting customers
  - meets those standards
  - monitors and reviews performance against standards and publishes the results
  - designs, puts into practice and monitors standards with as little unnecessary paperwork and administration as possible
Criterion 1

• How important are the following to you (split by library size)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Very Unimportant</th>
<th>Quite Unimportant</th>
<th>Neither Important nor Unimportant</th>
<th>Quite Important</th>
<th>Very Important</th>
<th>Response Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-1,000</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,001-5,000</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,001-10,000</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10,001+</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Libraries</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Criterion 1

- How important are the following to you (split by library size)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Very Important</th>
<th>Quite Important</th>
<th>Neither Important nor Unimportant</th>
<th>Quite Important</th>
<th>Very Important</th>
<th>Response Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-1,000</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,001-5,000</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,001-10,000</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10,001+</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Libraries</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Criterion 1

• How important are the following to you (split by library size)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Library Size</th>
<th>Very Unimportant</th>
<th>Quite Unimportant</th>
<th>Neither Important nor Unimportant</th>
<th>Quite Important</th>
<th>Very Important</th>
<th>Response Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-1,000</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,001-5,000</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,001-10,000</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10,001+</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Libraries</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Criterion 1

Does your company have standards to measure customer service performance?

- Yes: 47%
- No: 38%
- Don’t Know: 15%
- No opinion: 0%
Criterion 1

Does your company make these standards available to your customers?

- N/A
- Don't Know
- No
- Yes

0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60%
Does your company provide your customers with details about its performance against these standards?

- N/A
- Don't Know
- No (majority)
- Yes

0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60%
Criterion 1

If your company does not have standards to measure customer service does it have plans to develop these standards in the future?

- N/A
- Don't Know
- No
- Yes
Criterion 2 Actively engage with your customers, partners and staff

- You need to show that your organisation:
  - actively works with (engages with) customers, partners and staff to make sure it delivers high-quality services
  - consults and involves present and potential customers of public services, partners and staff
  - is open, and communicates clearly and effectively in plain language and in a number of different ways
  - provides full information about services, their cost and how well they perform
Criterion 2

How do you feel about the following methods that publishers might use to consult their customers when they seek to improve their services?

- Beta tests
- Roadshows
- Library advisory/user groups
- Online Surveys
- Focus groups

- Dislike very much
- Dislike
- Neither like nor dislike
- Like
- Like very much
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Criterion 2

How often does your company use the following methods to consult its customers in order to improve its services?

- Beta tests
- Roadshows
- Library advisory/user groups
- Online Surveys
- Focus groups

0% 20% 40% 60% 80% 100%

Never
Rarely
Sometimes
Often
Very often
Don't Know
Criterion 3  Be fair and accessible to everyone and promote choice

- You need to show that your organisation:
  - makes services easily available to everyone who needs them, offering choice wherever you can
  - treats everybody fairly in access to services and service delivery, and pays particular attention to people with special needs
Criterion 3

After publishers have dealt with your queries how often do they follow this up by asking whether you are satisfied with their answer?

- Very often
- Often
- Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

Graph showing the distribution of responses.
Criterion 3

After having replied to a customer’s query how often does your company follow this up by asking whether they are satisfied with the answer?

- Don’t know
- Very often
- Often
- Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

0% 5% 10% 15% 20% 25% 30% 35%
Criterion 4  Continuously develop and improve

• You need to show that your organisation:
  – always looks for ways to improve services and facilities, particularly when using technology
  – puts things right quickly and effectively
  – learns from, and improves as a result of, complaints, compliments and suggestions
  – has a clear, well-publicised and easy-to-use complaints procedure, with the opportunity for independent review wherever possible
Criterion 4

In general how good do you feel that publishers are at keeping you informed about any planned upgrades or downtimes for their platforms?

- Very good
- Good
- Average
- Bad
- Very bad

Percentages shown in the chart.
Criterion 4

In general how good do you feel that your company is at keeping your customers informed about any planned upgrades or downtimes for your platform?

- Don't Know
- Very good
- Good
- Average
- Bad
- Very bad

0% 5% 10% 15% 20% 25% 30% 35% 40% 45%
Librarians: Most valuable aspect of Customer Service that Publishers have introduced in the last five years
(all responses with more than one respondent)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aspect</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active participation in blogs and listservs</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admin Sites on ejournals platforms</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dedicated Online Support departments</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email notifications of changes</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More outreach to customers</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Named Contacts</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular Newsletters</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reliability of Platforms/Better notice of downtime</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Up to date &amp; Useful Websites</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usage Stats</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nothing at all/ha ha ha/Don't know</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Criterion 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Key Account Managers</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment in better systems</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online Support Desk</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-hour turnaround on enquiries</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexibility in approach</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct Mail to product managers</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outsourced Distribution</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment in staff</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More outreach to customers</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Does complaining affect policy?

- Don't Know: 20.0%
- No: 25.7%
- Yes: 54.3%

Does your company routinely incorporate customer complaints into its annual planning?
What to do..

- Ensure your website is completely up to date – including plenty of Help and FAQ links – this is where librarians will go first
- Produce Library Newsletters if you don’t already
- Engage with the discussion lists
- No anonymous customer service responses – named contacts are key
- (8 respondents couldn’t think of a thing we had improved – including “ha ha ha” as a response)
Library Sites/Newsletters
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Welcome to the first issue of Library News 2007. This year we are introducing some special themed issues, starting with Medicine and Healthcare, which we hope you will share with specialist colleagues in your library. You will also find here the usual updates about journals from Blackwell and Synergy/news. We hope you find this issue useful and would be grateful to receive any feedback at libraryinfo@blackwellpublishing.com

Blackwell's medical journal publishing program involves partnerships with over 100 medical and scientific societies across the globe, for whom we are proud to publish some of the world's leading titles. Many of our 220 medicine and nursing journals are endorsed by the International Society of Medical Journal Editors (ISMEJ) and the Medical Council of Canada (MCC).
Critical Communication Plan

Not needed for all announcements, but for Critical Communications use the following mix:

- **Agents** – for including in their newsletters (not completely reliable according to respondents last year)
- **Email Lists** of your own customers (build a list if you don’t already have one)
- **Listservs** – lis-e-journals, liblicense, serialst
- **Notices** on online site
- **Librarians** Newsletter
- **Update your website**