

University of Huddersfield Repository

Gibbs, Graham R.

Open Educational Resources in the Social Sciences: Evaluation Issues

Original Citation

Gibbs, Graham R. (2009) Open Educational Resources in the Social Sciences: Evaluation Issues. In: Requallo C-SAP e-Learning Conference, January 2010, London. (Unpublished)

This version is available at http://eprints.hud.ac.uk/id/eprint/8883/

The University Repository is a digital collection of the research output of the University, available on Open Access. Copyright and Moral Rights for the items on this site are retained by the individual author and/or other copyright owners. Users may access full items free of charge; copies of full text items generally can be reproduced, displayed or performed and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided:

- The authors, title and full bibliographic details is credited in any copy;
- A hyperlink and/or URL is included for the original metadata page; and
- The content is not changed in any way.

For more information, including our policy and submission procedure, please contact the Repository Team at: E.mailbox@hud.ac.uk.

http://eprints.hud.ac.uk/

Open Educational Resources in the Social Sciences: Evaluation Issues

Graham R Gibbs





Project aims

- > Deposit 360 credits worth of material.
- > We did it.
 - > In good order. Conversion, preparation, mapping tool.
- > Examine:
 - The taken-for-granted, tacit assumptions behind teachers' selection of topics, issues, content, examples, exercises, pedagogic approaches etc.
 - The issues relating to a contested and debated discipline (issues how to find, sustainability)
- > Evaluation focussed on depositors' views
 - > To identify issues to address for others to do the same





What depositors assumed

Majority view

- > The main users will be knowledge experts
- Quality control is provided by university procedures
- > Accessibility also as per university procedures
- Sustainability just the short term, but no clear view
- Prerequisites not a key issue, assumed resource is adaptable – material offered at diff levels.





Two issues

Evaluation raised lots of issues. Here focus on two

- What is the unit of resource for deposition and retrieval?
- > How to find the resource you need?

> These two are related.





Unit of resource

- > Options include:
 - > The Course (20 credits, 30 credits)
 - > The topic (maybe over several sessions)
 - > The session (e.g. the lecture)
 - > An example or exercise (perhaps as an RLO)
 - > A class exercise
 - > An example, perhaps as a video, audio, text.





C-SAP project

- > Main focus on the course
- Some breakdown into sessions and some into RLOs etc.
- > Supporting documentation is about the course.





Finding what you need

- > How to find it.
 - > Use course title?
 - Metadata (Jacs codes!)
 - > Google type free text search
- > How to use it pedagogically
 - > Assumes user is experienced teacher
 - > Prerequisites and guidance needed if user is student
- > How to extract just the bit you need
 - > File formats, URLs etc.





Conclusions

- Variability of resource units and issues of finding resources still to be solved
- > Jorum not well set up to address this.
- Needs more work to bring access to educational resources to same level as access to research literature.



