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Introduction
Of all the international retailers, luxury fashion retailers are typically the most prolific as measured by the number and diversity of foreign markets in which they operate. Furthermore, for most, the contribution of foreign sales to total sales is equal to, if not greater than, that achieved by the most active international retailers (Moore & Fernie 2004). Yet, while the significance of the luxury fashion retailers’ foreign activities is now acknowledged in the international retailing literature, there have been calls for more in-depth, company specific appraisals of the strategies adopted by such firms (Doherty 2000; Moore, Birtwistle & Burt 2004). Doherty (2000) has argued in particular that further case study research in the area of fashion retailer internationalisation would provide much needed insights into the apparatus that supports their international success.

The aim of this paper is to examine the strategic apparatus – the business model – that defines and supports the international market success of the Giorgio Armani Group. This company is worthy of particular attention on the basis of its extraordinary geographic spread; its 25 year history as an international retailer; and the contribution of foreign sales to total sales in excess of 84% in 2002 (Giorgio Armani Group 2003). Furthermore, and perhaps as importantly, the Giorgio Armani name has been identified as one of the world’s most recognised and respected international fashion brands.

A starting point in understanding the anatomy of the Armani internationalisation strategy is provided by Giorgio Armani himself. Reflecting upon the €653 million internal investment made by the company in the past five years (funded entirely by internal company reserves), he explains that this expenditure has been committed to the apparatus of the Giorgio Armani Group’s international activities. Specifically, it has “been committed to key strategic priorities: the expansion of our dedicated manufacturing capacity; the growth and diversification of our product (and brand) portfolio; and the continuing enhancement of our retail store network” (Giorgio Armani Group 2003).

The literature on fashion retailing has hinted at the importance of each of these dimensions – namely manufacturing capacity, brand and product portfolio and the retail store network (Moore & Fernie 2004). The following section will review recent studies of these dimensions in the literature and will conclude by suggesting that while the importance of each is recognised, they are not understood in terms of their collective contribution to international retailing success.

Literature
One of the most striking deficiencies in the international retail literature is the lack of research into the specialised subject of fashion retail internationalisation, notwithstanding the consensus that fashion companies are prevalent and successful international retailers (Hollander 1970; Fernie, Moore, Laurie & Hallsworth 1997; Doherty 2000; Moore 2001). Given its specialised demands and characteristics, authors argue that conclusions derived from the general internationalisation literature
may be inapplicable to fashion retailing (Fernie et al 1997; Doherty 2000; Fernie, Moore & Fernie 2003).

Such research as has been carried out into the international fashion retail sector has indicated some of its distinguishing circumstances. Most important are the internal corporate characteristics of international fashion retail companies (Dawson 1993; Moore, Fernie & Burt 2000), the importance of brand presentation and product differentiation (Laulajainen 1992; Moore et al 2000; Moore 2001) and the variety of foreign market entry methods and control structures (Treadgold 1991; Doherty 2000; Quinn & Doherty 2000). Defining the success of an international fashion retailer is the ability of the retailer to manage these factors, establishing effective relationships within manufacturing, distribution, retail and marketing networks to present an appealing and coherent brand image across geographically and culturally diverse markets (Doherty 2000; Moore, Birtwistle & Burt 2004).

A number of authors have cited brand appeal as a significant determinant of international retailer success (Hollander 1970; Alexander 1990 & 1995; Williams 1992; Dawson 1994). Given its culture-bound nature, this is especially the case for fashion retailers (Laulajainen 1992; Fernie et al 1997; Moore 2001). Moore (2001) cited ‘the brand’ as a key determinant of fashion retailer success, differentiating the retailer from its competitors by generating a distinctive image, and satisfying the consumer’s functional and emotional values (Franzen & Bouwman 2001). Various case studies (e.g. Bunce 1989; Treadgold 1991; Belussi 1992; Johnson & Allen 1994; Arnold 2002) place the brand as central to the retailer’s business model (Martinez & de Chernatony 2004). Hence, in the contemporary commercial environment of multiple brand extensions, the coherency and appeal of the brand is important in creating appeal across many market segments and product ranges (Aaker & Keller 1990; Park et al 1991; Keller 1993; Dacin and Smith 1994; Bottomley & Holden 2001). Martinez and de Chernatony (2004) suggest that companies attempting to extend their brand into new product sectors or unfamiliar markets must have a strong parent brand enjoying high consumer familiarity, good extension fit and a positive consumer image.

Contingent to building a coherent brand image in the fashion retail market is a disciplined distribution and retail strategy (Belussi 1992; Dawson 1994; Fernie et al 1997; Birtwistle & Freathy 1998; Moore 1998; Moore et al 2000). Dawson (1994) delineated the various market entry options available for a retailer seeking international expansion, with consequent implications for management control in those foreign markets. The chosen route is regarded as indicative of the retailer’s corporate characteristics and competencies and brand positioning (Treadgold & Davies 1988; Burt 1993; Sparks 1996), with luxury fashion companies typically adopting an approach facilitating the international presentation of a uniform and coherent brand image across different markets via centrally controlled advertising, retail operation and distribution partnerships (Hollander 1970; Laulajainen 1992; Crew & Lowe 1996; Fernie et al 1997; Moore 1998; Moore et al 2004). Regardless of the retail format or distribution strategy chosen, in considering international brand presentation and foreign retail operations as fundamental aspects of the business strategy, management control over foreign retail operations is critical (Doherty 2000; Quinn & Doherty 2000). Moore et al (2004) contend that managing the relationship
between marketing channel and retail partners is essential to the success of fashion retailers.

**The Giorgio Armani Group**

Established in 1972, the Giorgio Armani Group distributes to over 120 countries and reported profits of €117.4m in 2002 (Giorgio Armani Group, 2003). As was indicated in the introduction, the central tenets of the Armani Business Model relate to their dedicated manufacturing capacity, the growth and diversification of the product (and brand) portfolio and judicious management of their international distribution network. Each of these dimensions will be examined below.

**Manufacturing Capacity**

In the past twenty years, international fashion retailers have abandoned their direct involvement in manufacturing and have delegated this responsibility to third parties in transactional relationships whereby the retailer specifies their conditions and requirements of a product.

Licensing agreements allow suppliers to design and manufacture ranges that are then marketed under the fashion retailer’s own brand name (Moore et al. 2004). These arrangements benefit the retailer by providing access to the technical expertise and resources of their manufacturers while at the same time reducing the risk that they may face with turbulent markets. It is rare for international fashion retailers to manufacture their own product ranges.

The Giorgio Armani Group has adopted what may be described as “a countervailing manufacturing strategy”. In the past five years in particular, the Group has sought to complete the task of creating a vertically integrated business. From Giorgio Armani’s perspective, their continued success is dependant upon “an ongoing commitment to a strategy of vertical integration, where the Group increasingly takes direct control over all aspects of design, manufacturing, distribution and retail” (Giorgio Armani Group 2003). This is substantiated by their pursuit of a manufacturer-acquisition strategy – as evidenced by their acquisition of Deanna Spa (producer of high quality knitwear) and four footwear factories in late 2002. Figure 1 (below) delineates the scope of the Group’s manufacturing capability across all of their core product categories.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antinea Srl</td>
<td>100%-owned men’s / women’s formal clothing manufacturer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deanna Spa</td>
<td>100%-owned knitwear manufacturer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guardi Soa</td>
<td>100%-owned men’s / women’s footwear manufacturer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intai Spa</td>
<td>100%-owned tie, underwear, beach, home furnishings and leather accessories manufacturer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simint Spa</td>
<td>100%-owned casual wear manufacturer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confezioni di Matelica Spa</td>
<td>51% - indirectly controlled men’s clothing manufacturer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borgo 21 Spa</td>
<td>60% - indirectly controlled manufacturer of couture line</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1 : Giorgio Armani Group – Manufacturing Capability**

The commitment to a vertical integration strategy is motivated by financial benefits and the desire to assure design protection and exclusivity. However, of particular importance to Giorgio Armani himself is his belief in the “integrity of the Armani
brand around the world – the only way to truly protect this integrity is through the commitment to a strategy of vertical integration with direct control over all aspects of design, manufacturing, distribution and retail” (Annual Report 2003).

In those areas where the Group does not possess the requisite expertise, it grants licences to third parties who are responsible for the manufacture and distribution, but not the design or marketing, of products in four specific categories: eyewear, perfumes, watches and jewellery.

The Armani Brand Portfolio
The desire for maximum control through vertical integration is imperative to the Group’s management of a brand portfolio comprised of six lifestyle brands – each of which, according to the Group, has its own personality, positioning, distribution and retail strategy.

A review of each of the brands and their respective market positioning is presented in Figure 2 below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brand Name</th>
<th>Positioning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Giorgio Armani</td>
<td>The main line – couture equivalent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armani Collezioni</td>
<td>Diffusion line of fine-tailoring, sportswear, outerwear and accessories for professionals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emporio Armani</td>
<td>Young and modern diffusion line for younger customers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AJ/Armani Jeans</td>
<td>Youth line – with a focus on technology and ecology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/X Armani Exchange</td>
<td>Entry level brand – fast fashion for denim and urban fashion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armani Casa</td>
<td>Furniture and home accessories ranges</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2: Armani Brands and Market Positioning

Three dimensions define the Armani brand portfolio. The first relates to its market coverage of customer segments, while the second is their ability to retain mutual-exclusivity between and among disparate groups of customers. The precision in terms of distinctive positioning and distribution of each of the six brands does not result in brand erosion. Finally, the portfolio is dynamic and entrepreneurial – in that it is responsive to market opportunities as evidenced by the establishment in 2000 of the Armani Casa home furnishings brand.

Distribution Network
In 2002 wholesale activity contributed 52.1% to the total Giorgio Armani Group turnover. Other than providing a significant revenue stream, wholesaling also provides significant foreign market coverage at a reduced risk. The Group retains rigid control over wholesale access through its subsidiary company – Giorgio Armani Distribuzione Srl – which vets all current and prospective stockists in terms of Group-stockist compatibility.

The store network strategy is complex and reflects the positioning of the respective brands and the national markets. Of the 311 Armani stores worldwide, 115 are
directly-owned by the Group. Direct ownership of all Armani stores in Italy, Japan and the USA is driven primarily by their significant contribution to Group profit. The control of Armani Collezioni stores in Paris and Frankfurt reflects an attempt to secure a premium brand positioning, while the non-availability of the AX/Armani Jeans in Europe ensures that Armani’s premium brand positioning is not confused by a mass-market priced product range in this most demanding market.

Conclusions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manufacturing</th>
<th>Vertical Integrated manufacturing of product ranges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Licence agreements for specialist lines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brand Portfolio</td>
<td>Six lifestyle brands – maximum segment coverage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution</td>
<td>Wholesaling for cost-efficient market coverage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Directly managed stores in key markets and for key brands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>In-directly managed stores with competent trading partners</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3: Giorgio Armani Group Business Model

Figure 3 represents three critical dimensions of the Armani business model of which three central observations can be made. Firstly, it is integrative which provides for maximum control and consistency in the management of the brand portfolio. Secondly, it is entrepreneurially dynamic – with the extension into complimentary product categories and the acquisition of strategic manufacturing capability. Finally, the evolution of the model has been measured and incremental. The Armani Group is 100% owned by Giorgio Armani himself. Free from shareholders’ demands, the ownership status of the Group has provided the freedom of a strategic planning approach that is not readily available to other international fashion brands.

The presentation will provide further details of these dimensions and will consider, in particular, the inter-relationship between each and their respective contribution to the success of the Giorgio Armani Group.
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