



University of HUDDERSFIELD

University of Huddersfield Repository

Hearn, Jeff, Muller, Ursula, Oleksy, Elzbieta H., Pringle, Keith, Chernova, Janna, Ferguson, Harry, Holter, Oystein Gullvag, Kolga, Voldemar, Novikova, Irina, Pitch, Tamar, Ventimiglia, Carmine, Lattu, Emmi, Olsvik, Elvind, Tallberg, Teemu, Millett, Jackie, Raynor, Alex and Jacobsen, Astrid

The European Research Network on Men in Europe: The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities The social problem of men: deliverable 14: Final Network Report

Original Citation

Hearn, Jeff, Muller, Ursula, Oleksy, Elzbieta H., Pringle, Keith, Chernova, Janna, Ferguson, Harry, Holter, Oystein Gullvag, Kolga, Voldemar, Novikova, Irina, Pitch, Tamar, Ventimiglia, Carmine, Lattu, Emmi, Olsvik, Elvind, Tallberg, Teemu, Millett, Jackie, Raynor, Alex and Jacobsen, Astrid (2003) The European Research Network on Men in Europe: The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities The social problem of men: deliverable 14: Final Network Report. Project Report. CROME: Critical Research on Men in Europe, Helsinki, Sweden. (Unpublished)

This version is available at <http://eprints.hud.ac.uk/id/eprint/6616/>

The University Repository is a digital collection of the research output of the University, available on Open Access. Copyright and Moral Rights for the items on this site are retained by the individual author and/or other copyright owners. Users may access full items free of charge; copies of full text items generally can be reproduced, displayed or performed and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided:

- The authors, title and full bibliographic details is credited in any copy;
- A hyperlink and/or URL is included for the original metadata page; and
- The content is not changed in any way.

For more information, including our policy and submission procedure, please contact the Repository Team at: E.mailbox@hud.ac.uk.

<http://eprints.hud.ac.uk/>

**EU FP5 Thematic Network.
The European Research Network on Men in Europe:
The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and
Masculinities
(HPSE-CT-1999-0008)**

**“THE SOCIAL PROBLEM OF MEN”:
DELIVERABLE 14:
FINAL NETWORK REPORT
FROM WORKPACKAGE 7**

Jeff Hearn, Ursula Müller, Elzbieta Oleksy, Keith Pringle, Janna
Chernova,

Harry Ferguson, Øystein Gullvåg Holter, Voldemar Kolga, Irina
Novikova,

Carmine Ventimiglia, Emmi Lattu, Teemu Tallberg, Eivind Olsvik,
with the assistance of Jackie Millett, Satu Liimakka, Diane McIlroy and
Hertta Niemi

**THE EUROPEAN RESEARCH NETWORK ON MEN IN EUROPE:
THE SOCIAL PROBLEM AND SOCIETAL PROBLEMATISATION OF MEN
AND MASCULINITIES INTRODUCTION**

**FINAL NETWORK REPORT:
“THE SOCIAL PROBLEM OF MEN”**

Jeff Hearn,¹ Ursula Müller,¹ Elzbieta Oleksy,¹ Keith Pringle,² Janna Chernova,³ Harry Ferguson,³ Øystein Gullvåg Holter,³ Voldemar Kolga,³ Irina Novikova,³ Carmine Ventimiglia,³ Emmi Lattu,⁴ Teemu Tallberg,⁴ Eivind Olsvik,⁵ *with the assistance of* Jackie Millett,⁶ Satu Liimakka,⁴ Diane McIlroy⁶ and Hertta Niemi⁴

CONTENTS

Executive Summary

0. Extended Summary

The Research Network and the Research Task

0.1. The Research Context and Changing Forms of Masculinities

0.2. Academic Research

0.3. Statistical Information

0.4. Law and Policy

0.6. Media and Newspaper Representations

0.7. Home and Work.

0.8. Social Exclusion.

0.9. Violences.

0.10. Health.

0.11. Interrelations

0.12. Policy Options

0.13. Policy-relevant Recommendations

1. Introduction

1.1 Purpose and Structure of this Report

1.2 The Research Network

1.3 The Organisation of the Research Network

1.4 The Research Context

1.4.1 Critical Approaches to Men’s Practices

1.4.2 Comparative Welfare Systems in European Contexts

¹ Principal contractor. ² Network co-ordinator. ³ Network participant. ⁴ Research assistant.

⁵ Co-ordinator for Critical Studies on Men, NIKK, Oslo. ⁶ Network administrator.

- 1.5 The Research Task**
- 1.6 The Changing Policy Context and the Changing Forms of Masculinities**

- 2. Research on Men's Practices (Workpackage 1)**
 - 2.1 Comparative and Methodological Issues**
 - 2.2 The General State of Research**
 - 2.3 General Discussion on the Reports, including the 4 Thematic Areas**
 - 2.3.1 Home and Work.**
 - 2.3.2 Social Exclusion.**
 - 2.3.3 Violences.**
 - 2.3.4 Health.**
 - 2.4 Conclusions**

- 3. Statistical Information on Men's Practices (Workpackage 2)**
 - 3.1 Comparative and Methodological Issues**
 - 3.1.1 General Discussion**
 - 3.1.2 Baseline Comparative Statistical Measures for the Ten Nations**
 - 3.2 The General State of Statistical Information**
 - 3.3 General Discussion on the Reports Including the 4 Thematic Areas**
 - 3.3.1 Home and Work.**
 - 3.3.2 Social Exclusion.**
 - 3.3.3 Violences.**
 - 3.3.4 Health.**
 - 3.4 Conclusions**
 - 3.4.1 The Explicit Gendering of Statistics on Men's Practices**
 - 3.4.2 The Source and Methodology of Statistics**
 - 3.4.3 Unities and Differences**
 - 3.4.4 Recent Structural Changes and Constructions of Men**
 - 3.4.5 Interconnections Power and Social Exclusion**

- 4. Law and Policy Addressing Men's Practices (Workpackage 3)**
 - 4.1 Comparative and Methodological Issues**
 - 4.2 The General State of Law and Policy**
 - 4.3 General Discussion on the Reports, including the 4 Thematic Areas**
 - 4.3.1 Home and Work.**
 - 4.3.2 Social Exclusion.**
 - 4.3.4 Violences.**
 - 4.3.4 Health.**
 - 4.4 Conclusions**

- 5. Newspaper Representations on Men and Men's Practices (Workpackage 4)**
 - 5.1 Comparative and Methodological Issues**
 - 5.1.1 Methods of Analysis**
 - 5.1.2 Broader Comparative and Methodological Issues**
 - 5.2 The General State of Newspaper Representations**
 - 5.3 General Discussion on the Reports, including the 4 Thematic Areas**
 - 5.3.1 Home and Work.**

- 5.3.2 Social Exclusion.**
- 5.3.3 Violences.**
- 5.3.4 Health.**
- 5.4 Conclusions**
- 5.4.1 Research:**
- 5.4.2 Methodology:**
- 5.4.3 Extent of Newspaper Coverage:**
- 5.4.4 Distribution:**
- 5.4.5 Violences:**
- 5.4.6 The Cultural Dimension:**

6. Interrelations Between the Themes

7. Policy Recommendations

- 7.1 Home and Work**
- 7.2 Social Exclusion.**
- 7.3 Violences.**
- 7.4 Health.**
- 7.5 Interrelations between the Themes**

8. Dissemination

- 8.1 The European Data Base and Documentation Centre on Men's Practices**
- 8.2 Publications**
- 8.3 Links with Other Research Networks**
- 8.3.1 Clustering with EU-funded Research Projects**
- 8.3.2 Links with Other International Networks Outside Framework 5**
- 8.4 Book Projects**
- 8.5 Interface Workshops**
- 8.6 Conference**

9. Conclusion

Appendices

Appendix 1: Institutional Affiliations of Network Members

Appendix 2: Institutions and Universities of the Network

Appendix 3: Affiliate Members of the Network

Appendix 4: The National Reports on Research

Appendix 4A: Key Points from the National Reports on Research

Appendix 4B: Gaps Identified from the National Reports on Research

Appendix 5: The National Reports on Statistical Information

Appendix 5A: Baseline Statistical Measures on the Ten Countries, Tables 1-6

Appendix 5B: Proportion of total active workforce in professional and managerial work by gender, EU countries, 1960 and 1990

Appendix 5C: Key Points from the National Reports on Statistical Information

Appendix 5D: Gaps Identified from the National Reports on Statistical Information

Appendix 6: The National Reports on Law and Policy

Appendix 6A: Key Points from the National Reports on Law and Policy

Appendix 7: The National Reports on Newspaper Representations

Appendix 7A: Key Points from the National Reports on Newspaper Representations

Appendix 7B: The newspapers selected for analysis in each country

Appendix 7C: Percentages of articles and space devoted to men and men's practices in three analysed newspapers: summaries of selected countries

Appendix 8: Example of Review of Key Points for one Country

Appendix 9: First Interface Workshop, 5th –7th October 2001, Cologne, Germany

Appendix 10: Second Interface Workshop, 26th – 28th April 2002, Lodz, Poland

Appendix 11: Policy Option Paper I: National Options and Priorities

Appendix 12: Policy Option Paper II: EU, European and Transnational Options and Priorities

Appendix 13: Publications from the Network and Network members

Appendix 14: Conference Announcement and Programme

Appendix 15: Conference Participants

Appendix 16: Conference Work Groups

Bibliography

Executive Summary

The Network: Changing and improving gender relations and reducing gender inequality involves changing men as well as changing the position of women. The EU Framework 5 European Research Network on Men in Europe (2000-2003) has aimed to develop *empirical, theoretical and policy outcomes* on the gendering of men and masculinities in Europe. The Network has investigated *the social problem and societal problematisation of men and masculinities*. ‘Social problem’ refers to both problems created by men, and those experienced by men. ‘Societal problematisation’ refers to the ways in which men and masculinities have become problematised in society. The Network comprises women and men, researching men *as explicitly gendered*, in Estonia, Finland, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Norway, Poland, Russian Federation and UK.

The Main Phases of Work have comprised, first, four phases on *academic and analytical literature, statistical information, law and policy, and newspaper representations*, followed by analysis and dissemination. For each of the first four phases there are national reports for each of the 10 participating countries, along with four summary reports. The main focus is on four main aspects of men, masculinities and men’s practices: *men’s relations to home and work; men’s relations to social exclusion; men’s violences; and men’s health*. The European Data Base and Documentation Centre on Men’s Practices (www.cromenet.org) archives Network outputs.

The Main Foci: Recurring themes in *Home and Work* include men’s occupational, working and wage advantages over women, gender segregation at work, many men’s close associations with paid work. There has been a general lack of attention to men as managers, policy-makers, owners and other power holders. Another recurring theme is men’s benefit from avoidance of domestic responsibilities, and the absence of fathers. In some cases this tradition of men’s avoidance of childcare and domestic responsibilities is very recent indeed and still continues for the majority of men. *Social Exclusion* has proved to be the most difficult area to pre-define, yet one of the most interesting. It figures in research in different ways, such as, unemployment, ethnicity, homosexuality, homelessness, social isolation. The recurring theme in *Men’s Violences* is the widespread nature of the problem of men’s violences to women, children and other men, and the growing public awareness of men’s violence against women. Men are overrepresented among those who use violence, especially heavy violence. This violence is also age-related. The major themes regarding *Men’s Health* are men’s relatively low life expectancy, poor health, accidents, suicide, morbidity. Some studies see traditional masculinity as hazardous to health.

Contradictions: There is a profound, enduring contradiction between men’s dominance in politics and economy, and the social exclusion of some groupings of men. There is a comparable contradiction between the high responsibility placed upon some men for societal development, and the recognition of some men’s irresponsible behaviour in terms of health, violence and care.

Policy Context: Men and masculinities are set within changing policy contexts. There have been huge historical changes in forms of masculinity and men’s practices, yet

also stubborn persistences in some aspects of men and masculinity. The EU itself can be understood as a project of positive possibilities largely led and negotiated by men politicians after the Second World War in contradiction to short-term nationalistic interests. There is increasing recognition of the central place of men and masculinity in the collective violence of war. To understand the national and transnational policy context involves considering 'the social problem of men' within organisational and governmental policy formation, in national, regional and EU institutions. Changing gender relations both constitute governments and provide tasks for governments to deal with. Governments can be seen as both part of the problem and part of the solution. The social problem of men relates closely to EU social agendas. There is a need to develop policy options, 'best practices' and policies on men, as an important, urgent matter. Key issues include the relation of the EU to accession; migration; human trafficking, especially men's actions as consumers.

Policy Recommendations:

Home and work. To encourage men to devote more time and priority to caring, housework, childcare, and the reconciliation of home and paid work; to remove men's advantages in paid work and work organisations, as with the persistence of the gender wage, non-equal opportunities practices in appointment and promotion, and domination of top level jobs; policies on men in transnational organisations and their development of equality policies; to encourage men's positive contribution to gender equality; to remove discriminations against men, such as compulsory conscription of men into the armed forces, and discriminations against gay men.

Social exclusion. To reduce the social exclusion of men, especially young marginalised men, men suffering racism, and men suffering multiple social exclusions; reducing the effects of the social exclusion of men upon women and children; ameliorating the effects of rapid socio-economic change that increase the social exclusion of men; specifically addressing the transnational aspects of social exclusion of men, in, for example, transnational migration, and homosexual sexual relations; to change men's actions in creating and reproducing social exclusions.

Violences. To stop men's violence to women, children and other men, assisting victims and survivors; enforcing the criminal law on clear physical violence, that has historically often not been enforced in relation to men's violence to known women and children; making non-violence and anti-violence central public policy of all relevant institutions – including a focus on schools within extensive public education campaigns; assisting men who have been violent to stop their violence, such as men's programmes, should be subject to accountability, high professional standards, close evaluation, and not be funded from women's services; and recognising the part played by men in forms of other violence, including racist violence.

Health. To improve men's health; to facilitate men's improved health practices, including use of health services; to connect men's health to forms of masculinity, such as risk-taking behaviour; to focus on the negative effects of men's health problems upon women and children; to ensure that focusing on men's health does not reduce resources for women's and children's health.

General. In designing policy interventions one must seek to bridge the central divide which has previously existed in much research on men i.e the splitting of studies which focus on “problems which some experience” from those which explore “the problems which some create”. While the creation of effective policy interventions in the field of men’s practices are vital, they must never be made at the expense of funding for services to women and/or children.

Interrelations between themes. There were many interrelations, interconnections and overlaps between the four themes. For example, in most parts of Western Europe, there is a striking tendency to treat fatherhood and men’s violences as separate policy issues. There are countries which both enthusiastically promote fatherhood and, quite separately, address men’s violences, but do not join up the two. These two policy areas should be joined up. Another example is interconnections between social exclusion and men’s health. There is considerable research across many countries illustrating a correlation between poor health, including the poor health of men, and forms of social disadvantage associated with factors such as class or ethnicity. More generally social exclusion/inclusion can be seen as an important element entering into the dynamics of all the other themes. This emphasises the need for particular policy attention to social inclusion and far more research on men’s practices and social exclusion/inclusion.

0. Extended Summary

0.1. The Research Network and the Research Task

The topic of men is now on political, policy and media agendas. This report brings together the work of the European Research Network on Men in Europe that has been operating since March 2000, within the EU Framework 5. The overall aim of the Thematic Network is to develop empirical, theoretical and policy outcomes on the gendering of men and masculinities in Europe. The central focus of the Research Network’s effort is the investigation of the social problem and societal problematisation of men and masculinities. The reference to ‘social problem’ refers to *both* the problems created by men, and the problems experienced by men. The notion of societal problematisation refers to the various ways in which the ‘topic’ of men and masculinities has become and is becoming noticed and problematised in society – in the media, in politics, in policy debates, and so on. This focus is set within a general problematic: that changing and improving gender relations and reducing gender inequality involves changing men as well as changing the position of women.

The Network comprises women and men researchers who are researching on men and masculinities in *an explicitly gendered way*. The bringing together of both women and men researchers is extremely important in the development of good quality European research on men in Europe. Research on men that draws only on the work of men is likely to neglect the very important research contribution that has been and is being made by women to research on men. Research and networking based on only men researchers is likely to reproduce some of the existing gender inequalities of research and policy development. Gender-collaborative research is necessary in the pursuit of gender equality, in the combating of gender discrimination, and in the achievement of equality and in the fight against discrimination more generally. The Network consists of women and men researchers from ten countries: Estonia, Finland, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Norway, Poland, Russian Federation and the UK.

The initial work of the Network has been organised through four main phases of 'workpackages', on - academic and analytical literature, statistical information, law and policy, and newspaper representations - followed by three further workpackages of analysis and dissemination. For each of the first four workpackages there are national reports for each of the 10 participating countries, making a total of 40 national reports, along with four summary reports, one on each workpackage.

The main focus is on four main aspects of men, masculinities and men's practices: *men's relations to home and work; men's relations to social exclusion; men's violences; and men's health*. The 40 national reports address these four main themes, according to the different sources of information: research, statistics, law and policy, media. These themes engage with problems both created by men and experienced by men. Violence can be understood largely as a theme through which men create problems, for women, children, each other, even themselves. Health and social exclusion are themes around which some men experience particular problems, as well as sometimes creating problems for women and children. Home and work, and their interrelations, are fundamental themes, in relation to which men both create and experience problems. These themes may be unevenly invoked in the differential societal problematisations of men and masculinities. The research task of the Network has been to map these patterns; the research, statistical, policy and media information that is available; and the gaps that exist in that material.

This report also provides information on the other Network outputs, including the European Data Base and Documentation Centre on Men's Practices (www.cromenet.org) and relevant publications of Network members, arising from the Network's activities.

0.2. The Research Context and Changing Forms of Masculinities

The overall project is contextualised by previous scholarship on two areas of study: critical studies on men and masculinities; and studies of comparative welfare systems and welfare responses to associated social problems and inequalities. The project also has direct relevance to policy outcomes in relation to changing family structures; work configurations within the labour market and the home; and other changes in the wider European society.

For a long time, men, masculinity and men's powers and practices were generally taken-for-granted. Gender was largely seen as a matter of and for women; men were generally seen as ungendered, natural or naturalised. In many countries and until relatively recently established forms of masculinity and men's practices could be distinguished on two major dimensions - urban and rural; bourgeois and working class. In these different ways men have both created huge problems, most obviously in violence, and have also been constructive and creative actors, as, for example, in the building industries, albeit within patriarchies. The exact ways these four forms were practiced clearly varied between societies and cultures. In addition, many other cross-cutting dimensions have been and are important, such as variations by age, ethnicity, sexuality. In recent years, urban bourgeois, rural bourgeois, urban working class, and rural working class forms of masculinity and men's practices have all been subject to major social change.

The taken-for-granted nature of men and masculinities is now changing. Recent years have seen the *naming of men as men*. Men have become the subject of growing academic, policy and media debates. In some respects this is *not* totally new; there have been previous periods of debate on men, and then, in a different sense, much of politics, research and policy has always been about men, often dominantly so. What is new, however, is that these debates, particularly academic and policy debates, are now more explicit, more gendered, more varied and sometimes more critical.

The making of men more gendered, in both theory and practice, has meant that previously taken-for-granted powers and authority of men, social actions of men, and ways of being men can now be considered to be much more problematic. They may not yet be much more negotiable, but they are at least now recognised as more open to debate. A number of social changes now seem to be in place whereby men and masculinities can at least be talked about as problematic. It is now at least possible to ask such questions as: What is a man? How do men maintain power? Is there a crisis of masculinity? Or is there a crisis of *men* in a more fundamental way? Do we know what the future of men looks like or should be? What policy and practice implications follow both in relation to men and boys, and for men and boys?

Among the several influences that have brought this focus on men and masculinities, first and foremost is impact on men of Second, and now Third, Wave Feminisms. Questions have been asked by feminists and feminisms about all aspects of men and men's actions. Different feminist initiatives have focused on different aspects of men, and have suggested different analyses of men and different ways forward for men. Feminism has also demonstrated various theoretical and practical lessons for men. One is that the understanding of gender relations, women and men has to involve attention to questions of power. There have also been a wide range of men's responses to gender (in)equality and feminism – some positive, some antagonistic, some unengaged and apparently disinterested.

Something similar has happened and very unevenly continues to happen in academia. In some senses there are as many ways of studying men and masculinities as there are approaches to the social sciences, ranging from examinations of 'masculine psychology' to broad societal, structural and collective analyses of men. An important development has been the shift from the analysis of masculinity in the singular to masculinities in the plural. This pluralised approach understands 'masculinities' as configurations, often collective configurations, of embodied gender practices, rather than traits, attitudes or psychologies of individual men (or women). Studies have thus interrogated the operation of different masculinities – hegemonic, complicit, subordinated, marginalised, resistant – and the interrelations of unities and differences between men and between masculinities. Masculinities operate in the context of patriarchy or patriarchal relations. The notion of patriarchy is understood in this context not simply in its literal sense of rule of the father or fathers, but more generally as men's structural dominance in society.

However, more recently, from the mid 90s there has also been a growing lively debate on the limitations of the very idea of 'masculinities', including around the confusions of different current usages in the term. For this reason some scholars prefer to talk of rather more precisely of men's individual and collective practices – or men's

identities or discourses on or of men – rather than the gloss ‘masculinities’. Moreover, part of the recognition of the gendering of men also, somewhat paradoxically, involves understanding men as not only gendered but also aged, classed, ethnicised, racialised, and so on. The notion of the pure gendering of the purely gendered man is a myth. Gendering exists in multiple reciprocities with other forms of social division, social relations, social categorisation and social identification.

Not only are men now increasingly recognised as gendered, but they, or rather some men, are increasingly recognised as a gendered social problem to which welfare systems may, or for a variety of reasons may not, respond. This can apply in terms of violence, crime, drug and alcohol abuse, buying of sex, accidents, driving, and so on, and indeed the denial of such problems as sexual violence. These are all activities that are social in nature, and can have both immediate and long-term negative effects on others, friends, family and strangers. Some men suffer from adversity, such as from ill-health, violence, poverty, and the vulnerabilities of men are perhaps best illustrated by the trend of increasing numbers of men across Europe taking their own lives. The association of the gendered problematisation of men and masculinities, and the gendered social problem of men and masculinities is complex, as indeed are the differential responses of welfare systems. But at the very least it is necessary to acknowledge the various ways in which the more general gendered problematisations of men and masculinities both facilitate and derive from more particular recognitions of certain men and masculinities as social problems.

These processes of problematisation of men and construction of men as gendered social problems apply in academic and political analysis, and in men’s own lives and experiences; they also exist more generally at the societal level, and very importantly in quite different ways in different societies. Thus while it may be expected that some kind of problematisation of men and masculinities may now be observable in many, perhaps most, European societies, the form that it takes is likely to be very different indeed from society to society. In some, it may appear in public concern around young men, crime, relatively low educational attainments in schools; in others, it may take the form of anxieties around the family, fatherhood, and relations with children; elsewhere, the specific links between boyhood, fathering and men may be emphasised; or the question of men’s ill-health, alcohol use, depression, loneliness, and low life expectancy; or the problem of reconciling home and work, with the pressure towards long working hours; or men’s violence to and control of women and children; or men’s participation in and continued domination of many political and economic institutions; or changing forms of men’s sexuality.

These and other forms of gendered problematisation of men and masculinities and constructions of men and masculinities as gendered social problems have been examined in a range of European national welfare contexts by the Network. There is great national, societal variation in how men and masculinities interact with issues not merely of culture but also other major social divisions and inequalities, in particular, class, “race” xenophobia and racism, ethnicity, nationalism and religion. The intersections of “race”, ethnicity, nationalism and nationality appear to be especially and increasingly important for the construction of both dominant and subordinated forms of men and masculinities. Examining this entails investigation of the complex interrelations between these varying genderings and problematisations and the socio-

economic, political, state structures and processes within and between countries. Fuller understanding of these issues is likely to assist the formulation of social policy responses to them in both existing and potential member states, and the EU.

Recently, attempts have been made to push forward the boundaries in the comparative field using feminist and pro-feminist perspectives to consider men's practices throughout the world. These attempts seek to locate such considerations within recent debates about globalisation and men's practices, throwing some doubt in the process on the more ambitious claims of globalisation theses. Despite such recent developments, there remains a massive deficit in critical transnational studies of men's practices and in the sources available for such study.

0.3. Academic Research *The general state of studies on men.* The state of studies on men in the 10 national contexts varies in terms of volume and detail of research, the ways in which research has been framed, as well as substantive differences in men's societal position and social practices. The framing of research refers to the extent to which research on men has been conducted directly and in an explicitly gendered way, the relation of these studies to feminist scholarship, Women's Studies and Gender Research more generally, and the extent to which research on men is focused on and presents 'voices' of men or those affected by men. Other differences include different theoretical, methodological and disciplinary emphases, assumptions and decisions. In all the countries reviewed the state of research on men is uneven and far from well developed. In most countries research on men is still relatively new and in the process of uneven development. The extent of national research resources seems to be a factor affecting the extent of research on men. In some countries there is now some form of relatively established tradition of research on men, albeit of different orientations. In most countries, though there may not be a very large body of focused research on men, a sizeable amount of analysis of men is possible.

Interconnections between the four focus areas. The academic research has pointed clearly to strong interconnections between the four focus areas – especially between unemployment, social exclusion and ill health. Patterns of men's violence interconnect with these issues to some extent but also cut across these social divisions.

Similarities and differences. There are both clear similarities between the ten nations and clear differences, in terms of the extent of egalitarianism, in relation to gender and more generally; the form of rapid economic growth or downturn; the experience of post-socialist transformation; the development of a strong women's movement and gender politics. There are also differences between men in the same country, for example, former West German men tend to be more traditional than former East German men, and also within one man or groups of men.

Men in power. There is a particular neglect of attention to men in powerful positions and to analyses of men's broad relations to power, both in themselves and as contexts to the four themes.

0.4. Statistical Information

The explicit gendering of statistics on men's practices. In Workpackage 1 it was noted that an interesting and paradoxical issue is that the more that research, especially

focused gendered research on men, is done the more that there is a realisation of the gaps that exist, both in specific fields and at a general methodological level. Clearly a lack of data on/from men hinders research development. This conclusion cannot be said to have been reinforced in any clear way from the Workpackage 2 national reports. On first reading it might seem that relatively few specific gaps have been identified in the statistical sources. In some senses there is indeed a wealth of information, especially on work and employment, as well as demography, family arrangements, health, illness and mortality. On the other hand, a closer reading shows that while the national statistical systems provide a broad range of relevant information, they usually have significant shortcomings. Explicit gendering of statistics is still not usual. Moreover, there is an absence of focused statistical studies of men, especially differences amongst men. Many statistical studies are relatively cautious in their critical commentary. Many provide data for further analysis, interrogation, comparison with other data, critical comment, and theory development. This is partly a reflection of traditions around the rules of statistical inference, and partly as many studies are produced within a governmental context where such further analysis and critique is not seen as appropriate.

The source and methodology of statistics. There is a need to attend with great care to the source and methodology of statistics on men's practices. For example, focused surveys of women's experience of sexual violence (in the broad sense of the term) tend to produce higher reports than general crime victim surveys. In turn, the latter tend to produce higher figures than police and criminal justice statistics. Thus the use of statistics on men's practices is a matter for both technical improvement and policy and political judgement.

Unities and differences. There are both clear similarities between the ten nations and clear differences, in terms of the extent of egalitarianism, in relation to gender and more generally; the form of rapid economic growth or downturn; the experience of post-socialist transformation; the development of a strong women's movement and gender politics. However, these data on men's practices also reveal the pervasive and massive negative impact of patriarchal relations of power across all sectors of society. The importance of the ongoing challenge to these gendered power relations cannot be over-emphasised. There is a neglect of attention to men in powerful positions and to analyses of men's broad relations to power, both in themselves and as contexts to the four themes. Unities and differences between men need to be highlighted – both *between countries* and amongst men *within each country*. There are, for example, differences between men in the same country, such as between men in the former West German and the former East Germany, and also within one man or groups of men.

Recent structural changes and constructions of men. Analyses of the social problem of men should take into account that many of the countries have experienced recent major socio-economic changes. This applies especially to the transitional nations, though one should not underestimate the scale of change elsewhere, such as economic boom (Ireland) and recovery from recession (Finland). There is also the impact of more general restructurings of economy and society throughout all the countries reviewed. In the case of the transitional nations the political and economic changes were often viewed as positive compared with the Soviet experience. They also often brought social and human problems. While there is no 100% concordance between

economic and social change, there is often a clear relation, for instance, a weakening of the primary sector leading to social and geographical mobility. In the transitional nations people never expected economic freedom would be associated with a decrease in population and birthrate, high criminality, drugs, and diseases such as tuberculosis. During the transition period there is often a negative relation between economy and welfare. These changes have implications for the social construction of men. In the Russian Federation there has been the recent appearance of “victimisation theory” to explain men’s behaviour, according to which men are passive victims of their biological nature and structural (cultural) circumstances. Men are portrayed as victims rather than “actively functioning” social agents, with the policy implications that follow from this. The various national and transnational restructurings throughout all the countries raise complex empirical and theoretical issues around the analysis and reconceptualisation of patriarchy and patriarchal social relations. These include their reconstitution, both as reinforcements of existing social relations and as new forms of social relations. New forms of gendering and gendered contradictions may thus be developing, with, through and for men’s practices.

Interconnections, power and social exclusion. There are strong interconnections between the four focus areas. This applies to both men’s power and domination in each theme area, and between some men’s unemployment, social exclusion and ill health. Social exclusion applies to and intersects with all three other themes: home and work, violences, health. Patterns of men’s violence also interconnect with all the themes to some extent but also cut across social divisions. Statistics are mainly focused on ‘dyadic’ analysis, for example, poverty and men/women, or poverty and ethnicity. Developing ‘triadic’ statistical surveys and analyses of, say, poverty, gender and ethnicity is much rarer, and an altogether more complex task.

0.5. Law and Policy

Gender-neutral language. Gender-neutral language is generally used in law and policy, though for different reasons within different legal-political traditions. The national constitutions embody equality for citizens under the law; non-discrimination on grounds of sex/gender. Despite these features, major structural gender inequalities persist.

Gendered welfare state policy regimes. The different traditions of gendered welfare state policy regimes have definite implications for men’s practices; this is clearest in men’s relations to home and work, including different constructions of men as breadwinners. The implications for men’s social exclusion, violences and health need further explication.

Gender equality provisions. The implications of gender equality provisions for men are underexplored. Different men can have complex, even contradictory, relations to gender equality and other forms of equality. Men’s developing relations to gender equality can include: men assisting in the promotion of women’s greater equality; attention to the gendered disadvantage of certain men, as might include gay men, men with caring responsibilities, men in non-traditional work; men’s rights, fathers’ rights, and anti-women/anti-feminist politics.

Gender mainstreaming. Efforts towards gender mainstreaming in law and policy are often, quite understandably, women-oriented; the implications for such policies for men need to be more fully explored, whilst at the same time avoiding anti-women/anti-feminist “men only” tendencies that can sometimes thus be promoted.

Intersections of men, gender relations and other forms of social division and inequality. The intersection of men, gender relations and other forms of social division and inequality, such as ethnicity, remains an important and undeveloped field in law and policy. Both the substantive form and the recognition of these intersections in law, policy and politics vary considerably between the nations. These intersections are likely to be a major arena of political debate and policy development in the future.

0.6. Media and Newspaper Representations

Research. While in recent years there has been an increasing amount of research on representations of men in the media, there has been relatively little concern with the mundane, everyday media representations of men in newspapers. This workpackage is thus founded on a less firm research base than the previous three workpackages. This opens up many questions for future research on men in newspapers, and men’s relations to newspapers.

Methodology. The workpackage on media and newspaper representations involved new qualitative and quantitative research that has raised very complex issues of measurement and analysis. In particular, there are major methodological and even epistemological issues in assessing forms of representation to ‘men’, ‘men’s practices’ and ‘masculinities’. This is especially so when a large amount of newspaper reporting is presented in supposedly or apparently ‘gender-neutral’ terms. Men are routinely taken-for-granted and not problematised in the press. Additionally, there are significant sections and genres of reporting, especially around politics, business and sport, that are often ‘all about men’, but without explicitly addressing men in a gendered way. Furthermore, the framework of the four main themes has been to a large extent imposed on the newspaper material surveyed.

Extent of Newspaper Coverage. While the overall extent of coverage of men, particularly explicit coverage, is relatively small, there is noticeably more coverage in the attention to men in families and, to an extent, gender equality debates are more present in Western European countries, especially Norway and Finland, than in the transitional nations.

Distribution. The most reported themes were generally Violences, usually followed by Home and Work. Social Exclusion was reported to a variable extent, and it was the most reported theme in Germany and Ireland. Health was generally the least reported theme; this was especially so in the transitional nations, with, for example, no articles in Latvia and only one in Poland. This contrasts with the higher number of articles in, for example, Finland and the UK.

Representations of Violence. This theme needs special mention as it figured so strongly in some countries. There is often a relatively large amount of reporting of short articles on men’s violence, much of it reported on an individual basis. There are,

however, some exceptions to this pattern with limited attention to group, cultural, social, societal, historical and international perspectives.

The Cultural Dimension. More generally, this Workpackage points to the possibilities for greater attention to the cultural dimension in comparative studies of men and gender relations. Literatures, where attention is given to, for example, cultural repertoires and national discourses could be useful here. The primary research completed by the Network on newspaper articles provides an initial analysis of what could be a much larger project.

0.7. Home and Work.

Recurring themes include men's occupational, working and wage advantages over women, gender segregation at work, many men's close associations with paid work, men in nontraditional occupations. There has been a general lack of attention to men as managers, policy-makers, owners and other power holders. In many countries there are twin problems of the unemployment of some or many men in certain social categories, and yet work-overload and long working hours for other men. These can especially be a problem for young men and young fathers; they can affect both working class and middle class men as, for example, during economic recession. Work organisations are becoming more time-hungry and less secure and predictable. While, it is necessary not to overstate the uniformity of this trend which is relevant to certain groups only and not all countries, time utilisation emerges as a fundamental issue of creating difference in everyday negotiations between men and women.

Another recurring theme is men's benefit from avoidance of domestic responsibilities, and the absence of fathers. In some cases this tradition of men's avoidance of childcare and domestic responsibilities is very recent indeed and still continues for the majority of men. In some cases it is being reinforced through new family ideologies within transformation processes. In many countries there is a general continuation of traditional 'solutions' in domestic arrangements, but growing recognition of the micro-politics of fatherhood, domestic responsibilities, and home-work reconciliation at least for some men. In many countries there are also counter and conflictual tendencies. On the one hand, there is an increasing emphasis on home, caring, relations. This may be connected to "family values", a political right wing or a gender equal status perspective. It is not surprising if there may be a degree of cultural uncertainty on men's place in the home and as fathers and a growing recognition of ambivalence, even when there is a strong familism. There is also in some countries a growing interest in the reconciliation of work and home; and growing variety of ways of approaching this.

Given the considerable difference that still exists between men's and women's earnings, it is not surprising that it is the woman who usually stays at home after the birth of a child. Since she is usually the person with the lower income, a couple does not need to be wholehearted advocates of traditional domestic ideology to opt for the traditional solution. However, this pattern of women's tendency to leave the labour force for childrearing, for varying amounts of time, has to be understood in terms of the diverse patterns across Europe. Evidence from Nordic countries shows that parental leave which is left to negotiations between men and women, are mostly taken up by women, although most people, men especially, say they want a more balanced situation. Men

and indeed fathers are clearly not an homogeneous group. Men's unemployment can have clear and diverse effects on men's life in families.

Among men there has long been a contradiction between the ideas they profess and the way they actually live. The fact that men and women living together do not always give the same assessment of their relationship in general and the distribution of tasks between them in particular has become a much discussed topic in methodology. The paradoxical ways in which gender conflicts on the distribution of housework may be negotiated may be illustrated from German research: while in the early 1980s women living with men were generally more likely than men to claim that they did more of the work, some studies in the 1990s have shown the opposite.

0.8. Social Exclusion.

This has proved to be the most difficult area to pre-define, but in some ways one of the most interesting. Social exclusion often figures in the research literature in different ways, such as, unemployment, ethnicity, homosexuality, homelessness, social isolation, poor education, poverty. The social exclusion of certain men links with unemployment of certain categories of men (such as less educated, rural, ethnic minority, young, older), men's isolation within and separation from families, and associated social and health problems. These are clear issues throughout all countries. They are especially important in the Baltic, Central and East European countries with post-socialist transformations of work and welfare with dire consequences for many men. Even in Nordic countries, which are relatively egalitarian and have a relatively good social security system, new forms of problems have emerged. In the last decade, new forms of marginalisation have developed, with shifts from traditional industry to more postindustrialised society. Globalising processes may create new forms of work and marginalisation. Some men find it difficult to accommodate to these changes in the labour market and changed family structure. Instead of going into the care sector or getting more education, some young men become marginalised from work and family life. Working class men are considered the most vulnerable. There is a lack of attention to men engaged in creating and reproducing social exclusion, for example, around racism, and the intersections of different social divisions and forms of social exclusion.

0.9. Violences.

The recurring theme here is the widespread nature of the problem of men's violences to women, children and other men, and in particular the growing public awareness of men's violence against women. Men are overrepresented among those who use violence, especially heavy violence. This violence is also age-related. The life course variation in violence with a more violence-prone youth phase has been connected to increasing exposure to commercial violence and to other social phenomena, but these connections have not been well mapped.

Violence against women by known men is becoming recognised as a major social problem in most of the countries. The range of abusive behaviours perpetrated on victims include direct physical violence, isolation and control of movements, and abuse through the control of money. There has been a large amount of feminist research on women's experiences of violence from men, and the policy and practical consequences of that violence, including that by state and welfare agencies, as well as

some national representative surveys of women's experiences of violence, as in Finland. There has for some years been a considerable research literature on prison and clinical populations of violent men. There is now the recent development of some research in the UK and elsewhere on the accounts and understandings of such violence to women by men living in the community, men's engagement with criminal justice and welfare agencies, and the evaluation of men's programmes intervening with such men. The gendered study of men's violence to women is thus a growing focus of funded research, as is professional intervention.

Child abuse, including physical abuse, sexual abuse and child neglect, is now also being recognised as a prominent social problem in many countries. Both the gendered nature of these problems and an appreciation of how service responses are themselves gendered are beginning to receive more critical attention, both in terms of perpetrators and victims/survivors. There has been a strong concern with the intersection of sexuality and violence in Italy and the UK: This is likely to be an area of growing concern elsewhere. There is some research on men's sexual abuse of children but this is still an underdeveloped research focus in most countries. In some countries sexual abuse cases remain largely hidden, as is men's sexual violence to men. There has also been some highlighting of those men who have received violence from women. Men's violences to ethnic minorities, migrants, people of colour, gay men and older people are being highlighted more, but still very unexplored.

0.10. Health.

The major recurring theme here is men's relatively low life expectancy, poor health, accidents, suicide, morbidity. Some studies see traditional masculinity as hazardous to health. Men suffer and die more and at a younger age from cardiovascular diseases, cancer, respiratory diseases, accidents and violence than women. Socio-economic factors, qualifications, social status, life style, diet, smoking and drinking, hereditary factors, as well as occupational hazards, can all be important for morbidity and mortality. Gender differences in health arise from how certain work done by men are hazardous occupations. Evidence suggests that generally men neglect their health and that for some men at least their 'masculinity' is characterised by risk taking, especially for younger men (in terms of smoking, alcohol and drug taking, unsafe sexual practices, road accidents, lack of awareness of risk), an ignorance of their bodies, and a reluctance to seek medical intervention for suspected health problems. There has been relatively little academic work on men's health and men's health practices from a gendered perspective in many countries.

0.11. Interrelations

There are many important interrelations between the various aspects of men's positions and experiences, and their impacts on women, children and other men. There are strong interconnections between the four main focus areas. This applies to both men's power and domination in each theme area, and between some men's unemployment, social exclusion and ill health. Men dominate key institutions, such as government, politics, management, trade unions, churches, sport; yet some men suffer considerable marginalisation as evidenced in higher rates of suicide, psychiatric illness and alcoholism than women.

The mapping of interrelations is one of the most difficult areas. It is one that deserves much fuller attention in future research and policy development. This applies especially as one moves beyond dyadic connections to triadic and more complex connections.

The main forms of interrelations include:

- (i) the interrelations within the main themes.
- (ii) the interrelations between each of the four main themes.
- (iii) the interrelations between social problems of men and the various constructions of societal problematisations of men and masculinities.
- (iv) the interrelations between the different kinds of data.
- (v) the interrelations induced through societal change.

0.12. Policy Options

Men and masculinities are understood as set within changing policy contexts. There have been huge historical changes in forms of masculinity and men's practices. Yet there are also stubborn persistences in some aspects of men and masculinity. Perhaps the most obvious of these is men's domination of the use of violence.

The historical legacy inherited by the EU includes the attempts to develop broad social democracy and stop fascism happening again. The EU itself can be understood as a project of positive possibilities largely led and negotiated by men politicians after the Second World War in contradiction to short-term nationalistic interests. The EU can be understood as a project devised to reduce men's historical tendency to nationalistic conflict and war, and so achieve relative stability in Europe. There is indeed increasing recognition of the central place of men and masculinity in the collective violence of war.

On the other hand, to understand the national and transnational policy context also involves considering the relevance of 'the social problem of men' within organisational and governmental policy formation, in national, regional and indeed EU institutions. Changing gender relations both constitute governments and provide tasks for governments to deal with. Governments can thus be seen as both part of the problem and part of the solution. It is necessary to analyse and change the place of men within the gender structure of governmental, transgovernmental and other policy-making organisations. This includes the question of the relative lack of attention to men in power, including men in the EU. The social problem of men also relates closely to existing EU social agendas, including EU policies on equality, gender equality, social exclusion, and racism. There is thus a need to develop policy options on men, including 'best practices' and policies on men.

Addressing policy around men and masculinities is an important and urgent matter. There are indeed risks and dangers in non-action, for example, in the intersection of various 'new' and 'old' masculinities, nationalisms, racisms and xenophobias. There are also key issues around the changing policy context in Europe. These include the relation of the EU to eastward expansion, including the conditions of application and accession; questions of migration, especially of young men, and their implications for women and men, in countries of both emigration and immigration; trafficking in women, children and men, especially men's actions as consumers within the EU. The

‘social problem’ of men is of central and urgent interest to the EU and the applicant countries, along with many other transnational organisations and groupings.

0.13. Policy-relevant Recommendations

These can be considered under four headings which correspond precisely to the key dimensions of men’s practices which the Network focused upon in its analysis.

Men’s relations to home and work. One central recommendation is to encourage men to devote more time and priority to caring, housework, childcare, and the reconciliation of home and paid work. Other recommendations included: to remove men’s advantages in paid work and work organisations, as with the persistence of the gender wage, non-equal opportunities practices in appointment and promotion, and domination of top level jobs; policies on men in transnational organisations and their development of equality policies; to encourage men’s positive contribution to gender equality; to remove discriminations against men, such as compulsory conscription of men into the armed forces, and discriminations against gay men.

The social exclusion of men. Our recommendations included reducing the social exclusion of men, especially young marginalised men, men suffering racism, and men suffering multiple social exclusions; reducing the effects of the social exclusion of men upon women and children; ameliorating the effects of rapid socio-economic change that increase the social exclusion of men; specifically addressing the transnational aspects of social exclusion of men, in, for example, transnational migration, and homosexual sexual relations; to change men’s actions in creating and reproducing social exclusions.

Men’s violences. Our recommendations include: stopping men’s violence to women, children and other men, assisting victims and survivors; enforcing the criminal law on clear physical violence, that has historically often not been enforced in relation to men’s violence to known women and children; making non-violence and anti-violence central public policy of all relevant institutions – including a focus on schools within extensive public education campaigns; assisting men who have been violent to stop their violence, such as men’s programmes, should be subject to accountability, high professional standards, close evaluation, and not be funded from women’s services; and recognising the part played by men in forms of other violence, including racist violence. We also want to make a more general point about social policy and men’s violences to women and/or children. If we look at various welfare systems in Western Europe in terms of the extent to which they demonstrate an awareness of the problem and a willingness to respond to it, then the transnational patterns that emerge in Europe are almost a reversal of the standard Esping-Andersen-type classifications. The criteria which can be used to look at each country would include: the levels of research carried out on the topic in different countries; the extent to which the prevalence of men’s violences has been researched and/or acknowledged publically; the extent to which legal frameworks are focused on men’s violences; the extent to which there are welfare initiatives aimed at dealing with the outcomes of men’s violences; the extent to which welfare professionals are trained to address men’s violences.

Men's health. Our recommendations include: to improve men's health; to facilitate men's improved health practices, including use of health services; and to connect men's health to forms of masculinity, such as risk-taking behaviour. To fully understand, and deal with, the dynamics around the health problems of at least some men we may need to connect those problems to dominant, or even in some cases oppressive, ways of "being a man": for instance, risk-taking behaviour relevant to some injuries and addictions; or an almost "macho" unwillingness to take one's health problems seriously and seek medical help; or the marked violence which enters into the methods which a number of men seem to use to commit suicide. This point is also a good example of a more general conclusion arising from the Network outcomes which is highly relevant for policy-makers: in designing policy interventions one must seek to bridge the central divide which has previously existed in much research on men i.e the splitting of studies which focus on "problems which some experience" from those which explore "the problems which some create".

Our other recommendations in the field of men's health were: to focus on the negative effects of men's health problems upon women and children; to ensure that focusing on men's health does not reduce resources for women's and children's health. Once again, this final point is one which we would wish to emphasise and to apply broadly across all the policy areas above: the creation of effective policy interventions in the field of men's practices are vital. However, they must never be made at the expense of funding for services to women and/or children.

Inter-relations between themes. There were many inter-relations, inter-connections and overlaps between the four themes. Let us give two further examples. First, let us consider the inter-relations between the topic of fatherhood and men's violences. In most parts of Western Europe, it seems there is a striking tendency to treat these two topics as separate policy issues. Indeed, one can find countries which both enthusiastically promote fatherhood and, quite separately, address men's violences: but they do not join up the two. The outcomes from the Network suggest that they should in fact be joined up. In other words, there is no contradiction between positively promoting the role of men as carers and to emphasise at the same time the prime requirement of protecting children from men's violences. One is striking, in terms of European research and in terms of policy-making across Europe, is how rarely such an integrated dual approach is adopted: the question as to why it seems to be so hard to do it is one which researchers and policy-makers should ponder deeply.

Our second example of interconnections between the policy themes is between social exclusion and men's health. There is considerable research across many countries illustrating a correlation between poor health, including the poor health of men, and various forms of social disadvantage associated with factors such as class or ethnicity. In fact, more generally the theme of social exclusion/social inclusion can be seen as an important element entering in to the dynamics of all the other three themes regarding men's practices – which again emphasises the requirement for particular policy attention to be given to social inclusion and the need for far more research on men's practices and social exclusion/inclusion to be carried out.

1. Introduction

1.1 The Purpose and Structure of this Report

The topic of men is now on political, policy and media agendas.

This Draft Final Report (Deliverable 11) brings together the work of the European Research Network on Men in Europe that has been operating since March 2000, within the EU Framework 5. It is intended that this draft final report will act as the basis of the Final Report, and as such feedback and comment are welcomed.

The overall aim of the Thematic Network is to develop empirical, theoretical and policy outcomes on the gendering of men and masculinities in Europe. The central focus of the Research Network's effort is the investigation of the social problem and societal problematisation of men and masculinities. This focus is set within a general problematic – that changing and improving gender relations and reducing gender inequality involves changing men as well as changing the position of women.

The initial work of the Network has been organised through four main phases of 'workpackages', followed by three further workpackages of analysis and dissemination.

The first workpackage reviewed relevant academic and analytical literature on men's practices within each country.

The second workpackage reviewed relevant statistical information on men's practices within each country.

The third reviewed law and policy on men's practices.

The fourth workpackage has examined newspaper representations on men and men's practices within each country.

For each of the first four workpackages there are national reports for each of the 10 participating countries, making a total of 40 national reports, along with four summary reports, one on each workpackage.

This report is structured mainly around the results of the first four workpackages and their subsequent analysis. Each of the next four chapters can be read separately. Further details are in the relevant national reports. These four chapters are followed by a short discussion of the interrelations between the four main themes, before considering questions of dissemination and some concluding remarks. This report thus provides information on the other Network outputs, including the European Data Base and Documentation Centre on Men's Practices (www.cromenet.org) and relevant publications of Network members, arising from the Network's activities.

We also particularly draw attention to the first set of national reports from Workpackage 1, as these also include information on:

- *the general national/societal gender situation*, including broad shifts in masculinity formations, and relationship between different masculinities; and

- *general or basic texts on men and masculinities*, including the growth of focused studies.

1.2 The Research Network

The Network comprises women and men researchers who are researching on men and masculinities in *an explicitly gendered way*. The bringing together of both women and men researchers is extremely important in the development of good quality European research on men in Europe. Research on men that draws only on the work of men is likely to neglect the very important research contribution that has been and is being made by women to research on men. Research and networking based on only men researchers is likely to reproduce some of the existing gender inequalities of research and policy development. Gender-collaborative research is necessary in the pursuit of gender equality, in the combating of gender discrimination, and in the achievement of equality and in the fight against discrimination more generally. The Network consists of women and men researchers from ten countries: Estonia, Finland, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Norway, Poland, Russian Federation and the UK (see Appendix 1). Thirteen institutions have been participating in the Network (Appendix 2). The Network also acts as information resource for other researchers and policy-makers. Good contacts with other researchers in other countries, both within and outside Europe, exist and are being developed further through affiliated Network contacts in selected countries. These are at present in Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Denmark and Sweden (Appendix 3).

The overall aim of the Network is to develop empirical, theoretical and policy outcomes on the gendering of men and masculinities. Initially, the Network focuses on two closely related gendered questions:

- the specific, gendered social problem of men and certain masculinities; and
- the more general, gendered societal problematisation of men and certain masculinities.

The main focus of the current work is on four main aspects of men and masculinities:

- *men's relations to home and work*;
- *men's relations to social exclusion*;
- *men's violences*; and
- *men's health*.

The 40 national reports address these four main themes, according to the different sources of information – research, statistics, law and policy, media.

1.3 The Organisation of the Research Network

The Network has been co-ordinated by a steering group of four principal contractors (Pringle [Network Co-ordinator], Hearn, Müller, Oleksy) with an additional six participating members (Chernova, Ferguson, Holter, Kolga, Novikova, Ventimiglia). The main research assistant has been Lattu, with additional part-funded research assistance by Tallberg (also funded by Academy of Finland). Eszter Belinszki, Astrid Jacobsen and Joanna Ryzewska have been research assistants in Germany and Poland. Satu Liimakka has carried out the copyediting of the manuscript of the draft final report. Very valuable feedback was given by the participants at the two Interface Workshops and the Final Conference.

The Network Administrator position has been occupied for most of the period of the Network by Jackie Millett. She has provided invaluable expert administrative support to the Network, particularly in setting up the Network's administrative and financial systems. This position has been occupied for the last part of the Network's funding by Diane McIlroy, who has also provided invaluable administrative assistance.

Besides having an overall collective role in co-ordinating data collection, analysis and dissemination for the Network, each principal contractor has their own specific responsibilities:

Pringle – project financial co-ordination i.e. management and monitoring of budgetary planning and control for duration of project; co-ordination of the interface workshops (2);

Hearn – data co-ordination i.e. during the lifetime of the project, he maintains dissemination of analysis outputs in the form of interim reports across the network (and to EC services) at each workpackage stage and co-ordination of final data analysis outputs;

Müller - network seminar co-ordination i.e. arranging and chairing periodic network seminars (4) which run throughout the period of the project and provide strategic points of reorientation for the network;

Oleksy - co-ordination of dissemination strategies.

Each network member (and each principal contractor) has been responsible for the implementation of data collection and dissemination activities for their own countries; and for providing input to the analysis process. Regular contact has been maintained between members and steering group, individually via regular media channels and collectively via the four periodic network seminars and two interface workshops held across the lifetime of the project.

Others who have participated in the research and support work of the Network include Beata Duchnowicz, Agnieszka Dziedziczak, Elina Hatakka, Joanna Kazik, Jason Levine, Claire Mackinnon, Marczuk Magdalena and Alex Raynor. We are extremely grateful for this work. We also would like to thank many others who have commented on drafts of this report or parts of it, and particularly Dawn Lyon and Jouni Varanka for their detailed comments.

1.4 The Research Context

The overall project is primarily contextualised by previous scholarship on two areas of study: critical studies on men and masculinities; and studies of comparative welfare systems and welfare responses to associated social problems and inequalities. The project also has direct relevance to policy outcomes in relation to changing family structures; work configurations within the labour market and the home; and other changes in the wider European society.

The design and work of the Network has drawn largely on two particular fields of study:

- *critical approaches to men's practices; and*
- *comparative perspectives on welfare.*

We now provide a brief overview of each of these fields in turn.

1.4.1 Critical Approaches to Men's Practices

For a long time, men, masculinity and men's powers and practices were generally taken-for-granted. Gender was largely seen as a matter of and for women; men were generally seen as ungendered, natural or naturalised. This is now changing; it is much less the case than even in the mid-1980s (Metz-Göckel and Müller 1986; Brod 1987; Kimmel 1987a; Hearn 1987, 1992; Connell 1987, 1995a, Segal 1990; Holter 1997).

Recent years have seen the *naming of men as men* (Hanmer 1990; Collinson and Hearn 1994). Men have become the subject of growing political, academic and policy debates. In some respects this is *not* totally new; there have been previous periods of debate on men (Kimmel 1987b), and then, in a different sense, much of politics, research and policy has always been about men, often dominantly so. What is new, however, is that these debates, particularly academic and policy debates, are now more explicit, more gendered, more varied and sometimes more critical. There are also more general debates in the media and public discourse about men.

A number of social changes now seem to be in place whereby men and masculinities can at least be talked about as problematic. It is now at least possible to ask such questions as: What is a man? How do men maintain power? Is there a crisis of masculinity? Or is there a crisis of *men* in a more fundamental way? Do we know what the future of men looks like or should be? What policy and practice implications follow both in relation to men and boys, and for men and boys?

Among the several influences that have brought this focus on men and masculinities, first and foremost is impact on men of Second, and now Third, Wave Feminisms. Questions have been asked by feminists and feminisms about all aspects of men and men's actions. Different feminist initiatives have focused on different aspects of men, and have suggested different analyses of men and different ways forward for men. Feminism has also demonstrated various theoretical and practical lessons for men. One is that the understanding of gender relations, women and men has to involve attention to questions of power. Another is that to transform gender relations, and specifically men's continued dominance of much social life, means not only changes in what women do and what women are but also that men will have to change too. Such lessons have often been difficult for many men to hear, and even harder to act on. These are central concerns in both public and private life, in transnational, national and local policy-making and professional practice, along with the uneven process of social change in gender relations. There have also been since the early 1970s a wide range of men's responses to gender (in)equality and feminism – some positive, some antagonistic, some unengaged and apparently disinterested.

Something similar has happened and very unevenly continues to happen in academia. In some senses there are as many ways of studying men and masculinities as there are approaches to the social sciences. They range from examinations of masculine psychology and psychodynamics (Craib 1987) to broad societal, structural and collective analyses of men (Hearn 1987). A particularly important development has been the shift from the analysis of masculinity in the singular to masculinities in the plural.

This pluralised approach understands ‘masculinities’ as configurations, often collective configurations, of embodied gender practices, rather than traits, attitudes or psychologies of individual men (or women). Studies have thus interrogated the operation of different masculinities – hegemonic, complicit, subordinated, marginalised, resistant (Carrigan et al. 1985; Connell 1995a) – and the interrelations of unities and differences between men and between masculinities (Hearn and Collinson 1993). They have included detailed ethnographic descriptions of particular men or men’s activity and investigations of the construction of specific masculinities in specific discourses (Edley and Wetherell 1995).

The notion of hegemonic masculinity was developed in the late 70s and early 80s, as part of the critique of sex role theory. In a key 1985 article Carrigan, Connell and Lee wrote:

What emerges from this line of argument [on the heterosexual-homosexual ranking of masculinity] is the very important concept of *hegemonic masculinity*, not as “the male role”, but as a particular variety of masculinity to which others – among them young and effeminate as well as homosexual men – are subordinated. It is particular groups of men, not men in general, who are oppressed within patriarchal sexual relations, and whose situations are related in different ways to the overall logic of the subordination of women to men. A consideration of homosexuality thus provides the beginnings of a dynamic conception of masculinity as a structure of social relations. (emphasis in original).

In the book *Masculinities*, Connell (1995) discusses and applies the notion of hegemonic masculinity in more depth. He reaffirms earlier discussions of the link with Gramsci’s analysis of economic class relations through the operation of cultural dynamics, and also notes that hegemonic masculinity is always open to challenge and possible change. Hegemonic masculinity is now defined slightly differently as follows as:

... the configuration of gender practice which embodies the currently accepted answer to the problem of legitimacy of patriarchy, which guarantees (or is taken to guarantee) the dominant position of men and the subordination of women.

Masculinities operate in the context of patriarchy or patriarchal relations. The notion of patriarchy is understood in this context not simply in its literal sense of rule of the father or fathers, but more generally as men’s structural dominance in society. The development of a dynamic conception of masculinities can itself be understood as part of the feminist and gendered critique of any monolithic conception of patriarchy, that was developing around the same time in the mid 70s and early 80s (e.g. Rowbotham, 1979). Thus the notion of masculinities fits with a more diversified understanding of patriarchy (Walby, 1986, 1990; Hearn, 1987) or patriarchies (Hearn, 1992).

There is also a growing lively debate on the limitations of the very idea of ‘masculinities’, including around the confusions of different current usages in the term (Donaldson 1993; McMahon 1993; Hearn 1996b; MacInnes 1998; Whitehead

2002). For this reason some scholars prefer to talk of rather more precisely of men's individual and collective practices – or men's identities or discourses on or of men – rather than the gloss 'masculinities'. However, the latter term is still used quite a lot in this report, as it remains the shortest way to refer to the things men do, think and believe. Perhaps above all, the more recent studies, over the last fifteen to twenty years, have foregrounded questions of power.

There is now an established academic journal, *Men and Masculinities* (Sage), various book series, the International Association of Studies on Men, the European Profeminist Men's Network, as well as other national and transnational networks of researchers, policy-makers and practitioners, for example, in Norway, Denmark and the transitional nations of Central and Eastern Europe. The study of men and masculinities, critical or otherwise, is no longer considered so esoteric. It is established, if often rather tentatively, for teaching and research in different localities. While it has examined boys' and men's lives in schools, families, management, the military and elsewhere, many aspects remain unexplored. As research has progressed, it has become more complex, and concerned less with one 'level' of analysis, and more with linking previously separated fields and approaches.

There are thus now a wide variety of disciplinary and methodological frameworks available for the study of men, masculinities and men's practices. These include: biological approaches, stressing sex differences; essentialist searches for the "real" masculine; sex/gender role theory; gender-specific socialisation and identity formation; masculinities and hegemonic masculinity; habitus; social constructionist and deconstructionist approaches; transnational globalised conceptualisations. There are also tensions between approaches that stress an inevitability to gender adversities and dichotomy, as against those that provide an imaginative space for processuality, flexibility and self-reflection for different genders.

The making of men more gendered, in both theory and practice, has meant that previously taken-for-granted powers and authority of men, social actions of men, and ways of being men can now be considered to be much more problematic. They may not yet be much more negotiable, but they are at least now recognised as more open to debate. The paradox is that men and masculinities are now more talked about than ever before when it is much less clear what and how they are or should become.

Not only are men now increasingly recognised as gendered, but they, or rather some men, are increasingly recognised as a gendered social problem to which welfare systems may, or for a variety of reasons may not, respond. This can apply in terms of violence, crime, drug and alcohol abuse, buying of sex, accidents, driving, and so on, and indeed the denial of such problems as sexual violence (for example, Ventimiglia 1987). These are all activities that are social in nature, and can have both immediate and long-term negative effects on others, friends, family and strangers. Some men suffer from adversity, such as from ill-health, violence, poverty, and the vulnerabilities of men and masculinities are perhaps best illustrated by the trend of increasing numbers of men (across Europe) taking their own lives. The association of the gendered problematisation of men and masculinities, and the gendered social problem of men and masculinities is complex (see, for example, Holter and Aarseth 1993; Månsson 1994; Ekenstam 1998; Popay et al. 1998), as indeed are the

differential responses of welfare systems (Pringle 1998a, Pringle and Harder 1999). But at the very least it is necessary to acknowledge the various ways in which the more general gendered problematisations of men and masculinities both facilitate and derive from more particular recognitions of certain men and masculinities as social problems. Such recognition can apply through the use of measurable information, such as official statistics, as well as through less exact discursive constructions in politics, policy, law, media and opinion-formation.

These processes of problematisation of men and construction of men as gendered social problems apply in academic and political analysis, and in men's own lives and experiences; they also exist more generally at the societal level, and very importantly in quite different ways in different societies. Thus while it may be expected that some kind of problematisation of men and masculinities may now be observable in many, perhaps most, European societies, the form that it takes is likely to be very different indeed from society to society. In some, it may appear in public concern around young men, crime, relatively low educational attainments in schools; in others, it may take the form of anxieties around the family, fatherhood, and relations with children; elsewhere, the specific links between boyhood, fathering and men may be emphasised; or the question of men's ill-health, alcohol use, depression, loneliness, and low life expectancy; or the problem of reconciling home and work, with the pressure towards long working hours; or men's violence to and control of women and children; or men's participation in and continued domination of many political and economic institutions; or changing forms of men's sexuality. A very important area that has received some attention from the EU, though rather more from the Council of Europe, is that of men's violence to women and children.

These and other forms of gendered problematisation of men and masculinities and constructions of men and masculinities as gendered social problems have been examined in a range of European national welfare contexts by the Network. Furthermore, it is very important to consider how there is great national, societal variation in how men and masculinities interact with issues not merely of culture but also other major social divisions and inequalities, in particular, class, "race" xenophobia and racism, ethnicity, nationalism and religion. Indeed the intersection of "race", ethnicity, nationalism and nationality appear to be especially and increasingly important for the construction of both dominant and subordinated forms of men and masculinities. This entails investigation of the complex interrelations between these varying genderings and problematisations and the socio-economic, political, state structures and processes within and between the countries concerned. A fuller understanding of these issues is likely to assist the formulation of social policy responses to them in both existing and potential member states, and the EU as a whole.

1.4.2 Comparative Welfare Systems in European Contexts

The Network aims to facilitate greater understanding of changing social processes of gender relations and gender construction particularly in the context of welfare responses to associated social problems. To undertake this exploration necessitates attention to the challenges and difficulties of comparative research. Consequently, the activity of the Network builds on existing comparative welfare analysis.

In recent years a comparative perspective has been applied to various studies within sociology, social policy and social welfare. There are many reasons for this tendency. One of the most convincing reasons for adopting a comparative approach is the potential offered for deconstructing the assumptions which underpin social practices and policies in different countries. In turn, such a process of deconstruction facilitates a reconstruction of more effective policies and practices. There is also an awareness that such practices and policies increasingly interact transnationally, at both European and, indeed, global levels: consequently research may seek to explore the processes and outcomes of those interactions and connections.

In many cases where specific social issues have been studied transnationally, attempts have been made to apply various general theoretical categorisations to particular issues. In the case of differential welfare regimes, the most common model applied in this specific fashion is that devised by Esping-Andersen (1990, 1996). There has also been an extensive critique of such models in terms of their insufficient attention to gender relations (Lewis and Ostner 1991; Leira 1992; Lewis 1992; Orloff 1993; O'Connor 1993; Sainsbury 1994, 1996, 1999; Tyyskä 1995). Commentators have also taken a variety of positions regarding the analytic value of these applications from the general to the particular (for instance, Alber 1995; Anttonen and Sipilä 1996; Harder and Pringle 1997, Pringle 1998a; Pringle and Harder 1999), partly depending upon the issue being studied. Furthermore, there is a need for considerable open-mindedness in the assumptions that are brought to bear in such analyses. For example, Trifiletti (1999), through a feminist perspective on the relationship between gender and welfare system dynamics, has provided detailed arguments that Southern European welfare regimes may not in fact (contrary to some of the above opinion) be more sexist than those in Northern and Western Europe.

There has been a considerable development of research on gender relations and welfare issues in Europe (Dominelli 1991; Rai et al. 1992; Aslanbeigu et al. 1994; Leira 1994; Sainsbury 1994, 1996; Duncan 1995; Walby, 1997; Duncan and Pfau-Effinger 2000; Hobson 2002). Throughout much of Europe contemporary gender relations can be characterised by relatively rapid change in certain respects, for example, rates of separation and divorce, new employment patterns, alongside the persistence of long-term historical structures and practices, such as men's domination of top management, men's propensity to use violence and commit crime, and so on. This can thus be understood as a combination of contradictory social processes of change and no change (Hearn 1999). An important *feature and effect* of these changing gender relations has been the gradually growing realisation that men and masculinities are just as gendered as are women and femininities. This gendering of men is thus both a matter of changing academic and political analyses of men in society, and contemporary changes in the form of men's own lives, experiences and perceptions, often developing counter to their earlier expectations and earlier generations of men.

The critical study of men's practices has, until very recently, largely escaped specific comparative scrutiny, although it has received important attention within broader and relatively established transnational feminist surveys of gender relations (for instance, Dominelli 1991; Rai et al. 1992). Yet, the limited amount of work devoted

specifically to men's practices transnationally suggests there is immense scope for extending critical analysis in that particular area.

In the field of social welfare there are complex patterns of convergence and divergence between men's practices internationally which await further interrogation (Pringle, 1998b). Similarly, Connell's initial inquiries regarding the global transactions which occur in processes of masculinity formation have opened up a whole range of possibilities for exploration and contestation (Connell 1991, 1995b, 1998; Hearn 1996a; Woodward 1996). These studies have begun to conceptualise broad transnational categories of men and masculinities, such as 'global business masculinity' (Connell 1998) and 'men of the world' (Hearn 1996a). Recently, attempts have been made to push forward the boundaries in the comparative field using pro-feminist perspectives to consider men's practices in Asia, Southern Africa, the Americas (South, Central and North), Australasia and Europe (Breines et al. 2000; Pease and Pringle 2001). Moreover, these are attempts which seek to locate such considerations within those recent debates about globalisation and men's practices, throwing some doubt in the process on the more ambitious claims of globalisation theses. There are also a growing academic and policy literature on men in development studies, which also examines the impact of globalisation processes on men and gender relations (Sweetman 1997; Cornwall and White 2000; Greig et al. 2000; *the network newsletter* 2000; Harcourt 2001). Despite those relatively recent developments, there remains a massive deficit in critical transnational studies of men's practices and in the sources available for such study. It is this ongoing deficit which the Network seeks to address within the European context.

1.5 The Research Task

The central focus of the Research Network's effort is the investigation of the social problem and societal problematisation of men and masculinities. The reference to 'social problem' refers to *both* the problems created by men, and the problems experienced by men. The notion of societal problematisation refers to the various ways in which the 'topic' of men and masculinities has become and is becoming noticed and problematised in society – in the media, in politics, in policy debates, and so on. The four themes – home and work, social exclusion, violences, health - engage with both problems created by men and experienced by men. Violence can be understood largely as a theme in which men create problems – for women, children, each other, even themselves. Health and social exclusion are themes around which some men experience particular problems, as well as sometimes creating problems for women and children. Home and work, and their interrelations, are fundamental themes, in relation to which men both create and experience problems. Together these themes provide a broad range of commentaries on men's problems, experiences and impacts on others. These themes may be unevenly invoked in the differential societal problematisations of men and masculinities. The research task of the Network has been to map these patterns; the research, statistical, policy and media information that is available; and the gaps that exist in that material. Throughout the research task there has been the attempt to work in a gender-explicit way (see Braithwaite 2001, 87-89).

1.6 The Changing Policy Context and the Changing Forms of Masculinities

Men and masculinities are understood as set within changing policy contexts. There have been huge historical changes in forms of masculinity and men's practices. Yet there are also stubborn persistence in some aspects of men and masculinity. Perhaps the most obvious of these is men's domination of the use of violence.

In many countries and until relatively recently established forms of masculinity and men's practices could be distinguished on two major dimensions - urban and rural; bourgeois and working class. In these different ways men have both created huge problems, most obviously in violence, and have also been constructive and creative actors, as, for example, in the building industries, albeit within patriarchies. The exact ways these four forms were practiced clearly varied between societies and cultures. In addition, many other cross-cutting dimensions have been and are important, such as variations by age, ethnicity, sexuality. In recent years, urban bourgeois, rural bourgeois, urban working class, and rural working class forms of masculinity and men's practices have all been subject to major social change. Such changing gender relations both constitute governments and provide tasks for governments to deal with. In this sense governments can be seen as both part of the problem and part of the solution.

The historical legacy inherited by the EU includes the attempts to develop broad social democracy and stop fascism happening again. The EU itself can be understood as a project of positive possibilities largely led and negotiated by men politicians after the Second World War in contradiction to short-term nationalistic interests. The EU can be understood as a project devised to reduce men's historical tendency to nationalistic conflict and war, and so achieve relative stability in Europe. There is indeed increasing recognition of the central place of men and masculinity in the collective violence of war (Enloe 1990; Higate 2002).

On the other hand, to understand the national and transnational policy context also involves considering the relevance of 'the social problem of men' within organisational and governmental policy formation, in national, regional and indeed EU institutions. It is thus necessary to analyse and change the place of men within the gender structure of governmental, transgovernmental and other policy-making organisations. This includes the question of the relative lack of attention to men in power, including men in the EU.

The social problem of men also relates closely to existing EU social agendas, including EU policies on equality, gender equality, social exclusion, and racism. There is thus a need to develop policy options on men, including 'best practices' and policies on men.

Addressing policy around men and masculinities is an important and urgent matter. There are indeed risks and dangers in non-action, for example, in the intersection of various 'new' and 'old' masculinities, nationalisms, racisms and xenophobias. There are also key issues around the changing policy context in Europe. These include the relation of the EU to eastward expansion, including the specific conditions of application and accession; questions of migration, especially of young men, and their implications for women and men, in countries of both emigration and immigration; trafficking in women, children and men, especially the actions of men as the

consumers within the EU member countries. The ‘social problem’ of men is thus of central and urgent interest to the EU and the applicant countries.

There are also many other transnational organisations and groupings, for example, the Council of Europe, the UN and UNESCO which have come to recognise the importance of the place of men in the movement towards gender equality. The UN held a Beijing+5 Special Event on Men and Gender Equality in New York, June 2000 (http://www.undp.org/gender/programmes/men/men_ge.html#Beijing + 5 Special); the first EU Conference on ‘Men and Gender Equality’ was held at Örebro in Sweden March 2001. Further governmental and transgovernmental interest seems likely to develop.

2. Research on Men's Practices (Workpackage 1)

2.1 Comparative and Methodological Issues

The Thematic Network aims to facilitate greater understanding of changing social processes of gender relations and gender construction, particularly in relation to men and men's practices. Such research on men should not be understood and developed separately from research on women and gender. The research focus of the Network is the comparative study of the social problem and societal problematisation of men and masculinities. To undertake this kind of exploration necessitates specific attention to the challenges and difficulties of comparative perspectives in European contexts. One of the most convincing reasons for adopting a comparative approach is the potential offered for deconstructing the assumptions which underpin social practices and policies in different countries. In turn, such a process facilitates a deconstruction of actual and potentially more effective policies and practices. There is also an awareness that practices and policies increasingly interact transnationally, at both European and global levels. In many cases where specific social issues have been studied transnationally, attempts have been made to apply general theoretical categorisations to particular issues. There has been an extensive critique of such models in terms of insufficient attention to gender relations. There is a need for open-mindedness in assumptions brought to bear in such analyses.

The critical study of men's practices has to a considerable extent escaped comparative scrutiny, although this has received important attention within broader transnational feminist surveys of gender relations. Yet the limited amount of work devoted specifically to men's practices transnationally suggests there is immense scope for extending critical analysis in that particular area. There are complex patterns of convergence and divergence between men's practices internationally awaiting further interrogation. Initial enquiries regarding the global transactions in processes of masculinity formation have opened up many possibilities for exploration and contestation (Connell 1991, 1995b, 1998; Hearn 1996a). These studies have begun to conceptualise broad transnational categories of men and masculinities, such as 'global business masculinity' and 'men of the world' (Connell 1998).

The Network's activity is conceptualised around the notion of 'men in Europe', rather than, say, the 'European man' or 'men'. This first perspective highlights the social construction, and historical mutability, of men, within the contexts of both individual European nations and the EU. This involves the examination of the relationship of men and masculinities to European nations and European institutions in a number of ways:

- national, societal and cultural variation amongst men and masculinities;
- the historical place and legacy of specific forms of men and masculinities in European nations and nation-building;
- within the EU and its transnational administrative and democratic institutions, as presently constituted – particularly the differential intersection of men's practices with European and, in the case of the EU, pan-European welfare configurations;
- implications for the new and potential member states of the EU;
- implications of both globalisation for Europe, and the Europeanisation of globalisation processes and debates;
- new, changing forms of gendered political power in Europe, such as, regionalised, federalised, decentralised powers, derived by subsidiarity and transnationalism.

In undertaking transnational comparisons, the problematic aspects of the enterprise have to be acknowledged. Major difficulties posed by differing meanings attached to apparently common concepts used by respondents and researchers are likely. This signals a broader problem: for diversity in meaning itself arises from complex variations in cultural context at national and sub-national levels - cultural differences which permeate all aspects of the research process. Practical responses to such dilemmas can be several. On the one hand, it is perhaps possible to become over-concerned about the issue of variable meaning: a level of acceptance regarding such diversity may be one valid response (for example, Munday 1996). Another response is for researchers to carefully check with each another the assumptions which each brings to the research process. The impact of cultural contexts on the process and content of research are central in the Network's work, as exemplified in the different theoretical, methodological and disciplinary emphases and assumptions in the national contexts and national reports. In addition, the impacts and interaction of different cultural contexts is of major significance for the internal cooperation and process of the Network itself. This has many implications, not least we see these national reports as work in progress. It also means bringing the understandings upon which the national reports are based closer together over time, whilst maintaining the differences in national concerns.

The range of nations in the Network presents good opportunities for comparative study:

- The 'testing' general welfare regime typologies in relation to men's practices, as the Network includes representatives of different major welfare regimes (Esping-Andersen 1990, 1996).
- These and other considerations also have to be framed within developing notions of what 'being European' constitutes. This has salience in relation to how some influential sectors of society within Poland and the Russian Federation have recently evinced a greater desire to be considered European in certain ways including their relationship with the EU. The issues of social marginalisation consequent upon development of an alleged 'Fortress Europe' have relevance to the lived experience of many men, who are excluded and/or those actively involved in exclusion.
- They allow exploration on the extent of differential social patterns and welfare responses between countries often grouped together on grounds of alleged historical, social and/or cultural proximity, such as, Norway and Finland; Ireland and the UK.
- Inclusion of countries from within Eastern Europe allows exploration of how recent massive economic, social and cultural changes have impacted upon attitudes and practices relating to men. These matters need to be taken into account in the massive and likely future growth in cultural, social, political and economic transactions between Eastern Europe and EU members, both collectively and individually.

These matters provide the broad context of the national reports. In some cases, notably Estonia's, this comparative context is explicit. The contextual issue has also been addressed through both longer (Finland) and shorter (Norway, Germany) timescale historical reviews (Kolga 2000; Hearn and Lattu 2000; Holter and Olsvik

2000; Müller 2000). In all cases existing academic knowledge of members has provided the base for the reports. This has been supplemented in some cases by extensive literature reviews, for example, the analysis of electronically accessible published literature on various aspects of masculinity available from the National Library in Warsaw, Poland, and by contacts with key researchers in the theme areas (Finland).

2.2 The General State of Research

It is clearly difficult to summarise the state of research on men in the 10 countries, even though the Network is at this stage focusing on only four main themes. There are of course broad patterns, but it should be strongly emphasised that the social and cultural contexts in which these national reports are written are very varied indeed. The national and local contexts need to be understood to make sense of the different orientations of the national reports. Each operates in different political and academic traditions in studying men, as well as distinct historical conjunctions for the lives of men. In some cases these social changes are profound, for example, the German unification process, post-socialist transition in Estonia, Latvia, Poland and the Russian Federation (Chernova 2000; Kolga 2000; Müller 2000; Novikova 2000; Oleksy 2000) and in Ireland rapid social changes from a predominantly rural society through a booming economy (Ferguson 2000), as well as the nearby political conflicts, challenges and changes in Northern Ireland. Somewhat similarly since the 1950s Finland has gone through a shift when people moved from the countryside to the suburbs in search of work. This has been reflected in 'lifestyle studies' and 'misery studies' of working class and structural change (Kortteinen 1982; Alasuutari and Siltari 1983; Sulkunen et al. 1985). These address men and patriarchal structures and changes in lifestyle in some ways, though they do not usually identify as research on men.

The state of studies on men in the 10 national contexts varies in terms of the volume and detail of research, the ways in which research has been framed, as well as substantive differences in men's societal position and social practices. The framing of research refers to the extent to which research on men has been conducted directly and in an explicitly gendered way, the relation of these studies to feminist scholarship, Women's Studies and Gender Research more generally, and the extent to which research on men is focused on and presents 'voices' of men or those affected by men. Other differences include different theoretical, methodological and disciplinary emphases, assumptions and decisions.

In all the countries reviewed the state of research on men is uneven and far from well developed. In most countries research on men is still relatively new and in the process of uneven development. The extent of national research resources seems to be a factor affecting the extent of research on men. In some countries, especially in Germany, Norway, the UK, but also to an extent elsewhere, it can be said that there is now some form of relatively established tradition of research on men that can be identified, albeit of different orientations. In most countries, though there may not be a very large

body of focused research on men, there is still a considerable amount of analysis of men that is possible. In some countries, in particular Estonia, Latvia and the Russian Federation, there is comparatively little focused research on men.

In many countries the situation is made complex by a difference between the amount of research that is relevant to the analysis of men, and the extent to which that research is specifically focused on men. For example, in Finland and Italy there is a considerable amount of relevant research but most of it has not been constructed specifically in terms of a tradition of focused, gendered explicit research on men. For example, one might see something of a contrast between Norway and Finland, even though they share some features of broadly similar social democratic and relatively gender-egalitarian systems, or between the UK and Ireland, even though they share some geographical, historical, social and linguistic features. We see this way of understanding variations between and within countries as more accurate than any crude typology of nations.

While overall relatively many studies have been conducted on some research topics, there is much variation in the relation of research on men with feminist research. Research on men can also be contextualised in relation to the timing and extent of development of the women's movement, and the extent of identification of 'men' as a public political issue, for example as objects and/or subjects of change. This may be clearest in the UK, where feminist and pro-feminist research has been influential in producing what is described as a large amount of studies (Pringle 2000). In Norway there is a growth of equal status policy development that is not necessarily directly feminist-related (Holter and Olsvik 2000). In Germany, indeed in most countries, both non-feminist and feminist traditions, or at least influences, can be seen (Müller 2000). Parts of the newly emerging studies on men refer in a distorting way to feminist research, with sometimes overt, sometimes more subtle contempt for their results and theses - a challenge that also had to be dealt with. While in most countries there is evidence of the importance and evidence of the positive, if sometimes indirect, impact of feminist scholarship on research on men, there is also a frequent neglect of feminist research in much of that research.

It should also be emphasised that there are very different and sometimes antagonistic approaches within the same country, for example, between non-gendered, non-feminist or even anti-feminist approaches and gendered and feminist approaches. These differences sometimes connect with different research topics and themes, for example, research on men's violences may, understandably, be more critical towards men, while research on men's health may be more sympathetic and less critical. They to some extent represent and reflect disciplinary and indeed methodological differences in the analysis of men, which in turn sometimes are differentially influential in different research areas. The emphasis on different areas varies between the countries. The large amount of existing material is often scattered within a wide variety of different traditions and disciplinary locations.

2.3 General Discussion on the Reports, including the 4 Thematic Areas

2.3.1 Home and Work. Recurring themes include men's occupational, working and wage advantages over women, gender segregation at work, many men's close associations with paid work, men in nontraditional occupations. There has been a

general lack of attention to men as managers, policy-makers, owners and other power holders. In many countries there are twin problems of the unemployment of some or many men in certain social categories, and yet work-overload and long working hours for other men. These can especially be a problem for young men and young fathers; they can affect both working class and middle class men as for example during economic recession. In working life, work organisations are becoming more time-hungry and less secure and predictable. In a number of studies, time utilisation emerges as a fundamental issue of creating difference in everyday negotiations between men and women (Metz-Goeckel and Mueller 1986; Busch et al. 1988; Hoepflinger et al. 1991; Notz 1991; Jurczyk and Rerrich 1993; Niemi et al. 1991; Tarkowska 1992).. Increasing concerns about men and time-use – in Estonia, Ireland, Norway and Germany (McKeown, Ferguson and Rooney 1998; Anttila and Ylöstalo 1999). Also in Italy research is highlighting the importance of quality of time for men in their family relations (Ventimiglia and Pitch 2000). In some cases, there is also the problem of a high rate of change in work and working place, for example with high amounts of layoffs. This has been very significant in the Baltic, Central and East European countries, but also in the UK and elsewhere. In Poland men aged 55-59 have been most affected by unemployment (Borowicz and Lapinska-Tyszka 1993).

Another recurring theme is men's benefit from avoidance of domestic responsibilities, and the absence of fathers. In some cases this tradition of men's avoidance of childcare and domestic responsibilities is very recent indeed and still continues for the majority of men. In some cases it is being reinforced through new family ideologies within transformation processes, as in Latvia (Novikova 2000). In many countries there is a general continuation of traditional 'solutions' in domestic arrangements, but growing recognition of the micro-politics of fatherhood, domestic responsibilities, and home-work reconciliation at least for some men. In many countries there are also counter and conflictual tendencies. On the one hand, there is an increasing emphasis on home, caring, relations. This may be connected to "family values", a political right wing or a gender equal status perspective. In Ireland a notable trend is the growth in the number of women, especially married women, working outside the home (Kiely 1996). By 1996, fathers were the sole breadwinners in only half of all families with dependent children in Ireland. On the other hand, there is a more demanding, turbulent and shifting working life. Through this men may be more absent. In Norway and elsewhere due to a post-parental-divorce system where most fathers lose contact with their children, higher work pressure and more work mobility, "father absence" has probably become more widespread in real terms over the last ten years, as has the "general absence of men" in children's environment, even if more positive trends can be seen (Holter and Olsvik 2000).

It is not surprising if there may be a degree of cultural uncertainty on men's place in the home and as fathers and a growing recognition of ambivalence, even when there is a strong familism. There is also in some countries, such as Finland, a growing interest in the reconciliation of work and home; and growing variety of ways of approaching this (Lammi-Taskula 2000; see also Oakley and Rigby 1998; Pringle 1998a, 1998b, 1998c). Given the considerable difference that still exists between men's and women's earnings, it is not surprising that it is the woman who stays at home after the birth of a child. Since she is usually the person with the lower income, a couple do not need to be wholehearted advocates of traditional domestic ideology to opt for the

traditional solution. Evidence from Nordic countries shows that parental leave which is left to negotiations between men and women, become mostly taken up by women although most people, men especially, say they want a more balanced situation (Lammi-Taskula 1998; Holter and Olsvik 2000). However, this pattern of women's tendency to leave the labour force for childrearing, for varying amounts of time, has to be understood in terms of the diverse patterns across Europe. These patterns range from women's employment patterns being similar to men's to exit at the birth of a first child at the other. Similarly, there are wide variations in the extent to which women with children and women without children have similar full-time employment patterns. These two rates are rather close for Finland and very far apart for the UK, where the difference is nearly 30% (Bertoud and Iacovou, n.d., Chart 12).

Men and indeed fathers are clearly not an homogeneous group. Men's unemployment can have clear and diverse effects on men's life in families. In Poland, for example, in research on unemployed men under 36 of age, after they lost their jobs, 40 % reported the loss of 'family leadership' to their working wives (Pielkova 1997). Finnish research suggests some unemployed men may have closer ties with children (Tigerstedt 1994). Traditional men may not see any need to engage in balancing home and work, and may show more propensity and support for violence. 'Money' may be used to legitimate gender-specific divisions of responsibilities within families when traditional patriarchal models have to be justified; when the opposite is the case, the argument may not apply. Italian researches have highlighted the complexity of family dynamics with more or less traditional fatherhood (Ventimiglia and Pitch 2000).

Among men there has long been a contradiction between the ideas they profess and the way they actually live. The fact that men and women living together do not always give the same assessment of their relationship in general and the distribution of tasks between them in particular has become a much discussed topic in methodology. The paradoxical ways in which gender conflicts on the distribution of housework may be negotiated may be illustrated from German research: while in the early 1980s women living with men were generally more likely than men to claim that they did more of the work, some studies in the 1990s have shown the opposite. Men now tend to be the ones who claim they do relatively little, while women insist that the work is shared evenly (Frerichs and Steinruecke 1994). It is almost as if women's psychic inability to tolerate a lack of equality, already noted in earlier publications, is now being expressed in an exaggerated assessment of the level of equality in their relationships. Relatively little research has been carried out on men as carers. For example, a huge gap in knowledge exists with respect to the sexual division of domestic labour and parenting in Ireland and most other countries. Irish fathers' accounts of their participation in childcare and domestic life remain to be documented. Little is known about why a third of Irish fathers work 50 hours a week or more: whether this reflects the adoption of traditional definitions of masculinity, or because men feel required to earn to meet the family's financial obligations and spend time away from home and children reluctantly. Further exploration of the complex dynamics surrounding negotiations between women and men in relationships regarding "housework", parenting and emotional work, would be welcome. It would be interesting to see how and when, if ever, women and men form coalitions through a politics of reconciliation, and how gender constellations at "work" and in the "private" sphere influence each other. It would be important to research further couples who

experience difficult labour market conditions, so, for instance, making the female partner the main earner in the long term or forcing them to accept working times that do not allow traditional housework distribution.

Most research focuses on white heterosexual partners. There is a need for research on the intersections of men, the “home” and the “labour market” in its diverse configurations, including minority ethnic families and gay partnerships. In seeking to make sense of the albeit limited increases in parental activity by some men in the home, there is the question of to what extent do these changes represent real social “progress” or sometimes re-creations of patriarchal dominance in relatively novel forms. There is a need for much greater consideration of fatherhood in terms of cultural, sexual and other forms of diversity, and more inclusion of the “voices” of women and children in studies of fatherhood.

2.3.2 Social Exclusion. This has proved to be the most difficult area to pre-define, but in some ways one of the most interesting. Social exclusion often figures in the research literature in different ways, such as, unemployment, ethnicity, homosexuality. National reports have approached this area differently, as follows:

- *Estonia – homelessness, social isolation, poor education, poverty.*
- *Finland – unemployment, homelessness & alcohol, links between social exclusion and health, criminal subculture, racing & car subculture, youth subculture, gay men, HIV/AIDS, ethnicity, ethnic minorities.*
- *Germany – unemployment of youth, juvenile delinquency, loosening connections in old age, migrants, homosexuality.*
- *Ireland – unemployed, prisoners, excluded fathers (after divorce and unmarried fathers).*
- *Latvia – homosexuality.*
- *Norway – Sámi, new forms of marginalisation due to globalisation which leads to exclusion from labour market, men in nontraditional occupations.*
- *Poland – homosexuality.*
- *UK – intersection of gender, sexuality and cultural identities; older men.*

The social exclusion of certain men links with unemployment of certain categories of men (such as less educated, rural, ethnic minority, young, older), men’s isolation within and separation from families, and associated social and health problems. These are clear issues throughout all countries. They are especially important in the Baltic, Central and East European countries with post-socialist transformations of work and welfare with dire consequences for many men, as emphasised in the Estonian and Latvian reports. Even in Nordic countries, which are relatively egalitarian and have a relatively good social security system, new forms of problems have emerged. In Finland socially excluded men have been extensively studied through men’s ‘misery’ and auto/biographical approaches, rather than through gendered studies of men (Kortteinen 1982; Sulkunen et al. 1985). On the whole, Norwegian men have experienced relatively little unemployment, alcoholism and migration in recent years (Holter and Olsvik 2000). However, in the last decade, new forms of marginalisation have developed, with shifts from traditional industry to more postindustrialised society. Globalising processes may create new forms of work and marginalisation. Some men find it difficult to accommodate to these changes in the labour market and

changed family structure. Instead of going into the care sector or getting more education, some young men become marginalised from work and family life. Working class men are considered the most vulnerable. There is a lack of attention to men engaged in creating and reproducing social exclusion, for example, around racism.

There is a lack of studies showing the variety of structures and processes that may lead to the marginalisation of men as groups or individuals, and what differences and similarities there are to women. For instance, does ethnicity in some respects override gender? In Italy, Estonia and most other countries social exclusion is generally under-researched. For example, in Estonia the most visible example of social exclusion is people looking for something, usually bottles, in trash containers. Nobody knows how many 'container people' there are, but it is clear there are many, homeless, mainly non-Estonian, Russian speaking men, aged 30–50 years. More generally, the conceptual separation of “the social problems which some men create” from “the social problems which some men experience” is often simplistic and there is a need to study the intersections more carefully. There is also a lack of attention to men engaged in creating and reproducing social exclusion, such as around racism.

2.3.3 Violences. The recurring theme here is the widespread nature of the problem of men's violence to women, children and other men, and in particular the growing public awareness of men's violence against women (Ferguson 2000; Hearn and Lattu 2000; Holter and Olsvik 2000; Müller 2000; Pringle 2000). Men are overrepresented among those who use violence, especially heavy violence i.e. This violence is also age-related. The life course variation in violence with a more violence-prone youth phase has been connected to increasing exposure to commercial violence and to other social phenomena (Holter and Olsvik 2000), but these connections have not been well mapped.

Violence against women by known men is becoming recognised as a major social problem in most of the countries. The range of abusive behaviours perpetrated on victims include direct physical violence, isolation and control of movements, and abuse through the control of money. There has been a large amount of feminist research on women's experiences of violence from men, and the policy and practical consequences of that violence, including that by state and welfare agencies, as well as some national representative surveys of women's experiences of violence, as in Finland (Heiskanen and Piispa 1998). There has for some years been a considerable research literature on prison and clinical populations of violent men. There is now the recent development of some research in the UK and elsewhere on the accounts and understandings of such violence to women by men living in the community, men's engagement with criminal justice and welfare agencies, and the evaluation of men's programmes intervening with such men (Pringle 1995; Brandes and Bullinger 1996; Hearn 1998b; Lempert and Oelemann 1998). The gendered study of men's violence to women is thus a growing focus of funded research, as is professional intervention.

Child abuse, including physical abuse, sexual abuse and child neglect, is now also being recognised as a prominent social problem in many countries. Both the gendered nature of these problems and an appreciation of how service responses are themselves gendered are beginning to receive more critical attention, both in terms of perpetrators

and victims/survivors. In Ireland a series of clerical scandals particularly involving sexual child abuse by priests, some of whom were known to the Church hierarchy but not reported or brought to justice by them and moved on to another parish. This kind of focus has resulted in a playing down the significance of violences by hegemonic men and a reluctance to problematise active married heterosexual masculinity and bring into question gender and age relations within the Irish family (Ferguson 1995).

There is an amazing lack of gender awareness in studies that understand themselves as dealing with “general“ issues around violence, for instance, racist violence. The question of traditional masculinity and its propensity for racist violence has not yet been even articulated in high budget studies. Masculinity seems to be recognised as playing a role when violence against women is the explicit topic. In many countries relatively little academic literature exists on elder abuse and on violence against men. Studies on the reasons for non-violent behaviour in men are lacking completely. There is a lack of studies on connections between violence between men and men’s violence against women.

Other key research questions round violences that need more attention concern: (a) how men’s violent gendered practices intersect with other oppressive power relations around sexuality, cultural difference/ethnicity, age, disability and class, and the implications of such analyses for challenging those practices and assisting those abused; (b) how different forms of men’s violences interconnect; (c) how programs against men’s violences can be developed, particularly research into the promotion of successful initiatives at school, community and societal levels; (d) men’s sexual violences to adult men; (e) men’s violences to lesbians and gay men; (f) men’s violences to ethnic minorities, migrants, people of color, and older people.

There has been a strong concern with the intersection of sexuality and violence in for example Italy (Ventimiglia 1987; Castelli 1990) and the UK, and this is likely to be an area of growing concern elsewhere. There is some research on men’s sexual abuse of children but this is still an underdeveloped research focus in most countries. In some countries sexual abuse cases remain largely hidden, as is men’s sexual violence to men. There has also been some highlighting of those men who have received violence from women. Men’s violences to ethnic minorities, migrants, people of colour, gay men and older people are being highlighted more, but still very unexplored.

2.3.4 Health. The major recurring theme here is men’s relatively low life expectancy, poor health, accidents, suicide, morbidity. Some studies see traditional masculinity as hazardous to health. In some countries, such as Estonia, this is argued to be the main social problem *of* men (Kolga 2000). Men also constitute the majority of drug abusers and far greater consumers of alcohol than women, though the gap may be decreasing among young people. Yet surprisingly there has been relatively little academic work on men’s health from a gendered perspective in many countries.

Men suffer and die more and at a younger age from cardiovascular diseases, cancer, respiratory diseases, accidents and violence than women. Socio-economic factors, qualifications, social status, life style, diet, smoking and drinking, hereditary factors, as well as occupational hazards, can all be important for morbidity and mortality. Gender differences in health arise from how certain work done by men are hazardous

occupations. Evidence suggests that generally men neglect their health and that for some men at least their 'masculinity' is characterised by risk taking, especially for younger men (in terms of smoking, alcohol and drug taking, unsafe sexual practices, road accidents, lack of awareness of risk), an ignorance of the men's bodies, and a reluctance to seek medical intervention for suspected health problems. In this context it is interesting that Estonian research finds that men are over-optimistic regarding their own health (Kolga 2000). Men's suicide, especially young men's, is high in the Baltic countries, Finland, Poland, Russia. In these countries there is also a high difference in life expectancy between men and women. In Ireland and Norway, men perform suicide about 3 times as often as women; in Poland the ratio is over 5:1 (Human Development Report 2000). In several countries the suicide level has been related to economic downturns. Studies on men and sport, and the body are discussed in some reports and are likely to be a growing area of research.

2. 4 General Conclusions

2.4.1 There are strong interconnections between the four focus areas – especially between unemployment, social exclusion and ill health. Patterns of men's violence interconnect with these issues to some extent but also cut across these social divisions.

2.4.2 There are both clear similarities between the ten nations and clear differences, in terms of the extent of egalitarianism, in relation to gender and more generally; the form of rapid economic growth or downturn; the experience of post-socialist transformation; the development of a strong women's movement and gender politics.

2.4.3 There is a neglect of attention to men in powerful positions and to analyses of men's broad relations to power, both in themselves and as contexts to the four areas.

2.4.4 There are also differences between men in the same country, for example, West German men tend to be more traditional than the East Germans, and also within one man or groups of men.

Statistical Information on Men's Practices (Workpackage 2)

3.1 Comparative and Methodological Issues

3.1.1 General Discussion

The Network aims to facilitate greater understanding of changing social processes of gender relations and gender construction, particularly in relation to men and men's practices. Such research and statistical data gathering on men should not be understood and developed separately from research on women and gender. The research focus of the Network is the comparative study of the social problem and societal problematisation of men and masculinities. To undertake this kind of exploration necessitates specific attention to the challenges and difficulties of comparative perspectives in European contexts. One of the most convincing reasons for adopting a comparative approach is the potential offered for deconstructing the assumptions which underpin social practices and policies in different countries. Such a process facilitates a deconstruction of actual and potentially more effective policies and practices. This includes policies and practices on statistical information collection and analysis, most of which is itself a form of governmental activity. There is also an awareness that practices and policies increasingly interact transnationally, at both European and global levels. In many cases where specific social issues have been

studied transnationally, attempts have been made to apply general theoretical and statistical categorisations to particular issues. There has been an extensive critique of such models in terms of insufficient attention to gender relations. There is a need for greater attention to conscious gendering in and of assumptions that are brought to bear in such analyses.

The critical study of men's practices has to a considerable extent escaped comparative scrutiny, although this has received important attention within broader transnational feminist surveys of gender relations (for instance, Dominelli 1991; Rai et al. 1992). Yet the limited amount of work devoted specifically to men's practices transnationally suggests there is immense scope for extending critical analysis in that particular area. There are complex patterns of convergence and divergence between men's practices internationally awaiting further interrogation, including by statistical methods of interrogation.

The Network's activity is conceptualised around the notion of 'men in Europe', rather than, say, the 'European man' or 'European men'. This perspective highlights the social construction, and historical mutability, of men, within the contexts of both individual European nations and the EU. This involves the examination of the relationship of men and masculinities to European nations and European institutions in a number of ways:

- national, societal and cultural variation amongst men and masculinities;
- the historical place and legacy of specific forms of men and masculinities in European nations and nation-building;
- within the EU and its transnational administrative and democratic institutions, as presently constituted – particularly the differential intersection of men's practices with European and, in the case of the EU, pan-European welfare configurations;
- implications for the new and potential member states of the EU;
- implications of both globalisation for Europe, and the Europeanisation of globalisation processes and debates;
- new, changing forms of gendered political power in Europe, such as, regionalised, federalised, decentralised powers, derived by subsidiarity and transnationalism.

All of these broad relationships and far-reaching developments have implications for both the collection of gendered statistics, and the interpretation of statistical sources, whether gendered or not. In undertaking transnational comparisons, the problematic aspects of the enterprise, including in statistical data collection and analysis, have to be acknowledged. Major difficulties posed by differing meanings attached to apparently common concepts and statistical categorisations used by respondents and researchers are likely. This signals a broader problem: for diversity in meaning itself arises from complex variations in cultural context at national and sub-national levels - cultural differences which permeate all aspects of the research process, including the collection and analysis of statistical data. Practical responses to such dilemmas can be several. On the one hand, it is perhaps possible to become over-concerned about the issue of variable meaning: a level of acceptance regarding such diversity may be one valid response. Another response is for researchers to carefully check with each another the assumptions brought to the research and statistical data collection processes. The impact of cultural contexts on the process and content of research and statistics are central in the Network's work, as seen in the different theoretical,

methodological and disciplinary emphases and assumptions in the national contexts and national reports. In addition, the impacts and interaction of different cultural contexts are of major significance for the internal cooperation and process of the Network itself. This has many implications, not least we see these national reports as work in progress. It also means bringing understandings of statistical and other data upon which the national reports are based closer together over time, whilst maintaining the differences in national concerns.

The range of nations in the Network presents good opportunities for comparative study:

- The ‘testing’ general welfare regime typologies in relation to men’s practices, as the Network includes representatives of different major welfare regimes.
- These and other considerations also have to be framed within developing notions of what ‘being European’ constitutes. This has salience in relation to how some influential sectors of society within Poland and the Russian Federation have recently evinced a greater desire to be considered European in certain ways including their relationship with the EU. The issues of social marginalisation consequent upon development of an alleged ‘Fortress Europe’ have relevance to the lived experience of many men, who are excluded and/or those actively involved in exclusion.
- They allow exploration on the extent of differential social patterns and welfare responses between countries often grouped together on grounds of alleged historical, social and/or cultural proximity, such as, Norway and Finland; Ireland and the UK.
- Inclusion of countries from within Eastern Europe allows exploration of how recent massive economic, social and cultural changes have impacted upon attitudes and practices relating to men. These matters need to be taken into account in the massive and likely future growth in cultural, social, political and economic transactions between Eastern Europe and EU members, both collectively and individually.

These matters provide the broad context of the national reports in both Workpackages 1 and 2. In Workpackage 1 the extent to which this was addressed in national reports was variable. In some cases, notably Estonia’s, this comparative context was explicit. The contextual issue has also been addressed in Workpackage 1 through both longer (Finland) and shorter (Norway, Germany) timescale historical reviews. In this workpackage there is a specific comparative element in the Estonia report comparing gendered rates of occupational mobility between Poland, Russian Federation and Estonia, and employment structure, gender wage gap, and homicide rates in several European countries. We include below baseline comparative statistical information for analysis, by assembling selected statistical measures for the ten Network countries.

3.1.2 Baseline Comparative Statistical Measures for the Ten Nations

Baseline measures have been gathered in the six tables attached (Appendix 5A), along with a supplementary table on employment patterns for EU countries (Appendix 5B). These are assembled to give a basic picture of men’s and women’s situation in the ten countries. However, in many areas there still are not gender-disaggregated statistics available. The main statistical sources used here are the *Human Development Report*

2000, the Research and Development Statistics of British Home Office (<http://www.homeoffice.gov.uk/rds/index.htm>) and *The Penguin Atlas of Human Sexual Behavior* (Mackay 2000). Other cross-national statistical sources consulted include WHO (<http://www.who.org>) and Eurostat (<http://www.europa.org/>). However, in many areas gender-disaggregated statistics are still not available. The baseline measures assembled were:

- i. demographic measures: population size, life expectancy. In all the nations women live longer than men, with mean difference of 8.1 years.
- ii. working life and labour market: economic structure, economic activity, unemployment, and decision-making. Rapid changes have occurred in societal structure, especially in Estonia and Russian Federation, but also Germany and Ireland. In 1993-1998 the primary sector has diminished and the tertiary sector has grown. Male domination of public sphere becomes obvious both in male/female ratios of economic activity rate and Gross Domestic Product. Unemployment seems to apply relatively equally to men and women, though these figures may not be very reliable due to different definitions used. Many countries have suffered from severe unemployment during the recessions of the 1980's and 1990's leading to social exclusion of certain groups. Men dominate decision-making and are in a large majority in parliaments, except in Nordic countries.
- iii. social exclusion: poverty, imprisonment, ethnicity.
- iv. violence: homicide and suicide. In all countries, men commit suicide more than women. Many national reports mention that homicide and violence is perpetrated far more often by men than women. Governmental statistics on violence are usually collected by police, courts and victimisation surveys, so giving different pictures of levels of men's violence.

In the appendix 5A Table 1 describes the ten nations through some demographic measures. Life expectancy figures report on women's longer life than men, with mean difference for the ten nations being 8.1 years. Tables 2-4 address working life and labour market. Table 2 shows changes in societal structure, especially in Estonia and Russian Federation, but also Germany and Ireland. Between 1993 and 1998 the primary sector has diminished and the tertiary sector has grown. Male domination of public sphere becomes obvious both in male/female ratios of economic activity rate and Gross Domestic Product. Unemployment seems to apply equally both men and women, though these figures may not be very reliable due to different definitions of unemployment (Table 3). Many countries have also suffered from severe unemployment during the recessions of the 1980's and 1990's which might have led to social exclusion of certain groups. Men dominate also decision-making and are in a large majority in national parliaments, except in Nordic countries (Table 4).

In addition to this broad picture, it is important to consider that men are found far more in managerial positions and relatively less in professional positions. This is revealed, for example, in the figures in the last two columns of Table 5B (Crouch, 1999). The figures in Table 5B suggest two main configurations across EU countries. First, women are present in lower proportions (of total active women) than men (of total active men) in administrative and managerial positions, and in more equal proportions in professional and technical positions. This is the crude picture for

Belgium, France (at least in 1960), Germany, Ireland, the Netherlands, Portugal, and the UK. Sweden and Finland can be located here with the additional feature that the proportion of women in professional and technical positions far exceeds that of men.

The second configuration is where women are lower, as a proportion of total active women, in professional and technical positions, and more equal with respect to the proportion of total active men, in administrative and managerial positions. This is the case for Austria, Denmark, Greece and Spain (at least for 1995). Italy may also be placed here, with the qualification that it has a very small professional and technical sector (at least according to the classifications used).

Social exclusion is perhaps most difficult to describe statistically, as it depends largely on the definition. In these tables, poverty, imprisonment and ethnicity figures have been chosen to describe some forms of marginalisation, even though many other forms are mentioned in country reports (Table 5). Table 6 includes homicide and suicide rates. In all countries, men commit suicide more than women. Many national reports also mention that homicide and violence is perpetrated far more often by men than women. Statistics on violence are usually collected by police, courts and victimisation surveys. These all might give different pictures of levels of violence.

3.2 The General State of Statistical Information

It is difficult to summarise the state of statistical information on men in the ten countries, even though the Network is at this stage focusing on only four main themes. The state of studies on men in the ten national contexts varies in terms of the volume and detail of statistical information, the ways in which this has been framed, as well as substantive differences in men's societal position and social practices. To simplify the task, we address the following questions: information sources; some broad substantive patterns; and some interconnections of sources and patterns.

First, we make some remarks on sources. As in Workpackage 1, existing academic knowledge of members has provided the base for the reports. This has been supplemented in some cases by extensive statistical reviews of the available statistical information from the national statistical offices. For example, in Estonia this is the Statistical Office of Estonia; in Finland this is Statistics Finland; in Poland it is the Chief Statistical Office; in the UK this is the Office for National Statistics (ONS), and so on. In some cases much of this material is available electronically, through websites, diskettes and/or CD-ROMs; in others extensive library work and examination of printed paper reports have been necessary; and in some cases there have been further contacts with key governmental statisticians and other researchers in the theme areas (Finland). In many cases key statistical information is also produced by individual governmental ministries or other national bodies.

In some cases, some national statistics are produced in both national languages and English. In some cases, sources arising from international cooperation are important, for example, in Estonia, the report issued by Fafo Institute for Applied Social Science (Norway) in cooperation with Ministry of Social Affairs of Estonia, Statistical Office of Estonia and University of Tartu. This collaborative survey, NORBALT, has been carried in 1994 and 1999 by these institutions on living conditions in the Baltic states

and the two Russian regions of St. Petersburg and Kaliningrad. Many of social indicators used are the same as those used in other Nordic and European surveys.

The amount and detail of statistical information stems from the priority that is given to different policy areas, problem definitions and extent of problematisation within governmental systems. This is especially important in the fields of labour market and employment statistics, statistics on health and illness, and statistics on violence, all of which are generally relatively well developed. Sources for this last set of statistics are often compiled through police and criminal justice institutions in terms of crime and criminal actions, alleged or proven, rather than in terms of the perpetration or experience of violence. There is frequently a lack of statistical information on social exclusion, such as ethnic or sexual minorities. The emphasis on different areas varies between the countries. The large amount of existing material is often scattered within a wide variety of statistical locations.

The time framework of the data presented in the national reports generally focuses on the 1990s. In some cases, for reasons of space, only the latest statistical sources are used. Information is also provided on the 1980s in some cases in order to compare the situation. This is especially important in the transitional nations.

In Workpackage 1 we discussed how in some countries, especially in Germany, Norway, the UK, but also to an extent elsewhere, it can be said that there is now some form of relatively established tradition of research on men that can be identified, albeit of different orientations. We also addressed variations in the framing of research, that is, the extent to which research on men has been conducted directly and in an explicitly gendered way, the relation of these studies to feminist scholarship, Women's Studies and Gender Research more generally, and the extent to which research on men is focused on and presents 'voices' of men or those affected by men. There are also very different and sometimes antagonistic approaches to research within the same country, for example, between non-gendered, non-feminist or even anti-feminist approaches and gendered and feminist approaches. Other differences stemmed from different theoretical, methodological and disciplinary emphases, assumptions and decisions. Addressing these differences is part of the task of the Network.

These political and academic differences are less apparent in these national reports on statistical information. The extent of national statistical resources seems to be a factor affecting the extent of available statistics on men. In most countries, though there may not be a very large body of statistical information specifically focused on men, there is still a considerable amount of analysis of men that is possible. All countries have a system of national statistics though there are variations in their reliability. While the transitional countries of the former socialist bloc have been reorganising their statistical data collection, it would be wrong to over-generalise about them. For example, on the one hand, public statistics in Poland provide reliable, objective, professional and independent data derived from surveys conducted by the Chief Statistical Office and its subsidiaries. On the other, there have been strong critiques of recent Estonian census survey by demographers. Statistical calculations in future should be considered within this controversial context in future. Moreover, there is national variation in the extent to which statistics are gender-disaggregated. A relative

lack of gendering of data continues in many statistical sources. Detailed statistical sources directed towards a gendered analysis of men and men's practices are relatively rare. There is little statistical information and analysis that is explicitly focused on men, variations amongst men, and the relationship of those patterns to qualitative research on men's practices and lives.

There are, however, very apparent differences in the substantive patterns reported through these national statistics. There are of course broad patterns, but it should be strongly emphasised that the social and cultural contexts in which these national reports are written are very varied indeed. The national and local contexts need to be understood to make sense of the different orientations of the national reports. In Workpackage 1 there was a strong emphasis on the different political and academic traditions that operated in studying men in the different national contexts, as well as distinct historical conjunctions for the lives of men. In some cases these social changes are profound, for example, the German unification process, post-socialist transition in Estonia, Latvia, Poland and the Russian Federation. In the last mentioned a "Masculinity Crisis" has been recognised since the 1970s (Uralis 1978). This has referred to low life expectancy compared with women, self-destructive practices, such as hard drinking and alcoholism. (As such it is distinct from the 'crisis of masculinity' that has been discussed in the US and elsewhere, usually as a more positive re-evaluation of the 'male sex role'). The problems of the Russian masculinity crisis continue (Chernova 2000). Other major changes include those in Ireland with rapid social changes from a predominantly rural society through a booming economy, as well as the nearby political conflicts, challenges and changes in Northern Ireland. Somewhat similarly since the 1950s Finland has gone through a shift when people moved from the countryside to the suburbs in search of work.

While the transitional countries of the former Soviet bloc have been reorganising their statistical data collection, it would be wrong to over-generalise about them. For example, on the one hand, public statistics in Poland provide reliable and independent data derived from surveys conducted by the Chief Statistical Office and its subsidiaries. On the other, there have been strong critiques of recent Estonian census survey by demographers (Kolga 2001a). Statistical calculations in future should be considered within this controversial context in future. Moreover, although after the breakup of the Soviet Union all republics were formally at same point of departure, it is now obvious that they have developed in very different ways and are located in different socio-cultural spaces.

The form and development of statistical sources also intersect with the substantive form and nature of socio-economic change. This is perhaps clearest in some of the transitional nations, where changing governmental systems, including statistical data collection, are dealing with rapidly changing social and economic conditions. In 2000 Estonian population census produced very unexpected results. In all statistical yearbooks Estonia's population was forecast as 1 439 000 (as of 1 January 2000, calculated on the base of 1989 census data); however the figure, according to preliminary census data, was 1 376 743. The real decrease of population has been larger than expected or known before the census (Kolga 2001a).

A different situation is described in the UK report (Pringle et al. 2001a). Due to space limitations, this focuses largely, though not exclusively, on central government sources. Especially since the advent of the Labour Administration in 1997 these have become highly extensive on topics such as: poverty, unemployment, the labour market, crime (including violence to women), health, ethnicity – and often with a relatively strong gender focus. By contrast there is much less produced on areas of disadvantage such as disability, sexuality or crimes against children. This pattern largely reflects the government's policy agenda with a focus on: social exclusion defined by the government in rather narrow labour market terms; crime, with some areas highlighted more than others, for example, men's violence to women and other men now receiving considerably more attention in policy terms than men's violence to children. Thus in both these examples the form of statistical information is interrelated with the form of social, economic and indeed political change.

Finally, in this section, we note that many of the issues addressed have clear policy implications. While these will be focused on in the next workpackage, an example may be useful at this point. In discussing reproductive and sexual health, the Latvian national report highlights (Novikova 2001a) the following policy issues: a lack of co-ordination between the government, local government and non-governmental organisations working in reproductive and sexual health; the need to promote gender equality and men's participation in addressing reproductive health issues; insufficient and inadequate exchange of information between different organisations about statistics and research developments in the area of reproductive health; the absence of adequate gender equality and reproductive/sexual health education at schools.

3.3 General Discussion on the Reports, including the 4 Thematic Areas

3.3.1 Home and Work. There is a very large amount of statistical data on men's relations to home and at work. This area frequently constituted the major part of the national reports. There is also much more complexity in the variables and relations presented than for the other themes. This is reflected in the length of the summary below. On the other hand, much of the data continues the tradition of dealing with home and work separately, so reinforcing the 'public/private' division.

In terms of men 'at home', the general national systems of population and census statistics are clearly a useful starting point. These statistics need to be read in association with census and other statistical information on economic activity, employment and status. General demographic patterns include the higher mortality of men relative to women, and thus the larger numbers of women in the older population. There are also a variety of statistics on patterns of family formation, childbearing, separation and divorce. Despite the growth of divorces, the traditional family - officially married or cohabiting, with children - is the largest type of family in Estonia. In Germany and elsewhere there is a trend toward smaller households. In Italy the process of decline of the marriage continues, even as cohabitations increase. This is also observable in Norway, where there has been a marked increase in cohabitation and, in that sense, decline in marriage. In the UK cohabitation has increased amongst young people. Similar changes in marriage patterns in Poland have meant increasing numbers of single, unmarried men (Oleksy 2001a). The other important trend that has been typical of practically all EU countries since the 1980's is the noticeable rise in the age at which people get married. Increasing emphasis on

gender equality in society might lead to a decrease in the age gap in marriage. Over the last decades, the proportion of men marrying older women has increased in Norway. Studies on sexuality and other private life areas often show a twofold pattern – increasing gender equality, but often on traditionally masculine premises.

Housework is still mostly women's work, and this is clearly documented. There is much less statistical information on men's caring and associated activities at home, and on the interrelations of men's home and work, including the reconciliation of home and work life. For example, in Ireland government departments gather no data whatsoever on this and have been slow to commission research into this area. In many countries the option of a child-induced career disruption continues as the normal case for the mother and a special case for the father (Ferguson 2001a). There is a growing research and statistical literature on men's and women's differential take-up of various forms of state and occupational parental leave. This is an especially significant research area in Germany and Norway (Müller 2001a; Holter 2001a). In the latter, cash support reform for families with small children has created a new trend where "the mother cuts down on wage work while the father works as much, or more, than before. The number of fathers taking long parental leave has decreased."

Recurring themes in employment include men's occupational, working and wage gap over women, gender segregation at work, differences in patterns of working hours, many men's close associations with paid work. For example, Polish data show that more men worked full-time, in the private sector in particular, of all employees; part-time female employees dominate in both sectors. Another important variable is relation of women's salary to men's ones. The ratio of men's wages to women's have not changed greatly during recent years: there is still a gap of about 20-27%. There are also national differences in class structure and the extent of variation in salaries between men: in Estonia one man may earn 16-17 times more than another man. There has been a general lack of attention to men as managers, policy-makers, owners and other power holders. There are now some studies of this in Finland. The change of women entering into senior management has been slow and the proportion of female senior staff and upper management has very much remained stable during the first half of the 1990's; 21% in 1990 and 22% in 1995. However, recent research in Finland appears to indicate that the proportion of women in top management and on the boards of the largest corporations is about 10% (Hearn et al., 2002). The salary of managers depends strongly on gender, but less so in case of lower level occupations.

Many other major patterns of change are identified. In some countries, for example Ireland, there has been a notable increase in women's employment in recent years, with associated effects on men. The main breadwinning is no longer the monopoly of men. Structural changes in the economy have been especially significant in the transitional countries, where they have brought major change for women and men at home and work. In some cases, there is also the problem of a high rate of change in work and working place, with high amounts of layoffs. This has been very significant in the Baltic, Central and East European countries (Chernova 2001a), but also in the UK and elsewhere. In Norway, there has been a slight decline of non-standard employment forms over the last years. However, it is incorrect to consider all post-socialist countries in the same vein. Poland, Estonia and Russia are in the different points of development, which may account for different forms of the social problem

of men in these countries, and different patterns of occupational mobility for women and men. In Poland men aged 55-59 have been most affected by unemployment (Oleksy 2001a). In some cases, notably Estonia and the Russian Federation, this has meant a decline in population, and the growth of the 'economically inactive' population and relatively high rate unemployment. In Estonia men, especially rural men, are the subjects of structural economic changes during last ten years which appeared in the diminishing of the primary sector and increasing the tertiary sector in economy.

There is a growing amount of statistical data on time. In Poland from the point of view of the mean time of the duration of the activity, men devoted to their jobs twice as much time as women on the average. They also used mass media more frequently. They spent nearly twice as much time on sports and leisure activities. Women devoted almost three times as much time to housework, slightly more time than men to studying, as well as to religious practices. In the context of the mean time of the performance of the activity, professionally active men devoted to work more time than women by 33 minutes every day on the average. The number of hours worked outside the home is a crucial determinant of the level of contact between parents and children. In Ireland men work an overall average of around 46 hours per week, with fathers tending to work slightly longer hours than non-fathers. A third of fathers (33%) work 50 hours per week or more compared to only a quarter of non-fathers (27%). Mothers, where they are employed, work an average of 31-32 hours per week outside the home, exactly 15 hours less than the number of hours worked outside the home by father. Non-mothers work longer hours in employment than mothers. It appears that fathers' work patterns are influenced by the employment status and earnings of their partners. Fathers whose partners are working outside the home spend less hours at work than fathers whose partners are not working. A further crucial variable is the time of the day or week when the work is done. A small proportion of fathers in Ireland do shift work, nearly half do evening work, a quarter do night work, two thirds Saturday work and two fifths Sunday work. Fathers are much more likely to work un-social hours than mothers.

In Ireland the proportion of mothers in full-time employment is, however, much lower than for fathers, with much higher proportions of women doing part-time work. Still fathers were the exclusive breadwinners in only half of all families with dependent children. In all countries fathers are more employed than non-fathers. In Norway fathers with young children are "the most stable labour power in the market". This applies especially to younger fathers in employment. In Finland educated men tend to have more children; men have been asked in a national survey for their views on state support to families. More men than women considered the support satisfactory; about 33% of men born in 1953-1957 and 1963-67 thought it was completely or somewhat insufficient, whereas more than half of the women of the same age groups considered it insufficient. The majority of men thought that a lower level of taxation would be the best way to support families, whereas women wanted more services (Hearn et al. 2001a).

In many countries there are twin problems of the unemployment of some or many men in certain social categories, and yet work overload and long working hours for other men. These can especially be a problem for young men and young fathers; they

can affect both working class and middle class men as for example during economic recession. In working life, work organisations are becoming more time-hungry and less secure and predictable. In a number of studies, time utilisation emerges as a fundamental issue of creating difference in everyday negotiations between men and women. There are increasing concerns about men and time-use – in Estonia, Ireland, Norway and Germany. Also in Italy research is highlighting the importance of quality of time for men in their family relations. On the other hand, it is necessary not to overstate the uniformity of this trend which is relevant to certain groups only and not all countries (for example, in France working time has decreased). Generally, the wage-earning working life of men has shrunk, because the training phase is becoming longer, careers start later, working life is shorter, and life expectancy (in some countries) is increasing. However, a quantitative time gain does not necessarily mean a qualitative gain, because the shortened working life has become more intensive, less tranquil, and more uncertain. One of the most significant trends is the demand for productivity and an increasing pace of work. Work has also become mentally more wearing and uncertainty, competition and fixed-term employment contracts are more common (Ventimiglia and Pitch 2001a).

3.3.2 Social Exclusion. As in Workpackage 1, this has proved to be the most difficult area to pre-define, but in some ways one of the most interesting. Social exclusion has often been associated primarily with poverty. It now often figures in the research and statistical literature in different ways, such as unemployment, ethnicity, homosexuality, and imprisonment. Men make up the very large part of the prison population (as much as 98%). In some countries, the proportion of women is increasing. The typical profile of a male prisoner in Ireland is of profound social disadvantage. In the UK minority ethnic men (and women) accounted for relatively high proportions of the prison population. Some reports have addressed the growing recognition of education as a site of social exclusion for some men, especially young men. Regional differences are also recognised, notably in Germany, between the former East and West Germanies. National reports have approached this area differently, as follows:

- *Estonia – education, ethnicity, drug addicts.*
- *Finland – poverty, homelessness, foreign nationals and ethnic minorities, prisoners, sexualities.*
- *Germany – wage gap between western and eastern Germany, unemployment, consolidated poverty (men with a low level of education, younger age groups (under-40s)), immigrants.*
- *Ireland – educational disadvantage, disability, racism, long-term unemployment, prisoners, ethnicity.*
- *Italy – poverty.*
- *Latvia – no specific section; poverty, unemployment, suicide reviewed.*
- *Norway – unemployment of certain groups, exclusion of non Western immigrants, asylum applicants.*
- *Poland – homeless, ethnic minorities, homosexuality.*
- *Russian Federation – no specific section; "masculinity crisis", ill health reviewed.*
- *UK – poverty (care system, unemployment, skills, age), ethnicity (prison and the criminal justice system, education, unemployment, health), disability.*

The social exclusion of certain men frequently links with unemployment of certain categories of men (such as less educated, rural, ethnic minority, young, older), men's isolation within and separation from families, and associated social and health problems. These are clear issues throughout all countries. They are especially important in the Baltic, Central and East European countries with post-socialist transformations of work and welfare with dire consequences for many men, as emphasised in the Estonian and Latvian reports. Unemployment is also often higher for immigrant and minority ethnic men, as for example in the UK, Norway and Latvia. Long-term unemployment is a problem for a relatively small but significant group of men in 'consolidated poverty' in many countries, including those that are more affluent, such as Germany, and those that have gone through a recent economic boom, such as Ireland. Research there has shown the factors associated with long-term unemployment for men of working age (20-59), giving up active job search and withdrawal from the labour force into the 'inactive' category: poor educational qualifications; living in local authority housing especially in larger cities; in the older age groups; sharing a household with other unemployed or economically inactive; being single *or* having a large family.

These issues of social exclusion are especially important in the Baltic, Central and East European countries with post-socialist transformations of work and welfare with dire consequences for many men, as emphasised in the Estonian, Latvian and Russian reports. The confirmation of the continued existence of the phenomenon of a "Masculinity Crisis" can be understood to be this analysis of statistical information. This has led to the appearance of a peculiar "victimisation theory", according to which men are passive victims of their biological nature and structural (cultural) circumstances. In other words, men are seen as victims who can hardly be called "actively functioning" social agents. Men's social exclusion thus remains underresearched. For Russian society in the 1990s the problem of the masculinity crisis had not changed at all; the main demographic characteristics continue.

Even in Nordic countries, which are relatively egalitarian and have a relatively good social security system, new forms of problems have emerged. In Finland socially excluded men have been extensively studied through men's 'misery' and auto/biographical approaches, rather than through gendered studies of men (Kortteinen 1982; Alasuutari and Siltari 1983). Unemployment has been low and stable in Norway over the last years, with men and women on a similar level. Norwegian men have experienced relatively little alcoholism and migration in recent years. However, in the last decade, new forms of marginalisation have developed, with shifts from traditional industry to more postindustrialised society, and globalising processes creating new forms of work and marginalisation. Some men find it difficult to accommodate to these changes in work and family. Instead of going into the care sector or getting more education, some young men become marginalised from work and family life. Working class men are often the most vulnerable. The job chances of non-Western immigrants are in many situations much worse, perhaps 5-10 times worse, than for Norwegians and Western immigrants. Discrimination seems to hit non-Western men especially. A similar pattern is found in the UK. There is a lack of attention to men engaged in creating and reproducing social exclusion.

3.3.3 Violences. The recurring theme here is the widespread nature of the problem of men's violence to women, children and other men. Men are strongly overrepresented among those who use violence, especially heavy violence including homicide, sexual violence, racial violence, robberies, grievous bodily harm and drug offences. Similar patterns are also found for accidents in general, vehicle accidents and drunken driving. Suicide is discussed in the 'Health' theme. Violence is also age-related. The life course variation in violence with a more violence-prone youth phase has been connected to increasing exposure to commercial violence and to other social phenomena, but these connections have not been well mapped. Most robberies and violent crimes are committed by men between 21 and 40 years old. In Italy and elsewhere directly physically violent crime tends to involve violence by men to those whom are known whereas with property crimes victims tend more to be strangers. There are gender differences in the kinds of crimes reported, for example, in Italy men report being victims of violent crimes, women more crimes against property. However, such official statistics need to be treated with caution as discussed below (Ventimiglia and Pitch 2001a). In many countries there is a large amount of statistical data on crime, as a more general organising principle than violence. The problem of violence in Polish public statistics is limited to cases registered by the police and adjudicated in courts. There are no data for the whole country that specify types of violence used and data on non-registered cases. The source of data for the Chief Statistical Office is the information of the Chief Police Headquarters, which also present on their webpages the most important data on perpetrators of offences connected with domestic violence, rape, sexual abuse of children, infanticide and desertion; they do not, however, specify the sex of perpetrators or characterise the victim. In Finland figures in *Crime and Criminal Justice 1995-1996* (Rikollisuus ja seuraamusjärjestelmä tilastojen valossa 1997), an overview of crime and the criminal justice system, were not separated by gender. This needs to be remedied in future work. Recent publications on homicide (Kivivuori 1999) give gender-disaggregated data on victims and offenders. The national survey of women's experiences of violence from men (Heiskanen and Piispa 1998) might be paralleled by statistical studies of men's use of and experiences of violence.

A form of violence that is repeatedly highlighted in the national reports is men's violence to women. The range of abusive behaviours perpetrated on victims include direct physical violence, isolation and control of movements, and abuse through the control of money. Estimates range from 10 to over 40 percent of women experiencing such violations. There has been a large amount of feminist research on women's experiences of violence from men, and the policy and practical consequences of that violence, including that by state and welfare agencies, as well as some national representative surveys of women's experiences of violence, as in Finland (Heiskanen and Piispa 1998). Such focused surveys of women's experience of sexual violence (in the broad sense of the term) tend to produce higher reports than from general crime victim surveys. In turn, the latter tend to produce higher figures than police and criminal justice statistics. Thus some non-governmental sample surveys of the general population have produced higher figures than police and criminal justice statistics for levels of men's violence to women. For instance a local study in North London (Mooney 1993) suggested that a third of women will experience a form of 'domestic violence' in their lifetime and that just over 20% are raped by a husband or partner.

Another local survey in Glasgow estimated that 40% of women have experienced rape or sexual assault (Glasgow Women`s Support Project/Glasgow Evening Times 1990).

Child abuse, including physical abuse, sexual abuse and child neglect, is now being recognised as a prominent social problem in many countries. Both the gendered nature of these problems and an appreciation of how service responses are themselves gendered are beginning to receive more critical attention, both in terms of perpetrators and victims/survivors. A markedly ‘male’ offence is the sexual abuse of children. Around 90% of child sexual abusers are men. One Polish survey found that boys were physically or emotionally abused by their fathers and sexually abused by their relatives, teachers, friends of the family, neighbours and friends more often than girls (Kmieciak-Baran 1999). However, girls contacting a Polish ‘domestic violence’ hotline reported being victims of violence twice as frequently as boys who contacted it (Oleksy 2001a). In Ireland official statistics do not gather data on the gender of perpetrators of child abuse, a gap that is being filled to some extent by research. Retrospective Prevalence Surveys within general adult populations always reveal far higher levels of CSA than official crime statistics. The most quoted retrospective British prevalence study amongst young people used successively narrower definitions of sexually abusive experiences in childhood to gauge the differences in reported prevalence levels. Using the broadest definition produced figures of 1:2 for females and 1:4 for males.

An aspect of men’s violences that is rarely addressed in a gendered way is ‘civil disorder’. One example is a quantitative/qualitative study of 13 major recorded UK violent “riots” in 1991–1992, where police clashed with young people in residential areas. The study found that in these areas: “Concentrations of young people were much higher than ... average. Boys and young men aged 10 to 30 were actively involved. Girls and young women played very little part”. “All areas had a history of disorder with unusual levels of violence and law-breaking by young men, who saw causing trouble as a compensation for an inability to succeed in a ‘mainstream’ way.” “(T)he vast majority of rioters were white and British-born”. Rioters and police officers saw the confrontations as chaotic street battles between these two groups of young men. (Power and Tunstall 1997.)

3.3.4 Health. The life expectancy of men has increased markedly since the beginning of the 20th century. There is a persistent theme of men’s ageing in many, though not all, countries. This is especially notable in Italy, which also has a low birthrate. Yet still the major recurring theme in the national reports in terms of health is men’s relatively low (to women) life expectancy, poor health, accidents, suicide and morbidity. Men suffer and die more and at a younger age from cardiovascular diseases, cancer, respiratory diseases, accidents and violence than women. Socio-economic factors, qualifications, social status, life style, diet, smoking, drinking, drug abuse, hereditary factors, as well as occupational hazard, seem to be especially important for morbidity and mortality. Gender differences in health arise from how certain work done by men are hazardous occupations. Generally men neglect their health and for some men at least their ‘masculinity’ is characterised by risk taking, especially for younger men (in terms of smoking, alcohol and drug taking, unsafe sexual practices, road accidents, lack of awareness of risk), an ignorance of their bodies, and reluctance to seek medical intervention for suspected health problems.

Thus 'traditional masculinity' can be seen as hazardous to health. There is some growing statistical information on perceptions of health and also health care use. In this context it is interesting that Estonian research finds that men are over-optimistic regarding their own health.

Morbidity and mortality are central topics of public discussions in some countries. For example, in Latvia, there is recognition that men fall ill and die with cardiovascular diseases more frequently than women, and life expectancy for males has decreased by four years, and two years for females. There has been attention to gendered health, with occupational health problems of work with asbestos, in chemico-pharmaceutical enterprises, and chronic lead poisoning, often mainly affecting men. Statistics indicate to a rapid decrease of fertility and growth of mortality, with a stress on the negative effects upon ethnic Latvians. The notion of depopulation is articulated in nationalist discourse. The most recent studies have shown that reproductive health in Latvia is characterised by the following problems: Latvia's birth rate is one of the lowest in the world; male life expectancy trends are downwards, and much lower than for women; male participation in the choice of contraception, family planning and child-raising is insufficient.

There is some information in the national reports on the social care sector, and the overlap of health and welfare. For example, of residents in Polish stationary welfare centres including children, in 1992, men constituted 44%, women 56%. Men (55%; 45% women) were more numerous only amongst mentally handicapped residents. Female pensioners – 62% (nearly 38% men), chronically ill women – 66% (34% men), disabled – 58% (42% men) were among adult residents in the centres. Data on underage centre residents revealed a contrary trend: boys were more numerous in all groups - chronically ill, disabled and mentally handicapped. The number of the homeless who stayed in shelters increased 46 times in 1998, of whom 91% were men.

Men's suicide, especially young men's, is high in the Baltic countries, Finland, Poland, Russia. In these countries there is also a high difference in life expectancy between men and women. In Ireland, Italy and Norway, men perform suicide about 3 times as often as women; in Poland the ratio is over 5:1. In Italy over the last 10 years there has been a clear prevalence of men in comparison to women, while as regards suicide attempts, the trend is reversed: more than 50% are by females. While Ireland has the highest known difference internationally between young male and female suicides (7:1), it does not have the highest overall 15-24 year old male suicide rate. With a rate of 16.6 per 100,000 Ireland comes 19th in the World Health Organisation table (of 46 countries). Finland has the highest figure, with a rate of 43.7, followed by New Zealand (38.0) and Estonia (28.5). There is a very low level of take up of services by young male suicides prior to their deaths: 'this group does not see the services, as presently structured and delivered, as being relevant to them' (Ferguson 2001a). Suicide rates have generally fallen across the UK over the last 15 years, except among young men aged 15-44; suicide rates for Scotland are considerably higher than other UK countries. Local areas where suicide rates were significantly high tended to be those characterised as having high 'deprivation' levels.

3.4 General Conclusions

3.4.1 The Explicit Gendering of Statistics on Men's Practices. In Workpackage 1 it was noted that an interesting and paradoxical issue is that the more that research, especially focused gendered research on men, is done the more that there is a realisation of the gaps that exist, both in specific fields and at a general methodological level. Clearly a lack of data on/from men hinders research development. This conclusion cannot be said to have been reinforced in any clear way from the Workpackage 2 national reports. On first reading it might seem that relatively few specific gaps have been identified in the statistical sources. In some senses there is indeed a wealth of information, especially on work and employment, as well as demography, family arrangements, health, illness and mortality. On the other hand, a closer reading shows that while the national statistical systems provide a broad range of relevant information, they usually have significant shortcomings. Explicit gendering of statistics is still not usual. Moreover, there is an absence of focused statistical studies of men, especially differences amongst men. Many statistical studies are relatively cautious in their critical commentary. Many provide data for further analysis, interrogation, comparison with other data, critical comment, and theory development. This is partly a reflection of traditions around the rules of statistical inference, and partly as many studies are produced within a governmental context where such further analysis and critique is not seen as appropriate.

3.4.2 The Source and Methodology of Statistics. A final conclusion is that there is a need to attend with great care to the source and methodology of statistics on men's practices. For example, focused surveys of women's experience of sexual violence (in the broad sense of the term) tend to produce higher reports than general crime victim surveys. In turn, the latter tend to produce higher figures than police and criminal justice statistics. Thus the use of statistics on men's practices is a matter for both technical improvement and policy and political judgement.

3.4.3 Unities and Differences. There are both clear similarities between the ten nations and clear differences, in terms of the extent of egalitarianism, in relation to gender and more generally; the form of rapid economic growth or downturn; the experience of post-socialist transformation; the development of a strong women's movement and gender politics. However, these data on men's practices also reveal the pervasive and massive negative impact of patriarchal relations of power across all sectors of society. The importance of the ongoing challenge to these gendered power relations cannot be over-emphasised. There is a neglect of attention to men in powerful positions and to analyses of men's broad relations to power, both in themselves and as contexts to the four themes. Unities and differences between men need to be highlighted – both *between countries* and amongst men *within each country*. There are, for example, differences between men in the same country, such as between men in the former West German and the former East Germany, and also within one man or groups of men.

3.4.4 Recent Structural Changes and Constructions of Men. Analyses of the social problem of men should take into account that many of the countries have experienced recent major socio-economic changes. This applies especially to the transitional nations, though one should not underestimate the scale of change elsewhere, such as economic boom (Ireland) and recovery from recession (Finland). There is also the impact of more general restructurings of economy and society throughout all the

countries reviewed. In the case of the transitional nations the political and economic changes were often viewed as positive compared with the Soviet experience. They also often brought social and human problems. While there is no 100% concordance between economic and social change, there is often a clear relation, for instance, a weakening of the primary sector leading to social and geographical mobility. In the transitional nations people never expected economic freedom would be associated with a decrease in population and birthrate, high criminality, drugs, and diseases such as tuberculosis. During the transition period there is often a negative relation between economy and welfare. These changes have implications for the social construction of men. In the Russian Federation there has been the recent appearance of “victimisation theory” to explain men’s behaviour, according to which men are passive victims of their biological nature and structural (cultural) circumstances. Men are portrayed as victims rather than “actively functioning” social agents, with the policy implications that follow from this. The various national and transnational restructurings throughout all the countries raise complex empirical and theoretical issues around the analysis and reconceptualisation of patriarchy and patriarchal social relations. These include their reconstitution, both as reinforcements of existing social relations and as new forms of social relations. New forms of gendering and gendered contradictions may thus be developing, with, through and for men's practices.

3.4.5 Interconnections, Power and Social Exclusion. There are strong interconnections between the four focus areas. This applies to both men’s power and domination in each theme area, and between some men’s unemployment, social exclusion and ill health. Social exclusion applies to and intersects with all three other themes: home and work, violences, health. Patterns of men’s violence also interconnect with all the themes to some extent but also cut across social divisions. Statistics are mainly focused on ‘dyadic’ analysis, for example, poverty and men/women, or poverty and ethnicity. Developing ‘triadic’ statistical surveys and analyses of, say, poverty, gender and ethnicity is much rarer, and an altogether more complex task.

4. Law and Policy Addressing Men's Practices (Workpackage 3)

4.1 Comparative and Methodological Issues

In planning the Workpackage it was agreed to develop reviews of both governmental and quasi-governmental legal and policy statements that explicitly address men's practices. 'Policy' has necessarily been interpreted in a broad sense; this includes governmental and quasi-governmental policy statements and developments that are not enshrined in law. It was also considered necessary to discuss briefly the historical development of the growth of laws and policy; the main character of the political and governmental system, and relevant recent changes; the political composition of the national government, and when relevant, 'regional' governments; and the main governmental ministries involved in relevant policy development and their broad policy responsibilities. In some cases, discussion on men's politics/organisations and policy organisations around men has been included.

The timescale was taken normally to start from the beginning of the 1990's, but earlier developments have been included as relevant. Extensions back in timescales are recognised as being valid where appropriate (for example, comparing the situation in some transitional nations pre-1989 and post-1989). Information is thus provided on the 1980s in some cases in order to compare these situations.

The Network aims to facilitate greater understanding of changing social processes of gender relations and gender construction, particularly in relation to men and men's practices. Such research and data gathering on men should not be understood and developed separately from research on women and gender. The research focus of the Network is the comparative study of the social problem and societal problematisation of men and masculinities. Undertaking this kind of exploration necessitates specific attention to the challenges and difficulties of comparative perspectives in European contexts. In recent years a comparative perspective has been applied to various aspects of study within the fields of, *inter alia*, sociology, social policy and social welfare. There are many reasons for this tendency, some being more legitimate in scholarly terms than others.

One of the most convincing reasons for adopting a comparative approach is the potential offered for deconstructing the assumptions which underpin laws and policies in different countries. Such a process facilitates a deconstruction of actual and potentially more effective laws, policies and institutional practices. This includes laws, policies and practices addressing men and men's practices. Furthermore, laws, practices and policies increasingly interact transnationally, at both European and global levels. Consequently research may seek to explore the processes and outcomes of those interactions and connections. In many cases where specific social issues have been studied transnationally, attempts have been made to apply *general* theoretical, legal and policy categorisations to particular issues.

In the case of the study of differential European welfare regimes, the most common general model applied in this specific fashion is that devised by Esping-Andersen (1990, 1996). There has been an extensive critique of such models, partly in terms of their insufficient attention to gender relations (Lewis and Ostner 1991; Lewis 1992; Leira 1992; Orloff 1993; O'Connor 1993; Sainsbury 1994; Tyyskä 1995). There is a need for greater attention to conscious gendering in and of assumptions that are

brought to bear in such analyses. Commentators have taken a variety of positions regarding the analytic value of these applications from the general to the particular, partly depending upon the issue being studied. Furthermore, there is a need for considerable open-mindedness in the assumptions that are brought to bear in such analyses. For example, feminist perspectives on the relationship between gender and welfare system dynamics, have provided detailed arguments that Southern European welfare regimes may not in fact (contrary to some of the above opinion) be more sexist than those in Northern and Western Europe (Trifiletti 1999). In the field of social welfare law and policy there are complex patterns of convergence and divergence between men's practices internationally which await interrogation.

One field of social enquiry which has to a considerable extent escaped specific comparative scrutiny is the critical study of men's practices, although the latter has received important attention within broader and relatively established transnational feminist surveys of gender relations (Dominelli 1991; Rai et al.1992). Yet the limited amount of work devoted specifically to men's practices transnationally suggests there is immense scope for extending critical analysis in that particular area. There are complex patterns of convergence and divergence between men's practices internationally awaiting further interrogation, including in terms of laws and policies (Pringle 1998b). Yet, the limited amount of work devoted specifically to men's practices transnationally suggests there is immense scope for extending critical analysis in that particular area.

The Network's activity is conceptualised around the notion of 'men in Europe', rather than, say, the 'European man' or 'European men'. This perspective highlights the social construction, and historical mutability, of men, within the contexts of both individual European nations and the EU. This involves the examination of the relationship of men and masculinities to European nations and European institutions in a number of ways:

- national, societal and cultural variation amongst men and masculinities;
- the historical place and legacy of specific forms of men and masculinities in European nations and nation-building;
- within the EU and its transnational administrative and democratic institutions, as presently constituted – particularly the differential intersection of men's practices with European and, in the case of the EU, pan-European welfare configurations;
- implications for the new and potential member states of the EU;
- implications of both globalisation for Europe, and the Europeanisation of globalisation processes and debates;
- new, changing forms of gendered political power in Europe, such as, regionalised, federalised, decentralised powers, derived by subsidiarity and transnationalism.

All of these broad relationships and far-reaching developments have implications for both the collection of gendered laws and policies, and their interpretation, whether gendered or not. In undertaking transnational comparisons, the problematic aspects of the enterprise, including in the analysis of laws and policies, have to be acknowledged. Major difficulties posed by differing meanings attached to apparently common concepts and categorisations used by respondents and researchers are likely. This signals a broader problem: for diversity in meaning itself arises from complex variations in cultural context at national and sub-national levels - cultural differences

which permeate all aspects of the research process, including the review and analysis of law and policy. Practical responses to such dilemmas can be several. On the one hand, it is perhaps possible to become over-concerned about the issue of variable meaning: a level of acceptance regarding such diversity may be one valid response. Another response is for researchers to carefully check with each other the assumptions brought to the research and statistical data collection processes. The impact of cultural contexts on the process and content of research and statistics are central in the Network's work, as seen in the different theoretical, methodological and disciplinary emphases and assumptions in the national contexts and national reports.

In addition, the impacts and interaction of different cultural contexts are of major significance for the internal cooperation and process of the Network itself. This has many implications, not least we see these national reports as work in progress. It also means bringing understandings of law and policy upon which the national reports are based closer together over time, whilst maintaining the differences in national concerns. This is clearly very important in terms of the different national relations with the EU, in terms of present and possible future membership.

The range of nations in the Network presents good opportunities for comparative study:

- In terms of “testing” general welfare regime typologies in relation to the issue of men's practices, these countries include “representatives” of all three of the welfare regime typologies identified by Esping-Andersen (1996?): Neo-liberal; Social Democratic; and Conservative. At a less theoretical level, the spread of the countries – in Southern, Northern, Western, and Eastern Europe - presents a broad cultural, geographical and political range within Europe.
- These and other considerations also have to be framed within developing notions of what ‘being European’ constitutes. This has salience in relation to how some influential sectors of society within Poland and the Russian Federation have recently evinced a greater desire to be considered European in certain ways including their relationship with the EU. The issues of social marginalisation consequent upon development of an alleged ‘Fortress Europe’ have relevance to the lived experience of many men, who are excluded and/or those actively involved in exclusion.
- They allow exploration on the extent of differential social patterns and welfare responses between countries often grouped together on grounds of alleged historical, social and/or cultural proximity, such as, Norway and Finland; Ireland and the UK.
- Inclusion of countries from within Eastern Europe allows exploration of how recent massive economic, social and cultural changes have impacted upon attitudes and practices relating to men. These matters need to be taken into account in the massive and likely future growth in cultural, social, political and economic transactions between Eastern Europe and EU members, both collectively and individually.

These matters provide the broad context of the national reports in Workpackages 1, 2 and 3. In Workpackage 1 and 2 the extent to which this was addressed in national reports was variable. In some cases in Workpackage 1, notably Estonia's, this comparative context was explicit. The contextual issue has also been addressed in

Workpackage 1 through both longer (Finland) and shorter (Norway, Germany) timescale historical reviews. In Workpackage 2 there was a specific comparative element in the Estonia report comparing gendered rates of occupational mobility between Poland, Russian Federation and Estonia, and employment structure, gender wage gap, and homicide rates in several European countries. We also included in Workpackage 2 baseline comparative statistical information for analysis, by assembling selected statistical measures for the ten Network countries. The comparative dimension has been part of the essential backcloth to the compilation of these national reports in Workpackage 3.

4.2 The General State of Law and Policy

Summarising the state of law and policy addressing men in the ten countries presents a challenge, even though the Network is at this stage focusing on only four main themes. The state of studies on men in the ten national contexts varies in terms of the volume and detail of law and policy, the ways in which this has been framed, as well as substantive differences in men's societal position and social practices. To simplify the task, we address the following questions: information sources; some broad substantive patterns; and some interconnections of sources and patterns.

First, we make some remarks on sources. As in Workpackages 1 and 2, existing academic knowledge of members has provided the base for the reports. This has been supplemented in some cases by extensive reviews of the available information on law and policy from national governmental, quasi-governmental and other related sources. Accordingly, there is a wide range of sources and materials that have been drawn on in the construction of the national reports. In some cases much of this material is available electronically, through websites, diskettes and/or CD-ROMs; in others extensive library work and examination of printed paper reports have been necessary; and in some cases there have been further contacts with key governmental contacts and other researchers in the theme areas.

Importantly, in examining law and policy, there is a need to distinguish between several different levels and layers of forms of law and policy, and hence their analysis. These are principally: the broad legal and constitutional arrangements; the specific embodiment of formal policy in law; the development of explicit governmental policy; the often changing forms of local and agency-based policies, sometimes operating more implicitly; and the practice of policy implementation in day-to-day policy practices. The balance between these various forms of 'law and policy' varies between the national reports. The importance of the comparative evaluation of legal and policy support for some form of the provider model (or other models) needs to be stressed.

The amount and detail of policy information stems from the priority that is given to different policy areas, problem definitions and extent of problematisation within governmental systems. This is especially important in the fields of labour market and employment, health and illness, and violence, all of which are generally relatively well developed. There is frequently a lack of clearly and easily available policy information on social exclusion, such as ethnic or sexual minorities. The emphasis on different areas varies between the countries. The large amount of existing material is

often scattered within a wide variety of governmental locations. In most national reports the greatest attention is given to law and policy in relation to Home and Work; in most the focus on Violence is also pronounced. With some notable exceptions (Finland, Italy, Norway), Social Exclusion is examined in less detail. Although Health is generally the least developed of the four focus areas, it is also an area in which there are marked differences between the countries.

In terms of substantive patterns, it may first be useful to note the connections and differences that there are with both academic research and statistical information on men's practices. In Workpackage 1 we discussed how in some countries, especially in Germany, Norway, the UK, but also to an extent elsewhere, it can be said that there is now some form of relatively established tradition of research on men that can be identified, albeit of different orientations. We also addressed variations in the framing of research, that is, the extent to which research on men has been conducted directly and in an explicitly gendered way, and the relation of these studies to feminist scholarship, Women's Studies and Gender Research more generally, and the extent to which research on men is focused on and presents 'voices' of men or those affected by men. There are also very different and sometimes antagonistic approaches to research within the same country, for example, between non-gendered, non-feminist or even anti-feminist approaches and gendered and feminist approaches. Other differences stemmed from different theoretical, methodological and disciplinary emphases, assumptions and decisions. Addressing these differences is part of the task of the Network. As previously discussed, these differences in traditions were less observable in the national reports on statistical information in Workpackage 2.

To some extent, and in some perspectives, it might be presumed that academic research and statistical information provide two, often interrelated, ways of describing, analysing and explaining men's practices, whether dominant, subordinated or different. At the same time, they also construct those dominant, subordinated and different patterns of men's practices in their own ways. Meanwhile law and policy might be initially understood as governmental and quasi-governmental regulations of those dominant, subordinated and different patterns of men's practices. However, law and policy are also themselves modes of describing, analysing, explaining, and indeed constructing men's practices. For these reasons the political and academic differences, observed particularly in Workpackage 1, are both apparent and to some extent obscured in the specific form of the legal and policy modes examined in these national reports on law and policy.

There are both similarities and differences in the substantive patterns of national laws and policies. The social and cultural contexts in which these national reports are written are very varied indeed. The national and local contexts need to be understood to make sense of the different orientations of the national reports. The general state of law and policy in the ten nations is the product of several factors. These include their diverse broad historical and cultural traditions; their legal and governmental institutions; their more recent and specific relations to the EU; and their welfare and social policy frameworks and practices.

The EU is an economic, social and political union, initially of six countries in 1957, that has sought to increase the harmonisation of economic and social policies across

member states, whilst respecting the principle of subsidiarity (decisions being made at the lowest appropriate level). It is premised on the ‘single market’ amongst member states and parliamentary democracy, albeit of different forms in the member states. Over the years this inevitably has involved tensions between the push to economic and social convergence and the defense of national political interests. As it has expanded these tensions have become more complex, though it is probably fair to say that the ‘strong agenda’ towards greater unity has become more dominant in recent years.

The EU currently comprises fifteen countries: Austria, Belgium, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Ireland, Italy, Luxembourg, the Netherlands, Portugal, Spain, Sweden, the UK. Thirteen further countries are Bulgaria, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Malta, Poland, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Turkey. Accession negotiations are under way for the first twelve of these, with the objective of completing these by the end of 2002 for those countries that are ready to join, so they can take part in European Parliament elections by 2004. In addition, twelve of the fifteen EU member states (all except Denmark, Sweden, the UK) now have the same currency (the Euro), as part of the European Monetary Union (EMU). Thus the ten countries in our review have different relations to the EU.

As regards the various national relations to the EU, there are the clear contrasts between:

- EU/EMU: Finland (1995), Germany (1957), Ireland (1973), Italy (1957);
- EU/non-EMU: the UK (1973);
- EU-associated ETA: Norway;
- EU applicant countries: Estonia, Latvia, Poland;
- former Soviet non-EU applicant: Russian Federation.

	non-former Soviet	former Soviet
EU/EMU	Finland, Germany, Ireland, Italy	
EU/non-EMU	UK	
EU-associated ETA	Norway	
EU applicant		Estonia, Latvia, Poland
non-EU applicant		Russian Federation

There is a growing recognition of the impact, albeit differentially, of the EU itself on the heterogeneous gender politics and gender regimes of the member states (Liebert, 1999). This is partly through the operation of various equal opportunities policies at the supranational and national levels, most obviously in the fields of family, welfare, labour market and education policies, but also more generally in migration and environmental policies (Walby, 1999). In most cases these debates on and in the EU have focused on (increasing) women’s participation in the public spheres of employment and education, along with the development of women’s rights in social protection and welfare. Overall policy development in the EU is to some extent framed by the development of the European Social Agenda (2000-2005). This seeks to advance a range of “future orientations for social policy”, of which the most relevant to men’s practices are:

- “Fighting poverty and all forms of exclusion and discrimination in order to promote social integration”;
- “Promoting gender equality”; and
- “Strengthening the social policy aspects of enlargement and the European Union’s external relations”.

There is, however, much to be done in giving explicit attention to the full implications of achieving gender equality within the ESA, in terms of what this means for men and changing men’s practices. It is clear that the EU and the EU application process are themselves becoming important parts of the public politics of comparative European welfare development, including the comparative development of gender policies, including policy development in relation to men. There have already been some steps in this process, for example, the EU “Men and Gender Equality Conference”, Örebro, held in March 2001 under the auspices of the then Swedish presidency. It is likely that this process of considering the implications for men and changing men’s will increase in the coming years, albeit from different political interests and motivations.

A persistent challenge in this Workpackage has been how to focus on law and policy that specifically *addresses* men, whilst at the same time being aware of the broad range of laws and policies that are not *explicitly gendered* that are likely to bear on men. In one sense almost all laws and policies can be said to be relevant to men *as nationals or citizens* (or indeed as non-citizens, for example, as aliens). In another sense, in most countries, though there may not be a very large body of law and policy information specifically focused on men, there is still a considerable amount of analysis of law and policy in relation to men that is possible. These questions are affected by both deeply embedded historical constructions of citizenship, and more recent reforms around gender and ‘gender equality’. On the first count, it is important to note that in many countries citizenship has historically been constructed as ‘male’, onto which certain concessions and rights of citizenship, for example suffrage, have been granted to women. However, there is variation in the extent to which this pattern applies, and in some cases citizenship has taken different gendered forms, with citizenship for women and men being more closely associated with relatively recent nationalisms for all citizens. This is not to say that such latter ‘nationalistic’ citizenship is non-gendered, far from it; it may indeed remain patriarchal in form, not least through the continuation of pre-nationalistic discourses and practices, sometimes around particular notions of ‘equality’, as in the Soviet regimes. Indeed it might be argued that some forms of (male) citizenship, based on notions of individualism and even exclusion of community and similarity, are often in tension with some forms of (male) nationalism, based on notions of cultural lineage, culture and language, and exclusion of individuality and difference.

On the second count, the contemporary societal context of law and policy on men is often formally framed by the ratification (or not) of such international agreements as the ILO Convention 100 on Equal Remuneration for Men and Women for Equal Work 1957, the ILO Convention 111 in Respect of Discrimination in Employment/Occupation 1957 (http://www.unesco.org/culture/worldreport/html_eng/stat2/table13.pdf), the UN Declaration on Human Rights, the European Convention on Human Rights, the UN International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, the International Covenant on

Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, and the Convention on the Elimination of All forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW) (and reporting thereon) (<http://www.unhcr.ch/html/intlinst.htm>), a ‘(Gender) Equality Act’, a Bureau of Gender Equality between Women and Men, Convention on the Rights of the Child and various forms of gender mainstreaming. Some of these international agreements are open to reservations and different interpretations.

The constitutions of all the nations in different ways embody equality for citizens under the law; non-discrimination on grounds of sex/gender. All, apart from the UK, have a written constitution, although even in this exceptional case the signing of the European Convention on Human Rights and EU membership more generally may be tending to override this anomaly. In addition, there are in the Nordic region traditions of non-constitutionalism, and a reliance on the legislative or popular sovereignty. Gender-neutral language is generally used in law and policy, though often also for different reasons and within different legal and political traditions. In the case of the EU applicant countries, considerable efforts have been put into the harmonisation of law and policy with EU members and directives, including in terms of positive measures on non-discrimination and gender equality. EU enlargement appears to contribute to strengthening the formal law and policy on gender equality. These various formal apparatuses may contradict with both historical tradition and contemporary legal and policy practice and implementation. The effectiveness of these measures, at least in the short term, is also in doubt, in view of the lack of gender equality, as reported in Workpackages 1 and 2. Gender equality legislation may indeed remain without clear consequences for policy and outcomes, for women and men. There is often a gap between the governmental rhetoric and everyday conduct in society, with men and women mostly unaware of discussions about gender equality at the labour market and elsewhere. For example, the Russian constitution stipulates that “Man and woman” shall have equal rights, liberties and opportunities. The problem is in the operationalisation and realisation of these principles in every branch of legislation, social relations and everyday practice. In addition, governmental responsibility for gender equality is frequently delegated to one ministry, or one part thereof, and in some countries there are significant legal and policy variations between different national or regional governments, and between ministries, and the extent these matters are subject to monitoring and analysis.

These broad national variations need to be put alongside contrasts between different welfare state policy regimes. Contrasts between Neo-liberal; Social Democratic; and Conservative welfare regimes in Western Europe have been critiqued in terms of their neglect of gender welfare state regimes and gender relations. Such distinctions (for example, Latin Rim, Bismarckian, Anglo-Saxon, Scandinavian (Langan and Ostner 1991); Strong, Modified, Weak Breadwinner States (Lewis 1992; Ostner 1994; Duncan 1995, 2000); Private Patriarchy with High Subordination of Women, Public Patriarchy with High Subordination of Women, Private Patriarchy with Lower Subordination of Women, Public Patriarchy with Lower Subordination of Women (Walby 1986, 1990; Waters 1990; Hearn 1992) Transitional from Private Patriarchy, Housewife Contract, Dual Role Contract, Equality Contract (Hirdman 1988, 1990) need to be refined in two ways: the specification of differences with and amongst the gender welfare state policy regimes of former Eastern bloc nations; the specification of differences amongst men and men’s practices.

There is also national variation in the extent to which laws and policies are gender-disaggregated. As noted, a relative lack of gendering of law and policy continues in most cases. Detailed laws and policies directed towards gendered interventions with men and men's practices are relatively rare. There is relatively little law and policy explicitly focused on men, variations amongst men, and the relationship of those patterns to men's practices and lives. Exceptions to this pattern include, in some cases, law and policy on:

Home and work

- specification of forms of work only for men (for example, mining);
- men as workers/breadwinners/heads of family and household;
- fatherhood and paternity (including legal rights and obligations as fathers, biological and/or social, and paternity leave of various kinds).

Social exclusion

- social assistance, according to sex and marital status;
- fatherhood, husband and other family statuses in immigration and nationality;
- gay men, gay sexuality and transgender issues.

Violences

- compulsory (or near compulsory) conscription into the military;
- crimes of sexual violence, such as rape;
- programmes on men who have been violent to women and children.

Health

- men's health education programmes;
- reproductive technology.

The form and development of law and policy also intersect with the substantive form and nature of socio-economic change. In Workpackage 1 there was a strong emphasis on the different political and academic traditions that operate in studying men in the different national contexts, as well as distinct historical conjunctions for the lives of men. More specifically, in terms of policy development that has addressed men, a simple, perhaps over simple, differentiation may be made between:

- the Nordic nations (Finland, Norway) - that have had both gender equality apparatus, and at least some focused policy development on men, through national committees, since the 1980s (thus prior to Finland's joining the EU), operating in the context of the membership and work of the Nordic Council of Ministers; this included the 'Men and gender equality' programme (1995-2000);
- the established EU-member nations (Ireland, Italy, Germany, the UK) – that have developed their 'equal opportunities' and 'gender equality' policies in the context of the EU, and with limited specific emphasis upon men; and
- the former Soviet nations (Estonia, Latvia, Poland, the Russian Federation) - that have a recent political history of formal legal equality but without developed human rights, and are now in the process of developing their gender equality laws and policies post-transformation, also with very limited specific emphasis upon men.

In addition, the various nations are experiencing different forms of substantive and ongoing socio-economic change. In some cases these changes are profound, for example, the German unification process, post-socialist transition in Estonia, Latvia, Poland and the Russian Federation. These changes set the context, the ground and the challenges for law and policy. Other major social changes include those in Ireland with rapid movement from a predominantly rural society through a booming economy, as well as the nearby political conflicts, challenges and changes in Northern Ireland. Somewhat similarly since the 1950s Finland has gone through a shift with migrations from the countryside to the suburbs in search of work. In the UK the intersection of government, law, policy and statistics is clear.

As a way of looking at these varying situations in a little more detail, we shall contrast the situations in the two Nordic countries, two of the post-communist countries, and the UK. In Norway, as in many other countries, the period after World War 2 was characterised by extended policy declarations concerning gender equal status, yet it was mainly in the 1960s and 1970s, with increased demands for women's labour power, that more detailed and binding policies were created. A national Gender Equality Council was created in 1972 as a partially independent organ with the task of monitoring equal status progress. In 1979, a new Gender Equality Act entered into force, with an Ombudsman arrangement and an Appeals Board. In 1986, the government created a Male Role Committee to look into and create debate about men within an equal status perspective. The Committee which existed until 1991 made a survey of men's attitudes and conditions through broad cooperation among feminist and other researchers (Men in Norway 1988 in Holter 2001b). In the early 1990s, there was a slowdown of the gender equality process. Several factors created these changes. Norway experienced economic setbacks as well as a shift to the right, with the welfare state increasingly targeted by neo-liberal political views. In the emerging political climate, more emphasis was put on 'actors' as against 'structures', market-led changes as against state reforms, and gender equality as something that had mainly been achieved, rather than a burning issue. A recent proposal to extend the Gender Equality Act's provision regarding gender balance in boards and committees (the 40 percent rule) to the private sector has so far met with delays. Recently this proposal has once more been delayed. Increasingly, the stalemate situation in the economy seems to reflect back negatively on other areas, like politics. In recent years, national politics have become noticeably less gender-balanced, with all the major parties led by men, although the figures do not yet show a clear setback. Media research shows the continuing male dominance in, for example, political debates.

National legal and governmental policy in Finland is framed and characterised by a complex formal mixture of statements favouring gender equality in principle and statements using gender-neutrality as the major form of governmental communication; statements typically promote and favour gender equality, and this is generally done through gender-neutral laws and policies. This means that there are relatively few explicit governmental statements on or about men. Most laws are constructed in a gender-neutral way. The Finnish Act on Equality between Men and Women came into force in 1987. As with other Nordic predecessors of the Finnish Act, it is mostly a passive law to be used when it is alleged that someone is discriminated against. Gendered exceptions to this generally gender-neutral pattern in

which men are explicitly or implicitly named include: compulsory conscription into the army; a strongly pro- fatherhood policy and ideology; national program against violence; and recent registration of same-sex partnerships. There has also been a variety of extra-governmental political activity around men from various gender political persuasions. In 1986 a working group on men was begun, and in 1988 the Subcommittee on Men's Issues, a subcommittee of the Council for Equality between Women and Men, was established. This has recently produced a publication (Kempe 2000) that sets out ways in which gender equality can be developed to men's advantage. There is a lack of consideration of how men might assist the promotion of gender equality in ways that assist women; there is a lack of consideration of how different aspects of men's practices might connect with each other, for example, fatherhood and violence.

The situation in the post-communist countries is very different. A gendered examination of Russian legislation allows one to talk about gender asymmetry. The goal of the study of Russian legislation is to describe an "objective" picture of the realisation of constitutional principle of gender equality: "Man and woman have equal rights and freedoms and opportunities for its realisation" (Russian Federation Constitution, Part 3, Article 19. In Zavadskaya 2001). At first sight, this constitutional principle is reflected in contemporary legislation. However, not only do everyday practice and the reality of funding break them, but legislators do not always understand the principle of gender equality. The formal legislation reflects the idea of gender equality, but does not reflect nor guarantee its realisation for both sexes. Women have equal rights to be elected (equally with men), but they do not have equal opportunity for realisation of equality with men's rights. Absolutely another situation is found in the sphere of labour legislation. The legislation reflects the idea of gender equality. In this legislation we see a system of actions for the defense of female rights, especially the "unwed mother". In this sphere it is most important to address objective necessity and produce appropriate measures. Discrimination of men exists in family legislation. A man finds it very difficult to have the right to bring up a child. Gender research into Russian legislation testifies to ambiguities in understanding gender equality in different spheres of society. Gender legislation is yet at the formative stage, as shown by the examination of some specific branches of Russian legislation.

Recent developments of the Latvian legal process have reflected the commitment of Latvia to join the European Union. A somewhat similar situation operates in Estonia, where the drafting of Equality Act is being prepared by Bureau of Equality between Women and Men in the Ministry of Social Affairs. Thus, a number of the international and EU documents and conventions have been ratified by the Latvian government. In 2001 alone there have been introduced new strategies and initiatives expressed in such documents of The Ministry of Welfare as *The Gender Equality Initiative. Draft document* (Konceptija dzimumu līdz tiesības īstenošanai, 2000) and *Equal Opportunities to Everybody in Latvia. Draft document* (2000). The expected adoption of the document on gender equality and the establishment of The Gender Equality unit, however, are not provided with clear-cut statements on future policy development. This national report has coincided with an initiative on a new Family Act in which the idea of the paternity leave is introduced and the necessity to struggle with family violence is stated. Both documents mainly deal with issues of men and women in home, health and work. The family is defined as a reproductive

heterosexual partnership for securing the economic and social “body” of the society. Neither document contains the language of differences, sexual, ethnic, racial. It is considered that issues of ethnicity and cultural differences are to be solved through the policy developments in ethnic and social integration to overcome the ethnopolitical division of the Latvian society. The rigidly “disciplinary” character of the documents issued either by The Ministry of Welfare or by The Department of Naturalisation is to the disadvantage to the future policy developments because the language of gender equality and gender mainstreaming is excluded from the ethnic integration policies, and the language of ethnic integration is excluded from the gender equality initiatives. There are no explicit statements addressing men and ethnicity/race, or men and sexuality, thus pointing to as yet “untouchable” questions of social exclusion in family, work, health, violences. However, overall in Latvia since the restoration of political independence, the political climate of the country has never been stabilised, and rapid changes of governments have been detrimental to the principle of continuity in implementing the initiatives in policy development and pursuing the principles of transparency, accountability and policy responsibilities.

Since the advent of the Labour Administration in 1997 topics, such as poverty, unemployment, the labour market, crime (including violences to women), health, ethnicity, have become major focuses of policy attention – and often with a relatively strong gender dimension. By contrast, there is much less policy focus on areas of disadvantage such as disability, sexuality or crimes against children. This pattern largely reflects a government policy agenda on: social exclusion defined in rather narrow labour market terms; crime, highlighting some areas more than others, for example, men’s violences to women and other men receiving considerably more policy attention than men’s violences to children (Pringle 2001b). In Latvia since the restoration of political independence, the political climate of the country has never been stabilised, and rapid changes of governments have been detrimental to the principle of continuity in implementing the initiatives in policy development and pursuing the principles of transparency, accountability and policy responsibilities. Thus the form of law and policy is interrelated with the form of social, economic and political change (Novikova 2001b).

4.3 General Discussion on the Reports, including the 4 Thematic Areas

4.3.1 Home and Work. Although there may not be a very large body of law and policy information specifically focused on men, the various historical and national traditions in the constructions of citizenship have large implications for the place of men in law and policy. These constructions of citizenship have often been presented as ‘gender-neutral’, even though they have clear historical gendering as male. These constructions of citizenship have clear relevance for the formulation of law and policy on men in relation to home and work. There is a general use of gender-neutral language in law and policy, and this has been reinforced in recent years through the ratification (or not) of such international agreements as the ILO Convention 100 on Equal Remuneration for Men and Women for Equal Work 1957, the ILO Convention 111 in Respect of Discrimination in Employment/Occupation 1957, the UN Declaration on Human Rights, the European Convention on Human Rights, the UN International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, and the Convention on the Elimination of All

forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW). In all the countries there is some form of equality or anti-discrimination legislation, and in many there are a '(Gender) Equality Act', and some form of Equal Opportunities Office or Bureau of Gender Equality between Women and Men. Various forms of gender mainstreaming are also being increasingly promoted, in word at least, in government. In terms of the EU, the main areas of activity, for member and applicant nations, include:

- equal pay;
- equal treatment for women and men at work and in access to employment;
- balanced distribution of work-related and family duties;
- training and informing of social partners about equality policy and norms in the EU;
- participation in EU equality framework programmes.

The general tradition in operation here is gender equality in treatment, opportunities and process rather than gender equality of outcome. There is also in EU countries the Directive on the restriction of working time, though again its practical implementation is varied. These and other formal 'gender-neutral' national and transnational apparatuses and objectives may contradict with both national historical tradition and contemporary legal and policy practice. Importantly, these include the different traditions of welfare capitalism or welfare patriarchies, that are themselves commentaries on home and work, such as:

- Strong, Modified, Weak Breadwinner States;
- Private Patriarchy with High Subordination of Women, Public Patriarchy with High Subordination of Women, Private Patriarchy with Lower Subordination of Women, Public Patriarchy with Lower Subordination of Women.

The various national governmental and constitutional frameworks intersect with the everyday patterns and realities of home and work. Housework is still mostly women's work; men's family statuses are still, despite long-term increases in the levels of separation and divorce, defined mainly through marriage and fatherhood; recurring themes in employment include men's occupational, working and wage gap over women, gender segregation at work, differences in patterns of working hours, many men's close associations with paid work. These variations in both men's practices at home and work, and in state law and policy in relation to home and work, interact in complex ways.

In all countries there are elements of the provider-breadwinner model, though the strength of this is very variable. Taxation is sometimes and increasingly an untypical arena of policy. Marriage and paternity law have been and largely remain basic ways of defining different men's statuses in law, with fatherhood generally assumed for men whose wives have children. These have been and to varying extents are ways of defining men's relation to work as providers-breadwinners. For example, in Ireland (Ferguson 2001b) men have been constructed very much in terms of the good provider role at home rather than strictly as workers; the married father is the legitimate father, 'complementing' the recognition of motherhood in the national constitution. In Italy there is also support for maternity in law; alongside this, fathers have rights in the case of illness or death of mother (Ventimiglia 2001b). In Poland paternity is assumed for the mother's husband, although it can be declared differently or established by the court (Oleksy 2001b). In Estonia men are generally not yet used

to staying home to take care of children or to being single parents, and after divorce, as a rule, children are left to the mother to raise. In the Russian Federation the norms of the Family Code are mostly gender-neutral (Chernova 2001b). At the same time there are a number of norms that violate gender equality. Among them is the husband's right to divorce his wife if she is pregnant and within a year after the birth of child as well as the husband's duty to support his wife (his former wife) during her pregnancy and within three years after the birth of a child. There are also serious discrepancies between the legal stipulation of equal rights and the practical opportunities for their implementation, for example, in the field of women's property rights. These and other elements contrast with and complicate the gender-neutrality of most law and policy.

There are, however, major changes, complications and contradictions. There is growing politics around fathers' rights, some degree of shared care/parenthood, and leave for fathers and as parents. In Latvia a husband-breadwinner model coexists with an egalitarian family model reflecting a diversity of social attitudes towards the institution of the family. The model of a husband-breadwinner's family, however, is implicitly reconstructed in family politics and legislation targeted at women as childbearers and major childcarers. Two further tendencies are the growth of 'family sovereignty', on the one hand, and the growth of 'family policies', on the other, as the family is stated to be an important institution of society in the draft document of the Family Act. A key issue here, as elsewhere, is what is to be defined and counted as 'a family'. In Estonia an employer is required to grant parental leave at the time requested to the mother or the father raising a child up to three years of age. a holiday at the time requested to a woman raising a child up to three years of age. A father's additional childcare leave is paid from the state budget. The new amendment is a significant new right for fathers and clearly acknowledges that both men and women have family responsibilities (The Holidays Act RT I 2001, 42, 233). This is also clear in Ireland, where the movement from a traditional, largely rural society has involved pressure to give fathers' equal rights as mothers, and an increasing sharing of breadwinning between women and men. In Finland there is an emphasis on shared parenthood after divorce in law if not always in practice. In all the nations apart from the Russian Federation there is some kind of parental/paternity leave, but the conditions under which this operates are very variable.

Policy development around men's parental and paternity leave has been active in the Nordic countries. Supporting fatherhood is a central part of governmental policy in Finland (Hearn et al. 2001b). In Norway a proposal from the Male Role Committee for the father's quota, or "the daddy's month" (now two months) has been enacted (Holter 2001b). The results were remarkable. Soon after its introduction in 1993, two thirds of eligible fathers used the reform, which gave the father one month of paid leave (of a total of 10 months). This reform, like most of the debate on men as caregivers, had women as the main subject – to the extent that the father's pay was stipulated on the basis of the mother's labour market activity.

To illustrate the importance of national and cultural context, the Irish case is particularly instructive. The 'family' in Irish law is the kinship group based on marriage, and the only legitimate 'father' is the married father. Despite the fact that 26% of all births in Ireland are now outside of marriage, unmarried fathers are not

acknowledged as fathers under the Irish Constitution (whereas the mother is given automatic rights by virtue of being a mother). Unmarried fathers have to apply to the courts for guardianship of their children. The Irish State has come under increased pressure in recent years to give fathers equal rights as mothers to be a parent to their child. Yet there is little sign that this has led to a more explicit gendering of men in terms of legal reform or that fatherhood is being more actively addressed as a policy issue. Fathers have had no statutory entitlement to paternity leave, though the recent EU directive is likely to change this.

All countries have some kind of equal wage legislation on such grounds as an employee's sex, nationality, colour, race, native language, social origin, social status, previous activities, religion. Equal pay for work of equal value is far from being realised, as discussed in Workpackages 1 and 2. There are clear gendered policies and laws for the armed forces and conscription, and also for some other areas of work, such as religious workers and ministers. For example, in Finland the Lutheran church exercises legal self-government. In the workplace historical restrictions of work according to arduous and hazardous to health for women, and thus indirectly men, also continue. Definitions of unemployment and retirement age also vary for women and men, though EU requirements have meant in practice a leveling down of rates.

In many countries the increasing neo-liberal and market-oriented climate has brought a more individualist approach to gender. Various trends in the 1990s, such as 'turbo capitalism', globalisation, restructuring, more intense jobs, have ensured that absent fathers and the lack of men in caregiving roles remain as key issues. The result of a more laissez-faire political attitude and economic and working life developments is often an increase in the *gender segregation* in parts of society. There are, however, 'counter-trends' and increased positive engagement from men are 'intact' families, post-divorce childcare, and wage work. There is also evidence from Norway that the *provider model* is again strengthened in some sections of working life, especially at top levels, although ideological changes further down in the hierarchy, including middle management, seems to develop a pro-equality direction.

On the other hand, there are limited moves towards greater equality planning in workplaces, as in Finland and Norway, where the 40% rule (as a minimum for women and men) operates, in theory but not always in practice, in public sector bodies and committees but not employment. In Germany a law on promoting gender equality in private enterprises, was been announced two years ago and just recently been postponed again (Müller 2001b). A proposal for reform of the private sector, including company boards, along the lines of the 40% quota system, has been made in Norway, and there the proposal for quotas similar to the public sector has been delayed.

While there are growing governmental and related discourses about men at home and work, including the reconciliation of the demands of home and work, there is usually a lack of explicit focus on men, especially in clear and strong policy terms. There is also a lack of linkage between men as parents and governmental documentation on men, for example, as violent partners or violent parents.

4.3.2 Social Exclusion. As in Workpackages 1 and 2, this has proved to be the most difficult area to pre-define, but in some ways one of the most interesting. The ways in which social exclusion figures appears rather differently in the ten nations. However, even with this variation there is still frequently a lack of gendering of law and policy in relation to men: This is despite the fact that men often appear to make up the majority or vast majority of those in the socially excluded sub-categories. This also applies to the association of some forms of social exclusion with young men. Again to use the Polish example, except for a general anti-discrimination clause (Constitution of the Republic of Poland, Article 32.2), the issue of social exclusion, is not unequivocally reflected in legislation, with the exception of national and ethnic minorities which are referred to directly (Constitution of the Republic of Poland, Article 35, Clause 3.2). No differentiation on the grounds of sex is made in these laws. There are thus rather few laws and policies specifically addressing men in relation to social exclusion. In most countries many socially excluded citizens may often be discussed in politics and thus socially defined as men, yet the relevant laws and policies are not constructed in that way.

To illustrate these considerable variations, we may note, for example, how in Norway, there is a focus on the relation of citizens to the social security system, and on rural and urban youth. In Estonia government is increasingly recognising social exclusions, such as men's lesser education than women, non-Estonian men's lower life expectancy, homophobia, drugs, AIDS. Such problems have been denied a long time; however government is close to recognising these problems, especially drugs and AIDS. However, there is no clear plan how to deal with these men's social problems. In Germany there has been extensive debate on same sex partnerships. Although this has not yet yielded the same status as for heterosexual marriages, there has been some extension of rights, for example, old age care, housing rights, medical and educational rights regarding the partner's children. In Ireland men are generally not gendered in public policy, yet, through EU funding, men's groups for men have been set up in disadvantaged localities, usually based within a personal development model. At the same time outside the state father's rights groups are exerting greater pressure.

National reports have approached this area differently, as follows:

- *Estonia – poor education, non-Estonian men's lower life expectancy, homophobia, drugs, AIDS, unemployment.*
- *Finland – poverty, unemployment, homelessness, alcohol and drugs, social exclusion and health, gay men and sexualities, ethnic minorities/immigrants, disabled.*
- *Germany – homosexuality.*
- *Ireland – travellers, asylum seekers, economic migrants, gay men, men in socially disadvantaged areas, personal development, fathers' rights, disabled.*
- *Italy – poverty, pensioners, benefit claimants.*
- *Latvia – not specified.*
- *Norway – class and ethnic divisions, welfare/benefits claimants, poverty, northern and poor municipalities, rural and urban youth.*
- *Poland – homosexuality, national/ethnic minorities, homeless, alcoholics, drug users, offenders, prostitutes.*
- *Russian Federation – not specified.*

- *UK – neighbourhood renewal, gay men, sex and relationship education, young men, poor education.*

Somewhat paradoxically, countries with a stronger hegemonic masculinity, represented by great concentrations of capital and power, may in fact offer some more options for diversity among some groups of men, compared to smaller tightly-knit “male-normative” societies. Gender power relations and sexism intersect with other dimensions of oppressive power relations, such as racism, disablism, heterosexism, ageism and classism, and are a major dynamic in the generating patterns of social exclusion. Yet most governmental strategies to counter social exclusion do not explicitly address the issue of men; and where they do, an acknowledgement of oppression towards women and children is largely absent. Occasionally we hear of men as the socially excluded, rarely of men who perpetrate the various social exclusions.

4.3.3 Violences. The context of law and policy is set here by the recurring theme of the widespread nature of the problem of men’s violences to women, children and other men. Men are strongly overrepresented among those who use violence, especially heavy violence including homicide, sexual violence, racial violence, robberies, grievous bodily harm and drug offences. Similar patterns are also found for accidents in general, vehicle accidents and drunken driving.

Formal gender-neutrality operates in law in most respects. Exceptions to this include in some cases the specification of sexual crimes, of which rape is a clear, though complex, example, with fine differences between countries. In Estonia the Criminal Code deals only with the rape of women, and the Code of Criminal Procedure does not distinguish between the sexes. In the latter Code, rape is included under private charges proceedings. This means unnecessary additional hindrances and inconveniences to the victim in criminal proceedings (Kolga 2001b). In Poland provisions of the penal code do not refer to the rape victim’s or perpetrator’s sex, even though men are almost exclusively perpetrators in these cases. In Latvia while there are legal acts and documents dealing with rape, only one woman has come to claim rape against her husband and nobody has come in connection of rape as a sexual and human rights violation. Recent UK legislation has made it an offence for a man to rape another man; rape became non-consensual sexual intercourse by a man either vaginally or anally. In Germany there have been reforms on the illegality of rape in marriage. In the Russian Federation gender asymmetry in criminal law manifests itself in defining the range of criminal offences, and in describing the formal elements of definition of a crime, i.e. in the establishment of criminal responsibility. There are also other national gendered differences in the definition and operation of law, for example, on the doctrine of self-defence and the doctrine of consent. Gender-neutrality and gender specificity intersect in complex ways.

While the codification of crime and punishment is ancient, the issue of violence against women is a relatively new topic for policy development for many countries. In many countries this is still constructed as ‘family violence’ rather than ‘violence against women’. For example, in Latvia such violence is discussed in documents as a problem of a impoverished, less educated family with children. The family level remains a politically convenient target of governmental strategies and initiatives. In

1999, with the initiative of the Baltic-Nordic working group for gender equality cooperation both the situation of family violence and violence against women was mapped in Estonia. On the basis of the results of the survey, a national strategy to combat violence against women will be prepared. It was noted that due to the lack of information the general public, as well as health care specialists and police officers, do not fully realise the seriousness of the problem. In Italy public debate has led to new precautionary laws being developed, with a focus on orders of protection against family abuses; these are, however, not gendered (Ventimiglia 2001b). Given that the central organising ideology which dictates how men are governed in Ireland is the provider model and the hard-working 'good family man', when evidence emerges that not all men are in fact 'good', a deficit in governance and services arises. Minimal attempts have been made to develop intervention programs with men who are violent to their partners, while only a fraction of men who are sex offenders are actively worked with towards rehabilitation/stopping their offending. Masculinity politics with respect to violence are becoming more complex, with increasing pressure to recognise male victims of women's domestic violence.

In the UK 'domestic violence' has both received far more attention and been far more defined as a gendered crime in recent government guidance and legislation than any other form of men's violence. In Finland a national programme has been developed against violence, along with other initiatives against prostitution and trafficking (<http://www.stakes.fi>). There is also some change in terminology in Finland, UK and elsewhere from 'domestic violence' or 'family violence' to 'violence against women'.

In most of the Western European countries there is some system of refuges for battered women but these are generally very much lacking in funding. In contrast, in Estonia there is no network of shelters for women or indeed consultation services to violent men. Overall in most countries there is little intervention work with men who are violent to women. In Norway there has been the development of alternatives to violence projects for men on a voluntary basis; in the UK there is some use of men's programmes in some localities on a statutory basis. In many countries the concern with men's aggressive behaviour is still regarded in traditional stereotypes and is explained in terms of impoverishment, value crisis, alcohol and drug-addiction. The results of Norwegian research indicating the possibly significant impact of bullying on men's violence is underexplored. In the UK and elsewhere there is often a lack of consistency regarding violence against women and governmental policy pressing for greater involvement of men in families and greater fathers' rights. In Germany there has also been policy attention to other diverse forms of men's violence, including in the army, sexual harassment, and violence in education.

Even with this rather uneven set of responses to violence against women, it is important to consider that other forms of men's gendered violence have not received the same attention. For example, little recognition is afforded to the predominantly gendered nature of child sexual abuse in governmental documents/legislation despite the fact that this gendered profile of perpetrators is virtually commonplace as knowledge in research, practice and (to some extent) public domains. In the UK there have been numerous official enquiries into cases of child sexual abuse. Hardly any of them acknowledge one of the few relatively clear facts from research about this crime, namely that it is overwhelmingly committed by men or boys. It is to be hoped that the

studies by mainly feminist researchers, highlighting the very real linkages between “domestic violence” and child abuse, may focus attention on child sexual abuse as a gendered crime. Overall, there is generally a lack of attention paid to the gendered quality of violences inherent in, for instance, pornography, prostitution, child sexual abuse, trafficking in people. There is a need for more coherent government policies regarding men as childcarers recognising *at the same time* both men’s real potential as carers and the equally real problems of gendered violences by men against women and children.

4.3.4 Health. The context of law and policy in relation to men’s health has a number of contradictory elements. The life expectancy of men and thus men’s ageing has increased markedly since the beginning of the 20th century. Yet the major recurring health theme is men’s relatively low (to women) life expectancy, poor health, accidents, suicide, morbidity. Men suffer and die more and at a younger age from cardiovascular diseases, cancer, respiratory diseases, accidents and violence than women. Socio-economic factors, qualifications, social status, life style, diet, smoking, drinking, drug abuse, hereditary factors, as well as occupational hazards, can all be important for morbidity and mortality. Gender differences in health arise from hazardous occupations done by men. Generally men neglect their health and for some men at least their ‘masculinity’ is characterised by risk taking, especially for younger men (in terms of smoking, alcohol and drug taking, unsafe sexual practices, road accidents, lack of awareness of risk), an ignorance of their bodies, and reluctance to seek medical intervention for suspected health problems. Thus ‘traditional masculinity’ can be seen as hazardous to health.

Despite this, law and policy on health is often non-gendered, or rather, as with Violences, is a mix of non-gendered and gendered elements. In Poland both men and women are entitled to social welfare and health care use on the grounds of orphaning, homelessness, unemployment, disability, long-term illness, difficulties in parental and household matters (especially for single parent families and families with many children), alcohol and drug abuse, difficulties in readjusting to life following a release from a penitentiary institution, natural and ecological disasters, and, in the case of women, for the purposes of the protection of maternity. There are only government programmes on the protection of women’s health and no programmes on men’s health have been identified. Similarly, in Latvia policy is directed towards the health of mother and child, and stress is put on the importance of women’s health in terms of their reproductive health. There is no statement or mention on the issues of men’s (reproductive) health. In general, the family is marked as an integrated unit out of which a woman is singled out in terms of her childbearing functions. In several countries there are now national health education programmes. There are the beginnings of health education in Ireland, though the construction of health is mainly in physical terms. Sometimes health programmes, as in Estonia, focus especially on children and youth.

In Norway a number of health campaigns and measures are related to men’s health, like attempts to reduce the proportion of smokers, but masculinity is not a main focus. Some research on men’s health is ongoing or planned, but it cannot be described as a coherent research field. It is only recently that women’s health has achieved this status. In many areas of health prevention, like reducing smoking, the problem

patterns persist. There is a need to try new perspectives and methods, including a focus on masculinity and negative 'semiautomatic' life style habits among boys and men. Similarly, in Estonia, national programmes for the prevention of alcoholism and drug-use, tuberculosis, and HIV/AIDS and other sexually transmitted diseases, are all relevant for men.

There is growing concern with young men's health in a number of countries, for example, in Finland with young men's accidental mortality. Much needs to be done on men's and young men's suicide, and on the very high level of deaths from accidents (especially road traffic accidents) for young men. UK reports have noted how class factors intersect with gender regarding suicide rates for the highest risk age group (under 44 years), thus making an explicit link with some men's social exclusion. However, in many countries there are no policies. For example, there are no relevant provisions in Polish law exclusively on men's health. Men are referred to in individual provisions related to self-inflicted injuries or incapacitation of health carried out in order to evade compulsory military service (both of which are treated as offences). No data were found on Polish organisations that deal exclusively with problems of health, social welfare and suicides concerning men or on nationwide initiatives and programmes (they are mainly aimed at women and children).

The health of men is just beginning to be recognised as a health promotion issue in Ireland, in the context of growing awareness of generally poor outcomes in health for men compared with women and generally lower resource allocation to men's health. The Irish government is committed to publishing a new health strategy in 2001 (Ferguson 2001b) and has stated for the first time that a specific section on men's health will appear. Health is still tending to be conceptualised in physical terms, with a neglect of psychological well-being. While increases in male suicide, especially by young men, are increasingly the focus of public concern, there has been little attempt to develop gender specific policies and programs which can help men to cope with their vulnerability and despair.

The UK Government has supported the movement towards improving men's health by other strategies. Since 1997 it has assisted The Men's Health Forum (founded 1994) in several ways, such as setting up its website. In January 2001 an All Party Group on Men's Health was set up to raise awareness and co-ordinate policies. This indicates that some MPs consider that there have been insufficient discrete initiatives directed towards the issue. Previously the Men's Health Forum has argued that the government has relied too much on general health policies, hoping that men would be included in these via the normal health structures, even though men often do not access these structures as much as women. The Government's Health Development Agency has recently appointed its first men's officer. The aim is to encourage surgeries to open at times more convenient to men and make health promotion material more accessible to men.

What is almost wholly absent from national governmental policy discourses, as opposed to some research, in relation to men's health is any recognition that high levels of accidental and suicidal death might link with more critical approaches to men's practices, such as risk-taking, self-violence, problems in emotional communication, being 'hard'. Overall there is virtually no consideration of how

problems of men's health link more broadly with a critical analysis of men's oppressive social practices.

4.4 Conclusions

4.4.1 *Gender-neutral language* is generally used in law and policy, though for different reasons within different legal-political traditions. The national constitutions embody *equality for citizens under the law; non-discrimination on grounds of sex/gender*. Despite these features, major structural gender inequalities persist, as noted in Workpackages 1 and 2.

4.4.2 The different traditions of *gendered welfare state policy regimes* have definite implications for men's practices; this is clearest in men's relations to home and work, including different constructions of men as breadwinners. The implications for men's social exclusion, violences and health need further explication.

4.4.3 The implications of *gender equality provisions* for men are underexplored. Different men can have complex, even contradictory, relations to gender equality and other forms of equality. Men's developing relations to gender equality can include: men assisting in the promotion of women's greater equality; attention to the gendered disadvantage of *certain* men, as might include gay men, men with caring responsibilities, men in non-traditional work; men's rights, fathers' rights, and anti-women/anti-feminist politics.

4.4.4 Efforts towards *gender mainstreaming* in law and policy are often, quite understandably, women-oriented; the implications for such policies for men need to be more fully explored, whilst at the same time avoiding anti-women/anti-feminist "men only" tendencies that can sometimes thus be promoted.

4.4.5 *The intersection of men, gender relations and other forms of social division and inequality*, such as ethnicity, remains an important and undeveloped field in law and policy. Both the substantive form and the recognition of these intersections in law, policy and politics vary considerably between the nations. These intersections are likely to be a major arena of political debate and policy development in the future.

5. Newspaper Representations on Men and Men's Practices (Workpackage 4)

5.1 Comparative and Methodological Issues

5.1.1 Methods of Analysis

In each nation, three (national) newspapers were selected for analysis as follows: 1 largest circulation 'serious'/'quality'/'broadsheet'; 1 largest circulation 'popular'/'yellow'/'tabloid'; 1 other to be chosen at the discretion of the national member (Appendix 7). These were acquired for the whole of May 2001. While all of May's papers were available for use, detailed qualitative and quantitative analysis focused on weeks 19 and 20, that is, Monday 7th May to Sunday 20th May, as these were the first two *full* weeks. These newspapers were thus collected and available before the commencement of the Workpackage.

We used the following guidelines in the process of choosing articles:

- include articles which explicitly discuss masculinity or masculinities.
- include articles which implicitly discuss masculinity or masculinities, that is, those articles whose focus is *centrally* on the activities of a man or men, even if they do not explicitly make links between the subject and masculinity.
- exclude material where a man or men are mentioned but the focus is not centrally on them. Sport is an area where it could sometimes be difficult to make distinctions e.g. not include an article where (men) footballers are mentioned but where the focus of the article is on (for instance) the start of the season rather than on those men as players.

The main method of analysis was qualitative analysis of the relevant articles on men and men's practices. This involved analysis of the key themes that were represented in the articles, and the dominant modes of their representation. The extent to which reporting on men and masculinities was framed in an individual, group, cultural, or societal context was also examined. There was also the attempt to place this analysis of national newspaper reporting in the context of the wider pattern of cultural representations, especially in newsprint, magazine and internet media.

In addition, quantitative calculations were made for each paper for each day of number of articles addressing men in relation to the four main themes: Home and Work, Social Exclusion, Violences, Health, along with an additional 'Other' category for articles on men that do not fit these four main themes. Also, calculations were made for each paper for each day total square centimetres (including title of the article and any pictures attached to the article) of articles addressing men in relation to the four themes: Home and Work, Social Exclusion, Violences, Health, along with the additional 'Other' category for article on men noted above. These quantitative calculations were completed with pre-designed proformas. The qualitative analysis of coverage examined the four themes: Home and Work, Social Exclusion, Violences, Health.

The general timescale for the Workpackage was taken normally to start from the beginning of the 1990's, but earlier developments have been included as relevant. Extensions back in timescales are recognised as being valid where appropriate (for example, comparing the situation in some transitional nations pre-1989 and post-1989). Information is provided on the 1980s in some cases in order to compare these situations.

5.1.2 Broader Comparative and Methodological Issues

The Network aims to facilitate greater understanding of changing social processes of gender relations and gender construction, particularly in relation to men and men's practices. Such research and data gathering on men should not be understood and developed separately from research on women and gender. The research focus of the Network is the comparative study of the social problem and societal problematisation of men and masculinities. Undertaking this kind of exploration necessitates specific attention to the challenges and difficulties of comparative perspectives in European contexts. In recent years a comparative perspective has been applied to various aspects of study within the fields of, *inter alia*, sociology, social policy and social welfare.

Overall, much research on gender, media and newspapers, along with cultural studies more generally, has highlighted the deep embeddedness of gender in cultural artifacts, including, for present purposes, newspapers (Neale 1983; Craig 1992; Middleton 1992; Berger 1995; Pedersen et al. 1996, Edwards 1997; Nixon 1997; Penttilä 1999; Jokinen 2000). Men play the main role primarily in events in the context of politics, economy and sport. These categories, however, were not considered for the preparation of the report, unless men were the central focus of the article. In one sense, the press provides clear and explicit representations of men – sport, politics, business and so on. For example, in most sports journalism there is a kind of transparent taken-for-grantedness of men, such that within the confines of the text it is not necessary to focus specifically on men and women as genders. In these kind of textual orders man is the norm and woman is the exception. At the same time, assumptions about men, masculinities and men's practices pervade most, perhaps all, press reporting. When studying journalism or rather mainstream journalism, dominant assumptions about men and gender, such as heterosexual assumptions, pervade the texts and can be taken as a starting point in their interpretation and deconstruction. In journalism, as elsewhere in society, there are arenas where taken-for-granted heterosexual gender segregation and assumptions are so transparent that there is no need in the texts to specifically and explicitly emphasise gender.

As noted in the previous section, we used the following guidelines in the process of choosing articles: include articles which explicitly discuss masculinity or masculinities; include articles which implicitly discuss masculinity or masculinities, that is, those articles whose focus is *centrally* on the activities of a man or men, even if they do not explicitly make links between the subject and masculinity. However, the operationalisation of these guidelines is far from unproblematic, partly because of the very pervasiveness of 'men' and 'masculinity' throughout much newspaper coverage. Thus our attempts to focus on only those articles that discuss masculinity or masculinities implicitly or explicitly is fraught with difficulties of definition. While in general we would define masculinity as that set of signs that show someone is a man, we have not sought to impose a single definition of 'masculinity' or 'masculinities' on the researchers in each country. Rather it has been left to each to decide what would be recognisable as 'masculinity/ies' in each local, national cultural context. This clearly raises difficulties of comparison, which this workpackage can only begin to address. It also explains why there are so few articles identified as related explicitly to men; this is not a surprising conclusion but rather the 'normal situation', because of

the taken-for-granted pervasiveness of 'men', 'masculinity' and dominant forms of gender relations more generally.

Indeed, in this sense apparent 'gender-neutrality' is a very widespread mode of representation in newspapers. Accordingly, when referring to notions of 'gender-neutrality' we do not mean that gender is not present or does not matter. On the contrary, 'gender-neutrality' is a taken-for-granted and widespread mode of representation in which the object is represented *as if* gender is not present or does not matter; this supposed 'gender-neutrality' is a form of gendering. Similarly, the extensive use of factual styles of reporting and various forms of 'facticity' in news journalistic genres, that may appear to be presented as simply 'neutral', does not mean that such news can be said to be 'gender-neutral' (Hearn 1998a; Hanmer and Hearn 1999).

The kind of 'silence' or 'absence' regarding men through apparent 'gender-neutralisation' helps explain why investigating men can seem 'difficult', and even perhaps why men and boys often find it difficult to change or break with the established, dominant and neutralised pattern. This pattern seems to express or embody a broad resistance. In some situations women are given a similar form of 'main person' neutralisation, as, for example, in the dominant representation of women in nursing journals, even though there have historically been long traditions of male nurses. The same kind of meta message can be found in journals and material from various parts of professional life and work organisation: that there is a 'right' gender and then there are 'exceptions'.

The main categories for both the quantitative and qualitative analyses of the press material - Home and Work, Social Exclusion, Violences, Health - have been taken from the themes of the Network's work. In this sense a somewhat artificial structure has been imposed on the analysis of the newspapers concerned. There are, for example, many overlaps and interrelations between the coverage of the four themes, for example, between the *representation* of social exclusion and violence, or homelessness and home and work. On the other hand, this consistent structure maintains the possibility of comparing the way in which these four broad themes have been treated across the research, statistical, and law and policy, as well as the newspaper media in question here. In this sense, research, statistical, and law and policy can themselves all be understood as *forms of media and representation*, with their own diverse traditions, interests and genres.

The classification of articles in relation to the four themes has often met systematic problems in the sense that the categories tend to overlap in the empirical data. In some cases there have been problems of an article fitting into two or even more categories. For example, 'violences' and 'social exclusion' often go together with each other because perpetrators *and* violence are indicated in one article. Generally, each article has where possible been categorised in one main category, that is, the category which appears to be the most important for why the article is produced. Thus we have solved this methodological problem by classifying articles into thematic categories on the grounds of the thematic frame that the article *primarily* addresses. If an article would fit 'equally' in two categories, it has been counted in both (that is, twice). Sometimes the visual material, headline and text differ in their messages, concerning the given

categories. For example the photo shows ‘violence’, the headline focuses on ‘home and work’, while the text talks about the situation of a socially excluded person. In this case we again decided to chose the most obvious and striking category. A major reason for these difficulties in classification is that the thematic categories are of different characters: social exclusion is a sociological category, while violences, home and work, and health represent categories of the participants themselves, namely the authors of the article.

The period of study of the chosen papers is also important. The period of two or four weeks devoted to the analysis is too short to define the representations of men. For instance, in the Polish case the amendment of the labour code, in which the Parliament granted fathers the right to a part of maternity leave was important from the point of view of ‘men’s’ issues at that time. A very different pattern of reporting would have been apparent, for example, during the weeks following the September 11 attacks. One may wonder whether the data are representative and whether conclusions drawn on the basis on the analysis of so small a sample of press material are indicative of longer-term and more general patterns and trends. The analysis performed does not provide grounds to specify the nature of well-defined thematic trends on men that have been addressed in the project.

For all these reasons, this report has focused more fully on the general issues around comparative study, methodology and the general state of the newspaper representations rather than the more specific issues raised by the four main themes that have been tended to be emphasised in the previous workpackages.

5.2 The General State of Newspaper Representations

The general situation of newspapers is very different in the ten countries, partly because of the huge variation in the size of the populations and the geography of the countries, and partly the sheer range in the size and complexity of their newspaper and other media markets more generally. There are also variations in the extent of research on the gendered representation of men in newspapers in the countries. Generally, however, there has been much less research on newspapers as an aspect of gendered media research than on the ‘more glamorous’ media of film and television. Newspapers are *literally everyday phenomena*; their *very ordinariness* mean that they may not be taken as seriously in studies on men, gender relations and media representations as other and ‘more dramatic’ forms. Yet apart from the question of the representation of ‘men’ and ‘men’s practices’ in printed newspaper articles and visual depictions, principally advertisements and photographs accompanying articles, there are many other aspects of newspapers worthy of much further study in relation to men and men’s practices in the future. These include broad socio-economic questions around men and men’s practices in the ownership, production, circulation and consumption of newspaper, the interconnections of newspapers and other media, and the representation of men in apparently mundane aspects of newspapers, such as announcements of ‘births, deaths and marriages’, obituaries, cultural reviews of film, television and other media, listings of television and other media, and personal small advertisements, for ‘soulmates’, sexual services, buying and selling of goods, ‘exchange and mart’, and so on.

While many countries have a relatively few market leaders in both the more 'quality press' and the more 'tabloid press', there is also a great diversity of newspapers at the national and especially regional levels. The extent of separation of 'quality' from 'tabloid' press (and thus the continuum of market segmentation) is also variable by nation. For example, in Ireland there is a clear preference for the better quality, serious media over the tabloid press (Ferguson 2001c). There are no Irish produced tabloids though there are Irish editions of UK tabloids. In general, the markets are diversified, starting from mainstream newspapers mainly distributed in cities and newspapers of smaller, regional and local scale whose circulation is really low and addresses a very specific target audience. In some cases these newspapers may survive mainly through their donors' financial support; in some cases they may be distributed for free or at reduced price. There is also a recent growth of free newspapers, sometimes distributed in the larger cities with the cooperation of transport authorities, and supported by advertising and sponsored by larger normal priced newspapers. Another key area of growth is internet newspapers and the internet versions and resource archives of paper printed newspapers. These archives are in some cases a huge potential resource for research, basic information and analysis of representations of men and men's practices.

In the cases of the transitional nations there have been considerable changes in the structure and operation of the news media in recent years. During the Soviet period media was very much the tool of state, party and communist ideology, with high levels of printing and circulation of state newspapers. Counter newspapers were also very important in the lead up to the collapse in the communist regimes in some cases (*Russia national report on newspaper representations on Men and Men's Practices. Workpackage 4, 2001*). Following the collapse of the former Communist bloc, there has been further development of the mass media market, including the informational universe of international mass media, cable stations and the Internet. National television and press are still and seriously lagging behind some Western European media, in terms of professionalism, social engagement and consumer/market competence/flexibility.

The coverage of different themes in relation to men in the national newspapers surveyed varies between the countries. As a broad generalisation, printed media do not generally devote much *explicit* attention to men, masculinities and men's practices. The overall space devoted explicitly to men is low – generally a few percent of the total coverage. Contrary to some expectations, the most popular, more comprehensive and the most quality newspapers do not necessarily allocate the greatest surface area to these issues. Interestingly, such 'quality' papers do not necessarily have less advertising, catering as they tend to for more affluent sections of the population.

The extent of coverage on men is greater in the Western European countries than in the Eastern European countries. The greatest number of articles in most countries is on violences, with the second greatest number usually on home and work. However, in both Germany and Ireland the largest category of articles was on social exclusion, followed by violences in both cases. However, the articles on violences are often relatively short, so that Home and Work is often the largest category by space covered. The range of the amount of the number of articles by theme is as follows:

home and work (9%-24%), social exclusion (4%-48%), violences (20%-78%), and health (2%-19%). The greatest coverage is for men's relations to violences and to home and work. Apparently 'gender-neutral' ways are commonly used for reporting on all 4 main themes. The explicitly gendered representation of men in the press is not strongly visible. When gender is explicitly presented, then traditional views are often reproduced. The image of men that emerges from the above analysis, is often necessarily incomplete and partial, even negative.

An important issue is how the content of coverage that addresses men in terms of social problems and problematisation of men relates primarily an individual focus, primarily group/cultural focus; primarily societal focus; other focus; unclear focus. For example, in the 'tabloid' press the articles often had an individual focus. Even when they were implicitly addressing a problem unique, or at least more common, to men this still tended to be done through an individual's story. This was somewhat less so in the 'quality' press, though societally focused articles were still generally unusual.

In some cases there is explicitly anti-feminist journalism. In Ireland, for example, this is having a distinct effect on gender politics in Ireland (Ferguson 2001c). While it may have helped the problematisation of men and masculinities in public discourse, it has appeared to have made it more difficult for stories about violence against women, and women's issues in general to be a legitimate focus of comment in their own right. In some journalistic accounts defeating feminism seems more important than promoting men's welfare.

While broad political positions can be identified in press and other media, the specific interpretation of the possible meanings and structures of articles needs to be related throughout to context and genre. Though for reasons of time and space, questions of context, genres and linguistic metaphor are generally not examined in any detail, it is important to note that the contexts in which the male gender is given importance or meaning are themselves gendered. For example, when male company managers are presented as supposedly non-gendered, this can itself be seen as a means of obscuring gendered practices whereby female managers are seen as 'exceptions'. Thus the question can be asked: to what extent are there established genres regarding the four main themes? As a provisional observation, there appear to be several well-established genres that are relevant to the representation of men. These are most obvious in the field of violence, specifically through crime reporting. There are also clear genres in sport, politics and business. The field of home and work is more diverse, though some articles are research-based or produced in response to governmental or academic research. The fields of social exclusion and health are also less clearly organised in their reporting, though it may be that there are emerging genres in both, in terms of the public debates on men's health problems, and the awareness of the social exclusion of some groups of men.

One interesting strategy or genre is that of scandalisation or the scandal. This is to be seen in some German and British newspapers for example. This aims to make the public stand up stunned or shake their heads about the unbelievable things that may happen in the world – a world 'abroad' which can mean geographically and culturally far away as well as far away from the reader's social status or a world 'nearby' which

alarms about what evil and cruel things may happen to them themselves or in their close neighbourhood. In particular, items around violence are presented in this scandalising way. On the other hand, in some countries, notably Ireland, such aggressive investigative journalism and thus forms of scandalisation are just not possible as elsewhere, because of the strict nature of the libel laws. The political and public service culture in Ireland is such that resignations for being 'outed' (as gay), or as having failed in one's public duty are very rare indeed. Politicians are simply not held to account in the same manner as in the UK, or at least they do not take the ultimate step/sanction of resigning; nor are they sacked.

In some cases a particular news issue concerning men has a special prominence for a considerable period of time, and almost a life of its own in the national press. For example in Ireland, the most prominent reporting in all categories of men's issues during the study period concerned the dismissal of the Managing Director of the national airline, Aer Lingus, for alleged sexual harassment. The rights and wrongs of the action were hotly debated, with some commentators questioning the motives of the women who made the complaint and whether men can ever properly prove themselves innocent of the charges. While some journalists were concerned about natural justice, some of the commentary fitted with a sense that is growing in the Irish media that feminism has gone too far and men are being unfairly discriminated against and always losing out (Ferguson 2001c).

The study of such *contextual* aspects of representation are one major gap in current research on men in the media and thus need to be explored further in future work. Indeed, it could be important to examine in which kinds of journalistic contexts men are given meaning *as a gender* or *as gender* (in a comparable way to how women are sometimes equated with *sex/gender*). It is quite apparent that those kind of contexts where men are given meaning as gender are rather untypical and could perhaps at their part change the typical man-woman segregation prevalent in mainstream journalism.

Interestingly, this foregrounding of men *as a gender* or *as gender* is currently done, or performed, in rather limited and specific ways within mainstream news media. This includes occasional 'men's supplements' or 'fathers' supplements' (as, for example, on Father's Day), which are presented, sometimes in a humorous or ironic way, as parallel or equivalents to 'women's supplements' or 'women's pages'. Not only daily newspapers would be worthy of analysis, but also information magazines – weeklies, biweeklies, or monthly papers. The press of this type sometimes presents problems directly or indirectly bearing upon men or related to 'men's' issues. There are of course other media that directly foreground men, in the texts and as readers/consumers. These include pornography (of many different types), men's health (such as various national language versions of the originally US-published *Men's Health*), style and other 'men's' magazines (largely but not exclusively for younger men and sometimes including what might be described as 'soft porn'), gay media, and a relatively small anti-sexist/pro-feminist media. There is a limited development of literature and other media on other non-pro-feminist 'men's movements', such as Christian, 'fathers' rights', and so on. There are also a huge variety of 'specialist' hobby and special interest magazines and other media, that appear to focus very much indeed on male/men consumers and readers, and are partly

explicitly and partly implicitly on men; these range across sports and games, fishing, personal computers and the Internet, cars, motorbikes, even pipe smoking.

One crucial aspect of historical change in the media is the movement towards the visual in mass media. While there are many forms of visual mass media, advertising is a particularly potent source of cultural imagery. Advertising images can even be seen as an educational instrument of “gender literacy”. The ideology of what it means to be a “real man” is translated into advertisement images of expensive businessmen’s clothing or young stylish men or, some countries at least, working clothes. Such images are inferential of certain recognisable models of masculinity – public/individual/young/acting/building/ achieving/enjoying – and are provided by the advertisement-sponsoring companies, whether or not they have consciously concerned themselves with the textual productions of men and men’s practices and representations of men.

With more transnational contacts, information, exchange, possible models of men’s behaviour in the family, their jobs and sexual identifications are translated into local and national societies from a wider informational world and international perspective, which in practice often means Western, especially US, corporate imaging and representations of men. This applies throughout Europe. For example, in Norway (Holter 2001c) many wonder why the diversity and competition has led to endless series material (mostly US) on most television stations, advertising and apparently superficial commercial or commercial style radio, and so on. The overall media picture has generally become much more commercialised over the last decade, including advertising targeting children, and other specific groups. In some countries there is debate on the attempts to diversify the television and radio channels over the last ten years and is attracting a lot of debate and critique at the moment. The nature of this increasingly transnational debate (around satellite, cable, digital, multi-channel, multi-media developments) need to be placed in the specific national media and newspapers contexts. For example, Norway has a state support system for small newspapers and scores highly on the number of papers per inhabitant; Finland has one of the highest per capita rates of newspaper production and reading in the world.

Younger generations of men appear to often prefer Internet sources and other informational sources that are largely beyond the exploration of the topic here. Many use either Internet, television or radio, rather than be regular readers of national newspapers. It might be that the power of newspapers to influence their target audiences and shape their opinions is undergoing significant long-term change and reduction. In several Estonian Internet portals there have been very lively and active and non-censored discussions on gender equality, and the position of men and women in society. This would suggest that Estonians, especially the younger and active sections of society, are not indifferent to gender equality issues. Unfortunately it is quite common for articles written by feminists to receive very aggressive responses and even personal attacks. However, the Internet can compensate for the relative ‘passivity’ of newspapers and other media (Kolga 2001c).

Other important ICT media developments include email lists, bulletin boards, websites, chatrooms, virtual worlds, and interactive and multimedia, for example, combining mobile phones and Internet. The monitoring and control of media is thus

increasingly becoming a very difficult transnational question, with contradictory implications. These include, on the one hand, the increasing availability of pornography, much produced from Eastern Europe (Hughes 2002), along with the harmful sexually violent effects for those involved, and yet the potentially democratising possibilities of much of the new media (see, for example Loader 1997; Liberty 1999 for relevant debates) .

5.3 General Discussion on the Reports, including the 4 Thematic Areas

5.3.1 Home and Work. This theme covers a very wide range of issues, and as such it is perhaps not very surprising that it is relatively well represented in the newspapers of many countries. In many countries it had the second biggest coverage after the theme of violences. However, in some countries, such as Poland, the representation of this theme remained small. This theme of home and work sometimes includes relatively longer, more detailed articles, especially in the ‘quality’ press. The overall range of the amount of the number of articles for this theme is 9%-24%.

There are a small amount of articles, sometimes based on research, on the changing role of (some) fathers and parenthood models for promoting gender equality more generally. Indeed, with some exceptions, representations of men as fathers, sons, brothers and other male family members appear to be relatively excluded in the press. This is less so and may be becoming even less so, especially in Nordic, UK and other Western European countries. However, overall men or a man in his family context are still relatively rarely represented.

On the other hand, men play the main role primarily in events in the context of politics, economy and sport. These categories were not specifically considered for this report, unless the focus on men was central. In Latvia, for example, it was noted that most articles on professional men politicians are written with a critical or ironic tone on the men’s national political work. There were very few articles in the genres of “outstanding man’s biography”, “model life story”, “achievement story”, and so on on men in business in contrast to a number of articles on men and their “work” as hockey-players. Indeed business as a traditional men’s sphere has acquired ambiguous connotations in the context of economic crimes, government corruption, murders of businessmen and fear of mafia groups (Novikova 2001c). In some countries, there is a widespread tendency to trivialise references to men, as in the titles of such Estonian articles as “Men satisfy love of adventure by travelling and forget about career” or “Top businessmen earned millions in last year”. Gender has been clearly though implicitly presented in these titles. Somewhat parallel themes around hegemonic and business masculinities are found in Western Europe in terms of what has been described in the Norwegian context as “greedy boys are at it again”. This kind of sceptical coverage is quite frequent, especially when male leaders give each other large “golden parachutes”, options, benefits, and so on.

More generally, there is often an explicit absence of representations of many of the range of jobs and professions that men do beyond the traditional set of businessman/doctor/ soldier/actor/singer/sportsman. In some countries, especially the post-communist states but also elsewhere such as the UK, the military can act as an instrument of shaping certain dominant images of masculinity. This has received attention in the light of bullying of soldiers and “initiation rituals”. Politics, economy

and in some cases the military are central interests of the state, the media and their dominant ideologies, and as such this involves imagery of manhood and masculinities based on “traditional”, “progressive” or sometimes “foreign” models.

In several countries – for example, Latvia, Norway and Finland - there was some more specific discussion of men and equality issues. In Latvia, the Gender Equality Draft was during this period drawn up and proposed for discussions in NGOs. In Finland the most discussed gender-explicit topic was the possible introduction of a men’s quota in teacher education. In 1989, when the Equality Act came into effect, the quota which guaranteed that 40% of the students would be men, was eliminated. The majority of teachers are women and this led to worries that lone mothers’ children especially might need a ‘father figure’. There has thus been some pressure to make the quota valid again. Interestingly, the articles were mostly *against* a men’s quota, and instead the personal qualities of the teacher were seen as more important than gender. State feminism and gender equality ideology has been to some extent internalised within Nordic mass media.

5.3.2 Social Exclusion. Social exclusion of men is often a relatively less reported theme than home and work. However, in Germany and Ireland it constituted the largest category, and is also very significant in Estonia. Social exclusion constituted between 4% and 48% of the articles on the four main themes on men. The mainstream press often does not deal with men’s experiences around deprivation, poverty, unemployment and disadvantage. This is despite the fact that in the transitional nations and indeed in other countries, many men have had to face social downward mobility, as reported in previous workpackages. Questions on homeless men are sometimes discussed, and issues of men’s health are rarely discussed, even if they might be mentioned. The relationship of issues of gender, class and ethnicity in terms of men do not figure much in the press as well. There are occasional reports on racism and racist attacks. For example, in Estonia a big story was when a racist announcement was found in a bikers’ bar - “no dirty men in bar” – referring to black men. In some countries, such as Italy and the UK, there is a continuing media interest in scandals around sexuality and violence, such as political homophobic scandals, rent boys, paedophilia (Ventimiglia 2001c).

Interestingly, in Finland the theme of Social Exclusion received the least coverage in every newspaper in the time period (Hearn et al. 2001c). Two of the newspapers did not report on men and social exclusion in any way. This is despite the fact that during this time period, there were discussions going on nationally on the so-called poverty package of the government and measures to be taken to combat unemployment. It also contrast with the earlier academic and media debates on ‘men’s misery’ (Hearn and Lattu 2000), that have been noted in previous workpackages, and that may now be less in fashion.

In many countries the press is relatively fixed within representational cliches that do not embrace a wide variety of positions of identification that are accessible to men, including those of social exclusion. This could be for a variety of reasons, including sometimes low social engagement and low professionalism of journalists; editorial censorship; in some cases weakness of the press in opinion-formation; and the more general preference for mainstream events and personalities. On the other hand, there

are also continuing debates amongst some journalists on to foster social engagement, including on gender issues.

5.3.3 Violences. Violences is overall the most well represented theme, constituting 20%-78% of articles on men. Relatively less reporting appears to be found in the German and Latvian presses. In the latter general, discussions on forms of violences and men's involvement in them are marginal in the press. However, some of this variation may be accounted for by methodological differences in interpretation. Indeed some articles do not even state directly that it is men who are concerned as perpetrators of violence; common knowledge, however, suggests that men are concerned in many of these instances, as, for example, in the articles that deal with socially marginalised groups. This makes for great difficulties in quantitative analysis.

Frequently in the newspapers surveyed, men as perpetrators of crimes, as a threat to society and, often, to safety and life of innocent people, are reported as relatively dominating social life. Men are described as perpetrators of crimes in the majority of articles, and yet that does not necessarily mean that crimes committed by men are seen as an important social issue. On the other hand most articles on violences are small in size. Short reports on violent acts or crimes comprised the majority of the articles related to violence. The cases described include violence perpetrated by men upon men, women or children, and even a dog (battery, harassment, rape and murder), and other breaches of the law by fraud, theft, robbery and organised crime. There were certain particular topical violent cases, such as rape cases, which received a relatively large amount column space.

Men's violence against women and children is often presented as a frightening, yet expected event; in case some things happen, that in fact happen every day. For example, if a woman wants to separate from the male partner she is living with, this may 'cause' danger, even death, to herself or her children, or to the new partner she starts to live with instead, or even to people who just happen to be around. Thus, many newspapers reproduce the view that makes violence against intimate partners and children a non-surprising element of masculinity, given a situation of private break-down.

The analysis of the articles recorded shows that descriptions of *individual* cases constitute the main way of reporting on violences. However, there are several kinds of exceptions to this pattern. For example, an interesting alternative is the reporting in both the Polish and the Finnish press on honour killings in Muslim countries which addresses social phenomena from the point of view of *culture*. A UK article addressed the alleged fact that a boy with a mobile phone is said to be more at risk of violence than a pensioner outside. This article took a *more societal* focus as well as explicitly recognising a problem for boys. Other articles have focused on the *group and cultural* aspects of gangs formation on the streets. Another UK article discussed how some boys carried out muggings because they needed the money whilst others did so either to enhance their reputation or because it was easy since there was no one and nothing to deter them, thereby bringing a societal focus to bear on the issue. A *social* focus was also used to look at the circumstances surrounding five men being held in custody as a result of the pregnancy of a 12 year old girl. The article discussed the social problems of the area in the context of regional steel and coal closures. More globally,

some articles published dealt with *historical* or *international* crimes perpetrated by men (Pringle et al. 2001c). In Poland, the case of crimes against Jews perpetrated by Poles in 1941, as well as compensation for compulsory work in the Third Reich, repeatedly featured in the papers examined (Oleksy 2001c).

Although it is seldom stated explicitly, there is often an appeal to the view that “men are bad”. More precisely, men are seen as bad in domestic and children matters. The appeal to men’s bad nature may be used as a political angle to avoid debate on wider gender political changes. Such a tone is not so different from the Victorian message that men are barbarous, and need to be kept in the marketplace or away from home, while women are the moral elevators. More generally, this fits with the “men are selfish” angle that is often used provocatively in the Norwegian press. For example, a recent Norwegian headline read “Pay Up or Become Pedestrians” reporting a new proposal that drivers licenses should be taken from fathers who do not pay child support (Holter 2001c).

What we have here is a complex intertwining of gendered and non-gendered aspects and reporting. For example, in the Finnish case most reporting about violence is in a gender-neutral way. The fact that men are far more often the perpetrators (and in some respects the victims) of violence was usually not specifically discussed. Furthermore, an important intersection of gender, nationality and ethnicity is that newspapers in Finland also mentioned the nationality of the perpetrator, if it was known not to be a national.

5.3.4 Health. Men’s health is the least reported theme in most countries, ranging from 2%-19% of the articles in the newspapers surveyed. The definition of health can be relatively complicated, for example, whether to include reports on traffic accidents, which are often reported in a gender-neutral way just mentioning the gender of the victim. Sport could also be seen as part of health. In most newspapers the very large majority of the sport news was on men and men’s sport events. However, these were not included as they were generally reported in a supposedly ‘gender-neutral’ way. There also appears to be much less reporting on men’s health in the transitional nations. No articles or information dealing explicitly and only with men’s health as a social, economic and medical issue were found in Latvia in the time period. And only one article, dealing with free prostate examination arranged for men, addressed the problem of men’s health in Poland. In Estonia there was slightly greater attention to men’s health. In Ireland the theme constituted 0.02% of the entire newspaper coverage.

The greatest number of reports were found in the UK press. These were sometimes individually focused. For example, an article on autism, though giving background information, focused on an individual boy and his circumstances, did not mention the high prevalence rates among boys. Explicit men’s issues did sometimes bring in a group focus but these were few. One article briefly addressed men’s need to talk more about illness, go to the Doctor more and not to ignore symptoms in a sub-article within one man’s cancer diary. Another brief article reported research suggesting that men feared impotence more than cancer, AIDS or even death; only heart disease worried them more. Only prostate cancer was addressed as an explicit issue for men. This was given a group focus but even in the ‘quality’ press the majority of the stories

were about individuals or used individual accounts to illustrate a problem. Another example of a more group focus reporting was that on military conscripts' meningitis in Finland.

At the detailed level, there are some newspapers that appear to place relatively greater emphasis on health and men's health. This applies, for example, to the German newspaper, *BILD*, which includes numerous articles talking about dramatic cases of death. In *BILD*, the health of men seems to be always in danger. 'Men's health' is thematised mostly in terms of risks, in traffic and in work, as a result of irresponsible behaviour, and as a result of violence (Müller and Jacobsen 2001).

5.4 Conclusions

5.4.1 Research: While in recent years there has been an increasing amount of research on representations of men in the media, there has been relatively little concern with the mundane, everyday media representations of men in newspapers. This workpackage is thus founded on a less firm research base than the previous three workpackages. This opens up many questions for future research on men in newspapers, and men's relations to newspapers.

5.4.2 Methodology: This workpackage has involved new qualitative and quantitative research that has raised very complex issues of measurement and analysis. In particular, there are major methodological and even epistemological issues in assessing forms of representation to 'men', 'men's practices' and 'masculinities'. This is especially so when a large amount of newspaper reporting is presented in supposedly or apparently 'gender-neutral' terms. Men are routinely taken-for-granted and not problematised in the press. Additionally, there are significant sections and genres of reporting, especially around politics, business and sport, that are often 'all about men', but without explicitly addressing men in a gendered way. Furthermore, the framework of the four main themes has been to a large extent imposed on the newspaper material surveyed.

5.4.3 Extent of Newspaper Coverage: While the overall extent of coverage of men, particularly explicit coverage, is relatively small, there is noticeably more coverage in the attention to men in families and, to an extent, gender equality debates in the Western European countries, especially Norway and Finland, than in the transitional nations.

5.4.4 Distribution: The most reported themes were generally Violences, usually followed by Home and Work. Social Exclusion was reported to a variable extent, and it was the most reported theme in Germany and Ireland. Health was generally the least reported theme; this was especially so in the transitional nations, with, for example, no articles in Latvia and only one in Poland. This contrasts with the higher number of articles in, for example, Finland and the UK.

5.4.5 Violences: This theme needs special mention as it figured so strongly in some countries. There is often a relatively large amount of reporting of short articles on men's violence, much of it reported on an individual basis. There are, however, some exceptions to this pattern with limited attention to group, cultural, social, societal, historical and international perspectives.

5.4.6 The Cultural Dimension: More generally, this Workpackage points to the possibilities for greater attention to the cultural dimension in comparative studies of men and gender relations. Literatures, where attention is given to, for example, cultural repertoires and national discourses could be useful here. The primary research

completed by the Network on newspaper articles provides an initial analysis of what could be a much larger project.

6. Interrelations between the Themes

It is clear from the previous chapters that there are many important interrelations between the various aspects of men's positions and experiences, as there are between their impacts on women, children and other men. There are strong interconnections between the four main themes. This applies to both men's power and domination in each theme area, and between some men's unemployment, social exclusion and ill health. Men dominate key institutions, such as government, politics, management, trade unions, churches, sport; yet some men suffer considerable marginalisation as evidenced in higher rates of suicide, psychiatric illness and alcoholism than women.

The mapping of interrelations is one of the most difficult areas of research. It deserves much fuller attention in future research and policy development. This applies especially as one moves beyond dyadic connections to triadic and more complex connections.

The possible interrelations and connections noted below should not be interpreted in any absolute way. It is important to both emphasise local specificities, and to be alert to the contradictions that persist within these interrelations.

The main forms of interrelations include:

- (i) the interrelations within the main themes.
- (ii) the interrelations between each of the four main themes.
- (iii) the interrelations with other social structures and processes.
- (iv) the interrelations between social problems of men and the various constructions of societal problematisations of men and masculinities.
- (v) the interrelations between the different kinds of data.
- (vi) the interrelations induced through societal change.

We now briefly discuss each of these kinds of connections.

(i) the interrelations within the main themes

The pervasive importance of interrelations between social arenas and experiences is clearest in two of the main themes: men's relations to home and work, and the social exclusion of (certain) men. In the first case, there are many ways in which men's position and experiences in home affects work, and vice versa. These interconnections also clearly affect women at both home and work.

The basic relation of home and work lies at the heart of many understandings of society and especially the different traditions of analysis of welfare capitalism or welfare patriarchies. These latter approaches are themselves in effect often commentaries on men's relations to home and work (even if implicitly so), as, for

example, in the ideas of Strong, Modified, Weak Breadwinner States; and Private Patriarchy and Public Patriarchy, with High or Low Subordination of Women.

The very construction of masculinity which is often the dominant form in popular governmental and welfare policy today is that of the apparently ‘neutral’ notion of the ‘hardworking good family man’. This way of governing men, and women and children too, can also mean a failure to address masculinity in terms of the social problems, violence and trauma it causes for women and children, and for men too.

There are also important connections around gendered time-use. The number of hours worked outside the home is a crucial determinant of the level of contact between parents and children. In many countries there are twin problems of the unemployment of some or many men in certain social categories, and yet work overload and long working hours for other men. These can especially be a problem for young men and young fathers; they can affect both working class and middle class men as, for example, during economic recession.

Research on men at home generally focuses on white heterosexual partnerships and families. There is a need for research on the intersections of men, the “home” and the “labour market” in its diverse configurations, including minority ethnic families and gay partnerships. The connections between home/work and both sexuality and ethnicity are rarely well analysed.

The interrelations between social arenas and experience is also clear within the theme of social exclusion. First, as repeatedly noted, social exclusion is defined in very different ways in different social and societal contexts. But it is always social exclusion from something. Most, arguably all, forms of social exclusion relate to other social positions and experiences – work, home, health, citizenship, education, and so on. There is also a lack of attention to men engaged in creating and reproducing social exclusion, such as through racism.

(ii) the interrelations between each of the four main themes

Home and work, violences and health can all be thought of as arenas of men’s and women’s and children’s experiences. Social exclusion is a more analytical category that may not be understood or experienced in that way by those so affected – in either being socially excluded or creating or reproducing that social exclusion. The main forms of interrelations are now noted between these themes.

a. Home and work – social exclusion. Men’s social exclusion from home or work is likely to create problems in the respective other arena. This is likely to have even more impact on the women in that arena, as partners, work colleagues, and so on. Men are also active in assisting and reproducing the social exclusion of both women and men, at both work and home.

b. Home and work – violences. Much violence occurs in the home, in the form of men’s violence to known women and men’s child abuse, including child sexual abuse. The home is a major site of men’s violence. There is increasing recognition of the scale of violence, including bullying and harassment, at work. Violence at home is clearly antagonistic to equality and care at home, and is detrimental to performance at

work. Home and work both provide potential social support and networks, to both reproduce and counter men's violence.

c. Home and work – health. Home and work are sites for increasing or decreasing men's health. Men, especially men in positions of power or with access to power, are able to affect the health of women, children and other men in their realm of power. This can apply to men as managers in, say, restructuring of workplaces, and to men as powerful actors in families and communities. Men's health and indeed life expectancy is also often affected by relative material wellbeing arising from work, and by dangers and risks in specific occupations.

d. Social exclusion – violences. The social exclusion of certain men may often be associated with violence. This may be especially popular in media reporting of men's violence. In some situations social exclusion may indeed follow from violence, as in imprisonment. On the other hand, social exclusion may even be inhibited by some forms of violence, as when men show they are worthy of other men's support by the use or threat of violence. Social exclusion may also be seen as one of the causes or correlates of violence, but this explanation may only apply to certain kinds of violences, such as certain kinds of riots. The connections of social exclusion with interpersonal violence to known others are complicated. Deprivation may be associated to some extent and in some localities with some forms of men's violence, such as certain forms of property crime, violence between men, and the use of physical violence to women in marriage and similar partnerships. Such forms of violence are also typically strongly age-related, with their greater performance by younger men. On the other hand, men's violence and abuse to women and children in families crosses class boundaries. Generalisations on these connections thus need to be evaluated in the local situation. There is growing recognition of men and boys as victims of violence, albeit usually from other men.

e. Social exclusion – health. Social exclusion is generally bad for one's health. Socially excluded men are likely to be adversely affected in terms of their health. Physical and mental health and wellbeing may in some cases be resources for fighting against social exclusion.

f. Violences – health. Men's violences and health may connect in many ways. Violence is a graphic form of non-caring for others. Some, but only some, forms of ill health, such as those induced by risk-taking, may also involve non-caring for the self. Risk-taking is especially significant for younger men, in, for example, smoking, alcohol and drug taking, unsafe sexual practices and road accidents. In this context it is interesting that some research finds that men are over-optimistic regarding their own health. Recent studies on men have often been concerned to show how men too are affected by health risks, violence and so on, without connecting the theses more systematically to societal context.

(iii) the interrelations with other social structures and processes

The main themes are in turn interconnected with other social structures and processes that have not been the main focus of the Network's activity. Several crucial areas of connection that can be identified here, including age and ageing; education; 'race' and ethnicity; and sexuality. Whilst all of these areas have been noted to some extent within

the analysis of the main themes, they are worthy of much greater attention in the future. They are both important in their own right and they may act as connecting variables that influence the main themes. For example, education is a key determinant of both men's relations to home and work, and to social exclusion. Furthermore, age and ageing, education, 'race' and ethnicity, and sexuality, all in different ways act across both the main themes studied and men's individual and collective lifecourses.

(iv) the interrelations between social problems of men and the various constructions of societal problematisations of men and masculinities

Connections apply in both the sense of social problems produced by and the sense of social problems experienced by men. Men's creation of social problems and men's experience of social problems are both powerful ways in which men and masculinities can be problematised more generally in politics, media, policy debate and elsewhere. More generally, the conceptual separation of "the social problems which some men create" from "the social problems which some men experience" is often simplistic, so that there is a need to study these intersections with great care.

There is a need for focused research on men's practices, power and privilege, in relation to both those men with particular power resources, and hegemonic ways of being men. The connections between some men's misfortunes and men's powers and privileges is a crucial area for future research.

The ways in which societal problematisations develop appears to be strongly related to the more general processes of societal change, as noted in section (v) below.

(v) the interrelations between the different kinds of data

Different forms of data and different workpackages have provided different information and emphases. A valuable task is to compare these differences within individual countries. An example (the UK) is provided in Appendix 8. Statistics and other research data have revealed the frequently contradictory nature of men's positions and experiences.

(vi) the interrelations induced through societal change

Connections and interrelations between gendered positions, impacts and experiences are perhaps most clearly seen at times of rapid social, political and economic change. Many of the countries have been going through major socio-economic changes. These include Ireland with rapid social changes from a predominantly rural society through a booming economy, and Finland which has gone through a major shift when people moved from the countryside to the suburbs in search of work since the 1950s. These have both brought problematisations of men and problems of change for women and men, especially in terms of the relatively rapid shift from rural to urban life.

In some cases social changes have been and continue to be profound, for example, the German unification process, post-socialist transition in Estonia, Latvia, Poland and the Russian Federation. With the restoration of national statehood, many welfare and social protection measures shifted from the state to local levels, and this meant new forms of dependency for people. The new conditions for property acquisition and upward social mobility have, however, benefited selected men-dominated echelons of power that were already structured by the vertical gender segregation of the Soviet

political, ideological hierarchies and labour market and through access to economic, material resources that were soon to be re-distributed. The toll on certain groups of men, including ex-military men, marginalised and poor men, ethnic minority men has been immense, as shown in worsening health statistics.

In many countries the increasing neo-liberal and market-oriented climate has brought a more individualist approach to gender. Various trends in the 1990s, such as ‘turbo capitalism’, globalisation, restructuring, more intense jobs, have ensured that absent fathers and the lack of men in caregiving roles remain as key issues. In such different ways and contexts all aspects of the gendered social formation are subject to change, so altering the connections between the main themes, gendered positions and experiences.

7. Policy Recommendations

These can be considered under four headings which correspond precisely to the key dimensions of men’s practices which the Network focused upon in its analysis.

7.1 Home and Work.

One central recommendation is *to encourage men to devote more time and priority to caring, housework, childcare, and the reconciliation of home and paid work.* This is clearly an important and difficult goal for all countries including the Nordic countries which are often applauded for their progress in this field. For instance, in Sweden it is true that more men there take parental leave than in most other European countries. However, women still take the overwhelming majority of such leave. Indeed one reason why a “daddy’s month” of parental leave was introduced was because men’s take-up was so slow (Bergman and Hobson, 2001). In 2002, a second “daddy’s month” was introduced because, although take-up had improved a little, it was still a relatively small increase. The experience in some other Nordic countries (eg Norway) has been somewhat better. Nevertheless, if massive social policy inputs, such as the Swedish government has committed to increasing men’s actual parental leave, can result in such modest results, then that suggests we need to re-consider very carefully whether top-down social policy initiatives are of themselves often sufficient for the changing of men’s behaviours. Added salience is given to this observation from the recent research by Lisbeth Bekkengen (2002) which suggests that the standard explanation for this low take-up (i.e. that the rigidities of the labour market allegedly prevent many men from taking as much parental leave as they want to take) have to be seriously questioned as sufficient in themselves. Her important qualitative study brings to light additional and crucial factors which quantitative studies have not been able to locate. In particular, Bekkengen’s study indicates that the most crucial factor is often the power relationship between men and women in relationships: specifically, the fact that the men in her study generally possessed much greater power to choose the extent of their involvement than did their female partners.

Other recommendations included: *to remove men’s advantages in paid work and work organisations, as with the persistence of the gender wage, non-equal opportunities practices in appointment and promotion, and domination of top level jobs; policies on men in transnational organisations and their development of equality policies; to encourage men’s positive contribution to gender equality; to remove discriminations against men, such as compulsory conscription of men into the armed forces, and discriminations against gay men.*

7.2 Social Exclusion.

Our recommendations included *reducing the social exclusion of men, especially young marginalised men, men suffering racism, and men suffering multiple social exclusions; reducing the effects of the social exclusion of men upon women and children; ameliorating the effects of rapid socio-economic change that increase the social exclusion of men; specifically addressing the transnational aspects of social exclusion of men, in, for example, transnational migration, and homosexual sexual relations; to change men's actions in creating and reproducing social exclusions.* With regard to the latter point, two issues should be emphasised. First, it is clear that the relationship between the dynamics of racism and some dominant forms of masculinity has been both massively under-researched and largely ignored in terms of social policy initiatives. One early model for the kind of analysis which is required can be found in Michael Kimmel's recent work on right-wing militias in the United States (Kimmel, 2001). Secondly, we need to recognise that gendered violence to women and sexual violence to children are massive global (including European) problems which should be regarded as profound forms of social exclusion – and treated as such in terms of social policy initiatives.

7.3 Violences.

Our recommendations include: stopping men's violence to women, children and other men, assisting victims and survivors; enforcing the criminal law on clear physical violence, that has historically often not been enforced in relation to men's violence to known women and children; making non-violence and anti-violence central public policy of all relevant institutions – including a focus on schools within extensive public education campaigns; assisting men who have been violent to stop their violence, such as men's programmes, should be subject to accountability, high professional standards, close evaluation, and not be funded from women's services; and recognising the part played by men in forms of other violence, including racist violence.

We also want to make a more general point about social policy and men's violences to women and/or children. If we look at various welfare systems in Western Europe in terms of the extent to which they demonstrate an awareness of the problem and a willingness to respond to it, then the transnational patterns that emerge in Europe are almost a reversal of the standard Esping-Andersen-type classifications. The criteria which can be used to look at each country would include: the levels of research carried out on the topic in different countries; the extent to which the prevalence of men's violences has been researched and/or acknowledged publically; the extent to which legal frameworks are focused on men's violences; the extent to which there are welfare initiatives aimed at dealing with the outcomes of men's violences; the extent to which welfare professionals are trained to address men's violences.

If such criteria are used, then arguably United Kingdom emerges as perhaps the most advanced welfare system in this case whilst some of the Nordic countries do rather badly or would only rate in the middle rank. In other words, on this important dimension of men's violences to women and to children, one of the relatively “Neo-

liberal” welfare systems in Europe performs much better than many of the “Scandinavian” welfare systems. This is significant for a number of reasons at various levels of policy: first, it suggests that much needs to be done in the Nordic states to make their welfare responses in this field as relatively comprehensive as they certainly are in other fields of welfare; second it suggests that using Nordic welfare systems as models in social policy (which often occurs in a number of welfare areas) may be more hazardous than has generally been assumed: one has to choose which aspects of welfare to use as models; finally, it suggests that social policy-makers should be wary of relatively global “welfare typologies”.

7.4 Health.

Our recommendations include: to improve men’s health; to facilitate men’s improved health practices, including use of health services; and to connect men’s health to forms of masculinity, such as risk-taking behaviour. As regards the latter point, the outcomes from the Network suggest that to fully understand, and deal with, the dynamics around the health problems of at least some men we may need to connect those problems to dominant, or even in some cases oppressive, ways of “being a man”: for instance, risk-taking behaviour relevant to some injuries and addictions; or an almost “macho” unwillingness to take one’s health problems seriously and seek medical help; or the marked violence which enters into the methods which a number of men seem to use to commit suicide. This point is also a good example of a more general conclusion arising from the Network outcomes which is highly relevant for policy-makers: in designing policy interventions one must seek to bridge the central divide which has previously existed in much research on men i.e the splitting of studies which focus on “problems which some experience” from those which explore “the problems which some create”.

Our other recommendations in the field of men’s health were: to focus on the negative effects of men’s health problems upon women and children; to ensure that focusing on men’s health does not reduce resources for women’s and children’s health. Once again, this final point is one which we would wish to emphasise and to apply broadly across all the policy areas above: the creation of effective policy interventions in the field of men’s practices are vital. However, they must never be made at the expense of funding for services to women and/or children.

7.5 Inter-relations Between Themes

As will already be clear from the above, there were many inter-relations, inter-connections and overlaps between the four themes. Let us give two further examples.

First, let us consider the inter-relations between the topic of fatherhood and men’s violences. In most parts of Western Europe, it seems there is a striking tendency to treat these two topics as separate policy issues. Indeed, one can find countries which both enthusiastically promote fatherhood and, quite separately, address men’s violences: but they do not join up the two. The outcomes from the Network suggest that they should in fact be joined up. In other words, there is no contradiction between positively promoting the role of men as carers and to emphasise at the same time the prime requirement of protecting children from men’s violences. One is striking, in terms of European research and in terms of policy-making across

Europe, is how rarely such an integrated dual approach is adopted: the question as to why it seems to be so hard to do it is one which researchers and policy-makers should ponder deeply.

Our second example of inter-connections between the policy themes is between social exclusion and men's health. There is considerable research across many countries illustrating a correlation between poor health, including the poor health of men, and various forms of social disadvantage associated with factors such as class or ethnicity. In fact, more generally the theme of social exclusion/social inclusion can be seen as an important element entering in to the dynamics of all the other three themes regarding men's practices – which again emphasises the requirement for particular policy attention to be given to social inclusion and the need for far more research on men's practices and social exclusion/inclusion to be carried out.

8. Dissemination

Dissemination is a major part of the Network's activity, and this is now discussed.

8.1 The European Data Base and Documentation Centre on Men's Practices

The Network has also established the European Database and European Documentation Centre on Men's Practices which can now be found at CROMENet (<http://www.cromenet.org>). The documents are created using a word processing application or some other third party software and are stored as attachments in the data base. These documents are made available to users through the medium of hyperlinks through which the documents can be retrieved. Additional information about the stored documents can be included, such as the author, and date of publication.

The site also provides information about CROME (Critical Research on Men in Europe) itself through pages that can equally be updated. The CROME-website consists of two main parts, one is open to public and the Intranet is reserved for CROME members and is accessible with passwords.

The main function of the Documentation Centre is to hold documents and make them easily accessible to users by a search function. In the main page "Resources" includes all relevant references on studies on men and electronic versions if available. It also includes CROME-related material. "Publications" includes only CROME-related material. This heading has been created in order to facilitate finding the project's material. A matrix of types of document and categories of searching has been constructed: by author; by title; by country; by main topic; by keywords; by document type; by workpackage; by year/date. There are at present about 350 such document items in the Data Base and Documentation Centre, and this number is gradually being added to. There are also links with other relevant useful websites, both international links and national links from project countries. Links consist mostly of sites on studies on men, gender equality politics and gender issues, other relevant EU projects and relevant organisations.

Some of the types of data, held as paper and/or virtually, are as follows:

1. **'Primary' and 'Secondary' data – for example, summary tables, but not necessarily published works.**
2. Published works – articles, reports etc.
3. Required Formal Outputs from the Network – workpackages, reports etc.
4. Other Non-required Outputs from the Network - publications, articles etc.
5. User-friendly summaries of research.
6. Bibliographies and bibliographic resources – so that someone wanting to know about x or y can find out where to find out! Annotated bibliographic resources are particularly useful.
7. Active projects (practical, policy, research) on men in each country.
8. Links to other sites and data sources.

8.2 Publications

In addition, dissemination activity has been carried in terms of publications and conference papers:

- 40 national reports;

- 4 ten-nation summary reports;
- 8 academic articles jointly-authored by all Network partners, including in *Journal of European Social Policy*, *European Information*, and a 4-part series of articles in *Men and Masculinities* (Hearn et al. 2002a, 2002b, 2002c, 2002d);
- at least 6 jointly-authored conference/seminar papers;
- advanced planning of two books, one a country-by-country collection, the other a synthetic and thematic collection;
- numerous other individual and co-authored publications by Network partners at the national, regional and international levels, for example, co-editing of a special issue of *NORA: the Nordic Journal of Women's Studies*, *Handbook of Studies on Men and Masculinities*, and a forthcoming first collection on men and masculinities in eight post-communist countries (see Appendix 11).

8.3 Links with Other Research Networks

8.3.1 Clustering with EU-funded Research Projects

Contact and cooperation has been initiated with three Framework 5 RTD projects:

HPSE-CT-1999-00031

Improving Policy Responses and Outcomes to Socio-Economic Challenges: changing family structures, policy and practice
Linda Hantrais (UK)

HPSE-CT-1999-00010

New Kinds of Families, New Kinds of Social Care: Shaping Multi-dimensional European Policies for Informal and Formal Care
Jorma Sipilä (Finland)

HPSE-CT-1999-000030

Households, Work and Flexibility
Claire Wallace (Austria)

The Network Co-ordinator has met, and been in discussion with, the Co-ordinator of RTD Project HPSE-CT-1999-00031. The outcome was a set of initiatives so that the two projects (a) will maintain ongoing and general links (b) will, as appropriate, develop specific synergies regarding one another's inputs and outputs. For instance, this Network submitted questions for inclusion in the RTD project's qualitative schedules for their "Step 2" research program (which occurred in 2001). That Step 2 program identifies, in each country, national similarities and differences in the relationship between family policies and family behaviour. The outputs from the research will then be available for our Network to use and disseminate in relation to our Documentation Centre. More broadly, discussions are also in progress about how to link the Network's web-based Documentation Centre with the RTD Project's own web-based site.

As regards HPSE-CT-1999-00010, the Network Co-ordinator and the Network Principal Contractor responsible for the Data collection/analysis held a meeting with the RTD's Co-ordinator. This was followed up by attendance of the Network Co-

ordinator (with the Co-ordinator of HPSE-CT-1999-00031) at a Project Meeting of HPSE-CT-1999-00010 in Canterbury, UK in December 2001. The work of the Project was reviewed by the Network Co-ordinator at that meeting and possibilities for future synergies were broached.

In relation to HPSE-CT-1999-000030, the Network Co-ordinator has agreed with the RTD Co-ordinator that the latter will explore the possibility of the RTD releasing some its outputs to this Network in due course. The Network Co-ordinator is discussing with Network partners the possibility of the network incorporating portions of this data and its analysis in the Documentation Centre at some point.

Furthermore, the Network co-ordinator attended the Dialogue Workshop organised by the EC Research Directorate in Brussels, 14-15 June 2001 and gave a paper there concerning the Network. One of the many valuable outcomes from that Workshop has been a recognition of the increased possibilities for clustering with other projects. Such clustering of course offers opportunities in terms of both gathering data and of dissemination.

Finally, Øystein Gullvåg Holter is a member of the EU Framework 5 Network 'Work Changes Gender'.

8.3.2 Links with Other International Networks outside Framework 5

These are many and various, but include the following:

- (i) European Network on Conflict, Gender and Violence (Jeff Hearn, Øystein Gullvåg Holter, Ursula Müller and Keith Pringle)
- (ii) The Nordic-UK Network on Violences, Agency Practices and Social Change funded by the Nordic Council of Ministers (Jeff Hearn and Keith Pringle).
- (iii) Jeff Hearn is currently co-editing the international volume *Handbook of Studies on Men and Masculinities*, Sage, Thousand Oaks, Ca.
- (iv) Irina Novikova is co-editing a volume on *Men and Masculinities in the Former Soviet Countries*.
- (v) Keith Pringle has co-edited the international volume *A Man's World: Changing Masculinities in a Globalized World*, Zed Books, London.
- (vi) UNICEF/SIDA (Swedish Development Agency)/Swedish Government 'Making a New World' Project against Men's Violence to Women (Harry Ferguson, Jeff Hearn and Øystein Gullvåg Holter).
- (vii) Jeff Hearn and Emmi Lattu have edited a special issue of *NORA: Nordic Journal of Women's Studies* on 'Men, Masculinities and Gender Relations' Volume 10(1), 2002.
- (viii) Extensive Nordic links through Nordic Institute for Women's Studies and Gender Research (NIKK), Oslo. It has been agreed that NIKK's website, our e-mail lists and other contacts can be used to spread information about the EU project to those in the

Nordic countries (researchers, co-ordinating bodies for women's studies and gender research, journalists, relevant departments, NGOs etc.).

8.4 Book Projects

- (i) *A Man's World: Changing Masculinities in a Globalized World*, edited by Keith Pringle with Bob Pease, Zed Books, London, 2001.
- (ii) *Men, Masculinities and Gender Relations*, special issue of *NORA: Nordic Journal of Women's Studies* Volume 10(1), 2002, edited by Jeff Hearn and Emmi Lattu.
- (iii) *Men and Masculinities in the Former Soviet Countries*, edited by Irina Novikova and Dimitar Kambourov. Kikimora Publishers, The Aleksanteri Institute, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, 2003.
- (iv) *Handbook of Studies on Men and Masculinities*, Sage, Thousand Oaks, Ca., 2004, co-edited by Jeff Hearn with Michael Kimmel and Bob Connell.
- (v) *Encyclopaedia of Men and Masculinities*, Routledge, London, 2004/5, co-edited by Keith Pringle with Bob Pease and Michael Flood.
- (vi) *Men and Masculinities in Europe*, Palgrave, London, authored by the Network (Critical Research on Men in Europe), 2003/4.
- (vii) *The Social Problem of Men: European Perspectives*, in negotiation with Whiting & Birch, London, authored by the Network (Critical Research on Men in Europe).

8.5 Interface Workshops

As scheduled in the original plan for the Network, two Interface Workshops (IW) have been held: one in Cologne on 5th – 7th October 2001; the second at Lodz on 26th – 28th April 2002.

The Cologne IW was attended by 20 persons including a range of guests, primarily key research and policy personnel drawn from various national contexts. Guests and Network partners engaged in a creative and productive dialogue with valuable feedback in the specified areas of (a) the potential content of the Draft Interim Final Report (b) potential dissemination strategies.

The Lodz IW was attended by 21 persons, again including guests who are prominent figures within the European research and policy communities. Using the Draft Interim Final Report as a basis for discussion, this Workshop focused more closely on helping the Network develop a Dissemination Strategy and some its key components including: the Draft Final Report; the Policy Option Papers which will be drawn up for both national and supra-national governments; the already-existing web-based Network Documentation Centre/Database (see <http://www.cromenet.org>); and the final Network Conference. Perhaps partly because the personnel at the second IW overlapped considerably with the membership at the first IW, the dialogue in Lodz was generally felt to be especially fruitful and positive.

We gratefully acknowledge all the very constructive comments and suggestions on the work and interim reporting documents of the Network made by all the participants at the Interface Workshops.

8.6 Conference

A final conference on the activities of the Network was held at the Swedish School of Economics, Helsinki, on 31st January – 2nd February 2003. Funding support for the conference was also received from the Academy of Finland. It was attended by 55 persons from 16 countries (Appendix 12), with extensive dissemination and intensive discussion on the Network's activities. Nine presentations were given and there were three sets of working groups by random allocation, theme, and by region (see **Appendix X**).

The conference was kept quite small in terms of numbers of participants and this assured a very intimate and fruitful working environment in the work groups and in general. Even the events where all the participants were present such as the presentations, were thus small enough to assure that there was a good opportunity for conversation and questions. All the workgroups also presented their thoughts on the topic discussed in the last gathering of the workgroups (see the appendix) for the whole group and this was followed with some general discussions. The general atmosphere was very good and this created a good working environment but the conference also had a very warm feel to it socially.

9. Conclusion

These concluding remarks in no way seek to summarise the outcomes of this Network which, spread over three full years, have been immense. Summaries can be found in the two executive documents which accompany this Final Report. Instead, here we confine ourselves to making only a few brief but particularly important points:

- (a) As we envisaged at the outset of this Network, the many “gaps” we have discovered in all the materials available concerning men’s practices in Europe are just as crucial as those materials which are available. These absences or silences are especially important in terms of: (i) what is researched and what is not researched, and where; (ii) what issues are addressed by policy and which are not, and where. We believe particular attention must be paid to addressing these absences if effective policy-making about a range of critical social and political issues is to be developed. The alternative may be policy which is not only inappropriate but also dangerous to various categories of citizens within Europe.
- (b) Both between countries and within individual countries there are clearly major mismatches between, on the one hand, those issues which are identified as crucial by research studies and, on the other hand, those issues which do (or do not) attain importance at the policy level. This finding clearly calls into question the policy-making processes which differentially operate across the nations of Europe. Whilst it is clear that relationship between the research and policy-making communities varies considerably from one country to another, there seems to be a more general problem about this mismatch between priorities identified in research and priorities addressed by policy-makers. Whilst it is inevitable that political considerations will enter into decisions about policy-making, if the imbalance between research findings and policy development becomes too wide, then the effectiveness of the latter must be called into question. Using the material from this Report, we can say that the imbalance seems sometimes to be very wide.
- (c) The conceptualisation of the Network’s activity around the notion of ‘men in Europe’, rather than, say, the ‘European man’ or ‘men’, highlights the social construction, and historical mutability, of men, within the contexts of both individual European nations and the EU. As such, this does not mean that we have assumed that there is a specific meaning to “being a European man”.
- (d) Particular consideration needs to be given to the ways in which policy development is being directed by the European Union towards the countries of central and eastern Europe. The concern is not only that such policy imperatives may be enhancing gendered social disadvantages for women in favour of men but that these imperatives (in terms of their outcomes) may be running directly counter to other criteria identified by the Union as being keys for countries seeking membership: for instance, criteria relating to gender mainstreaming.
- (e) There are also many theoretical issues raised by the Network’s activities. Much of the main theoretical scholarship on men and masculinities has been

conducted within the context of individual countries – Australia, Germany, Norway, UK, US, and so on. By broadening the range of national and cultural context, albeit within the European region, this present work seeks to add a much stronger comparative and contingent approach to these studies, both theoretically and empirically. In many countries and until relatively recently established forms of masculinity and men's practices could be distinguished on two major dimensions - urban and rural; bourgeois and working class. The exact ways these four forms, and their permutations, were practiced clearly varied between societies and cultures. In recent years, all these forms of masculinity and men's practices have been subject to major social change. Recent pluralised approaches to masculinities, including hegemonic masculinity, as the configuration of gender practice which embodies the currently accepted answer to the problem of legitimacy of patriarchy, and which guarantees (or is taken to guarantee) the dominant position of men and the subordination of women.

- (f) Recent studies have foregrounded questions of men's power, and men's relations to power. There is a profound and enduring contradiction between men's dominance in politics, state and economy, and the social exclusion of some groupings of men. There is a comparable contradiction between the high responsibility placed upon some men for societal development, and the recognition of some men's irresponsible behaviour in terms of health, violence and care. These can both be seen as cultural expressions of traditional forms of masculinity.
- (g) There are also indications of both de-patriarchalisation and re-patriarchalisation; of some growing uncertainty around masculinity, which may itself be connoted as 'not masculine';
- (h) Gender collaboration needs to be established in research and in designing strategies to monitor social problems. There is a clear need to maintain the close and integral relation of research on men and feminist research. Without this, research on men will be unformed of the most developed theoretical and empirical research on gender.
- (i) There is a widening set of contradictions between, the one hand, the moves towards homogenisation in men and masculinities through globalisation, and, on the other hand, the moves to diversifications of gender, including the increasing problematisation of traditional and given aspects of men and masculinities.

By its work over the last three years, the Network has sought to make an important contribution to a greater appreciation of these and many other critical issues associated with men's practices: issues which, moreover, encompass the broadest possible range of social experiences. The Network has identified numerous areas ripe for important further research. Similarly it has highlighted central policy priorities at both national and supranational levels. At the same time, in terms of various dissemination strategies, most notably the web-based Documentation Centre and

Database, the Network is providing crucial tools with which researchers and policy-makers may respond to these needs.

The Network was never intended to provide, and certainly does not provide, the “last word” on men’s practices in Europe. On the contrary, it has always been regarded as a first, albeit vital, step in moving towards a more coherent understanding of those practices and of ways of responding to them. We believe that it has more than fulfilled this promise. The challenge now is to utilise that first step creatively and positively so as to meet the research, policy and practice challenges which the Network has so graphically revealed.

APPENDICES

Appendix 1: Institutional Affiliations of Network Members

- **Dr Janna Chernova** European University at St. Petersburg, Russia.
- **Professor Harry Ferguson** University of West of England, UK (formerly University College Cork and University College Dublin, Ireland).
- **Professor Jeff Hearn** The Swedish School of Economics, Helsinki, Finland, and University of Manchester, UK.
- **Dr Øystein Gullvåg Holter** Work Research Institute, Oslo, Norway (formerly Nordic Institute for Women's Studies and Gender Research (NIKK), Oslo, Norway).
- **Professor Voldemar Kolga** University of Tallinn, Estonia.
- **Emmi Lattu** The Swedish School of Economics, Helsinki, Finland.
- **Diane McIlroy**, University of Sunderland, UK.
- **Professor Dr Ursula Müller** University of Bielefeld, Germany.
- **Dr Irina Novikova** University of Latvia, Riga.
- **Professor Elzbieta H. Oleksy** University of Lodz, Poland.
- **Eivind Olsvik** Nordic Institute for Women's Studies and Gender Research (NIKK), Oslo, Norway.
- **Professor Keith Pringle** University of Sunderland, UK.
- **Teemu Tallberg** The Swedish School of Economics, Helsinki, Finland.
- **Professor Carmine Ventimiglia** University of Parma, Italy.

Former members

- **Jackie Millett** University of Sunderland, UK.
- **Professor Tamar Pitch** University of Camerino, Italy

Appendix 2: Institutions and Universities of the Network

- European University at St. Petersburg, Russia.
- NIKK, Nordic Institute for Women's Studies and Gender Research, Oslo, Norway.
- The Swedish School of Economics, Helsinki, Finland.
- University of Bielefeld, Germany.
- University of Latvia, Riga.
- University of Lodz, Poland.
- University of Parma, Italy.
- University of Sunderland, UK.
- University of Tallinn, Estonia.
- University of West of England, UK.

Other institutions of individual Network members

- University of Manchester, UK.
- Work Research Institute, Oslo, Norway.

Former member institutions

- University College Cork, Ireland.
- University College Dublin, Ireland.
- University of Camerino, Italy.

Appendix 3: Affiliate Members of the Network

- **Dimitar Kambourov**, University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria.
- **Steen Baagoe Neilsen**, University of Roskilde, Roskilde, Denmark.
- **Marie Nordberg**, University of Karlstad, Karlstad, Sweden.
- **Dr Iva Smidova**, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic.

Appendix 4: The National Reports on Research

Appendix 4A: Key Points from the National Reports on Research

Different national reports emphasise different kinds of key points: some have focused on the general state of research, others on the research content or other implications.

Estonia: 1. There are representative surveys, with thousands of respondents, conducted in late 1990s, on work, home, health, social exclusion and violence.
2. Coverage is relatively good on work and health, not on social exclusion.
3. Men's gendering or masculinities is not directly presented in most studies. Gender issues are seen as not a top priority (crime, poverty, unemployment). While all these 'top problems' are strongly gender-laden, their gendering is generally ignored.
4. Social problems are manifested in men's short life-expectancy; after Russia, Estonia has the largest difference in life expectancy between men and women, as the result of men's health problems.
5. Men's problems:
work overload, intensification of working life, pressures to earn more money;
neglected health problems (better to die than to go to the physician);
low educational levels, fewer classes in schooling;
changes in marital behaviour, traditional marriage; fathers' rights problems.

Finland: 1. There are a considerable number of research studies that provide information on men and men's practices. Some are focused on men; some are gendered but not necessarily in relation to men; some are not focused specifically on men, and either do not discuss in any detail that they are studying men or do not provide a gendered analysis of men. This applies to many studies on history, men's 'misery', lifestyle, alcohol use and working life. Even studies that are more explicitly on gender, for example, on gender in working life, usually do not include an explicit gendered analysis of men and men's practices. The gendered re-examination of this material would be most useful.
2. There has not been an extensive development of focused, critical studies on men and men's practices. There has been some limited development in studies on work, organisations, sport, and some aspects of health. This may be changing with increasing research focus on men's violences.
3. There are strong interconnections between the four focus areas. A pervasive theme that has been highlighted in Finnish research is the misfortune of some men, in terms of mortality, illness, isolation, alcohol use, working life, culture, rather than the power, privileges and control of resources of certain men or being a man more generally.
4. There is a need for more focused research on men, men's practices, power and privilege, in relation to both those men with particular power resources, and hegemonic ways of being a man more generally. The connections between men's misfortunes and men's powers and privileges is a crucial area for future research.

Germany: 1. Problems formerly addressed as "women's problems" have at least in part been successfully transformed into gender conflicts. These have in various areas been brought into public and scholarly attention, and they are to be seen in the context of a growing attention of gender politics as a whole. The distribution of housework and conflicts about this distribution between men and women, debates about men as fathers, a widespread awareness of male violence against women, and a tendency to

de-stigmatise homosexuality are relevant as well as the challenge German men feel from women's pleas for more and more effective affirmative action policies. New emerging fields of research are "gender and organisation", subtle discrimination, and new structural and cultural challenges in the reconciliation of work and home for both genders.

2. Recent studies on men seem to be generally interested in showing men too are affected by health risks, by violence and so on without connecting the theses more systematically to societal context. In some studies, men now appear as the neglected gender. That society has been changed in favour of women, with men running the risk of becoming the disadvantaged gender, has been the underlying theme of some writings.

3. That there is a plurality of masculinities may serve the purpose of referring to the interrelations of those various 'types' only, without relating them to femininities and gender relations. The insight that masculinities are interrelated with each other draws all analytical attention - a common reproach against feminist research being falsely accused of ignoring the plurality of men by treating them theoretically as a homogenous block.

4. One characteristic research on men and masculinities is that even the normal scientific procedures of giving a 'state of the art' report as a context of research are rather frequently violated. In a way, this corresponds to the spreading myth that women's studies have neglected gender as a structure. However, in starting to give attention to the neglected and hidden realities of women in society, women's studies have always pointed to gender relations. In most cases, in these relatively new studies on men, gender as structure is not theoretically (and empirically) located in society, its economy, institutions, and culture, but reduced to a rather simple role-concept.

Ireland: Men as gendered subjects have remained largely outside of the gaze of critical inquiry. Even by the standards of the arguably quite slow development of critical studies of men in North America and the UK, academic research into men in Ireland has barely begun. One consequence is that it leaves anyone who sets out to review the relevant research with a modest enough task, yet there is still sufficient material available to make this something of a challenge. There is a strong recognition of the taken-for-granted nature of Irish society, for example, in rural land ownership, as well as the climate of rapid social change. A strong and growing current concern is with men's abuse of children, as is men's violence to women.

Italy: General works have been produced on male identity and role, relations between male and female genders, and masculinity. There is relatively strong development of work on fatherhood, male sexuality, violence and emotions. One particular focus is on the complexity of family dynamics with more or less traditional forms of fatherhood. Some writing has suggested that it is difficult for men to acknowledge that their own sexual identity is a question mark. There is in fact a male "opacity" in speaking about themselves, their own identity and their life-progress. It is not clear what it means to men to acknowledge that their emotional world is sexual and that any experience they go through is a sexed experience, discovering thus their partiality (as opposed to universality) and their own difference. Sexual violence cannot be regarded with the same criteria as any other violent behaviour. One thesis is that the grounds for rape do not proceed from a faulty (or deviant) type of male sexual model and behaviour, but from a fault in the normal masculine sexual model and behaviour. Sexual harassment

can be explained because relations at work are also sex-relations, and because the fact of belonging to one gender or the other determines patterns of behaviour. As regards men's violence against their partners within the family, one thesis is that such violence is multiform, not always visible and not only carried out by men belonging specifically to less affluent social classes, even though violence occurring in the medium-high social classes is far less recorded in judicial and penal records. Masculinity is still the standard upon which the (normal) subject of law is constructed.

Latvia: The restoration of statehood and nationhood was closely connected with the re-traditionalisation of gender roles and 're-masculinisation' of the political space as well as the reconstruction of traditional gender roles. As restoration of political independence as revival/reconstruction of statehood and nationhood was constructed as the "return into the past", it was re-mapped into the political borders of the pre-1940 state and the boundaries of the titular Latvian nation as ethnic boundaries of the restored nation by virtue of "authentic" belonging ("common destiny" rhetoric) to pre-1940 history. Socialist provision of citizenship rights had been based on the total state control of citizenship since the state was the possessor of resources and power. Men's roles, statuses and value as citizens became problematically combined with this specific form of citizenship. Many protection measures were shifted from the state level to local levels of enterprises, and this meant a certain, previously unknown, dependency mechanism for a person. Property as a crucial factor in the socio-economic underpinnings of political citizenship unveiled gendered politics towards men on the part of the nation-state. Starting conditions for property acquisition and upward social motion were beneficial for selected men-oriented echelons of power structured in the vertical gender segregation of the Soviet political, ideological hierarchies and labour market and with access to economic and material resources that had to be re-distributed. Many men migrants from non-Latvian territories of the former USSR had been mainly construction workers, military, retired military, and factory workers. With closure of industries and housing construction and withdrawal from the army, most stayed in Latvia to become the first wave of unemployment. Consumption of agricultural production and goods produced decreased dramatically. This resulted in the impoverishment of rural families that was combined with closing down collective farms of the Soviet type. The effects of drastic impoverishment are now visible in high alcoholism, mortality, suicide (particularly younger men), drug-addiction, lumpenisation, involvement in "grey" economy.

In the age of globalisation, however, this part of Europe needed its inventions of development – the assisted and the militarised projects after 1989 by virtue of the development strategy as a powerful instrument for normalising the post-transitional world. The dominant family discourse located in the imaginary space of a harmonious family model reminding of egalitarian families of the nostalgic past, is floating towards the dichotomy of public/private and its codes of paternity. Paternal claims of the ruling male elite have shaped up hybrid ideology, when international globalized capitalism of invisible brotherhood actually *needs* a renormalized traditional family. It is the spine of the nation-state, and the harmonious totality of the heterosexual family would reproduce the totality of the state as *one* political actor to negotiate on cross-border motions of capital. The external rhetoric of social cohesion and ethnic integration "unknowingly" welcomes the homogenising model of a re-traditionalized family in both communities. This rhetoric works on the neotraditional value discourse

in order to deal with both *one* gendered political actor representing this “integrated” totality, and *one* type of individual gendered consumer. It is explicitly constructed as a homogenising force in the effort to promote social and ethnic integration discourse in the society of Latvia, itself being a space of contradiction. The encounter with the transnational world has brought society to redressed dichotomy of independence allocated in the masculine, and dependence in the feminine needing protection. This dichotomy is symptomatic of the problem of the dependence of the old men’s club of the independent state on international decision-making bodies. This political “emasculating” objectification on a global stage compensates itself in the rhetoric of dependent womanhood in the public, its obligations to reproduce the independent nation in the private, in the normal and healthy family, the “spine of the nation”, re-encoding the mythology of strong national womanhood.

Norway: Some important questions in recent Norwegian research and debate include:

1. It is often said that Norway is a “relatively egalitarian” country in gender terms. Traditionally, gender segregation in everyday life has been somewhat less marked in Norway than in e.g. the UK, US or Germany. There is much cultural and social material to the effect that masculinities have been somewhat more heterosocial and less homosocial, compared for example to continental Europe. It is not so surprising, therefore, that ‘hegemonic masculinity’, as described in international research, seems to have been somewhat less important in Norway, compared to e.g. the US or UK. A pattern of “masculine normalcy” or a “male norm” may have been more important.
2. What are the possibilities for extending men’s role as caregivers, especially as fathers, and how can the barriers against this development be identified and removed?
3. Can men be targets of gender discrimination, e.g. men in caring roles in working life, or as sex objects in the media; how does this relate to discrimination of women?
4. What are the main causes of male violence against women, including authoritarian social contexts, patriarchal privilege, structural violence, and violence between men?
5. How can an active gender policy be renewed and improved, especially in terms of men’s participation?

Poland: There is a strong concern with questions of unemployment, health and suicide.

Russian Federation: The rising of Gender Studies in Russia at the end of 1980s – early 1990s has resulted in creation of a curious situation in Russian sociological community. At the first glance, this situation seems to reflect the main tendency of development of this research area in western sociology: common difference between Gender Studies and Women’s Studies exists in Russian sociology just like it does in Western one. But such impression turns out to be rather superficial. In reality, main research stream in Russian version of Gender Studies is the “female” one. Namely, the most part of Russian gender studies works is directly devoted or has “non-direct” relation to study women’s situation in public and private spheres of the Russian society. Nevertheless, Men’s Studies is not completely absent in Russia. Men’s Studies appeared within the framework of Russian Gender Studies in middle 1990s. Despite its rather short existence Russian Men’s Studies has the own theoretical concepts, conceptual devices, and research field. Recent research has recognised both the existence of pluralistic masculinity, and the form of hegemonic masculinity in relation to Russian gender culture.

- UK:** 1. The conceptual separation of “the social problems which some men create” from “the social problems which some men experience” is often simplistic and there is a need to study the intersections more carefully.
2. In the previous ten years there has been a massive amount of research and scholarly activity in Britain devoted to men as a social phenomenon, particularly in the fields of (a) home/work/organisations, and (b) men’s violences. The latter represent a massive social problem and permeate all other issues related to men’s practices in society. From a European perspective, the body of work on violences represents one of the most distinctive and valuable contributions made by British researchers.
3. There are significant areas urgently requiring further research. Perhaps the three most important are: the intersections of gender with other social divisions clustered around dimensions such as culture/ethnicity, sexuality, age, disability, class; how to promote a concerted national programme to challenge men’s violences; the promotion of further transnational and comparative research in relation to all the themes.

Appendix 4B: Gaps Identified from the National Reports on Research

One very interesting and paradoxical issue is that the more that research, especially focused gendered research on men, is done the more that there is a realisation of the gaps that exist, both in specific fields and at a general methodological level. Clearly there is a general issue that a lack of data on/from men hinders research development.

- Estonia:** 1. What is the cause of men’s shorter life-span if they estimate health subjectively higher than women and have even less chronic diseases and health problems? There is a need to conduct studies to reveal the reason of this controversy between life-span and men’s subjective self-estimations. There is a need to study connections between stereotypes and real conduct and styles of life.
2. What are the reasons for discrepancies between Estonian men’s values and men’s actual behaviour in families?
3. Estonians are not very familiar with the concept of social exclusion; for instance, poverty or absence of freedom are clearer concepts. The most visible example of social exclusion is people looking for something, usually bottles, in trash containers. Nobody knows how many ‘container people’ there are, but it is clear there are many, and they are mainly non-Estonian, Russian speaking men, aged 30–50 years; many are homeless. Here is a clear gap in knowledge.

Finland: Many areas need basic research, such as men in positions of power, politics, management, associations, friendship and support networks. Many other areas need more explicit, critical gender analyses, such as generation; work and family; men’s relations with women; gay men; disabled men; rural men; poorly educated men; men, ethnicity, racism; men’s violence to women and children; racist violence, homophobic violence; suicide; men’s health practice; men and alcohol; health and violence.

- Germany:** 1. There is a general plea for more awareness of feminist research and placing the study of men within a broad gendered social and economic context.
2. A lack of comparative studies on men’s and women’s health statuses and practices is obvious. The picture research provides until now consists of fragmented details, lacking an integrating gendered perspective.
3. Regarding “home and work”, it would be very interesting to see how and when, if ever, women and men form coalitions through the politics of reconciliation, and in

which ways gender constellations at “work” and in the “private” sphere influence each other. It would be important to research further couples who are likely to experience difficult labour market conditions, for instance making the female partner the main earner in the long term, or forcing them to accept working times that do not allow a traditional distribution of housework.

4. There is a lack of studies showing the variety of structures and processes that may lead to the marginalisation of men as groups and/or individuals, and what differences and similarities there are to women. For instance, does ethnicity in some respects override gender?

5. Regarding violence, there is an amazing lack of gender awareness in studies that understand themselves as dealing with “general” (gender) issues, for instance racist violence. The question of traditional masculinity and its propensity for racist violence has not yet been even articulated in high-budget studies. Masculinity seems to be recognised as playing a role when violence against women is the explicit topic. Studies on the reasons for non-violent behaviour in men are lacking completely, too.

6. It would be interesting to have an insight into the relevance of masculinity: has it become more or less important to be a “man”? Is there any consensus about what this would mean?

7. There is a great need for comprehensive secondary analyses of the large amounts of existing research results on “men” in a gendered perspective. Various sponsors have financed a lot of studies producing interesting, but broadly spread data which could well be used to contribute to an adequate picture of men in German society in a gendered perspective, but this work is still to be done.

Ireland: Little research has been carried out on Irish men as carers, be it for children in the family, elderly or infirm spouse, or caring for children and adults with disabilities. A huge gap in knowledge exists with respect to the sexual division of domestic labour and parenting in Ireland, although some important analysis and commentary exist on the broad social policy issues of balancing work and family life. Irish fathers’ own accounts of their participation in child care and domestic life remain to be documented. We know little of substance, for instance, about why 33% of Irish fathers work 50 hours a week or more and whether it is because it reflects the adoption of a traditional definition of masculinity, or the fact that men feel required to earn to meet the family’s financial obligations, and spend all this time away from home and children reluctantly. Little or no academic literature exists on elder abuse in Ireland. No academic literature exists on violence against men. Relatively little research has been done on men’s health in Ireland.

Italy: Social exclusion is underresearched.

Latvia: Since the restoration of political independence in Latvia in 1991, academic research has never included problems of men and masculinities as a separate area to be financed from the National Research Council. Gender research has been marginally developing due to the absence of qualified professionals in the academic sphere. In their absence, gender as a category of analysis has been appropriated rather superficially and applied in various research projects within academically well-established disciplines as for example the sociology of family and demography. There is no qualitative academic comparative gender research of masculinity discourses in the major ethnic communities.

Norway: 1. Generally, much effort has gone into analysing “gender”, while “equal status/worth” is less well mapped. For example: men’s interests – what are they, how do they vary, etc., with practice-related criteria, not just subjective measures.

2. Gender still often means “women only”. Men’s views and reports on their practices regarding equal status relevant issues are often lacking, what we have is women’s views. For example: lack of data on men’s mapping of household time use. An important key to gender relation development, the uneven development of ‘standards’ and ideas of who does what, can be found in some studies, but is not systematically traced.

3. General lack of independent, systematic research, with many symptoms, including ambivalence in women/gender studies and weak development of contact with other research areas. For example: several feminist researchers have pointed to the problem of men being defined around gender notions already developed by and for women, or even deduced from women’s needs and circumstances (“derived subject”). It is still often a situation of “a few men also” in gender studies. There can be a renewal of stereotypes on both sides; a tradition of blaming men, linked to ‘competitive’ feminism (and/or ideas of the woman-friendly state), that often works together with older traditions of blaming women, where the net result is an inability to move from a gendered context to an equal status development process.

4. Occupational choices and paths into working life are still quite sex-segregated, and there is a lack of studies of the active factors recreating this situation.

5. There is a lack of studies on the connection between violence between men and men’s violence against women.

Poland: Specific gaps are not yet identified. One general conclusion to be drawn from the review of literature on social exclusion is that masculinity as an independent research topic has enjoyed little if not marginal popularity among Polish scholars. Research on health is a relative gap.

Russian Federation: Social exclusion and men’s violences are both underresearched.

UK: 1. How the different cultural contexts of Scotland, the north of Ireland, Wales, England and the regions may have framed the social relations associated with men.

2. Further consideration of theoretical issues which have important material implications: What does “being a man” mean both in terms of practices and discourses? Indeed what is the relationship between practices and discourses in the context of this field of study. And what are the precise inter-relationships between macro level systems of power relations which contextualise men’s practices and the micro level of individual men’s day to day engagements and understandings of their worlds?

3. On the topic of fatherhood, (i) making more sense of the (albeit limited) increases in parental activity on the part of some men in the home. To what extent do these changes represent real social “progress”? By contrast, to what extent may they sometimes represent re-creations of patriarchal dominance in relatively novel forms? (ii) using transnational comparison to explore some central debates. Comparative work has begun but there is scope for much more. (iii) much greater consideration of fatherhood in terms of diversity: for instance cultural diversity; sexual diversity. (iv) more studies of fatherhood including the “voices” of women and children.

4. Further exploration of the complex dynamics surrounding negotiations between women and men in relationships regarding “housework”, parenting and emotional work. Most research focuses on white heterosexual partners. There is a need for research on the intersections of men, the “home” and the “labour market” in a diverse configurations including Black and Asian Families and gay partnerships.
5. Future research on organisations and men: (a) on the intersections of gender and other social divisions along the contours of cultural diversity/ethnicity, sexuality, age, disability and class; (b) considerable scope for more transnational comparative work.
6. On violence (a) how men’s violent gendered practices intersect with other oppressive power relations centred around issues such as sexuality, cultural difference/ethnicity, age, disability and class – and the implications of such analyses for challenging those practices and assisting people abused by them. (b) how the different forms of men’s violences interconnect, and the implications for policy/practice aimed at challenging violences and assisting people abused by them. (c) how concerted programmes against men’s violences can be developed – in particular more research into the promotion of successful initiatives at school, community and societal levels. (d) transnational comparative research on the continuities/discontinuities between cultural locations and welfare system formations. (e) men’s sexual violences to adult men. (f) violence to lesbian women and gay men.
7. The intersections of men’s well-being with issues of age and disabilities. Research around men’s health/well-being and sexuality needs to focus on broader issues.
8. Black masculinities and well-being in relation to a broad range of life experiences.
9. Other power relations intersecting with gender in the lives of men.

Appendix 5: National Reports on Statistical Information

Appendix 5A: Baseline Statistical Measures for the Ten Nations, Tables 1-6

Table 1. Population

Table 2. Employment sectors

Table 3. Economy

Table 4. Politicians, managers and professionals

Table 5. Social exclusion

Table 6. Homicide and suicide

Table 5B: *Proportion of total active workforce in professional and managerial work by gender, EU countries, 1960 and 1990*

Country		1960		1990ca	
		<i>professional & technical</i>	<i>administrative & managerial</i>	<i>professional & technical</i>	<i>administrative & managerial</i>
Austria	men	4.11	2.51	6.41	6.14
	women	2.77	0.99	2.01	5.51
Belgium	men	4.91	2.55	11.15	3.13
	women	3.59	0.24	11.37	0.73
Denmark	men	4.14	1.53	6.75	9.64
	women	4.01	0.21	2.09	6.07
Finland	men	4.22	1.46	11.28	3.57
	women	3.90	0.17	18.12	1.25
France	men	5.32	2.51	na	na
	women	4.03	0.66	na	na
Germany	men	5.30	2.60	9.68	3.16
	women	2.62	0.65	7.03	0.69
Greece	men	2.22	0.72	9.24	7.17
	women	1.20	0.05	2.71	5.91
Ireland	men	3.43	1.13	9.12	2.93
	women	3.75	0.09	7.99	0.50
Italy	men	3.11	0.91	0.96	4.54
	women	2.27	0.36	0.21	5.27
Luxembourg	men	na	na	na	na
	women	na	na	na	na
Netherlands	men	5.76	3.06	14.06	3.76
	women	3.71	0.13	11.40	0.76
Portugal	men	1.35	1.23	4.35	1.67
	women	1.39	0.08	5.28	0.38
Spain	men	na	na	7.63	7.55
	women	na	na	3.61	7.19
Sweden	men	7.93	1.96	12.77	na
	women	4.90	0.16	22.85	na
United Kingdom	men	5.45	2.55	10.81	10.48
	women	3.38	0.17	8.39	5.16

Source: Crouch, Colin, 1999, *Social Change in Western Europe*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, Appendix Table A.5.1, pp. 455-7.

Appendix 5C: Key Points from the National Reports on Statistical Information

Different national reports emphasise different kinds of key points: some have focused on the general state of statistical information, others on its content or implications.

Estonia: 1. There are representative surveys, with thousands of respondents, conducted in late 1990s, on work, home, health, social exclusion and violence. Coverage is relatively good on work and health, not on social exclusion.

2. Statistical time-series facilitate the analysis of data in context of changing time and culture, as in the post-socialist period of huge changes. It is important to find the point at which these crucial changes started. After the crash of the Soviet Union all republics were formally at a same departure point; however, now it is obvious that Uzbekistan, the Russian Federation and Estonia, for instance, have developed in very different ways and are now located in different socio-cultural spaces.

3. In Estonia economic reforms started in 1992-93 which led to structural changes, especially in men's work mobility. All these economic changes caused and are associated with the decline in the birthrate, life expectancy and population, and increasing divorces, criminality and drug consumption. The fast economic changes had a great social price in 1993-95.

4. The changes had the greatest socio-psychological impact on non-Estonian men who cannot speak Estonian and were not ready to accept the idea of independent Estonia. Differences between Estonian and non-Estonian men have now begun to diminish.

Finland: 1. There is a very large amount of statistical information available in Finland, much of it produced by Statistics Finland, and much of that available on their website database and annual yearbooks and CD-ROMs. Statistical information is also produced by the National Research and Development Centre for Health and Welfare (STAKES) and the Social Insurance Institution of Finland (KELA) as well as different ministries. In recent years there has been an increase in the extent to which these statistical sources have been gender-disaggregated, but there is still a need for further gender-disaggregation across statistics. Specific gender-focused statistical sources include those on gender equality in working life and the 'gender barometer' measuring, *inter alia*, attitudes to gender equality. There is little statistical information specifically focused on men, variations amongst men, and the relationship of those patterns to qualitative research on men's practices and lives.

2. An area of special importance is the relationship of work and employment to family situation. Labour Force Surveys and Employment Statistics do not include background information on families and describe only individuals. Supplementary Labour Force Surveys report only on mothers' situations in the labour market. Due to the recentness and brevity of paternity leave, little reliable information has been obtained. Low take-up of paternity leave may have weakened interest in studying it. Labour Force Surveys and Employment Statistics are based more on quantifiable measures than experiences or subjective feelings of combining work and family.

3. Statistical information on social exclusion is relatively scattered. Statistics Finland publishes a series on living conditions, but this does not specifically include information on homelessness. There is not much regularly produced statistical information on foreigners, immigrants and ethnic minorities in Finland. Statistics

Finland research on the social conditions of immigrants in 2002 will contribute to statistical information in this area.

4. There is a large amount of statistical data on crime; this is a more general organising principle than violence. For example, figures in *Crime and Criminal Justice 1995-1996*, an overview of the level of crime and the system of criminal justice, were not separated by gender. This needs to be remedied in future work. More recent publications on patterns of criminal homicide gives gender-disaggregated data on victims and offenders. The recent national survey of women's experiences of violence from men might be paralleled by further statistical study of men's use of and experiences of violence, as violence is often differentially perceived by men and by women.

5. Statistical information on health outcomes and men's health outcomes is generally good. Further statistical information on men's health care practices is desirable.

Germany: 1. In Germany a lot of statistical sources are available on labour market developments, family formation and health. The Federal Statistical Office produces a yearbook which covers, amongst other things, developments in the labour market, family and household formation, health, mortality, parental leave, and poverty, relying on officially produced data (registered employment, registered births, registered social insurance data, etc.). Additionally, the Federal Office produces specifically oriented series, for instance on qualifications and training. The problem with these data is that they still show the characteristics of their mode of production; their presentation often does not answer any sociological question.

2. Other data are collected by huge official surveys (microcensus, also available in the Statistical Yearbook) and by other agencies. The Federal Institute of Health and the Federal Criminal Institute, for instance, analyse officially produced data in their fields, and also conduct studies of their own. These data often react to questions which are articulated as politically urgent.

3. A huge body of data is produced by investigations financed by political authorities, especially Ministries. They focus on more specific and more detailed analyses of social problems.

4. An infrastructure of social science information data has been built up that is going to be continued and updated regularly; the "socio-economic panel", for instance, informs about the development and change of working and living conditions.

Ireland: 1. In general the quality of statistical information on men in Ireland is mixed. While the state has pursued aspects of a gender equality strategy since the emergence of second-wave feminism in the mid-1970s, with some notable exceptions, the impact of such policies are not being routinely evaluated through the production of official statistics on the gendered nature of such things as family responsibilities, work, violence, leisure and health. Research studies, some of which are sponsored by governments departments, have begun to address this gap in knowledge, but progress is slow.

2. Statistics reveal the overall contradictory nature of men's experience in Ireland. Men in general still dominate key institutions, such as the government and politics more broadly, management positions in public service, health and social services, trade unions, the churches, and sport. Yet some men suffer considerable marginalisation as evidenced by such things as higher rates of suicide, psychiatric illness and alcoholism than women. Men also make up the majority of the prison

population, most of whom are extremely poor/disadvantaged, are more often the long-term unemployed, and experience on average almost 6 years lower life expectancy than women.

3. Ireland has experienced huge economic growth since the mid-1990s, which means that fewer men are unemployed today than for 20 years. Fathers are now the exclusive breadwinners in only half of all families with dependent children in Ireland. Three out of ten families are dual earners, reflecting how the breadwinner role is increasingly shared by fathers and mothers as more women have entered the workforce. Two out of ten Irish families have no earners due to the effects of long-term unemployment among lower socio-economic groups and the growth in one parent families, the majority of which have no earners. Despite economic growth, levels of poverty and social disadvantage remain significant. Concepts of social exclusion in Ireland have broadened to take account of not only poverty, but educational disadvantage, racism, homophobia and drug misuse. As increasing numbers of refugees and economic migrants have entered the country since the mid-1990s, the shift to a multicultural society is bringing to the surface latent Irish racism and has led to violent attacks on many men and women of colour, but for which no official figures are available.

4. There is no significant difference according to gender on perceived health. Boys report being more happy with their lives than girls. Despite these perceptions, men fare worse than women in terms of avoidable illnesses, accidents, and premature death. Greater risk taking behaviour is evident for men in terms of drinking and driving and not always using a seatbelt. The suicide rate among men has trebled over the past 25 years, with the highest increases in the 15-24 year old age group, but has remained constant for women. At 7.1:1, Ireland has the highest gender ratio of 15-24 year old suicides in the 46 countries covered by the WHO.

Italy: No specific key issues are identified at this stage, though there is a strong emphasis on recent statistical information on demographics, marriage, poverty, health, suicide, crime and violence.

Latvia: 1. Statistical studies, surveys and researches in Latvia have been undergoing a transitional period after the restoration of independence in 1991. Sex was an important variable regularly used in statistical surveys in the Soviet times, particularly in the areas of labour market, employment, family and health, demography, ethnodemography, family planning and migration. Soviet policy-makers were sensitive to the results of statistical surveys by sex in order to politically coordinate Soviet lip-service to “sex equality” and paternalist social and economic measures. At the same time, violence as a gendered social problem was considered to occur more randomly and as such was not explicitly considered as a serious social issue.

2. The same areas have remained the priority targets of statistical surveys and positionalities proposed to the categories “male” and “female”. Demographic and family policy is central to the processes of post-socialist nation- and state-building, contextualised within the new ethnic composition of the population and entrance into the EU as part of globalisation processes. Latvia has been undergoing the period of economic transformation. This ten-year period can be characterised as one of social, economic and psychological depression that has been overlapping the consequences of economic stagnation of the former Soviet period. Thus, the major fields of statistical priorities are the demographic situation, dynamics of fertility and

demographic determinants, family policy, actual and desired family models, children's health, unemployment and changes in length of working life.

3. On the other hand, international standards are being introduced, in particular, with the publication of *The Statistical Yearbook of Latvia* and *UNDP Human Development Reports*. However, the analytical capacity of the current range of statistical surveys is still not able to formulate informed policy recommendations in the major spheres of public policy. If there exist independent statistical studies, then there is insufficient competence of the specialists involved; 'local colouring' of specific statistical surveys does not enable them to draw independent analysis for taking policy decisions or proposing them to policymakers. On the contrary, if a statistical survey is for internal use within a governmental agency, it might serve political goals rather than public policy development. For example, naturalisation of non-citizens in Latvia is considered an important political issue in the context of the EU accession plan. The results of the statistical surveys of motivations might lead to the conclusion that it is "emotional safety" that is a major motivation for a non-citizen to acquire citizenship rather than "loyalty to the country". However, the gender-specific statistical data on those having acquired citizenship points to the prevalence of women in acquiring citizenship in Latvia, and this statistical factor explicitly explains the motivation priorities. However, there is also a tendency to instrumentalise high women's percentage as an indicator of their social activism. Again public opinion holds that young non-citizen men try to avoid army service obligatory for a man citizen of Latvia, whereas women hope to provide future political protection to their children and themselves. They might then pretend to find a job in the governmental structures which does not promise a career (an important factor for young men) but provides a stable household income.

Norway: 1. It is often said that Norway is a "relatively egalitarian" country in gender terms. Traditionally, gender segregation in everyday life has been somewhat less marked in Norway than in e.g. the UK, US or Germany. There is much cultural and social material to the effect that masculinities have been somewhat more heterosocial and less homosocial, compared for example to continental Europe.

2. Searching for relevant statistics shows that even if many trends are now specified by sex, gender issues are only partly used as a guideline to create the statistics, and even less so in the case of men.

Poland: 1. Polish public statistics has devoted much attention to work-related issues. As for home-related matters, however, pilot surveys described in the report are the only source of information on the division and duration of housework in the 1990s. There are no data on the relationship between housework and professional activity.

2. The term "social exclusion" refers first of all to such groups and populations as the unemployed, homeless, ethnic minorities, gay minorities and populations thought to be pathological, such as alcoholics, drug users, offenders and prostitutes. The unemployed receive most attention from among the groups and populations named above; there are no data, however, on ethnic and homosexual minorities.

3. The problem of violence in Polish public statistics is limited to cases registered by the police and adjudicated in courts. There are no data for the whole country that specify types of violence used and data on cases that were not registered.

4. Polish public statistics offers numerous data on health-related issues and welfare. No significant gaps have been noticed in this area.

Russian Federation: The analysis of statistical information confirming the existence of the phenomenon of a “Masculinity Crisis” can be understood to be the main result of this investigation. Discussion regarding the “Masculinity Crisis” started in 1970s in the article by the soviet demographer B. Uralnis (published in “Literary Newspaper”, 1968). For the following two decades this discussion continued. At that time the basic “Masculinity Crisis“ characteristics were found to be: low life expectancy as compared with women, self-destructive practices, such as hard drinking and alcoholism, smoking, “excessive eating”, accidents. This investigation showed that for the Russian society of 1990s the problem of the masculinity crisis had not changed at all; the main demographic characteristics still continue. In this context new qualitative investigations have been devoted to men’s relations to violence and the exclusion of some groups of men (homosexuals, for instance) from the field of the normative masculinity. In its turn this has led to the appearance of a peculiar “victimisation theory”. According to this theory, men are passive victims of their biological nature and structural (cultural) circumstances. In other words, men, here, are the victims who can hardly be called ”actively functioning” social agents.

UK: 1. As with data from Workpackage 1, it is striking how this data confirms the importance of understanding the complex intersections of disadvantage associated with gender, ethnicity, class, age, sexuality, disability.

2. Similarly, this data confirms that issues of home and work, social exclusion, violences and health overlap and intersect in complex ways.

3. There is an immense quantity of official statistical data on gender in relation to the labour market: it dwarfs the amount of data on other topics, even those relatively well-covered such as crime.

Appendix 5D: Gaps Identified from the National Reports on Statistical Information

Estonia: Data seem to be quite reliable; however, statistical information about human beings is very poor compared with that on the environment, finance, industry, fuel and energy, housing, trade, construction. There is little easily available information on home and work, social exclusion, violence; that on public health is easily accessible.

Finland: 1. In recent years there has been an increase in the extent to which governmental statistical sources have been gender-disaggregated, but there is still a need for their further gender-disaggregation. Little statistical information is specifically focused on men, variations amongst men, and the relationship of those patterns to qualitative research on men’s practices and lives. An area of special importance is the relationship of work and employment to family situation. Labour Force Surveys and Employment Statistics do not include background information on families, describing only individuals. Supplementary Labour Force Surveys report only mothers’ situations in the labour market. Little reliable information on paternity leave has been obtained. Its low take-up may have weakened interest in studying it. Labour Force Surveys and Employment Statistics are based more on quantifiable measures than experiences or subjective feelings of combining work and family.

2. Statistical information on social exclusion is relatively scattered. Statistics Finland publishes a series on living conditions, but it does not specifically include information

on homelessness. There is not much regularly produced statistical information on foreigners, immigrants and ethnic minorities in Finland.

3. The recent national survey of women's experiences of violence from men might be paralleled by further statistical study of men's use of and experiences of violence, as violence is often differentially perceived by men and by women.

4. Further statistical information on men's health practices and health care practices is desirable.

Germany: The problem of the huge amount of data that exists is that the focuses of data production and collection, as well as the concept of the respective basic populations, differ to such an extent that, until now, it has been a detective task to find any ground for comparing and relating the data to each other in order to find comprehensive and sense-making information about men. Therefore, there is a lack of "intermediary" studies which might close the gap between theoretical concepts of masculinities and gender relations, empirical data produced in small case studies providing deeper insight into the microstructure of problems, and the official statistics level that, until now, has produced a "big picture", albeit with mainly unclear aspects.

Ireland: 1. In general the quality of statistical information on men in Ireland is mixed. While the state has pursued aspects of a gender equality strategy since the emergence of second-wave feminism in the mid-1970s, with some notable exceptions, the impact of such policies are not being routinely evaluated through the production of official statistics on the gendered nature of family responsibilities, work, violence, leisure and health, and so on. Research studies, some of which are sponsored by governments departments, have begun to address this gap in knowledge, but progress is slow.

2. The recent shift to a multicultural society is bringing to the surface latent Irish racism and exposing our problems in tolerating difference. This has led to violent attacks on many people of colour, for which no official figures are available.

3. Official statistics on the gendered nature of violence and health are becoming more sensitive to disaggregating men and women's experiences, but still remain partial. While more is known about the gender of victims of violent crime, nothing is produced by government departments or police criminal statistics on the gender of perpetrators. Official statistics do not gather data on the gender of perpetrators of child abuse, a gap that is being filled to some extent by research.

4. Statistical data on men as carers and the sexual division of labour within households in Ireland is extremely disappointing. Government departments gather no data on this whatsoever and have been slow to commission research into the area. Just one study in the late 1980s has partially explored what fathers do 'at home', in families. It is based only on *mothers'* accounts of what fathers, their partners, do.

5. We know little about why 33% of Irish fathers work 50 hours a week or more and whether this reflects the adoption of a traditional definition of masculinity, or that men feel required to earn to meet the family's financial obligations and reluctantly spend this time away from home and children.

Latvia: 1. The silencing of statistics on violence from Soviet public sphere was inherited by post-Soviet state-building discourse.

2. Statistical data are gathered mainly around social, demographic, 'normal family' issues, and the underlying argument of the biological reproduction of the nation

excludes the studies of similar problems at the crossroads of ethnicity, sexuality and gender.

3. Gender (mainly instead of “sex”) is used in the headings, but “men” is not used as a subcategory of gender analysis but a separate conventional sociological category in combination with “women”.

4. Gender-specific statistics are absent from studies of educational, political, cultural spheres of the society.

5. Gender and separate men-only statistical methodologies (e.g. manhood and sexuality; urban/rural men and unemployment; men and age; men and ethnicity) are used very rarely as there is no competence of how to employ them in the statistical fieldwork for achieving the expertise level of independent analysis. This also implies the question of whether it is possible to capacitate policy-makers to develop strategic vision and public transparency.

6. Statistics are mainly focused on “dyadic” analysis, for example, poverty and gender (meaning men/women), or poverty and ethnicity. However, in poverty studies the “triadic” statistical survey of poverty, gender and ethnicity is a more complex level to reach and a more enriching statistical source for long-term strategic development objectives that is still to be achieved.

Norway: 1. Despite the presence of relatively good statistical sources, many important indexes and numbers are still missing or can only be assembled through much work. For example, we would like to know more about the main factors in the gender gap in mortality, the main patterns of gender segregation, and the composition of research and the level of gender research investment.

2. Other data not easily found include: men’s mapping of household time use; work hours for relevant groups of men / women; wages and breadwinners in relevant sectors, different levels, job types; couple (married, cohabiting household income composition (his/her share) by relevant job variables (sector, level, job type, pay).

Poland: 1. No data on the relationship between the job performed or the type of work and home activities are given in the available publications on time use. Researchers considered differences in time spent on individual activities in the context of age, education and source of income; differences based on sex were not included.

2. One general conclusion to be drawn from the review of literature on social exclusion is that masculinity as an independent research topic has enjoyed little if not marginal popularity among Polish scholars. The problem of racism and xenophobia, as well as homophobia appears in surveys related to public opinion polls. They do not include in the questions to respondents sex differences of the groups to which the questions refer (i.e. national minorities, foreigners residing in Poland, homosexuals).

3. The source of data for the Chief Statistical Office is the information of the Chief Police Headquarters, which also present on their webpages the most important data on perpetrators of offences connected with domestic violence, rape, sexual abuse of children, infanticide and desertion; they do not, however, specify the sex of perpetrators or characterise the victim.

4. Research on health is a relative gap. Data on the use of financial and non-financial social benefits do not comprise distributions depending on sex.

Russian Federation: Social exclusion and men’s violences are both underresearched.

UK: 1. There is an urgent need for much broader official statistical data gathering in relation to issues of social disadvantage and gender - in particular on: disability; sexuality; age; men's violences to children.

2. There is an immense mass of governmental data on home and work. However, some aspects receive relatively little coverage – for instance more on disability and old age is required.

3. The statistical focus of the central government Social Exclusion Unit is on issues such as men in relation to poverty, the labour market or ethnicity; it tends to give less attention to issues such as disability or sexuality.

4. Government statistics pay considerable attention to men's violences to women within heterosexual relationships (or "domestic violence" as it is officially termed) and to racist crime (or "racially motivated" crime as in official publications) but relatively little attention to men's violences to children, gay men and lesbian women.

5. As with other themes, government statistics focus far more on men's health in relation to poverty, the labour market or ethnicity than in relation to disability *per se* or sexuality.

Appendix 6: National Reports on Law and Policy

Appendix 6A: Key Points from the National Reports on Law and Policy

Estonia: 1. The drafting of Equality Act is being prepared by Bureau of Equality between Women and Men in the Ministry of Social Affairs, and then passed on to the Government; Current legislation is written in gender-neutral language. Preferences made in any spheres on the basis of sex are illegal.

2. It is hard to link men's social problems (unemployment, health, high rate divorces, drug use, violent behaviour, etc.) with current legislation, however, it is a strong belief that the new Equality Act will assist in solving these problems of men.

3. Government has developed several programmes to deal with men's social problems, including the prevention of alcoholism and drug use (1997-2007), and of HIV/AIDS.

Finland: 1. National legal and governmental policy is framed and characterised by a complex formal mixture of statements favouring gender equality in principle and statements using gender-neutrality as the major form of governmental communication; statements typically promote and favour gender equality, and this is generally done through gender-neutral laws and policies. This means that there are relatively few explicit governmental statements on or about men. Most laws are constructed in a gender-neutral way.

2. The Finnish Act on Equality between Men and Women came into force in 1987. As with other Nordic predecessors of the Finnish Act, it is mostly a passive law to be used when it is alleged that someone is discriminated against.

3. Gendered exceptions to this generally gender-neutral pattern in which men are explicitly or implicitly named include: compulsory conscription into the army; a strongly pro-fatherhood policy and ideology; national programme against violence; and recent political debate on same-sex marriage.

4. In addition there has been a variety of extra-governmental political activity around men of varying gender political persuasions. Since 1986 there has been a 'Men's Section', (the Subcommittee on Men's Issues), a subcommittee of the Council for Equality between Women and Men. This has recently produced a publication that sets out ways in which gender equality can be developed to men's advantage.

5. There is a lack of consideration of how men might assist the promotion of gender equality in ways that assist women; there is a lack of consideration of how different aspects of men's practices might connect with each other, for example, fatherhood and violence.

Ireland: 1. The 'family' in Irish law is the kinship group based on marriage, and the only legitimate 'father' is the married father. Despite the fact that 26% of all births in Ireland are now outside of marriage, unmarried fathers are not acknowledged as fathers under the Irish Constitution (whereas the mother is given automatic rights by virtue of being a mother). Unmarried fathers have to apply to the courts for guardianship of their children. The Irish State has come under increased pressure in recent years to give fathers equal rights as mothers to be a parent to their child. Yet there is little sign that this has led to a more explicit gendering of men in terms of legal reform or that fatherhood is being more actively addressed as a policy issue.

2. Irish fathers have no statutory entitlement to paternity leave. Following the implementation of the recent EU directive, they are entitled to 14 weeks parental leave in the first five years of the child's life, which is unpaid and not surprisingly

vastly under used. During 2001 mothers have been granted an extension of paid maternity leave, from 14 to 18 weeks, and unpaid from 4 to eight weeks, while fathers in Ireland are about to gain paid statutory rights to attend two ante-natal classes and to be at the birth of their child. Such gender differences in how public policy is constituted around parenting demonstrates how the provider model and the ideal of the 'good working man' continue to dominate constructions of masculinity in Ireland.

3. There is limited gendering of men in relation to social exclusion, the most significant being in relation to the vulnerability of men who are socially disadvantaged and long-term unemployed. Since 1994 the Department of Social, Community and Family Affairs has been funding men's groups in socially disadvantaged areas. While there is no single model of 'menswork' going on in such groups in Ireland, the most common orientation appears to be personal development, as a support for men who feel excluded and are struggling to find a role for themselves.

4. Given that the central organising ideology which dictates how men are governed in Ireland is the provider model and the hard-working 'good family man', when evidence emerges that not all men are in fact 'good', a deficit in governance and services arises. Minimal attempts have been made to develop intervention programmes with men who are violent to their partners, while only a fraction of men who are sex offenders are actively worked with towards rehabilitation/stopping their offending. Masculinity politics with respect to violence are becoming more complex, with increasing pressure to recognise male victims of women's domestic violence.

5. The health of men is just beginning to be recognised as a health promotion issue, in the context of growing awareness of generally poor outcomes in health for men compared with women and generally lower resource allocation to men's health. The Irish government is committed to publishing a new health strategy in 2001 and has stated for the first time that a specific section on men's health will appear. Health is still tending to be conceptualised in physical terms, with a neglect of psychological well-being. While increases in male suicide, especially by young men, are increasingly the focus of public concern, there has been little attempt to develop gender specific policies and programmes which can help men to cope with their vulnerability and despair.

Latvia: 1. The recent developments of the legal process have reflected the commitment of Latvia to join the European Union. Thus, a number of the international and EU documents and conventions have been ratified. In this year alone there have been introduced new strategies and initiatives expressed in such documents of The Ministry of Welfare as *The Gender Equality Initiative. Draft document; Equal Opportunities to Everybody in Latvia. Draft document.* The expected adoption of the document on gender equality and the establishment of The Gender Equality unit, however, are not provided with clear-cut statements on future policy development.

2. This national report has coincided with an initiative on a new Family Act in which the idea of the paternity leave is introduced and the necessity to struggle with family violence is stated. Both documents mainly deal with the issues of men and women in home, health and work. Family is defined as a reproductive heterosexual partnership for securing the economic and social "body" of the society. Neither document contains the language of differences - sexual, ethnic or racial. It is considered that the issues of ethnicity and cultural differences are to be solved through the policy

developments in ethnic and social integration to overcome the ethnopolitical division of the Latvian society.

3. The rigidly “disciplinary” character of the documents issued either by The Ministry of Welfare or by The Department of Naturalisation is to the disadvantage to the future policy developments because the language of gender equality and gender mainstreaming is excluded from the ethnic integration policies, and the language of ethnic integration is excluded from the gender equality initiatives.

4. There are no explicit statements addressing men and ethnicity/race, men and sexuality, thus pointing to yet “untouchable” spaces of social exclusion in family, work, health, violences.

Norway: 1. In Norway, as in other countries, the period after World War 2 was characterised by extended policy declarations concerning gender equal status, yet it was mainly in the 1960s and 1970s, with increased demands for women’s labour power, that more detailed and binding policies were created. A national Gender Equality Council was created in 1972 as a partially independent organ with the task of monitoring equal status progress. In 1979, a new Gender Equality Act entered into force, with an Ombudsman arrangement and an Appeals Board.

2. In 1986, the government created a Male Role Committee to look into and create debate about men within an equal status perspective. The Committee which existed until 1991 made a survey of men’s attitudes and conditions through broad cooperation among feminist and other researchers. This was the first nationwide representative study trying to map the factors *behind* men’s variable support and resistance to gender equality, including violence in the family of origin, bullying, friendship, private life and work relations. The results showed a greater diversity than most observers had acknowledged, including a large minority (20-40 percent depending on variables) of men who actively supported gender equal status, as well as a smaller, negative minority (10-30 percent), including a ‘sex-violence syndrome’ among some (perhaps 10 percent). The survey uncovered strong support for caregiving-related reforms among a majority of men. Accordingly, the Committee proposed measures in three main areas: increasing men’s participation in caregiving and household tasks in the home sphere, combating men’s violence against women, and increasing research efforts.

3. In the early 1990s, there was a slowdown of the gender equality process. Several factors created these changes. Norway experienced an economic setback as well as a political shift to the right. The welfare state was increasingly targeted by neo-liberal political views. Interestingly, the 1988 survey had shown that these trends were characterised by a strong overrepresentation of men, and especially of men with a single mother upbringing. In the emerging political climate, more emphasis was put on ‘actors’ as against ‘structures’, on market-led changes as against state reforms, and on gender equality as something that had mainly been achieved, rather than a burning issue. Some of these trends had already become influential in the social democratic view of the 1980s, for example in terms of ‘sustainable’ developments, with the market as an increasingly important social regulator.

4. Some progress was also made in the areas of reducing violence and in developing research, most of it from below, in the form of voluntary activities, activists, networks etc., rather than governmental policy decisions. Surveys from the mid-90s showed that a majority of people thought that a strong effort regarding gender equal status was not needed, and politics increasingly shifted in this direction, even if more detailed

research showed, both, that more concrete goals were supported by many (for example, better kindergartens, better gender balance in jobs), and that the “gender equal status is here already” view is linked to lack of education and knowledge.

5. A proposal to extend the Gender Equality Act’s provision regarding gender balance in boards and committees (the 40 percent rule) to the private sector has so far met with delays. Recently this proposal has once more been delayed. Increasingly, the stalemate situation in the economy seems to work back, negatively, on other areas, like politics. In recent years, national politics has become noticeably less gender-balanced, with all the major parties led by men, although the figures do not yet show a clear setback. Media research shows the continuing male dominance in, for example, political debates.

Poland: 1. With regard to *work*, the concept of sex is not used in the definition of an employee in Polish law (Art. 2 of the Labour Code). Infrequent references to it in legal norms are connected with and justified by objective differences, such as psychosomatic constitution, anatomical build or maternity, that condition divergent social roles. The above legal regulation ensues from the principle of equal treatment of employees (Art. 11² of the labour code added by the amendment of 2 Feb. 1996 – Journal of Laws N^o 24, Clause 110) and the principle of non-discrimination (Art. 11³).

2. Except for a general anti-discrimination clause (Art. 32.2 of the Constitution of the Republic), the issue of *social exclusion*, as defined for the purposes of this report, is not unequivocally reflected in Polish legislation, with the exception of national and ethnic minorities which are referred to directly (Art. 35 Clause 3.2 of the Constitution of the Republic). No differentiation on the grounds of sex is made in these laws.

3. The problem of *violence*, albeit of social importance, is not directly reflected in state politics. It constitutes, however, the core of activities of some social organisations, and is addressed chiefly to women and children. As for offences related to domestic violence, Polish law does not differentiate perpetrators according to their sex.

4. As for *health*, no data were found on organisations that deal exclusively with problems of health, social welfare and suicides concerning men or on nationwide initiatives and programmes in this area (they are mainly aimed at women and children). Legislation ensures special care to pregnant women, children, handicapped people and persons of advanced age (Art. 68 Clause 3 of the Constitution of the Republic).

Russian Federation: 1. The gendered examination of Russian legislation allows one to talk about gender asymmetry in this sphere of society. The goal of the study of Russian legislation is to describe an “objective” picture of the realisation of the constitutional principle of gender equality: “Man and woman have equal rights and freedoms and opportunities for its realisation” (Russian Federation Constitution, Part 3, Article 19). At first sight, this constitutional principle is reflected in contemporary legislation. But this is only at first sight. Not only do everyday practice and the reality of funding break them, but legislators do not always understand the principle of gender equality. In one case (election legislation) he/she ignores the realisation of the idea of gender equality in practice. The formal legislation reflects the idea of gender equality, but does not reflect nor guarantee its realisation for both sexes. Women have equal rights to be elected (equally with men), but they do not have equal opportunity for realisation of equality with men’s rights.

2. Absolutely another situation is found in the sphere of labour legislation. The legislation reflects the idea of gender equality. In this legislation we see a system of actions for the defence of female rights, especially the “unwed mother”. In this sphere it is most important to address objective necessity and produce appropriate measures. Discrimination of men exists in family legislation. A man finds it very difficult to have the right to bring up a child. Gender research into Russian legislation testifies to ambiguities in understanding gender equality in different spheres of society.
3. Gender legislation is yet at the formative stage, as shown by the examination of some specific branches of Russian legislation.

UK: 1. It is striking that men figure so little explicitly in governmental discourses compared to their prominence in much of the critical, and not so critical, academic literature in the UK over the past ten years.

2. When men are addressed explicitly in government-produced material, this is far more likely to be in early-stage consultation documents or in enquiry reports than in hard recommendations, advanced consultative documents or (most of all) Acts of Parliament.
3. As in all the other UK National Reports, there are clearly overlaps in the governmental material between the 4 areas of this analysis: for instance, social exclusion and health; social exclusion and home and work. However, partly because gender (and in particular men) figure far less prominently in governmental material than in the academic (or even the statistical) data, then the overlaps are much less obvious here.
4. Men as violent partners have been the focus of some considerable attention in government discourses: certainly more than men as violent fathers – and this discrepancy needs some urgent investigation. Partly because some research clearly suggests that violent partners may be violent fathers too; and vice versa.

Appendix 7: National Reports on Newspaper Representations

Appendix 7A: Key Points from the National Reports on Newspaper Representations

- Estonia:** 1. Three papers were surveyed: *Postimees* (largest circulation national broadsheet), *Eesti Päevaleht* (the second largest circulation broadsheet) and *SL-Õhtuleht* (largest circulation national tabloid). These newspapers publish some articles on men but this is usually in an agendered or gender-implicit way. There are, for example, many articles on president election rally, sports, politics and business that are mostly on men, but they do not discuss or address gender. There were a small number of articles on men in a gender-explicit way. Small numbers of articles in printed media is somewhat compensated by live discussions in Internet portals.
2. There is no significant differences between three newspapers; all of the three newspapers have almost the same quantity of articles on men; tabloid (*SL-Õhtuleht*) gives more space to violence and *Postimees* (quality) more to work and home.
 3. Violence was at the first place (40% of all titles), three other topics were divided more equally (c. 20%), however violence and home and work got same space in newspapers. It means that articles on violence were relatively short.
 4. The qualitative analyses reveals that gender is mostly presented in a traditional way – with inequality represented as deriving from the nature of men and women.

Finland: 1. Three papers were surveyed: *Helsingin Sanomat* (largest circulation national broadsheet), *Aamulehti* (regional broadsheet with large circulation) and *Ilta-Sanomat* (largest circulation national tabloid). These newspapers publish many articles on men but this is usually in an agendered or gender-implicit way. There are, for example, many articles on sports, politics and business that are mostly on men, but they do not discuss or address gender. There were a small number of articles on men in a gender-explicit way.

2. The alternative methods of measure of coverage are, in different ways, problematic, whether in terms of number of articles, space coverage, ‘weighted’ coverage, proportion of the whole paper, and so on. This needs to be borne in mind in interpreting the results.

3. The proportionate emphasis on men in spatial terms is by far greatest in the afternoon ‘tabloid’ paper, *Ilta-Sanomat*, except in terms of social exclusion.

4. Of the four themes, home and work is relatively strongly represented; this is especially so in terms of proportionate space of coverage, because articles on this theme tend to be longer than on other themes.

5. Social exclusion is a relatively absent theme in a gendered way, even though all the newspapers wrote generally on social exclusion, for example, unemployment without addressing gender issues.

6. All three newspapers generally wrote about violence in a gender-neutral way. The fact that men are far more often the perpetrators, and in some respects the victims, of violence was not discussed in any newspaper in this period (though it has been at other times). Short reports on violent acts or crimes comprised the majority of the articles related to violence. In all three newspapers, violence is the most widely covered of the four themes, in which men are reported in a gender-explicit way. This is especially so in the *Ilta-Sanomat*, particularly when including the large amount of picture coverage.

7. Health issues are not widely reported in *Aamulehti* and *Ilta-Sanomat*.

Germany: 1. To an amazing extent, the three most widespread newspapers in Germany express a thoroughgoing denial of reflection on masculinity.

2. Masculinity appears as presupposed referring to economic activities – women figure only as an exception.

3. Masculinity and violence against known women is common-sensed to a very large extent; especially violent attacks on women when they want to leave their male partners, or because of jealousy are pictured as something to be expected, or at least understandable, often picturing the perpetrator as a victim himself.

Ireland: 1. The Irish like their daily newspaper and they tend to prefer the better quality, serious media as opposed to the tabloid press. I chose for this study the *Irish Times* (the quality broadsheet *par excellence*, the national ‘paper of record’), *Irish Independent* (largest daily sale) and *Irish Examiner* (the most populist/tabloidy of Irish produced papers), which are all broadsheet newspapers. There are no Irish produced daily tabloid newspapers, although there are Irish editions of UK tabloids, like the *Sun*, *Daily Mirror* and *Daily Star*. It was not appropriate to include any of the latter as their Irish content is minimal (no more than c10-20%), which would effectively have meant including a paper that had mostly UK content and production values.

2. The relatively non-tabloid nature of the Irish press is partly reducible to the strict nature of the libel laws which restrict aggressive investigative journalism, the ‘naming and shaming’ of gay men, politicians, child sex abusers and so on as occurs in the UK, for instance. A second influence is the traditional power of the catholic church which, since the formation of the Irish state in 1922, heavily regulated discourses and images surrounding socio-moral issues. Irish men have traditionally been represented in de-sexualised ways, as the exemplar of traditional hegemonic masculinity has been the celibate priest. The disclosure in the 1990s of significant amounts of child sexual abuse by priests and the systematic cover-up of that abuse by the church has been crucial to weakening the church’s hegemony. The ‘paedophile priest’ has become a key symbol of danger to children, a social construction which is entirely a media event implying clear links between celibacy and child sexual abuse. Significantly, while there are many more convicted sex offenders who are married heterosexual men, malestream heterosexual masculinity within and without the Irish family has not been problematised. This reveals the press’s role in broadly supporting and reproducing normative assumptions about men and gender relations.

3. In the two week period under review, the overall quantity of articles relating to the specific themes were relatively very low. The majority of articles about men come under the ‘other’ category, accounting for 19.27% of the overall newspaper coverage. Most of these are about men in sport, ie their personal achievements, disappointments and so on, while others are to do with entertainment, featuring well known musicians, actors etc. and politics.

4. The largest coverage *within* the four categories only - ie when all other coverage is excluded - concerned social Exclusion at 48%, violences was next at 30%, Home and Work 18%, with health 3.5%.

Italy: The three Italian newspapers surveyed were: *La Repubblica* (high sales figures of 812,366 copies sold daily, and a largely middle class readership); *La Stampa* (medium sales figures of 540,142 copies sold daily, and medium target readership); and *Liberio* (low sales figures of less than 500,000 copies sold daily, and a politically focused target market). The coverage on men, though rather limited in total, did include a wide variety of topics and issues. In order to provide an example of the wide dispersion of reporting on men and masculinities in the press, we will look at the topics represented in these three Italian newspapers a little more specifically.

1. In *La Repubblica* the home and work category included 11 articles: on fame, youth, family models, books, and work pressures. Six articles were found about men’s health, on smoking, depression, psychotic diseases, paedophilia (as a ‘disease’), and car crashes.

Social exclusion was represented through 5 articles: on homosexuality, racism and hooliganism, and ethnicity.

Violences was the largest category with 25-30 articles, ranging across paedophilia, rape and sexually related crimes, homicide and domestic homicide, theft, and violent crimes.

2. In *La Stampa* men’s relations to home and work included 12 articles: on paternity, the relationship between husband and wife, and fame. Nine articles reported on health: on incontinence, various diseases, and cancer arising from chemical substances (amongst workers and soldiers). The social exclusion category included just 4 articles, on gay men. Violences was again the largest category, with 45-50

articles, about various forms of violence, juvenile delinquency, homicides against women, rape, and paedophilia.

3. In *Liberio* the home and work category included 5 articles, about paternity and infidelity. Three articles reported on health: about cardiac diseases, car crashes, and smoking. Violences was reported in 25-30 articles: on paedophilia, rape and sexually related crimes, homicide and domestic homicide, theft, and violent crimes. These listings give an indication of how the overall representation of men and masculinities can include a wide variety of topics and issues, and yet still not present a focused public media discourse on men.

Latvia: 1. The mass media present a very diverse picture even for such small country. Television channels are public, and public channels trying to be receptive to the social issues of the day have to financially survive, showing many serials, soap operas, action movies. Internet is becoming a widely spread type of mass media, particularly with its cheap or free access and seemingly more diverse informational space. The national newspapers in Latvia are part of private business sphere. The newspaper “*Diena*”, however, is considered to be the major speaker for official positions and ruling parties in national mass media.

2. An important feature of the newspaper market is that it is divided in two sub-markets: Latvian-speaking and Russian-speaking. This has resulted in the shaping of two readerships with little united around shared issues. The Russian language press pays more attention to what is going on in Russia and other former USSR republics. It takes a more critical slant on events. These newspapers are very important because some of Latvia’s Russian speakers do not speak or read Latvian; other Russian speakers who can speak and read Latvian still buy Russian language newspapers as Latvian newspapers tend not to have relatively inclusive agendas. Other separations exist in the media in selecting, representing, giving viewpoints and discussing different issues. Russian-speaking newspapers pay more attention to discussing the problems of “aliens” in the country. The two media communities hold different views upon such issues as ethnic and social integration, thus, not only reflecting the politically-shaped opposition in the country, but themselves re/producing this societal division between Latvians and Russians. Most of their energy goes into keeping up this ethno-social and ethno-political division of the population. This not only exposes the power of political forces behind media in both languages, but also averts journalists from shared discussions of common social issues including men’s issues. This under-representation of shared issues, including men’s issues, is an explanation of silencing/marginalising of social exclusion among men in our society.

3. The two-audience situation in the country with citizen/alien political status has had disruptive effects on possibilities of forming popular opinions on different political, social and economic issues. However, there are common themes: privatisation process; integration process; problems in and with the army; joining the EU and NATO.

Norway: 1. Gender-equal status is seldom a front page matter, but there is often an angle in this direction, especially in “women’s areas” (traditional view) like parenting, the home, family matters. “Gender-equal status has not been achieved” – there have been a lot of big words, but the reality is limited. Common are, for example, reports from low-paid women’s work, social sector, etc. but also politics, violence, rape. In contrast, some stories take up men’s situation, but this is not frequent.

2. Sceptical coverage of hegemonic and business masculinities (“greedy boys are at it again”) is quite frequent, especially when male leaders give each other huge “golden parachutes”, options, benefits, and so on. Still very rare is a wider perspective on masculinities. For example, in the coverage on terrorism masculinity has been pointed out in a few debate articles, but it is not a common theme. Gender politics do not make it into the main media-political agenda.

3. *Dagbladet* has run a series of reports on men’s situation. The paper even sponsored a survey in 1998, ten years after the state-run survey on men, since the state did nothing in terms of follow up. The survey showed a more materialistic yet care-oriented trend among men, more signs of business influences on masculinity, more health problems, stress, depression, lack of openness or relations in which men felt they could talk about these things. These themes figured in a number of articles in the paper 1998-1999. This thorough coverage remains an exception.

4. Norwegian papers appear to have become somewhat less gender-stereotyped over the last few years, with less polarisation and identity politics. At the same time, some “segregationist” tendencies can be found, sometimes in the guise of more provocative journalism. Social and cultural researchers often feel journalists present issues in too black or white a way. A gap remains between current knowledge in research and journalism.

Poland: 1. The absence of any clearly defined themes dealing with men’s issues, matters connected exclusively with men or unequivocally referring to them is the most striking observation that ensues from the analysis of the three selected Polish journals.

2. Men play the main role primarily in events in the context of politics, economy and sport (these categories, however, as defined in the directives received, were not considered for the preparation of the report).

3. Both the quantitative and qualitative analyses show that the category of Violence prevails in the four major issues selected for the purposes of the report, which may encourage a negative interpretation of the image of men in Poland.

4. It should be remembered, however, that the body of the material for the preparation of the report was significantly narrowed down and the period of study very short.

Russian Federation: 1. The Russian newspaper and other media industries have undergone considerable change since the transformation from the former Soviet period. Commenting on the earlier situation, Tartakovskaya (2000) notes that: ‘The Soviet media was strongly unified in terms of values and all the newspapers were essentially tools in the same ideological system. Certainly there were subtle differences in the positions of Soviet newspapers, but nevertheless their general characteristics of the role of men, women and the family were very similar ... the assumption that the ‘higher goal’ of individual men, women and their families was to assist the building of communism.’ *Izvestia* tended to endorse functional families with egalitarian domestic division of labour; *Komsomol’skaya pravda* tended to emphasise the lives of women, especially single worker-mothers, and their heroic individual contributions to the state rather than to individual men; and *Sovetskaya Rossiya* ‘seemed to point to the idea that needs of the state could be reconciled with traditional familial relations in which the man retained his dominance’. This last newspaper appeared to have the most openly pro-male reporting: ‘A man was allowed to be master in his own home, but only on condition that he proved himself at work.’.

2. In the post-Soviet period the reporting on men and gender relations has taken quite different forms, with much depending on the political affiliation of the newspaper concerned. In the case of *Komsomol'skaya pravda*, both men and women are represented as freed from the state, able to pursue their own affairs, in both senses of the word, with fuller liberation. *Izvestia* reports on the transformations in a more sober light, describing 'a world of gender conflict, a Hobbesian nightmare in which brute strength prevails'; and *Sovetskaya Rossiya* is even more pessimistic, with family and personal life severely disrupted by the decline of the state and its services. Tartakovskaya describes these respectively as: hedonist-patriarchal; liberal-patriarchal; and 'more nationalist position in which gender relations are still subordinate to a greater good'.

3. Since the late 1990s the circumstances of the Russian media have changed further and indeed radically, with economic and political crises, downturn in advertising partly through exit of foreign advertisers, increasing production costs, distribution failures, newspaper closures and layoffs, and drastic reductions of salaries in the industry. This has been especially serious at the regional newspaper level.

UK: 1. In none of the papers analysed was there any significant discussion of men and/or masculinities in relation to policy. This contrasts with the very considerable attention paid to men in some areas of UK governmental and quasi-governmental policy discussions surveyed in the Workpackage 3 UK national report. Such a finding is especially surprising since (a) two of the three papers reviewed are widely recognised as among the most "heavy-weight"/serious in the UK regarding policy issues generally (*Daily/Sunday Telegraph* and *The Guardian*); (b) the period reviewed was part of the "run up" to the British General Election when one would expect policy issues to be more prominent than at any other time.

2. "Stories" which focused explicitly on men and/or masculinities per se were rare in all the papers reviewed – and the most socially "liberal"/left-wing of the three papers (*The Guardian*) in some ways had less of these than the other two.

3. The most populist and, in some ways, most right-wing of the three papers (*The Sun*) has considerably less square centimetres of news available to it than the other two and yet, in absolute terms, it devoted by far the most attention to men and masculinities as a whole and especially regarding the themes of violence and health. Whilst it might be possible to suggest some relatively straightforward hypotheses to explain the emphasis on violence, the attention paid by *The Sun* to the theme of health is perhaps more surprising and worthy of further investigation. Conversely, the overall poor relative coverage provided by *The Guardian* also warrants further consideration given that it is generally regarded as one of the most "socially concerned" of UK newspapers and often seen as closer to the ethos of the current Labour Administration than any other "serious" newspaper – an administration which, as the two previous UK national reports have demonstrated, devotes considerable attention to issues of men and masculinities in some specific policy areas

Appendix 7B: The newspapers selected for analysis in each country

Estonia: *Eesti Päevaleht*, *Postimees*, *SL-Õhtuleht*

Finland: *Aamulehti*, *Helsingin Sanomat*, *Ilta-Sanomat*

Germany: *Bild Zeitung*, *Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung*, *Süddeutsche Zeitung*

Ireland: *The Irish Examiner*, *The Irish Independent*, *The Irish Times*

Italy: *Libero*, *La Repubblica*, *La Stampa*

Latvia: *Chas, Diena, Vakara Zinas*

Norway: *Aftenposten, Dagbladet, Klassekampen*

Poland: *Express Ilustrowany, Gazeta Wyborcza, Trybuna Łódzka*

Russian Federation: *Izvestia, Komsomol'skaya pravda, Sovetskaya*

UK: *Daily Telegraph, The Guardian, The Sun*

Appendix 7C: Percentages of articles and space devoted to men and men's practices in three analysed newspapers: summaries of selected countries

		Home and work	Social Exclusion	Violences	Health	Total
Estonia	Number of articles	7	8	15	7	37
	Percentage of articles	19 %	22 %	40 %	19 %	
	Space	30 %	18 %	33 %	19 %	
Finland	Number of articles	41	12	134	33	220
	Percentage of articles	19 %	5 %	61%	15 %	
	Space	40 %	2 %	36 %	22%	
Germany	Number of articles	62	104	76	22	264
	Percentage of articles	23 %	40 %	29 %	8%	
	Space	32 %	39 %	22 %	7 %	
Ireland	Number of articles	25	65	41	5	136
	Percentage of articles	18%	48%	30%	4%	
Italy	Number of articles	28	9	103	18	158
	Percentage of articles	18 %	6%	65 %	11 %	
Poland	Number of articles	5	3	43	1	52
	Percentage of articles	10%	6 %	82%	2 %	
	Space	10 %	11 %	78 %	1 %	
UK	Number of articles	102	18	161	60	341
	Percentage of articles	30 %	5%	47%	18 %	
	Space	36 %	4 %	48%	12 %	

Appendix 8: Example of Review of Key Points for one Country
KEY POINTS IN NATIONAL REPORTS FROM
UNITED KINGDOM

WORKPACKAGE 1: RESEARCH AND SCHOLARLY ANALYSIS

- (a) The conceptual separation of “the social problems which some men create” from “the social problems which some men experience” is often simplistic and there is a need to study the intersections more carefully.
- (b) In the previous ten years there has been a massive amount of research and scholarly activity in Britain devoted to men as a social phenomenon, particularly in the fields of (i) home/work/organisations and (ii) men’s violences. The latter represent a massive social problem and permeate all other issues related to men’s practices in society. From a European perspective, the body of work on violences represents one of the most distinctive and valuable contributions made by British researchers.
- (c) Nevertheless, there are significant areas urgently requiring further research. Perhaps the three most important are: the intersections of gender with other social divisions clustered around dimensions such as culture/ethnicity, sexuality, age, disability, class; how to promote a concerted national programme necessary to challenge men’s violences; the promotion of further transnational and comparative research in relation to all the themes addressed in the report.

WORKPACKAGE 2: STATISTICAL INFORMATION

- (a) As with data from Workpackage 1, it is striking how this data confirms the importance of understanding the complex intersections of disadvantage associated with gender, ethnicity, class, age, sexuality, disability.
- (b) This data confirms again that issues of home and work, social exclusion, violences and health overlap and intersect in complex ways.
- (c) In Britain, there is an immense quantity of official statistical data on gender in relation to the labour market: it dwarfs the amount of data on other topics, even those relatively well-covered such as crime. There is an urgent need for much broader official statistical data gathering in relation to issues of social disadvantage and gender - in particular on: disability; sexuality; age; men’s violences to children.

WORKPACKAGE 3: LEGAL AND GOVERNMENTAL

- (a) It is striking that men figure so little explicitly in governmental discourses compared to their prominence in much of the critical (and not so critical) academic literature in the United Kingdom over the past ten years (WP1). In terms of improving social policy, the reasons for this discrepancy require urgent attention.
- (b) When men are addressed explicitly in government-produced material, this is far more likely to be in early-stage consultation documents or in enquiry reports than in hard recommendations, advanced consultative documents or (most of all) Acts of Parliament.

- (c) As in all the other UK National Reports, there are clearly overlaps in the governmental material between the 4 areas of this analysis: for instance, social exclusion and health; social exclusion and home and work. However, partly because gender (and in particular men) figure far less prominently in governmental material than in the academic (WP1) (or even the statistical – WP2) data, then the overlaps are much less obvious here.
- (d) Men as violent partners have been the focus of some considerable attention in government discourses: certainly more than men as violent fathers – and this discrepancy also needs urgent investigation from a social policy perspective. This is partly because the UK academic and scholarly material (WP1) emphasises that not only men’s violences to women but also their violences (especially sexual violences) to children constitute major social problems in the UK. Moreover, it is also because some UK research clearly suggests that violent men partners may be violent fathers too; and vice versa (see WP1 national report for details).

WORKPACKAGE 4: MEDIA REPRESENTATION

- (a) In none of the papers analysed was there any significant discussion of men and/or masculinities in relation to policy. This contrasts with the very considerable attention paid to men in *some* areas of UK governmental and quasi-governmental policy discussions surveyed in the Workpackage 3 UK national report. Such a finding is especially surprising since (i) two of the 3 papers reviewed are widely recognised as among the most “heavy-weight”/serious in the UK regarding policy issues generally (*Telegraph* and *The Guardian*); (ii) the period reviewed was part of the “run up” to the British General Election when one would expect policy issues to be more prominent than at any other time.
- (b) “Stories” which focused explicitly on men and/or masculinities per se were rare in all the papers reviewed, and the most socially “liberal”/left-wing of the three papers (*The Guardian*), in some ways had less of these than the other two.
- (c) The most populist and, in some ways, most right-wing of the three papers (*The Sun*) has considerably less square centimetres of news available to it than the other two and yet, in absolute terms, it devoted by far the most attention to men and masculinities as a whole and especially regarding the themes of violences and health. Whilst it might be possible to suggest some relatively straightforward hypotheses to explain the emphasis on violences, the attention paid by *The Sun* to the theme of health is perhaps more surprising and worthy of further investigation. Conversely, the overall poor relative coverage provided by *The Guardian* also warrants further consideration given that it is generally regarded as one of the most “socially concerned” of UK newspapers and often seen as closer to the ethos of the current Labour Administration (which, as the two previous UK national reports have demonstrated, devotes considerable attention to issues of men and masculinities in some specific policy areas) than any other “serious” newspaper.

Appendix 9: First Interface Workshop, 5th – 7th October 2001, Cologne, Germany

Attendance:

Eszter Belinszki (University of Bielefeld, Germany);
Professor Harry Ferguson (University College, Dublin, Ireland);
Professor Jeff Hearn (Svenska handelshögskolan, Finland/University of Manchester, UK);
Dr. Miklós Hadas (Budapest University of Economic Sciences, Hungary);
Professor Cornelia Helfferich (Protestant University of Applied Sciences, Freiburg, Germany),
Astrid Jacobsen (University of Bielefeld, Germany);
Professor Voldemar Kolga (Tallinn Pedagogical University, Estonia);
Tania Lace (The Social Integration and Gender Equality Unit at the Social Policy Development, Latvia);
Emmi Lattu (Svenska handelshögskolan, Finland);
Peeter Maimik (Tallinn Pedagogical University, NGO Estonian Family, Estonia);
Jackie Millett (Sunderland University, UK);
Professor Ursula Müller (University of Bielefeld, Germany);
Dr Irina Novikova (University of Latvia, Latvia);
Professor Elzbieta H. Oleksy (University of Lodz, Poland);
Alan O'Neill (South-East Men's Network, Department of Social, Family and Community Affairs, Ireland);
Marie Nordberg (Karlstad University, Sweden);
Professor Keith Pringle (University of Sunderland, UK);
Professor Tadeusz Rachwal (University of Silesia, Poland);
Teemu Tallberg (Svenska handelshögskolan, Finland);
Patricia Terry (The Women's Unit Cabinet Office, UK).

Appendix 10: Second Interface Workshop, 26th – 28th April 2002, Lodz, Poland
Attendance

Helene Aarseth (Nordic Institute for Women and Gender Research, Norway);
Prof. Irena Boruta (University of Lodz, Poland);
Beata Duchnowicz, (University of Lodz, Poland);
Agnieszka Dziedziczak (University of Lodz, Poland);
Professor Jeff Hearn (Svenska Handelshögskolan, Finland);
Professor Cornelia Helfferich (Protestant University of Applied Sciences, Germany);
Professor Harry Ferguson (University of the West of England, Bristol);
Joanna Kazik (University of Lodz, Poland);
Professor Voldemar Kolga (Tallin Pedagogical University, Estonia);
Emmi Lattu (Svenska Handelshögskolan, Finland);
Marczuk Magdalena (University of Lodz, Poland);
Peeter Maimik (Tallin Pedagogical University, NGO Estonian Family);
Professor Ursula Müller (University of Bielefeld, Germany);
Alan O'Neill (South-East Men's Network, Department of Social, Family and
Community Affairs, Ireland);
Marie Nordberg (Karlstad University, Sweden);
Professor Kevät Nousiainen (Helsinki University, Department of Law, Finland);
Dr Irina Novikova (University of Latvia);
Professor Elzbieta H. Oleksy (University of Lodz, Poland);
Elizabete Picukane (Center for Gender Studies, University of Latvia);
Professor Keith Pringle (University of Sunderland, UK);
Professor Tadeusz Rachwal (University of Silesia, Poland);
Joanna Rydzewska (University of Lodz);
Teemu Tallberg (Svenska Handelshögskolan, Finland);
Patricia Terry (The Women's Unit Cabinet Office, UK).

Appendix 11: Policy Option Paper I: National Options and Priorities

I. 1. The National Policy Context and the Four Policy Areas at the National Level The National Contexts of Policy Options

Men and masculinities are understood as set within changing policy contexts. There have been huge historical changes in forms of masculinity and men's practices. Yet there are also stubborn persistences in some aspects of men and masculinity. Perhaps the most obvious of these is men's domination of the use of violence, and of most hierarchical positions. To understand the national policy context involves considering the relevance of 'the social problem of men' within organisational and governmental policy formation, in national, regional and indeed EU institutions. Changing gender relations both constitute governments and provide tasks for governments to deal with. Governments can be seen as both part of the problem and part of the solution. It is necessary to analyse and change the place of men within the gender structure of governmental and other policy-making organisations. There is also a need to develop policy options on men, including best practices and policies on men. Addressing policy around men and masculinities is an important and urgent matter. We now introduce the four main policy areas.

Men's Relations to Home and Work

Recurring themes include men's occupational, working and wage advantages over women, gender segregation at work, many men's close associations with paid work, men in nontraditional occupations. There has been a general lack of attention to men as managers, policy-makers, owners and other power holders. In many countries there are twin problems of the unemployment of some or many men in certain social categories, and yet work-overload and long working hours for other men. These can especially be a problem for young men and young fathers; they can affect both working class and middle class men as, for example, during economic recession. Work organisations are becoming more time-hungry and less secure and predictable. Time utilisation emerges as a fundamental issue of creating difference in everyday negotiations between men and women.

Another recurring theme is men's benefit from avoidance of domestic responsibilities, and the absence of fathers. In some cases this tradition of men's avoidance of childcare and domestic responsibilities is very recent indeed and still continues for the majority of men. In some cases it is being reinforced through new family ideologies within transformation processes. In many countries there is a general continuation of traditional 'solutions' in domestic arrangements, but growing recognition of the micro-politics of fatherhood, domestic responsibilities, and home-work reconciliation at least for some men. In many countries there are also counter and conflictual tendencies. On the one hand, there is an increasing emphasis on home, caring, relations. This may be connected to "family values", a political right wing or a gender equal status perspective. It is not surprising if there may be a degree of cultural uncertainty on men's place in the home and as fathers and a growing recognition of ambivalence, even when there is a strong familism. There is also in some countries a growing interest in the reconciliation of work and home; and growing variety of ways of approaching this. Given the considerable difference that still exists between men's and women's earnings, it is not surprising that it is the woman who stays at home after the birth of a child. Since she is usually the person with the lower income, a

couple does not need to be wholehearted advocates of traditional domestic ideology to opt for the traditional solution. Evidence from Nordic countries shows that parental leave which is left to negotiations between men and women, are mostly taken up by women, although most people, men especially, say they want a more balanced situation. Men and indeed fathers are clearly not an homogenous group. Men's unemployment can have clear and diverse effects on men's life in families.

Many research studies have noted how there have been contradictions between the ideas men profess and the way men actually live. The fact that men and women living together do not always give the same assessment of their relationship in general and the distribution of tasks between them in particular has become a much discussed topic in methodology. The paradoxical ways in which gender conflicts on the distribution of housework may be negotiated may be illustrated from German research: while in the early 1980's women living with men were generally more likely that men to claim that they did more of the work, some studies in the 1990's have shown the opposite.

The Social Exclusion of Men

This has been one of the most difficult area to pre-define, but in some ways one of the most interesting. Social exclusion often figures in the research literature in different ways, such as, unemployment, ethnicity, homosexuality, homelessness, social isolation, poor education, poverty. The social exclusion of certain men links with unemployment of certain categories of men (such as less educated, rural, ethnic minority, young, older), men's isolation within and separation from families, and associated social and health problems. These are clear issues throughout all countries. They are especially important in the Baltic, Central and East European countries with post-socialist transformations of work and welfare with dire consequences for many men. Even in Nordic countries, which are relatively egalitarian with a relatively strong social security system, new forms of problems have emerged. In the last decade, new forms of marginalisation have developed, with shifts from traditional industry to more postindustrialised society. Globalising processes may create new forms of work and marginalisation. Some men find it difficult to accommodate to these changes in the labour market and changed family structure. Instead of going into the care sector or getting more education, some young men become marginalised from work and family life. Working class men are considered the most vulnerable. There is a lack of attention to men engaged in creating and reproducing social exclusions, for example, around racism, and the intersections of different social divisions and social exclusions.

Men's Violences

The recurring theme here is the widespread nature of the problem of men's violences to women, children and other men, and in particular the growing public awareness of men's violence against women. Men are overrepresented among those who use violence, especially heavy violence. This violence is also age-related. The life course variation in violence with a more violence-prone youth phase has been connected to increasing exposure to commercial violence and to other social phenomena, but these connections have not been well mapped.

Violence against women by known men is becoming recognised as a major social problem in most of the countries. The range of abusive behaviours perpetrated on victims include direct physical violence, isolation and control of movements, and abuse through the control of money. There has been a large amount of feminist research on women's experiences of violence from men, and the policy and practical consequences of that violence, including that by state and welfare agencies, as well as some national representative surveys of women's experiences of violence, as in Finland. There has for some years been a considerable research literature on prison and clinical populations of violent men. There is now the recent development of some research in the UK and elsewhere on the accounts and understandings of such violence to women by men living in the community, men's engagement with criminal justice and welfare agencies, and the evaluation of men's programmes intervening with such men. The gendered study of men's violence to women is thus a growing focus of funded research, as is professional intervention.

Child abuse, including physical abuse, sexual abuse and child neglect, is now also being recognised as a prominent social problem in many countries. Both the gendered nature of these problems and an appreciation of how service responses are themselves gendered are beginning to receive more critical attention, both in terms of perpetrators and victims/survivors. There has been a strong concern with the intersection of sexuality and violence in Italy and the UK: This is likely to be an area of growing concern elsewhere. There is some research on men's sexual abuse of children but this is still an underdeveloped research focus in most countries. In some countries sexual abuse cases remain largely hidden, as is men's sexual violence to men. There has also been some highlighting of those men who have received violence from women. Men's violences to ethnic minorities, migrants, people of colour, gay men and older people are being highlighted more, but still very unexplored.

Men's Health

The major recurring theme here is men's relatively low life expectancy, poor health, accidents, suicide, morbidity. Some studies see traditional masculinity as hazardous to health. Men suffer and die more and at a younger age from cardiovascular diseases, cancer, respiratory diseases, accidents and violence than women. Socio-economic factors, qualifications, social status, life style, diet, smoking and drinking, hereditary factors, as well as occupational hazards, can all be important for morbidity and mortality. Gender differences in health arise from how certain work done by men are hazardous occupations. Evidence suggests that generally men neglect their health and that for some men at least their 'masculinity' is characterised by risk taking, especially for younger men (in terms of smoking, alcohol and drug taking, unsafe sexual practices, road accidents, lack of awareness of risk), an ignorance of their bodies, and a reluctance to seek medical intervention for suspected health problems. There has been relatively little academic work on men's health and men's health practices from a gendered perspective in many countries.

Interrelations between Policy Areas

There are many important interrelations between the various aspects of men's positions and experiences, and their impacts on women, children and other men. There are strong interconnections between the four main policy areas. This applies to both men's power and domination in each theme area, and between some men's

unemployment, social exclusion and ill health. Men dominate key institutions, such as government, politics, management, trade unions, churches, sport; yet some men suffer considerable marginalisation as evidenced in higher rates of suicide, psychiatric illness and alcoholism than women.

I. 2.1 POLICY OPTION PAPER: ESTONIA

Voldemar Kolga

- (i) Equality of women and men before the law is guaranteed by the Estonia Constitution first sentence of Art. 12 of which stipulates that "Everybody is equal before the law", however some important concepts as direct discrimination, harrasment, equal treatment of men and women are still absent in the Estonian law.
- (ii) There is no special commission to promotion and implication gender equality principles. The complainer should gather burden of proof, however it is not easy task. The Estonian legislation is imperfect and with many gaps concerning gender equality issues. The current legislation does not linked to men's social problems (early deaths, unemployment, health, high rate divorces, drug use, violent behavior, etc.), however hopefully new Gender Equality Act would promote to solving these men's social problems. The special attention is needed to turn to the intersection of gender and ethnicity, e.g. to the Russian-speaking minority problems in Estonia.
- (iii) It is needed to analyse conformity of Estonian legislation with international standards of gender equality and .guarantee availability of official gender-sensitive statistic.

1. HOME AND WORK

- (i) The Estonian *Wages Act* stipulates that *it is prohibited to increase or reduce wages on the grounds of an employee's sex, nationality, colour, race, native language, social origin, social status, previous activities, religion, or attitude towards the duty to serve in the armed forces. Wages Act provides the principle of equal pay for the same work or for work of equal value and prohibits discrimination on grounds of sex with regard to all aspects and conditions of remuneration.* However, the principle of equal pay does not exclude discrimination of access to different jobs in the labour market.
- (ii) According to the new Holiday Act, a father of the new-born child will be entitled to additional right for child-care leave for 14 calendar days during a mother's pregnancy and maternity leave. The holiday pay for father's additional child care leave is paid from the state budget. The new amendment is a significant new right for fathers and clearly acknowledges that both men and women have family responsibilities.
- (iii) Task of policy makers is to create basis for introducing equal wages act into real life what needs working out special tools, for instance, to teach men to distribute better work-related and family duties.

2. SOCIAL EXCLUSION

- (i) The issue of social exclusion is not reflected in Estonian laws. No differentiation on account of gender is made in these laws, for instance, there are no such concepts as direct discrimination, harassment, equal treatment of men and women.
- (ii) Policy makers should pay more attention to the intersections of gender and nationality, for instance there is higher rate of unemployment among Russian-speaking minority men than Estonian men.
- (iii) The issues of sexual minorities are acknowledged already in Estonia, however there is no legal acts concerning these questions. For instance, nudists claimed to have official beach in this summer.

3. VIOLENCES

- (i) The *Code of Criminal Procedure* stipulates that justice in criminal matters is administered according to the principle of *equality of persons* before the courts regardless of the persons' origin, social status, financial situation, race, nationality, *gender*, education, language, attitude towards religion, field and type of activity, place of residence and other circumstances.
- (ii) The first reliable family violence survey (Pettai, 2002) showed that family violence is common in many families and this survey has raised a lot of attention to domestic violence. However, issues of domestic violence are not directly reflected in state politics. Yet. This year the first time trafficking of women has been acknowledged in society thanks to the International Conference.
- (iii) Policy makers should turn attention to the interrelationships between gender, unemployment and nationality. So, there are relatively more criminals among Russian-speaking men than Estonians which probably comes from higher unemployment and adaptation difficulties to the new politico-economical situation. This connection is under-spoken in political circles.
- (iv) It is urgent need to take measures to prevent men's violence against women and children, for instance, by establishing men's crises centres, however this question is out of state policy. In Estonia NGO may rather organize men's centre than state institution, but foreign know-how is needed.

4. HEALTH

- (i) National health programme for children and youth until 2005, within which national action plans will be worked out for the prevention and improvement of children's mental health, school food, school health care, school environment, children's injuries and activity of physical exercise; programme for the prevention of alcoholism and drug-use for 1997-2007, national development plan for the prevention of HIV/AIDS and other sexually

transmitted diseases until 2001. However, these programs does not refer directly to gender, to men.

- (ii) Health problem of men, especially shorter life-expectancy in comparison with women and high suicide rate has received a lot of attention in society, however no legal documents deal exclusively with men's health and psychological well-beings problems.
- (iii) Before legal acts should happen changes in gender awareness, for instance, some Estonian PM's during the second reading of Gender Equality Act connected men's health problems with women's dominant status in education and health care institutions and requested protection from women power. It is needed to recognize the connection between poor health and some forms of masculinities, e.g. patriarchy system in society.

For further information see

Kolga, Voldemar (2001) *Estonia National Report on Law and Policy Addressing Men's Practices: Workpackage 3*. EU FP5 Thematic Network: The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at: www.cromenet.org

I. 2. 2. POLICY OPTION PAPER: FINLAND

Jeff Hearn and Emmi Lattu

1. HOME AND WORK

- (i) Despite the progress towards gender equality, employment in Finland can still be characterised by a significant wage gap and as heavily sex-segregated. These issues needs to be fully addressed by government and employers. This can include implementing in full current official policies to influence pupils and students to choose untypical disciplines in their studies; and attempts to make workplace cultures comfortable to the gender which is underrepresented. Men occupy the great majority of management positions, and other positions of power. This needs to be addressed in terms of transformations of management and leadership in companies and other employment.
- (ii) National legal and governmental policy is framed and characterised by a complex formal mixture of statements favouring gender equality in principle (The Finnish Act on Equality Between Men and Women operative from 1987), and statements using gender-neutrality as the major form of governmental communication. This means that there are relatively few explicit governmental statements on or about men.
- (iii) An exception to the generally gender-neutral pattern in which men are named is compulsory conscription into the army. Compulsory military service (voluntary for women) as a part of Finnish defence policy belongs to the line of Ministry of Defence. This compulsory conscription for men is a rare

example of discrimination against men. Some ecclesiastical law is still outside the equality law. This needs to be changed.

- (iv) Equality objectives set in the Programme of the present Government include the implementation of the mainstreaming principle in state administration; the implementation of the principle of equal pay in working life; the development of more flexible family leaves in co-operation with labour market organisations. There is strong interest in extension of the reconciliation of home and work, for men and women.
- (v) There is a strongly pro-fatherhood policy and ideology. This needs to be look more critically at the use of violence by fathers, especially against women. There is a lack of consideration of how different aspects of men's practices might connect with each other, for example, home, fatherhood and violence, and violence and work.
- (vi) There has been a variety of extra-governmental political activity around men of varying gender political persuasions. Since 1986 there has been the Subcommittee on Men's Issues, a subcommittee of the Council for Equality between Women and Men. This has produced a publication which sets out ways in which gender equality can be developed to men's advantage. Consideration of how men might assist the promotion of gender equality in ways that assist women is rare.

2. SOCIAL EXCLUSION

- (i) There is a governmental commitment to prevent poverty and social exclusion. This is implemented in numerous projects carried out by different ministries, STAKES and other organisations and associations. The interconnections between poverty and social exclusion with other themes, such as violence or poor health, should be addressed more and in a more fully gendered way. For example, homeless in Finland are mainly men and this gendered pattern also relates to poverty, poor health, substance use and so on.
- (ii) *Action against alcoholism and drugs would disproportionately assist men. Many state and non-state organisations implement several programmes against misuse of alcohol and drugs. The monopoly of alcohol distribution will be eliminated in due course in order to harmonize with EU laws. This will bring new challenges to alcohol prevention.*
- (iii) Gay men and sexualities. In 1997, Parliament decided to demand that the government take legal measures in order to eliminate the juridical disadvantages that partners of the same sex face. Recent law reform in 2002 on same-sex marriage is to be welcomed. Other areas of social and economic life, for example, recruitment to employment, need to be reviewed to ensure full citizens' rights for gay men and non-heterosexual people, and to reduce the pervasive power of heteronormativity. The denial of adoption for partners of same sex needs to be changed. It has been proved that the parents of same

sex can provide as safe and positive circumstances for growing up as heterosexual parents.

- (iv) Ethnic minorities/immigrants/racism. Legal reform from 1995 formally prohibits all discrimination based on sex, age, origin, language, religion, opinion, health, disability or any other personal reason. Another significant reform was the constitutional reform in the same year, which guarantees ethnic minorities, such as Romans and Sami people, a right to maintain and develop their own language and culture. The indigenous Sami people have their own administrative organ *Saamelaiskäräjät* which does not belong to the state administration. However, *Saamelaiskäräjät* have pointed out that several discriminatory practices persist. *Romaniasiainneuvottelukunta* (The Council for Roman issues) is linked to the Ministry of Social Affairs and Health; it aims to promote Romans' equal possibilities to participate in society's activities, and their economic, social and cultural living conditions. Main immigrant groups in Finland are Russians and Estonians. Despite the laws prohibiting discrimination, immigrants and ethnic minorities are generally lower positions especially in the labour market.
- (v) A new welcome post of minority ombudsman was established in 2001. Ombudsman's tasks are to promote good ethnic relations, follow up and ameliorate the position and rights of ethnic minorities, report, take initiatives and inform on these issues. In addition, ombudsman together with other authorities controls the equal treatment of people independent of one's ethnic background.
- (vi) The challenges faced by men with disabilities appear to be an underdeveloped arena of policy development.
- (vii) Policy attention to the place of men in *producing* social exclusion needs to be developed.

3. VIOLENCES

- (i) Generally speaking Finnish legislation, including laws on sexual violence, tends to be gender-neutral. Genders are usually not mentioned explicitly, though there is a growing policy interest in violence against women. There is an urgent need to gender violence in intervention policy and practice, as men are the overwhelming majority of perpetrators of violence, despite some relative increases in the number of convictions of women.
- (ii) *The Programme for the Prevention of Prostitution and Violence against Women 1998-2002 was launched by the Ministry of Social Affairs and Health as a part of the government Plan of Action for the Promotion of Gender Equality. This should continue. The Swedish model that criminalises the buying of sexual services and thus focuses on men clients is to be recommended.*
- (iii) There are a few men's anti-violence programmes. While they can be a positive approach to men's violence to women, their evaluation is necessary, as there

are a range of possible approaches and methodologies. At the same time, it must be guaranteed that men's violence to women is treated as a crime, with court trials and sentencing, and victims of sexual and/or physical violence are offered pertinent professional help within the police and justice system, and in social and health sector, including shelter homes.

- (iv) *With the increasing number of foreigners living in Finland, violence against immigrant women and men needs to be paid attention to. Immigrant women especially are often double victims: victims of violence as women and in the position of immigrants.*
- (v) Men have much to gain from reducing men's violence in terms of their own safety. This is especially so for young men, minority ethnic men, gay men.

4. HEALTH

- (i) In May 2001 the Council of State decided on national health programme. It formulates the guidelines for health policy for the next 15 years and the focus is more on promoting health. It is based on the World Health Organisation's project "Health for all" and national "Health for all year 2000". Health 2015 sees health more as a process than a static state. It aims to examine health from the different point of view of the life span and to identify critical phases of life in order to help people to get over these. One of its kind of core objectives is that young adult men's violent and accidental mortality would be reduced 30% of the level of the end of 1990's. Reduction of men's suicide is a priority.
- (ii) *Väestöliitto* (The Family Federation of Finland) is the central organisation for organisations specialised on family issues. Besides member organisations, it has units for population research, genetics screening clinics, family clinics, childlessness clinics, and sexual health clinics. The latter also has an open door clinic for young people who need help with issues related to sex, contraception, pregnancy etc., and a counselling service for young men.
- (iii) *Health promotion and awareness raising in relation to STDs, HIV/AIDS and reproductive health is continuously important with rapidly increasing STDs figures in some regions in part related to tourism, travel and prostitution.*
- (iv) Linkages between men's health and traditional masculine lifestyle should be addressed. This includes more attention to men's health practices and getting men to take their health seriously, avoid risk and accidents, reduce alcohol consumption, and so on.

For further information see

Hearn, Jeff, Lattu, Emmi and Tallberg, Teemu (2001) *Finland National Report on Law and Policy Addressing Men's Practices: Workpackage 3*. EU FP5 Thematic Network: The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at: www.cromenet.org

I. 2. 3 POLICY OPTION PAPER: Germany

Ursula Müller

1. HOME AND WORK

- (i) In 1958, a first law on equal rights of women and men in the FRG came into practice. It abolished, among other things, the right of the husband to decide as the last instance about any issues of marital life, if the partners could not find a consensus. As well, the right of the husband to cancel his wife's working contract without notice was abolished. But it took time until 1977 to give marital law again a push towards equality. Until 1977, a wife could not take up employment without her husband's approval, and she had to prove that "her" housework was properly done and not in danger in case she would take up work. Since 1977, husband and wife are said to divide home and paid work among each other in mutual consensus. In subsequent changes, the right of the women to maintain her name, the right of the couple to choose freely one of the names as the family name, the right to construct a double name, etc. were introduced. Attempt of the Green Party to make participation in housework compulsory for husbands has not been successful; yet it prompted a lot of public and parliamentary debates.

The first west-German legislation on parental leave was a law on maternal leave in 1979, permitting the mother to stay home half a year after birth without losing her job. In 1986, this possibility was extended: fathers, too, were entitled to take this leave, and the leave itself was extended to ten months, later to 12 months; and for the first time an amount of money was paid to those who were regarded as needy (the level of payment was more or less adequate to the lowest female employees' wages, as critics pointed to). Since then, parental leave has been successively extended to three years, whereas the guarantee to return to a workplace adequate to the one that was occupied before the leave has been weekend.

- (ii) In January 2001, the law on part-time work and limited working contracts became effective. The law aims at promoting part-time work for all employees, framing the conditions for limited working contracts, and preventing discrimination of workers in part-time and limited contracts. A general flexibilization strategy of employment conditions is combined here with definitions of minimum standards to secure employees' rights and security. The employer has to facilitate part-time work to all employees, even in leading positions, by announcing any vacancy as being shareable, in case this working place is appropriate for being shared. In case an employee expresses the wish to extend or reduce his or her working time, the employer has to negotiate with him or her, targeting at a consensus.

Referring to the limitation of work contracts, the law permits limited contracts without a special practical reason (which would be the regular condition for limitation) up to two years. This is important, seen from a parental leave's view, as employers may more easily hire substitutes for men or women on

parental leave, or give work to a mother or father on parental leave who wants to combine parental leave with some work, as it has been permitted by parental leave legislation in the 90ties.

The law was publicly launched as a contribution to the reconciliation of family and work and was passed at the same time as a further step to gender equality. Whatever may be debated about that, it is true that for the first time in German history both genders and, at least on principle, employees in positions of all kinds and levels have the right to claim for a change of their working time schedule.

Yet, although there have been campaigns to motivate fathers to spend more time with their families, fathers in Germany are still very reluctant to take parental leave. Therefore, a guideline similar to Swedish regulations, enforcing parents to divide parental leave among them, otherwise the full amount of leaving time will not be allowed, could be an important step.

But politics will not be successful when addressing men as fathers alone. It is also necessary to change work-place cultures. Although much of lip service is paid to family-friendly working-time schedules, often the implicit assumption seems to be that it will be claimed for by mothers only. Therefore, policies should be designed addressing the problem of traditional masculinities in power positions, hindering other forms of masculinity (for instance overt fatherliness) to develop inside the firms.

Yet, with Germany being a country with still relatively large discrepancies between men's and women's earnings, some more things have to be done. The load, but also the power of a traditional family earner income is still put on and/or given mostly to men, thus unenabling many couples to try out non-traditional arrangements. Therefore, regulations reinforcing gender equality in gainful employment are strongly needed to provide more equal share of the genders and to widen the space for choices. To the disappointment of many, the red-green government has postponed an according legislation process to the year 2003; until then, German economy has time to deliberately install equality enhancing measures. Some of the big German employers, like some banks and airlines have reinforced their efforts for gender mainstreaming and diversity policies; but there are severe doubts if this will change the picture as a whole. As investigations show that German elites tend to become more and more self-referential and narrow in their selection processes, two scenarios for the future are possible: a more diverse working-life with a gender and ethnic plurality of accepted leading personnel, or a continuation of the traditional hegemonic assumptions and structures, with German economy being led by elites whose assumptions of the world are very restricted and traditional, especially with respect to gender relations.

In the newly elected government, gender issues, until now, seem to be more or less cloaked under the headline "family". This bears the risk to re-allocate family questions and reconciliations problems again on the women's side. On the other hand, it has to be said that government has now actively addressed the

problem of gendered violence. A first survey on violence against women, that has been marked as missing for a long time, is now under way; at the time, a first pilot study on violence against men has started. This bears the chance to provide more insights into the violent potential of asymmetric gender relations, and into the potential of change.

2. SOCIAL EXCLUSION

- (i) Until 1969, living together in a same-sex partnership was punishable (§175 StGB), although, in reality, there had not been any cases of prosecution in the late 60's. In 1969, punishability was replaced by "moral indecency", which was maintained until 1984. Since then, in German public more offensive ways of "coming out" have become common.

The new law on same-sex relationships is divided into two parts; the first part does not deserve the agreement with the chamber of the Laender. This part of the law is valid since the 1st August 2001, and includes the possibility for same-sex couples to get registered officially as a life community. They may also decide for only one name, they are mutually responsible for each other in matters of everyday life; in some respects, if one partner brought a child from a former relationship into the same-sex partnership, the other partner has the right of care (for instance, taking the child to the doctor, attending parents' assemblies in school). Besides that, the health insurance of one partner may as well serve the second, in case this person has no shelter on her or his own respect, and also a newly introduced compulsory insurance for old age, covering prospective costs for intensive care. The second part of the law deserves the agreement of the Laender and has been rejected by their chamber in the first attempt. Now a negotiation committee has taken up its work to find a compromise. This part includes regulations on taxes, on employment in the public service, and on social security in general.

In the meantime, the debate has broadened and become a discussion of a modern understanding of "family", especially with the presence of children. This is induced because the law on adoption does not include in its wording any discrimination of any type of living together at all, but in practice is discriminating against non-married and same-sex partnerships.

Since 1st September 2001, the law regulating the renting of flats and houses has been extended to same-sex partnerships the rights sheltering marriages; for instance, if one partner dies who holds the contract, the other one has the right to stay in the flat.

This development was accompanied by a lively public debate, mostly in absolute favour of changes to equal rights. That relevant parts of the public would be in favour of liberalisation, even brought the conservative chancellor candidate into troubles because he initially had announced to take the law back and save the institution of family from misuse by homosexuals. Yet, the conservative majority in the chamber of the Laender is barring parts of the law to proceed further.

Referring to same-sex relationships, the German development thus promises that same-sex orientations will not be a criteria of social exclusion in the future. This is not the case for other criteria; one very important one is immigration and migration.

Referring to migration, government has put a law on the way that promised, though criticized in some points, to address some of the crucial points of migration: Germany would accept to be an immigration country; immigration into Germany would be restricted to maximal annual figures; migrants coming to or already living in Germany should have compulsory German language courses; spouses when separated from their partners should receive a right to stay of their own after a rather short period of marriage (this was an important point for women's groups, because that had long campaigned to draw attention to the rightless situation of migrant women living in abusive partnerships, but being forced to stay because there was a period of 4 years before they received their own right to stay.)

This law had to pass the chamber of the Laender and received a contradictory voting; pro and contra votings balanced each other out, and the Land Brandenburg voted un-animously: pro by the president of ministers, contra by his substitute. The conservative opposition succeeded just recently (December 2002) at the Constitutional Court: the court ruled that the mode of passing the law through the chamber of the Laender had not been correct.

Therefore, after a courageous start to pay more and better attention to migration, the legal process was stopped and has to start anew.

3. VIOLENCES

- (i) **Since September 1994, sexual harassment has been introduced as a legal issue into German law. The law is named "act on the protection of employees" (Beschäftigtenschutzgesetz) and concentrates on three main points:**

If an employee feels sexually harassed, he or she has the right to complain to the employer. The employer has to react immediately to clear up the case, to prevent further harassment, and to sanction the prospective harasser, with a range of sanctions from reprimand to dismissal. The employer is further obliged to take measures preventing sexual harassment at all. The harassed person shall not suffer from any disadvantage or discrimination because of his or her complaint.

The law also prescribes extensive training of persons with responsibility for personnel (for instance, personnel managers, members of workers' councils, equal opportunities officers).

- (ii) *Since November 2000, a law is valid banning violence by educating persons against children, including parents. Violence is banned as a means for education. Physical punishment, mental cruelty, and humiliation of all kinds are forbidden.*

(iii) Since 2002, a law is valid to shelter people in intimate relationships from violence against each other, by directing that the violent partner has to leave the home they live in jointly, even if he or she is the owner of that home. The law also works preventively and with punishment referring to contact unwanted by the victim. The non-accepted types of behaviour include prosecution by phone, and behaviour as “stalking” in cases where relationships only exist in the mind of the prosecutor. A further important aspect is that the law - being part of a government’s action plan to fight violence against women, released in December 1999 - claims for networking of all institutions that are in charge of handling violence against women in some respect, like police, social work authorities, health organizations, churches, NGO’s, women’s shelters, counselling agencies, perpetrator counselling to prevent further violence, and the like.

To make this law effective, a collaboration with the “Laender” is necessary because, for instance, the rules governing police behaviour have to be changed as a consequence of this law. This process is not yet finished; some Laender implement the law reluctantly.

(iv) In 1997, after long debates, legislation declared rape in marriage as an official crime. “Official crime” (Offizialdelikt) means that as soon as authorities learn about a case of rape in marriage, prosecution is taken up immediately and promptly, and the raped wife cannot stop this process. This was a widely debated point, as many conservative members of parliament held the opinion that the wife should have the opportunity to stop the charge in order to facilitate reconciliation and continuation of the marriage. The supporters of the law, among them a coalition of female members of parliament across party borders, argued that given a gender and power hierarchy in marriage, the danger of pressure exerted on the women by her violent husband would be too obvious. The law as a whole was made possible along the argument that there was a severe discrimination of married women compared to women in non-married partnerships: whereas the latter were sheltered by law in the case of being raped by their partner, in married partnerships rape simply was pretended to be non-existent, as sexual intercourse is said to be a core element of marriage. Indeed, in former versions of the marriage law, wives were obliged to give sexual access to their husbands even against their will.

Many of the laws presented above have been publicly debated in a certain pattern of arguments. Rather often, the more left-wing and green side argued in favour of the victims, for instance the prospective beaten-up or harassed women, whereas the more right-wing side argued with respect to possible abuse, predominantly false accuses who may put irreparable damages on the falsely accused person. For instance, in one of the parliament debates on the law banning violence in intimate relations, a Christian-democrat speaker referred to the “known fact” that in partner-related cases of violence normally a lot of emotions prevail that make it very likely that both partners will lie to authorities (German Parliament 8.3.2001).

In sum, in the last five years a remarkable change has to be stated for German politics addressing gendered violences. This process should be

continuously evaluated in order to find out which resistances develop, and to draw conclusions for further action.

In general, issues of gendered violence have been taken more serious by politics and research in the last years. A problematic issue is still remaining, referring to the media. Here, it seems that men who kill their female partners when they want to leave or actually have left the relationship, or divorced fathers who kill their children when taking them for some according to custody agreement, are in a way "normal"; their "desperation" makes the killing appear as a regrettable, but understandable and, in a way, expectable action. The close association of being male and being violent, respectively, using violence as a means to solve problems, is nowhere challenged, except in feminist discourse and some men's movements statements, some research on masculinity, and so more regionally restricted campaigns. Political strategies towards this women-hostile, dangerous and non-responsible petrification of gendered violence should be taken into consideration (for instance, ethic codes for the media referring to gender questions, etc.).

4. HEALTH

(i) Still today, abortion in Germany is forbidden (§218 StGB). There were no exceptions during the first decades of the Federal Republic; with the women's movement, abortion became one of the core issues of women's liberation. Many German women travelled to the Netherlands where laws were liberal and methods human. Big campaigns and demonstrations finally led, together with the change from a conservative to a social-democratic government, to a liberation of the law. In 1976, four exceptions from punishability were defined: medical, eugenic, criminological, and social. The "social indication" covered rather broadly life situations which would make the continuation of the pregnancy hazardous for the pregnant. A compulsory counselling was introduced; each pregnant woman had to present a certificate that she had been counselled; the decision to have or have not an abortion was left with the women. (Before that change of law, the Bundestag had passed a formulated exception with a rather simple solution only stating that any abortion will not be punished within the first three months of pregnancy. This solution was abolished by the constitutional court of the FRG). But even then, processes remain difficult. Especially the catholic church exerts a lot of pressure on politicians and public discourse. This led to the consequence that in the "Laender" with a prevailing Christian-democratic orientation, women face a lot of difficulties, if not the impossibility to receive an abortion under safe and social-security covered conditions. The conservative policy to encourage pregnant women to have the child and let it become adopted afterwards has not been a success; the annual figures of adoption are decreasing. Today, public debates have ceased, but a very unequal distribution of abortion support is still a challenge.

Men's health still is an underdeveloped area in German politics; this is the case referring to men's well-being as well as to men's risky health practices. Although some pore comprehensive approaches now have been made in research, more effort should be developed referring to both aspects.

5. GENERAL RECOMMENDATIONS

Germany has not yet established a stable structure for gender awareness; a “gender watch” evaluation that, based on some internationally comparable criteria, could give an annual or bi-annual information about the current state of gender relations in Germany would be very helpful. Some criteria of the CROME reports not only on Germany, but also on the other member countries of CROME could provide a basis that could be developed further.

A prototype of such a system could be a strategic device to collect a lot of scattered information on men and gender relations, and help to provide a more comprehensive picture. It would give politics a chance to recognise “at one glance” the state of gender relations, provide a basis for further action, and may provide a serious ground for legitimacy, and to differentiate empirically valid arguments about the state of gender relations from those which merely try to influence discourses of traditional power. There is also necessity to support gender-collaborative research on gender questions.

For further information see

Müller, Ursula (2001) *UK National Report on Law and Policy Addressing Men’s Practices: Workpackage 3*. EU FP5 Thematic Network: The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at: www.cromenet.org

I. 2. 4 POLICY OPTION PAPER: IRELAND

Professor Harry Ferguson

- (i) In general, the overall nature of men’s experience in Ireland is contradictory. Men in general still dominate key institutions, such as the government and politics more broadly, management positions in public service, health and social services, trade unions, the churches, and sport. Men have a monopoly on the use of violence, in both public and private spheres. Yet some men suffer considerable marginalisation as evidenced by such things as higher rates of suicide, psychiatric illness and alcoholism than women. Men are also frequently the victims of violence by men. Men also make up the majority of the prison population, most of whom are extremely poor/disadvantaged, are more often the long-term unemployed, and experience on average almost six years lower life expectancy than women. In general the quality of statistical information on men in Ireland is mixed. While the state has pursued aspects of a gender equality strategy since the emergence of second-wave feminism in the mid-1970s, while there are exceptions, the impact of such policies are not being routinely evaluated through the production of official statistics on men and women’s experiences of such things as family responsibilities, work, violence, leisure and health. Research studies, some of which are sponsored by governments departments, have begun to address this gap in knowledge, but progress is slow and this urgently needs to change.

1. HOME AND WORK

- (i) The 'family' in Irish law is the kinship group based on marriage, and the only legitimate 'father' is the married father. Despite the fact that 26% of all births in Ireland are now outside of marriage, unmarried fathers are not acknowledged as fathers under the Irish Constitution (whereas the mother is given automatic rights by virtue of being a mother). Unmarried fathers have to apply to the courts for guardianship of their children. The Irish State has come under increased pressure in recent years to give fathers equal rights as mothers to be a parent to their child. Yet there is little sign that this has led to a more explicit gendering of men in terms of legal reform and fatherhood needs be more actively addressed as a policy issue.
- (ii) Irish fathers have no statutory entitlement to paternity leave. Following the implementation of the recent EU directive, they are entitled to 14 weeks parental leave in the first five years of the child's life, which is unpaid and not surprisingly vastly under used. During 2001 mothers have been granted an extension of paid maternity leave, from 14 to 18 weeks, and unpaid from 4 to eight weeks, while fathers in Ireland are about to gain paid statutory rights to attend two ante-natal classes and to be at the birth of their child. Such gender differences in how public policy is constituted around parenting demonstrates how the provider model and the ideal of the 'good working man' continue to dominate constructions of masculinity in Ireland. Unless and until fathers are given paid parental leave men's involvement in child care will fail to develop in the manner it needs to and increasing numbers of men want it to and will remain secondary to women's.

2. SOCIAL EXCLUSION

Despite economic growth, levels of poverty and social disadvantage remain significant. Concepts of social exclusion in Ireland have broadened to take account of not only poverty, but educational disadvantage, racism, homophobia and drug misuse. As increasing numbers of refugees and economic migrants have entered the country since the mid-1990s, the shift to a multicultural society is bringing to the surface latent Irish racism and has led to violent attacks on many men and women of colour, but for which no official figures are available. This, again, needs to change. There is limited gendering of men in relation to social exclusion, the most significant being in relation to the vulnerability of men who are socially disadvantaged and long-term unemployed. Since 1994 the Department of Social, Community and Family Affairs has been funding men's groups in socially disadvantaged areas. While there is no single model of 'menswork' going on in such groups in Ireland, the most common orientation appears to be personal development, as a support for men who feel excluded and are struggling to find a role for themselves. Evaluations of these programme suggest that they have significant benefits to socially excluded men and need to be developed.

3. VIOLENCE

Given that the central organising ideology which dictates how men are governed in Ireland is the provider model and the hard-working 'good family man', when evidence emerges that not all men are in fact 'good', a deficit in governance and services arises. Minimal attempts have been made to develop intervention programmes with men who are violent to their partners, while only a fraction of men who are sex offenders are actively worked with towards rehabilitation/stopping their offending. Masculinity politics with respect to violence are becoming more complex, with increasing pressure to recognise male victims of women's domestic violence. A clear strategy is required for working with men's violence, which includes resources for establishing treatment programmes, both in prisons and in the community. Services for victims of child abuse also need to be developed, for male, as well as female, victims. Intervention programmes also need to be developed which focus on the needs of vulnerable fathers, that is those men who are not abusive to their children or partners but in need of support and therapeutic help to develop their capacities as carers.

4. HEALTH

Men fare worse than women in terms of avoidable illnesses, accidents, and premature death. Greater risk taking behaviour is evident for men in terms of drinking and driving and not always using a seatbelt. The suicide rate among men has trebled over the past 25 years, with the highest increases in the 15-24 year old age group, but has remained constant for women. At 7.1:1, Ireland has the highest gender ratio of 15-24 year old suicides in the 46 countries covered by the World Health Organisation (WHO). The health of men is just beginning to be recognised as a health promotion issue, in the context of growing awareness of generally poor outcomes in health for men compared with women and generally lower resource allocation to men's health. The Irish government published a new health strategy in 2001 which included for the first time a specific section on men's health. This was a very brief statement, which needs to be developed in terms of actual policies and practices which can lead to the improvement of men's health. Health is still tending to be conceptualised in physical terms, with a neglect of psychological well-being. While increases in male suicide, especially by young men, are increasingly the focus of public concern, there has been little attempt to develop **gender specific policies and programmes which can help men to cope with their vulnerability and despair.** This urgently needs to be rectified.

For further information see

Ferguson, Harry (2001) *Ireland National Report on Law and Policy Addressing Men's Practices: Workpackage 3*. EU FP5 Thematic Network: The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at: www.cromenet.org

I. 2. 5 POLICY OPTION PAPER: ITALY

Carmin Ventimiglia

1. HOME AND WORK

This Paper notes with approval the advances in providing a formal framework for increasing men's child care functions within the home as set out in the Legislative Decree of 26 March 2001, n. 151. The decree institutes a national standard for the provision of both paternity and parental leaves.

2. SOCIAL EXCLUSION

The Paper notes recent steps taken by the government to increase the possibilities for positive state interventions against poverty in Italy. Nevertheless, this Paper urges the government to address the following barriers currently limiting the possibilities for such interventions:-

- (i) Few financial measures which combat poverty are specifically targeted at that issue. Many are measures aimed at broader objectives which only indirectly contribute to the challenging of poverty. More concerted anti-poverty measures are required.
- (ii) Similarly measures against poverty on the whole suffer from insufficient co-ordination between them. So, the response to poverty needs to be not only more concerted but also more coherent.

3. VIOLENCE

This paper notes with approval legislative steps taken towards developing a stronger legal framework for challenging violences to women and children in the home, as represented by the Legislative Decree of 4 April 2002, n. 154. The decree provides a legal frame for the provision, in certain circumstances, of a range of limits being placed on abusive behaviour. Those limits include, as well as other measures, the following provisions: exclusion of the abuser from the home; exclusion of the abuser from the environs of those abused; forcing a legally excluded abuser to make financial provision for those abused.

For further information see

Ventimiglia, Carmine (2001) *Italy National Report on Law and Policy Addressing Men's Practices: Workpackage 3*. EU FP5 Thematic Network: The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at: www.cromenet.org

I. 2. 6 POLICY OPTION PAPER: LATVIA

Irina Novikova

1. HOME AND WORK

The currently acting Family Code does not reflect the issue of gender and follows a typical breadwinner model. Family policies still target a traditional family model with children, however, in the environment with diversified public visions of family institution. The model of a husband-breadwinner's family, however, is implicitly reconstructed in family politics and legislation targeted at women as childbearers and major childcarers. The issue is providing anti-discrimination and equality in private sector legislation.

Law on Housing does not contain any anti-discrimination wording, and the only way to deal with private discrimination is to refer to Article 78 of the Criminal Law criminalizing direct or indirect limitations of a person's rights. There is no clear concepts and no clear definition of what is harassment in relation to gender discrimination. The State Civil Service Law does not contain any direct equality and anti-discrimination clauses, and only gives references to the Labour Code (Labour Code Article 7, Article 29)

On 20 June, 2001, the parliament adopted a new Labour Law containing a number of important anti-discrimination clauses. Article 7 enshrines the principle of equal rights and the prohibition of discrimination on the basis of gender and other grounds. Article 29 enshrines the prohibition of differential treatment based on gender "in creating a labour contract, during the operation of a labour contract, in particular in promoting an employee, determining working conditions, pay for work or professional training, as well as ending a labour contract." If the employee indicates conditions that may serve as the basis for direct or indirect discrimination, the burden of proof shifts and the employer must demonstrate that differential treatment is based on objective circumstances. Indirect discrimination is said to exist if ostensibly neutral conditions, criteria or practices create disadvantageous circumstances for a large majority of one gender. The new law forbids job advertisements aimed at only one gender or setting age restrictions. Article 33 holds that "during a job interview questions that are unrelated to fulfilling the work are impermissible, as are questions that are directly or indirectly discriminatory, such as those regarding pregnancy, family or marriage conditions." Article 60 creates the responsibility for employers to set equal wages for men and women for the same work. The law will enter into force on 1 June 2002.

However, the issue of legal responsibility is not effected in public consciousness to the level that in cases of the law violation the ground of gender women could sue their employees in court. This also addresses us to the two wider issues: closed public policies (UNDP Report 2001) and entry into the global structures of labour market – issues of accountability and transparency.

Labour Code includes an article on a parental leave for both parents. However, the article does not have any operative value in the society with low gender consciousness. The problem is that gender-equality rhetorics is accepted from top to down in government and in business whereas gender equality instruments such as, for example, gender-sensitive budget or such gender mainstreaming instrument as an obligatory gender literacy for legislators and civil servants would be extremely helpful for gender researchers and activists. The scope and detail of indicators in social statistics should be extended to provide a more complete picture on the true condition of different groups in society. Policy initiatives on home and work should be built upon gender-specified social statistics. This reflects a wider issue of a low level of integration of gender-sensitive programs in education and research.

It is necessary to reconsider the decreased state investment in social services

Conduct policy research on liberalization measures that support gender equality

Increase representation of gender equality advocates in national and international trade discussions

Promote capacity development for consistent application of a gender perspective in labour and family policy-making in all areas relevant to globalization

Develop systematic monitoring of equity impacts upon labour and family.

2. SOCIAL EXCLUSION

Labour code does not include the prohibition of discrimination on sexual ground in employment, and this contradicts the 2000 Council Directive on Equal Treatment.

The draft paper on Conceptual Framework of Solving the Problems with Poverty (2001) does not contain any statements as to men-specific and women-specific poverty patterns. It is only stated that women are 58% of the unemployed although the figure reflects the registered unemployed people, thus, a number of unregistered unemployed remains beyond any gendered statistics and analysis in terms of ethnicity, region, age, sexuality.

3. VIOLENCES

All new initiatives and strategies issued by The Ministry of Welfare mention the issue of violence, and not violences. A special attention is given to family violence as women-specific, the question is also what are forms of violence in society beyond family in which there might be specific men-specific consequences. Violence is discussed in the documents as a problem of a impoverished, less educated family, or a single-parent family as risk factors. The family level, however, should not remain to be a politically convenient target of strategies and initiatives of the government. Such form of violence as

rape is less discussed than family violence. However, there is no mainstream public discourse on new social models and value-systems of men, on understanding issues of men in terms of their class position, value crisis, sexuality, ethnicity.

The development of gender-sensitive legal consciousness of the population should be a priority of policies in different sectors of public progress, apart from bringing gender into legislation related to the issue of violence.

The adoption of the legislation should be promoted that is targeted against **sexual assault and sexual harassment**, against homophobic behaviour and attitudes linked with changing models of gender socialization and developing coordinated community responses.

Amendments to the anti-violence legislation should extend protection to men and women experiencing **gendered abuse in a range of domestic violent /abusive intimate relationships**, including **elder abuse** and **abuse by informal carers**.

Statistics regarding the gender of abusers has to be collected.

It is essential to create intolerance to abuse in all gender forms and working with all members of families where elder abuse is occurring is important, so as not to be isolationist, break down families or play one family member against the other.

There is a need to provide of counseling services, with medical practitioners, for abuse victims. The development of accessible, appropriate services should be encouraged for those men in particular, children and elderly, who are victims of violence. It is also important to develop preventive and treatment services for women and men who are at risk of, or have, perpetrated violence.

4. HEALTH

There are no legal documents or initiatives that are concerned with the issues of men's health and there are no policies dealing with gender dyssemetries in health.

Policy initiatives in men's health should be related to transformations in our cultural visions of traditional forms of masculinity and men's practices and operationalisation of gender-integrated reproductive health programs.

A number of important men's health issues as gender issues are often not adequately covered in the training of health workers and calls for greater emphasis on how gendered men's health is in the education of health care workers, and in research.

There are particular issues for men which affect their health. These issues can arise from the process of socialisation to compete and dominate in social and political spheres which can foster violence. Increased attention to lifestyle

changes is more important in improving the health of men than technological improvements in health care. All men in Latvia must have access to appropriate information and education about health. In particular, men need to be encouraged to make earlier, more appropriate use of primary health services.

To conclude:

Latvian legislation is in the early stages of focusing on gender issues. In 1999 a post was introduced at the Ministry of Welfare, with responsibility for gender equality to be included in internal social policies, and for providing information for policymakers on gender issues. In my view, the implementation of such post under the pressure of harmonization of the national laws with gender policies of the European Union was important for creating gender awareness at the ministerial level. However, it was not provided with sufficient mechanisms, instruments and adequate training for governmental representatives in the area of gender equality and gender mainstreaming. All these issues have been discussed as women's issues whereas problems of men were mainly viewed as the symptom of general emasculation, homophobia and social exclusion for different men's groups on the basis of their social, sexual, ethnic identification having become its ideological offspring.

In the case with the gender equality initiative the efficiency of its implementation would demand implementation of the related legislation in the Family Code, Civil Code, Labour Code, etc. Moreover, it would require the gender analysis and monitoring of its implementation, the adequately trained administrative level and awareness of its legal responsibility for the law implementation, the engaged and informed public level – all these factors are non-existent today. The identification of gender problems in Latvia has focused mainly on women's issues, and men's issues as part of the gender order and its transformations have been overshadowed, first, with the argument on “crisis with our men” in the early 1990s, secondly, with “men's responsibility for the nation-state in the EU-integration” after 1995.

On the other hand, the recommendations and documents of the European Union in the area of gender policies lack identification of problems for men and a focus on men-specific legislation, national as well as supranational. If a special attention is given, for example, to vulnerable groups of women as refugees and single parents, there is lack of consideration of vulnerable and socially excluded groups among men (in Latvia men would be also included in a vulnerable group of single parents). If trafficking is represented as women-specific, is there any space for discussing boys' and men's trafficking?

There are not policy projects at the governmental level and of integrated character that are directed at the structural change in social attitudes towards women's and men's issues, thus, treading the roads for legislative initiatives in the areas of family, work, health.

For further information see

Novikova, Irina (2001) *Latvia National Report on Law and Policy Addressing Men's Practices: Workpackage 3*. EU FP5 Thematic Network: The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities.

I. 2. 7 POLICY OPTION PAPER: NORWAY

Øystein Gullvåg Holter

INTRODUCTION

Difficulties balancing work and family needs, or “work/family stress”, is now the main stress factor in working life, according to health and work environment studies. Many men as well as women experience a “time squeeze” (Torvatn & Molden 2001). Time use statistics show that many couples are trying to realise gender-equal, democratic standards in their personal lives. In 1980, men performed 34 percent of all household work in Norway, including caring for children. In 1990, the men’s proportion had increased to 36 percent, mainly due to men using more time on care-giving tasks and other household tasks. In 2000, men’s proportion had risen to 40 percent, mainly because women through the 1990s reduced their time use on household tasks (based on Vaage, O 2002).

There are many measures that can improve the situation. Two areas are discussed here – work/family and violence.

1. HOME AND WORK

Working life measures

- (i) Improve research on gender segregation, especially, the role of horizontal discrimination; create better monitoring and countermeasures. Estimate the costs of gender segregation in terms of loss of resources, reduced diversity and flexibility, and barriers to development.
- (ii) Reduce gender segregation by supporting women in traditionally masculine jobs and men in traditionally feminine jobs.
- (iii) Men have families too. Worklife units should actively encourage men who share responsibilities like caregiving tasks. Identify and help develop new work forms that fit the demands of gender-equal couples, enabling them to better combine work and caregiving tasks.
- (iv) Combine measures and policies to increase the proportion of women in leadership jobs with a wider agenda for modernising the organisation. Include gender-equality measures towards men.
- (v) The large, low-paid sectors that are almost “women-only” represent a huge weight, creating gender inequality in society at large. As long as they remain, other developments will be slowed down. This can be seen e. g. in the health, caring and socialisation sectors. Better gender balance and desegregation are keys to the new work forms that are needed. Creating a better system must be combined with a wage lift that makes a real difference for the women (and the low-paid men) involved.

Work and family – private life-oriented measures

- (vi) Increase fathers' parental leave period from one to three months by extending the parents' total leave period. The father's period in the parental leave has had much more positive impact than any other reform in the countries where it has been implemented - Sweden, Norway, and now Iceland.
- (vii) There is no evidence that the reform means more domestic violence. Instead qualitative studies indicate that it means reduced family conflict.
- (viii) The father's leave model names men as men, is clear and easy to understand, connects benefit to duty, and relates well to on-going changes among men. This model can be used in other areas too. Similar caregiving rights should be extended to later phases of parenting and to other caregiving groups. The "daddy's month" only reaches a specific family phase, mainly, the child's first year of life. Wider socialisation reforms are needed to create a gender-equal upbringing and education.
- (ix) Create a more uniform system with equal caregiving rights – today, the public sector and large companies often follow one set of rules, while the private sector and smaller companies follow another (or none). Ensure that fathers and mothers have equal rights.
- (x) Develop incentives for organisations to create more gender-balanced use of care-giving and reproduction-related rights, e g gender-balanced leave among parents when their children are ill. Ensure equal treatment of parents and other caregivers, men and women. Create statistics that show the performance of branches and organisations on such measures.
- (xi) Combat rising sick leave with programs encouraging employees to take needed rests, breaks, voluntary activities etc. including more days off from wage work, provided they do it in a planned, pro-active way, arranged together with the employer and other vital work contacts.
- (xii) Examine the factors that hinder two-job or dual career households, compared to one-and-a-half-job or one-job (breadwinner) households, and create measures that help gender-equal households. Counter the still-existing preference system (political, economic and cultural) that favours imbalanced household/gender contracts.

2. VIOLENCE

- (i) Bring men's domestic violence into the public in a new way, as a problem related to other dysfunctional dimensions of some masculinities, and especially, as a problem that can be solved. Integrate this issue into other policies and measures.
- (ii) Develop more centres for men in crises, violence and other problems related to masculinity. Experience from such centres prove that men in crises are often motivated for change and personal development. Strengthen the cooperation

between women's and men's shelters and improve research and evaluations in this area.

- (iii) Create openness and discussion of gender-related violence, and keep the nuances in the picture (men are hit too, etc). Today, we see a “acknowledge and be ashamed” tendency, but what is needed, is a “learning from violence” public debate, developed into campaigns in schools, work organisations, etc. Understanding how to avoid violence is not just morally right or a good for women. It is important for men and boys' own development and social competence in general, for organisations to work better, etc.
- (iv) Avoid picturing sometimes-violent men as batterers. Today, most of the information about men who use violence comes from a special section of men. It is easy to mistake these cases for violent men in general. Create better studies of “normal” men and women where violence occurs sometimes over the lifetime (usually, in the young adult phase) but not regularly.
- (v) Create better help and measures for boys and young men. Reduce the gender segregation in the schools. Stimulate non-segregating gender socialisation processes.
- (vi) Reduce the risk of violence or abuse of children in kindergartens and other relevant institutions not by excluding or targeting men but by creating problem awareness and solution-oriented work styles including better personnel measures and work conditions. Gender-balanced work groups is a key to further development.
- (vii) Most men and women are non-violent, although many men have been violent a few times in their life. These men have also often been targets of violence, mostly from other men. Bring men into the debate – learning from violence rather than denying it. “The violence stops here”. Create a solution-oriented focus on how most men and women learn to avoid violence.
- (viii) Increase research on how men and women's forms of violence and aggression are related. Finance studies identifying “violence chains”, especially, how violence among men “translates” into violence against women. Develop measures to break these chains. Isolate specific misogynistic violence.
- (ix) Use preventive measures mainly, but also, increase the relative penalty of harm to persons vs. other forms of crime. Focus on mobbing-related, discriminatory, “hate crimes” and similar acts of violence especially, and focus also on those who support these forms of violence.
- (x) Develop more effective measures against misogynistic or patriarchal violence that exists in some subcultures, building on improved dialogue and mobilising gender-equality oriented women and men in these contexts, creating measures based on their experiences with solutions that work.
- (xi) Evaluate centres like “Alternatives to violence” with a view to developing peace and conflict resolution methods that can be more widely adopted. Help

realise goals like a culture of peace and conflict-resolution by developing specifically violence-reducing professional practices, ethics etc.

- (xii) A child-oriented focus may be the most effective means to involve many men in anti-violence learning, with a good chance of mobilising men as well as women. It puts the emphasis on the long term as well as the personal costs of violence. This approach should be tried out especially.
- (xiii) Identify the main factors that reduce the chance of gender-based violence, and create policies that specifically address each (eg, as part of gender mainstreaming efforts). Develop sets of criteria for organisations etc. and plans for meeting them.

References

Vaage, Odd Frank 2002: Til alle døgnets tider. Tidsbruk 1971-2000. Statistisk Sentralbyrå, Oslo/Kongsvinger

Torvatn, Hans; Molden, Thomas Hugaas 2001: HMS-tilstanden i Norge i år 2001. Sintef rapport STF38 A01027

For further information see

Holter, Øystein, Gullvåg (2001) *Norway National Report on Law and Policy Addressing Men's Practices: Workpackage 3*. EU FP5 Thematic Network: The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at: www.cromenet.org

I. 2. 8 POLICY OPTION PAPER: POLAND

Elzbieta H. Oleksy

1. HOME AND WORK

- (i) Polish labour law does not reflect the issue of gender in the definition of an employee (Art. 2 of the labour code). Infrequent references to it in legal norms are connected with and justified by objective differences, such as psychosomatic constitution, anatomical build or maternity, that condition divergent social roles.
- (ii) Policy initiatives on home and work related problems should address the issue of gender more directly, especially in relation to domestic violence and sexual abuse of children.
- (iii) In 2001 Polish Parliament adopted a bill that makes it possible to share parental leave by both parents. To make this bill functional, there should be more attention paid to ways of promoting a greater involvement of men in nurturing.
- (iv) Policy makers should pay far more attention than heretofore to consciousness raising of men and women as regards the issue of sharing domestic responsibilities (including child-rearing) by both partners.

2. SOCIAL EXCLUSION

- (i) Except for a general anti-discrimination clause (Art. 32.2 of the Constitution of the Republic of Poland), the issue of social exclusion is not unequivocally reflected in Polish legislation, with the exception of national and ethnic minorities which are referred to directly (Art. 35 Clause 3.2 of the Constitution of the Republic of Poland). No differentiation on account of gender is made in these laws. This should be amended.
- (ii) Policy initiatives should pay more attention to the intersections of gender with age, ethnicity, sexuality and disability (including, for instance, learning disabilities – the problem that has only recently received some media attention).

3. VIOLENCES

- (i) The problem of men's violences (especially domestic violence), albeit recently given relative attention in the Polish media, is not directly reflected in state politics. It constitutes, however, the core of activities of some social organisations, and is addressed chiefly to women and children. As for offences related to domestic violence, Polish law does not differentiate perpetrators according to their gender.
- (ii) Policy initiatives should pay more attention to the gendered aspect of domestic violence and of equally gendered nature of pornography and trafficking of women and children.

- (iii) There should be measures undertaken – and urgently – to prevent men’s violences against women and children, for instance by counselling men in group intervention programmes, not only assisting the victims but also working with the perpetrators of domestic violence and other forms of violence in which men are perpetrators as well as victims.

4. HEALTH

- (i) No legal documents deal exclusively with problems of health, social welfare and suicides concerning men or on nation-wide initiatives and programmes in this area (they are mainly aimed at women and children). This should be amended.
- (ii) Policy initiatives should focus on the relationship between men’s health issues and a life-style characteristic of traditional, and dominant in Poland, forms of masculinity (risk taking, abuse of alcohol and drugs, lack of awareness on health issues, etc.).
- (iii) Policy initiatives as regards the issue of health should recognise the relationship between poor health and other forms of social disadvantage such as class, age and gender.

For further information see

Oleksy, Elzbieta (2001) *Poland National Report on Law and Policy Addressing Men’s Practices: Workpackage 3*. EU FP5 Thematic Network: The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at: www.cromenet.org

I. 2. 9 POLICY OPTION PAPER: RUSSIAN FEDERATION

Janna Chernova

1. HOME AND WORK

- (i) The most gender issues in Russian Labour legislation concern with problem of women's rights of the employee (e.g. to keep the guarantees only for women during active period of their maternity (1,5 years), to regulate the system of women's promotion at work etc.).
- (ii) According to the new Labour legislation (KZOT) an employer (state or private) has liability for breakage pass of salary. This problem deal with brach of production with male workers (e.g defense production, pitmans, construction etc.). Meanwhile traditionally in Russia men seems as breadwinners, particularly in family with a standing wife and a young child. Also the serious problem is the most men work in hard, health-hazardous or dangerous job.
- (iii) From the point of view of formal jurisprudence the existing legislation is based on strict observance of the principle of equality spouses. The norms of the Family Code are mostly neutral concerning gender. Among them is the husband's right to divorce his wife if she is pregnant and within a year after the birth of a child as well as the husband's duty to support his wife (his former wife) during her pregnancy and within three years after the birth of a child. So, really, the Family legislation in Russian Federation is women' oriented. Fatherhood is the sphere of male discrimination, because, generally, after divorce a child abides with mother. Father not seems as competent parent from the state, court etc.
- (iv) The New Family Code to give right either of two parent to take paid leave for child-rearing, alimony from former wife/husband.
- (v) Policy makers should pay far more attention to problem of reproductive rights not only women, but men.

2. SOCIAL EXCLUSION

- (i) According to Article 19 point 3 of the Constitution of the Russian federation "Man and woman shall have equal rights and liberties and equal opportunities for their pursuit". The only sphere in which there are exclusions to the constitutional principle of gender equality is the constitutional norm dealing with military service. It still fixes only a man as the subject of relations caused by fulfillment of solder's duty. This inequality showed in the high level of death- rate, traumatism and informal relation between soldiers ("bullying (dedovshina)").
- (ii) Policy initiatives should pay far more attention to the issues of migration, ethnicity and disability(for instance, problems of migrant employment form

former Soviet Union Republics, status of migrant so-called “face of Caucasian nationality (lico kavkazskoi nacional’nosti)”).

3. VIOLENCE

- (i) The problem of men’s violence consists of domestic and military service violence. The issues more active discuss in the Russian media, and rare in the state institution. The core initiators of discussion on the all level – is NGO “Soldiers mothers” and Crises Centers for Women and Men.
- (ii) In Russian Federation so far there is no law directed to defense women and children , but Gossudarstvennaya Duma works on preparing the fist law about domestic violence.
- (iii) Policy makers should pay more attention the problem of trafficking of women and children, female and children prostitution, female and children pornography including Internet sites.
<http://www.hel.fi/sosv/virasto/hallinto/index.htm>
- (iv) The should be prepare program directed to prevent men’s violence in family, military service.

4. HEALTH

- (i) No legal documents deal exclusively with problems of health, social welfare and suicide concerning only men or on nation-wide initiative and programmes in this area (they are mainly aimed at only women and children).
- (ii) Policy initiatives should focus on the relationship between men’s health, men’s hard, health-hazardous or dangerous work, elements of life-style typically men (abuse of alcohol and drugs, etc.)

For further information see

Chernova, Janna (2001) *Russia National Report on Law and Policy Addressing Men's Practices: Workpackage 3*. EU FP5 Thematic Network: The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at: www.cromenet.org

I. 2. 10 POLICY OPTION PAPER: UNITED KINGDOM

Keith Pringle

1. HOME AND WORK

- (i) In legal enactments, there is a lack of explicit focus on men, especially in “hard policy” terms. This is compared to the greater explicit prominence given to the topic of men in some governmental consultative and more preliminary documentation. Where appropriate, legal enactments themselves need to acknowledge the issue of gender more explicitly. For instance, the particular problems associated predominantly (although not exclusively) with men in relation to issues such as “domestic violence” and child sexual abuse should be reflected in legal terminology e.g. by referring, where appropriate, to “fathers” rather than to “parents”.
- (ii) There needs to be more consideration given to the issue of men’s violences to both children and to women in the formulation of policies designed to promote the greater involvement of men in nurturing both as fathers and as professional care-givers. That is not to say that such promotion policies should be abandoned. There is a real need for them– but the issue of men’s violences (and how to actively counter them) must be fully addressed in those policies.

2. SOCIAL EXCLUSION

- (i) Policy initiatives on social exclusion should pay far more explicit attention to issues of gender and indeed the intersections of gender disadvantage with other forms of social disadvantage such as age (relating to children as well as elders), ethnicity, sexuality, disability as well as class.
- (ii) At the moment, anti-social exclusion policies focus predominantly on issues of employment. In line with (a) above, they should be broadened to much wider life experiences eg violence to women by men is deeply exclusionary in social terms. Likewise child sexual abuse for children.

3. VIOLENCES

- (i) Although some considerable policy attention has been paid to the issue of “domestic violence”, there needs to be a more explicit recognition in such policies of the predominantly gendered nature of such violence (ie it is largely committed by men against known women)

- (ii) Far more policy attention needs to be given to the similarly gendered violence involved in pornography, prostitution, child sexual abuse. The United Kingdom possesses more critical scholarly/academic material on these issues than anywhere else in Europe – this excellent scholarly resource should be drawn upon far more widely in governmental policy initiatives.
- (iii) Far more policy attention needs to be paid to the established close linkages between men’s violences to partners/ex-partners and men’s violences to children. Again, the United Kingdom possesses perhaps the best scholarly analysis of these issues in Europe – policy initiatives should capitalise upon this.
- (iv) There needs to be urgent policy recognition that a major avenue for prevention of men’s violences in society lies within school education programmes for both boys and girls: in terms of educating boys/men not to use violence.

4. HEALTH

- (i) Although there is considerable explicit attention paid to the issue of men in governmental consultative and preliminary material, there is far less explicit attention in legal documentation itself. This needs to be rectified.
- (ii) Health initiatives must fully acknowledge the complex and important intersections between forms of social disadvantage such as gender, class, ethnicity, age, sexuality and disability.
- (iii) Where health policy initiatives do address the issue of men, they should explicitly acknowledge men as creators of health disadvantage (eg in terms of the huge health deficits caused to women and children from men’s violences) as well as men as sufferers of health disadvantages.
- (iv) Policy initiatives should recognise the complex and important linkages between health as a policy field and the other fields above. For instance, academic research has demonstrated the important connections between health problems which some men endure and broader, dominant forms of oppressive masculinity explored by critical analyses of men’s practices.

For further information see

Pringle, Keith, Alex Raynor and Jackie Millett (2001) *UK National Report on Law and Policy Addressing Men’s Practices: Workpackage 3*. EU FP5 Thematic Network: The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at: www.cromenet.org

Appendix 12: Policy Option Paper II: EU, European and Transnational Options and Priorities

INTRODUCTION

This supranational policy option paper arises from the work of The European Research Network on Men in Europe project, “The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities” (2000–2003), funded by the European Commission within Framework 5. The Network comprises women and men researchers with range of disciplinary backgrounds from ten countries.

This supranational policy paper complements the ten individual national policy option papers (Estonia, Finland, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Norway, Poland, the Russian Federation, the UK) (Deliverable 12). Network associates exist in Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Denmark and Sweden. It addresses the following main questions: the EU, European and Transnational Policy Contexts; transnational developments between and across countries; transnational organisations. and the Four Policy Areas – Home and Work, Social Exclusion, Violences, Health - and their Interrelations.

These are examined in the following sections:

- II. 1. Men’s Gendered Practices;
- II. 2. The Research Context and Changing Forms of Masculinities;
- II. 3. Transnational Perspectives;
- II. 4. The European Context.
- II. 5. The Changing Policy Context and the Changing Forms of Masculinities;
- II. 6. Men’s Relations to Home and Work;
- II. 7. The Social Exclusion of Men;
- II. 8. Men’s Violences;
- II. 9. Men’s Health;
- II. 10. Interrelations between Policy Areas.

II. 1. MEN’S GENDERED PRACTICES

For many centuries, men, masculinity and men’s powers and practices were generally taken-for-granted. Gender was largely seen as a matter of and for women. Men were usually seen as ungendered, ‘natural’ or naturalised. This is now changing; it is much less the case than even ten years ago (Metz-Göckel and Müller, 1986; Brod, 1987; Kaufman, 1987; Kimmel, 1987; Hearn, 1987, 1992; Connell, 1987, 1995, Segal, 1990; Holter, 1997).

At the same time, there has been a considerable recent development of research on gender relations and welfare issues in Europe (Aslanbeigu et al.; 1994; Leira, 1994; Sainsbury; 1994, 1996; Walby, 1997; Duncan, 1995; Duncan and Pfau-Effinger, 2001). Critical studies of men’s practices have received some recent attention within transnational surveys of gender relations (for example, Dominelli, 1991, Rai et al., 1992; Pease and Pringle, 2002; Hobson, 2002; Hearn et al., 2002a, 2002b, 2002c, 2003; Kimmel et al., 2003; Novikova and Kambourov, 2003).

Throughout much of Europe contemporary gender relations can be characterised by relatively rapid change in some respects, for example, rates of separation and divorce,

new employment patterns, alongside the persistence of long-term historical structures and practices, such as men's domination of top management, men's propensity to use violence and commit crime. This can thus be understood as a combination of contradictory social processes of change and no change (Hearn, 1999).

A very important feature and effect of such changing gender relations has been the gradually growing realisation that men are just as gendered as are women. It is now clear that 'gender' and 'gender relations' are about both women and men. This explicit gendering of men necessarily involves both changing academic, policy and political analyses of men in society, and contemporary changes in men's lives, sometimes developing counter to earlier expectations and experiences of recent generations of men.

Making men more gendered, in theory, in policy, and in practice, has meant that previously taken-for-granted powers and authority of men, social actions of men, and ways of being men, can now be considered as much more problematic. They may not yet be much more negotiable, but they are now recognised as more open to debate. A number of social changes now seem to be in place whereby men and masculinities can at least be talked about as problematic.

It is now at least possible to ask such questions as:

- What is a man?
- How do men maintain power?
- Is there a crisis of masculinity?
- Or is there a crisis of men in a more fundamental way?
- Do we know what the future of men looks like or should be?
- What policy and practice implications follow both in relation to men and boys, and for men and boys?
- What specific policies and policy options are to be developed in relation to men and boys?

Paradoxically, men and masculinities are now more talked about than before at a time when it is much less clear what and how they are, are to become, should be or should become.

II. 2. THE RESEARCH CONTEXT AND CHANGING FORMS OF MASCULINITIES

The overall research context for examining these policy questions is provided by previous scholarship on two areas of study:

- critical studies on men and masculinities
- studies of comparative welfare systems and welfare responses to associated social problems and inequalities.

There are also close links with policy outcomes in relation to changing family structures; work configurations within the labour market and the home; and other changes in the wider European society. The research context for studying men and the changing forms of men and masculinities are very closely interconnected.

Although there are many ways in which men and masculinities vary between and across countries, in many European countries, until relatively recently, established forms of

masculinity and men's practices could be distinguished on two major dimensions – by locality (for example, urban, rural), by social class (for example, bourgeois, working class). In these and many other different ways men have both created huge problems, most obviously in violence, and been constructive and creative actors, as, for example, in the building of industries, albeit within patriarchies.

The exact ways these forms of masculinity have been practiced clearly vary between societies and cultures. In addition, many other cross-cutting dimensions have been and remain important, such as variations by age, ethnicity, sexuality, nationality and nationalism. In recent years, there have been major changes in dominant forms of masculinity. For example, urban bourgeois, rural bourgeois, urban working class, and rural working class forms of masculinity and men's practices have all been subject to major social transformation, as have various state, party and class-based forms of masculinity particularly the kind associated with the former Communist regimes. These changes have been most clear in those countries where there has been a relatively rapid transition to urbanised, industrialised society, such as Finland and Ireland. They are also visible in the transitional post-Communist nations of Central and Eastern Europe.

The taken-for-granted nature of men and masculinities is now changing. Recent years have seen the naming of men as men. Men have become the subject of growing debates in the areas of academia, policy and media. In some respects this is not totally new; there have been previous periods of debate on men (Kimmel 1987, 1995), and then, in a different sense, much of politics, research and policy has always been about men, often dominantly so. What is new, however, is that these debates, particularly academic and policy debates, are now more explicit, more gendered, more varied and sometimes more critical.

Among the several influences that have brought this focus on men and masculinities, first and foremost is the impact on men of Second, and now Third, Wave Feminisms. Questions have been asked by feminists and feminisms on all aspects of men and men's actions, in politics, policy, and practice. Different feminist initiatives have focused on different aspects of men, and have suggested different analyses of men and differing ways forward for men. Feminism has also demonstrated various theoretical and practical lessons for men. One is that the understanding of gender relations, relations between women and men has to involve attention to questions of power. There has also been a wide range of men's responses to gender (in)equality and feminism – some positive, some antagonistic, some unengaged and apparently disinterested.

Something similar has happened and very unevenly continues to happen particularly in academia. In some senses there are as many ways of studying men and masculinities as there are varying approaches to the social sciences, ranging from examinations of 'masculine psychology' to broad societal, structural and collective analyses of men. An important development has however been the shift from the analysis of masculinity in the singular to masculinities in the plural. Studies have thus interrogated the operation of different masculinities – hegemonic, complicit, subordinated, marginalised, resistant (Carrigan et al., 1985; Connell, 1987, 1995) – and the interrelations of unities and differences between men and between masculinities (Hearn and Collinson, 1993). There is also a growing lively debate on the limitations of the very idea of 'masculinities', including around the confusions of different current usages of the term. For this reason some scholars prefer to talk rather more precisely of men's individual and collective practices – or men's identities or discourses on or of men – rather than the generalised

gloss term, 'masculinities' (Donaldson 1993, McMahon 1993; Hearn 1996; Macinnes 1998; Whitehead 1999; Clatterbaugh 2000).

Not only are men now increasingly recognised as gendered, but they, or rather some men, are increasingly recognised as a gendered social problem to which welfare systems may, or for a variety of reasons may not, respond (Pringle, 1995). This can apply in terms of violence (Hearn, 1998), crime, drug and alcohol abuse, buying of sex, accidents, driving, and so on, and indeed in terms of denial of such problems as sexual violence (for example, Ventimiglia, 1987). These are all activities that are social in nature, and can have both immediate and long-term negative effects on others, friends, family, strangers, victims and survivors. The association of the gendered problematisation of men and masculinities, and the gendered social problem of men and masculinities is complex (for example, Holter and Aarseth, 1993; Månsson, 1994; Ekenstam, 1998; Popay et al., 1998), as, indeed, are the differential responses of welfare systems (Pringle, 1998a; Pringle and Harder, 1999). But at the very least one would want to acknowledge the various ways in which the more general gendering and gendered problematisations of men and masculinities both facilitate and derive from more particular recognition of certain men and masculinities as social problems. Such recognitions apply through the use of measurable information, such as, official statistics, as well as less exact discursive constructions in politics, policy, law, media and opinion-formation.

These processes of problematisation of men and construction of men as gendered social problems apply in academic and political analysis, and in men's own lives and experiences; they also exist more generally at the societal level, and very importantly in quite different ways in different societies. Thus while it may be expected that some kind of problematisation of men and masculinities may now be observable in many, perhaps most, European societies, the form that it takes is likely to be very different indeed from society to society. The form such problematisations take thus varies in different countries:

- it may appear in public concern around young men, crime, relatively low educational attainments in schools;
- it may take the form of anxieties around the family, fatherhood, and relations with children; elsewhere, the specific links between boyhood, fathering and men may be emphasised;
- or increased concerns about men's ill-health, alcohol use, depression, loneliness, and low life expectancy;
- or the problem of reconciling home and work, with the pressure towards long working hours;
- or men's violence to and control of women and children;
- or men's participation in and continued domination of many political and economic institutions;
- or changing forms of men's sexuality and personal relations.

These questions have been the subject of growing research investigation in specific European nations and research collaboration during recent years. These and other forms of gendered problematisation of men and masculinities and constructions of men and masculinities as gendered social problems have been examined in a range of European national welfare contexts by the Research Network. There is a great national and societal variation in how men and masculinities interact with issues, not merely those of culture but also other major social divisions and inequalities, in particular, class, "race" xenophobia and racism, ethnicity, nationalism and religion. The intersections of "race", ethnicity, nationalism and nationality

appear to be especially and increasingly important for the construction of both dominant and subordinated forms of men and masculinities. Examining this entails investigation of the complex interrelations between these varying genderings and problematisations and the socio-economic, political, state structures and processes within and between countries. Fuller understanding of these issues is likely to assist the formulation of social policy responses to them in both existing and potential member states, and within the EU.

Recently, attempts have been made to push forward the boundaries in the comparative field using feminist and pro-feminist perspectives to consider men's practices throughout the world. These attempts seek to locate such considerations within recent debates about globalisation and men's practices, throwing some doubt in the process on the more ambitious and other gender-neutral claims of globalisation theses. Despite such recent developments, there remains a massive deficit in critical transnational studies of men's practices and related policy-making on men, and in the sources available for such studies.

II. 3. TRANSNATIONAL PERSPECTIVES

In recent years transnational perspectives, such as those underpinning this Research Network, have been applied to a vast range of studies within the social sciences. There are many reasons for this tendency. One of the most convincing reasons for adopting a comparative approach is the potential offered for interrogating the assumptions that underpin social practices and policies in different countries. Such a process of learning from other countries facilitates reconstruction of more effective policies and practices. Such practices and policies increasingly interact transnationally, at European and global levels: consequently research may seek to explore the processes and outcomes of those interactions and connections.

In many cases where specific social issues have been studied transnationally, attempts have been made to apply various general theoretical categorisations to specific issues. In terms of differential welfare regimes, the most common model applied in this way is that devised by Esping-Andersen (1990, 1996, 1999). There has also been extensive critique of such models in terms of insufficient attention to gender relations (Lewis and Ostner, 1991; Leira, 1992; Lewis, 1992; Orloff, 1993; O'Connor, 1993; Sainsbury, 1994, 1996, 1999; Tyyskä, 1995; Siim, 2000). There is also a wide range of further broad feminist and gender-sensitive work that examines global and transnational change through a gendered lens (for example, Anthias and Yuval-Davis, 1992; Mies, 1998; Peterson and Runyan, 1999), which also have direct and indirect implications for the re-analysis of men and masculinities in the context of transnational and global relations.

Commentators have also taken various positions regarding the analytic value of applications from broad general frameworks to the particular national and local context (Alber, 1995; Anttonen and Sipilä, 1996; Daly 2000; Harder and Pringle, 1997; Pringle, 1998a; Pringle and Harder, 1999), partly depending upon the issue being studied. There is a need for considerable open-mindedness in the assumptions that are brought to bear in such analyses. For example, Trifiletti (1999), through a feminist perspective on the relations between gender and welfare system dynamics, has provided detailed arguments that Southern European welfare regimes may not in fact (contrary to some of the above opinion) be more sexist than those in Northern and Western Europe.

The critical study of men's practices has, until very recently, largely escaped specific comparative scrutiny (Pease and Pringle, 2001), although it has received important attention within broader and relatively established transnational feminist surveys of gender relations (for instance, Dominelli 1991; Rai et al. 1992). Yet, the limited amount of work devoted specifically to men's practices transnationally suggests there continues to be major scope for extending critical analysis in that particular area.

Looking globally at the field of social welfare, there are complex patterns of convergence and divergence between men's practices internationally needing further interrogation (Pringle, 1998b). Connell's initial enquiries regarding the global transactions which occur in processes of masculinity formation have opened up a whole range of possibilities for exploration and contestation (Connell, 1991, 1995, 1998; Hearn, 1996a); these possibilities are just beginning to be explored in any depth (Pease and Pringle, 2001). In particular, these studies have begun to conceptualise broad transnational categories of men and masculinities. For example, recent attempts have been made to push forward the boundaries in the comparative field by using pro-feminist perspectives to consider men's practices in Asia, Southern Africa, the Americas (South, Central and North), Australasia and Europe (Pease and Pringle, 2001). These developing global perspectives have closely informed the work of the Network with its focus on Europe - "West", "Central" and "East".

More specifically, transnational perspectives highlight a number of key policy issues, for example, around:

- transnational business men who move across countries, with less national loyalty and identification;
- transnational politics and policy-making;
- migration and asylum seeking, especially of young men, and their implications for women and men, in countries of both emigration and immigration;
- trafficking in women, children and men;
- the intersection of various 'new' and 'old' masculinities, in relation to nationalisms, racisms and xenophobias.

II. 4. THE EUROPEAN CONTEXT

In assessing the nature of the European context, it is important to recognise the contradictions between, on the one hand, contemporary trends towards globalisation, regionalisation and transnationalism and, on the other, the persistence of the nation-state. Having said that, there are both similarities and differences in the substantive patterns of national laws and policies. The social and cultural contexts in which the national reports on law and policy are written are very varied indeed. The national and local contexts need thus to be understood in order to make sense of the different orientations of the national reports. The general state of law and policy in the ten nations is the product of several factors. These include their diverse broad historical and cultural traditions; their legal and governmental institutions; their more recent and specific relations to the European Union (EU); and their welfare and social policy frameworks and practices.

The EU is an economic, social and political union, initially it consisted of six countries in 1957. It has sought to increase the harmonisation of economic and social policies across member states, whilst respecting the principle of subsidiarity (decisions being made at the lowest appropriate level). It is premised on the 'single market' amongst member states and

parliamentary democracy, albeit of different forms in the member states. Over the years this inevitably has involved tensions between the push to economic and social convergence and the defense of national political interests. As the Union has expanded these tensions have become more complex, though it is probably fair to say that the ‘strong agenda’ towards greater unity has become more dominant in recent years.

The EU currently comprises fifteen countries: Austria, Belgium, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Ireland, Italy, Luxembourg, the Netherlands, Portugal, Spain, Sweden, the UK. Thirteen further countries are Bulgaria, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Malta, Poland, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Turkey. Accession negotiations are under way for the first twelve of these, with the objective of completing the accession, so they can take part in European Parliament elections by 2004. In addition, twelve of the fifteen EU member states (all except Denmark, Sweden, the UK) now have the same currency (the Euro), and are part of the European Monetary Union (EMU). Thus the ten countries in our review have differing relations to the EU:

- EU member/member of the EMU: Finland (date of joining the EU: 1995), Germany (1957), Ireland (1973), Italy (1957);
- EU/non-member of the EMU: the UK (1973);
- not EU member (though associated in some specific respects): Norway;
- EU applicant countries: Estonia, Latvia, Poland;
- former Soviet non-EU applicant: Russian Federation.

	non-former Soviet	former Soviet
EU/EMU	Finland, Germany, Ireland, Italy	
EU/non-EMU	UK	
EU applicant		Estonia, Latvia, Poland
non-EU applicant	Norway	Russian Federation

The EU itself is part of the historical legacy that has been based on the attempt to develop broad social democracy and stop fascism from happening in Europe again. Furthermore, it is relevant to look at the EU, the European Commission and the associated organisations as gendered institutions. This includes the question of the lack of attention to men in power, including men in the institutions of the EU itself. The EU and the EU application process are themselves becoming important parts of the public politics of comparative European welfare development, including the comparative development of gender policies, and policies in relation to men. This is especially significant in regards the EU’s eastward expansion, including the specific conditions for application and accession.

There is a growing recognition of the impact, albeit differential, of the EU itself on the heterogeneous gender politics and gender regimes of the member states (Liebert, 1999). This is partly through the operation of various equal opportunities policies at the supranational and national levels, most obviously in the fields of family, welfare, labor market and education policies, but also more generally in migration and environmental policies (Walby, 1999). In most cases these debates on and indeed in the EU have focused on (increasing) women’s participation in the public spheres of employment and education, along with the development of women’s rights in social protection and welfare.

Throughout their development there have been strong legal and policy emphases on equality and gender equality within the EEC and the EU. Key measures here include:

- Article 119 (EC) of the 1957 Treaty of Rome on the principle of ‘equal pay for equal work’,
- the 1975 Equal Pay Directive (75/117/EEC),
- the 1976 Equal Treatment Directive on Employment, Vocational Training and Promotion, and the Working Conditions (76/207/EEC), and
- the subsequent related directives, especially the Social Security Directive (79/7/EEC),
- Article 13 (EC) of the 1997 Treaty of Amsterdam on general anti-discrimination in employment,
- the Community Framework Strategy on Gender Equality (COM (2000) 335 final of 7.6.2000) and the related Programme (Decision 2001/51/EC),
- the Employment Framework Directive 2000 (Council Directive 2000/78/EC).

According to Articles 17 and 18 of the Employment Framework Directive 2000, all EU member states are required to implement national legislation prohibiting discrimination in employment on the grounds of sex, racial or ethnic origin, religion or belief, age, disability or sexual orientation by 2 December 2003. Similarly, Paragraphs (1)(c) and (1)(d) of Article 3 address ‘pay’ (including travel allowances and occupational pension schemes) and ‘benefits’, respectively. Article 12 prohibits discrimination on the grounds of nationality.

In addition, there are numerous other Declarations and Recommendations around equal opportunities, which have had the effect of shaping policy norms and creating a policy climate towards this direction (Bulmer 1998).

Overall policy development in the EU is to some extent framed by the development of the European Social Agenda (2000-2005) (Communication ..., 2000) This seeks to advance a range of “future orientations for social policy”, of which the most relevant to the topic of men’s practices are the following:

- “Fighting poverty and all forms of exclusion and discrimination in order to promote social integration”;
- “Promoting gender equality”; and
- Strengthening the social policy aspects of enlargement and the European Union’s external relations”.

There is, however, much to be done in order to give explicit attention to the full implications of achieving gender equality within the European Social Agenda, in terms of what this means for men and changing men’s practices. The policies of the existing EU social agenda (including EU policies on equality, gender equality, social exclusion, racism) imply the development of policy options on men.

There have already been some steps in this process, for example, the EU “Men and Gender Equality Conference”, Örebro, held in March 2001 under the auspices of the then Swedish presidency, and the EU conference on ”Gender and Social Exclusion”, Copenhagen, September 2002 under the auspices of the current Danish Presidency. It is likely that this process of considering the implications for men and changing men’s will increase in the coming years, albeit from a variety of political interests and motivations.

In focusing men within this European context, a persistent challenge is how to examine law and policy that specifically addresses men, whilst at the same time being aware of the broad range of laws and policies that are not explicitly gendered that are likely to bear on men. In one sense almost all laws and policies can be said to be relevant to men as citizens (or indeed as non-citizens, for example, as aliens). In another sense, in most countries, though there may not be a very large body of law and policy information specifically focused on men, there is still a considerable amount of analysis of law and policy in relation to men that is possible. These questions are affected by both deeply embedded historical constructions of citizenship, and more recent reforms around gender and 'gender equality'.

On the first count, it is important to note that in many countries citizenship has historically been constructed as 'male', onto which certain concessions and rights of citizenship, for example suffrage, have been granted to women. However, there is variation in the extent to which this pattern applies, and in some cases citizenship has taken different gendered forms, with citizenship for women and men being more closely associated with relatively recent nationalisms for all citizens. This is not to say that such latter 'nationalistic' citizenship is non-gendered, far from it; it may indeed remain patriarchal in form, not least through the continuation of pre-nationalistic discourses and practices, sometimes around particular notions of 'equality', as in the Soviet regimes. Indeed it might be argued that some forms of (male) citizenship, based on notions of individualism and even exclusion of community and similarity, are often in tension with some forms of (male) nationalism, based on notions of cultural lineage, culture and language, and exclusion of individuality and difference.

On the second count, the contemporary societal context of law and policy on men is often formally framed by the ratification, or not, of such international agreements as:

- the ILO Convention 100 on Equal Remuneration for Men and Women for Equal Work 1957,
- the ILO Convention 111 in Respect of Discrimination in Employment/Occupation 1957 (http://www.unesco.org/culture/worldreport/html_eng/stat2/table13.pdf),
- the UN Declaration on Human Rights, the European Convention on Human Rights,
- the UN International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights,
- the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, and
- the Convention on the Elimination of All forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW) (and reporting thereon) (<http://www.unhchr.ch/html/intlinst.htm>).

These are often supplemented by a '(Gender) Equality Act', a Bureau of Gender Equality between Women and Men, Convention on the Rights of the Child, and various forms of gender mainstreaming. Some of these international agreements are open to reservations and different interpretations.

The constitutions of all the nations in different ways embody equality for citizens under the law; non-discrimination on grounds of sex/gender. All, apart from the UK, have a written constitution, although even in this exceptional case the signing of the European Convention on Human Rights and EU membership more generally may be tending to override this anomaly. Gender-neutral language is generally used in law and policy, though often for different reasons and within different legal and political traditions. In the case of the EU applicant countries, considerable efforts have been put into the harmonization of law and policy with EU members and directives, including in terms of non-discrimination and gender equality. EU enlargement appears to contribute to

strengthening the formal law and policy on gender equality. These various formal apparatuses may contradict with both historical tradition and contemporary legal and policy practice and implementation. The effectiveness of these policy measures, at least in the short term, is also in doubt, in view of the lack of gender equality (Hearn et al., 2002a, 2002b).

Gender equality legislation may indeed remain without clear consequences for policy and outcomes, for women and men. There is often a gap between the governmental rhetoric and everyday conduct in society, with men and women mostly unaware of discussions about gender equality at the labor market and elsewhere. For example, the Russian constitution stipulates that “Man and woman” shall have equal rights, liberties and opportunities. The problem is in the realization of these principles in every branch of legislation, social relations and everyday practice. In addition, governmental responsibility for gender equality is frequently delegated to one ministry, or one part thereof, and in some countries there are significant legal and policy variations between different national or regional governments, and between ministries.

These broad national variations need to be put alongside contrasts between different welfare state policy regimes. In the case of the study of differential European welfare regimes, the most common general model applied in this specific fashion is that devised by Esping-Andersen (1990, 1996). There has been an extensive critique of such models, partly in terms of their insufficient attention to gender relations. There is a need for greater attention to conscious gendering in and of assumptions that are brought to bear in such analyses. Contrasts between Neo-liberal; Social Democratic; and Conservative welfare regimes in Western Europe have thus been critiqued in terms of their neglect of gender welfare state regimes and gender relations. Such distinctions include the following: Latin Rim, Bismarckian, Anglo-Saxon, Scandinavian (Langan and Ostner, 1991); Strong, Modified, Weak Breadwinner States (Lewis, 1992; Ostner, 1991; Duncan, 1995); Private Patriarchy with High Subordination of Women, Public Patriarchy with High Subordination of Women, Private Patriarchy with Lower Subordination of Women, Public Patriarchy with Lower Subordination of Women (Walby, 1986, 1990, Waters, 1990, Hearn, 1992); Transitional from Private Patriarchy, Housewife Contract, Dual Role Contract, Equality Contract (Hirdman, 1988; 1990) need to be refined in two ways: the specification of differences with and amongst the gender welfare state policy regimes of former Eastern bloc nations; the specification of differences amongst men and men’s practices.

There is also national variation in the extent to which laws and policies are gender-disaggregated. As noted, a relative lack of gendering of law and policy continues in most cases. Detailed laws and policies directed towards gendered interventions with men and men’s practices are relatively rare. There is relatively little law and policy explicitly focused on men, variations amongst men, and the relationship of those patterns to men’s practices and lives. Exceptions to this pattern include, in some cases, law and policy on:

Home and work

- specification of forms of work only for men (for example, mining);
- men as workers/breadwinners/heads of family and household;
- fatherhood and paternity (including legal rights and obligations as fathers, biological and/or social, and paternity leave of various kinds).

Social exclusion

- social assistance, according to sex and marital status;
- fatherhood, husband and other family statuses in immigration and nationality;
- gay men, gay sexuality and transgender issues.

Violences

- compulsory (or near compulsory) conscription into the military;
- crimes of sexual violence, such as rape; and
- programmes (sometimes court-mandated) for men who have been or are violent to women and children.

Health

- men's health education programs;
- men's relations to reproductive technology.

The form and development of law and policy also intersect with the substantive form and nature of socio-economic change. In the earlier review of academic research there was a strong emphasis on the different political and academic traditions that operate in studying men in the different national contexts, as well as distinct historical conjunctions for the lives of men. More specifically, in terms of policy development that has addressed men, a simple, perhaps over simple, differentiation may be made between:

- the Nordic nations (Finland, Norway) - that have had both gender equality apparatus, and at least some focused policy development on men, through national committees, since the 1980s (thus prior to Finland's joining the EU), operating in the context of the membership and work of the Nordic Council of Ministers; this included the 'Men and gender equality' program (1995-2000) (<http://www.norden.org/jaemst/sk/maend.asp?lang=4>).
- the established EU-member nations (Ireland, Italy, Germany, the UK) – that have their developed their 'equal opportunities' and 'gender equality' policies in the context of the EU, and with limited specific emphasis upon men; and
- the former Soviet nations (Estonia, Latvia, Poland, the Russian Federation) - that have a recent political history of formal legal equality but without developed human rights, and are now in the process of developing their gender equality laws and policies post-transformation, also with very limited specific emphasis upon men.

An important step in that process has been fulfilled by the Research Network, insofar as its aim was to identify gaps in research on men which are critical to the progress of the European Union's social policy objectives as outlined above. More specifically, its objectives were:

- (i) To analyse and understand more fully across the EU and its potential members the differential associations of men's practices with various social problems including men's relations to home and work, social exclusion, violences, and health.
- (ii) To formulate provisional strategies to address some of those social problems in terms of national and EU responses on equal opportunities and other policy areas.

(iii) To identify areas for ongoing inquiry so as to further develop such strategies.

(iv) In the context of European Union enlargement, to anticipate some of the national and transnational social problems relating to the impact of men's practices upon social cohesion and inclusion in existing and new member states of the EU.

(v) To gain a more adequate understanding of contemporary and changing representations of men, and negotiations around such representations in governmental and other official, media and research contexts.

The choice of countries included in the Network was highly geared to the tasks and objectives discussed above:

(i) The “testing” of general welfare regime typologies in relation to the issue of men’s practices. These countries include “representatives” of all three of the welfare regime typologies identified by Esping-Andersen (1990, 1996, 1999) - Neo-liberal; Social Democratic; and Conservative – along with examples of post-Communist states. The spread of countries – in Northern, Western, and Eastern Europe - presents a relatively broad cultural, geographical and political range within Europe.

(ii) Developing notions of what “being European” constitutes. This has salience in relation to the fact that some influential sectors of society within parts of central and eastern Europe have recently evinced a greater desire to be considered “European” in certain ways including their relationship with the EU. The issues of social marginalisation consequent upon the development of an alleged “Fortress Europe” are also highly relevant to the lived experience of many men, both those who are excluded and/or those who actively involved in processes of exclusion – and on the lived experiences of women associated in various ways with these men.

(iii) The extent of differential social patterns and welfare responses between countries which are often grouped together on alleged grounds of historical, social and/or cultural proximity, for instance, Sweden and Finland; Ireland and the UK.

(iv) Exploration of how recent huge economic, social and cultural changes in Central and Eastern Europe have impacted upon attitudes and practices relating to men (for example, Groggaard, 1996; Novikova 2000; Kolga 2000; Novikova and Kambourov 2003). Following the historic transformations of the late 1980s and early 1990s, processes of “East-West” interchange and EU enlargement are likely to constitute a period of further historically major change in gender relations and gender equality, the relations of men’s power and marginalisation of some men, and thus the societal position, opportunities and experiences of women, in the coming decade.

Added saliency is provided by the extent of “cultural exchange” (largely one-way) which has occurred between those countries and western/northern Europe. At the same time, access to materials from Estonia, Latvia, Poland and the Russian Federation provides allows investigation of the different constellations of practices and beliefs between the countries in the context of their very different historical and cultural trajectories. It has also allowed some charting of future European trends in relation to men’s practices. Following the historic transformations of the 1990s, processes of “East-West” interchange

are likely to constitute a period of further historically major change in gender relations and gender equality, the relations of men's power and marginalisation of some men, and thus the societal position, opportunities and experiences of women. The inclusion of Germany with its special position post-unification is also very important as representing a different historical and cultural path in the post-communist period. There is considerable research interest in the differences between men from the former Eastern and former Western parts of Germany (for example, Zulehner and Volz, 1998, Müller, 2000).

This fourth (iv) "East-West" aspect of comparative study is such an important and central theme that it needs further extended discussion. The recent massive economic, social and cultural changes there have impacted and are impacting upon attitudes and practices relating to men. This has in some cases included major processes of marginalisation for some men in the social and economic transformations that have taken place since the late 1980s. These processes of marginalisation (including in some cases health problems, problems of crime and violence, racism and xenophobia as well as ethnic and linguistic marginalisations) have continued alongside the perpetuation of some men's continued domination of positions of power.

These issues relating to men have also been accompanied by and, indeed, intimately intersect with major changes, at least partly negative, in the life experiences of many women in a number of Central and East European states, including: increasing female exclusion from the labour market and from higher level jobs; less control over their own bodies (for example, often less choice in matters of abortion); sometimes reduced freedom in ability to seek divorce; increasing sexual exploitation both inside their own countries (often by men coming from existing member states of the EU) and inside the EU (via "trafficking" of women and children from Central and Eastern Europe). These changes take complex forms in different parts of Central and Eastern Europe, with conditions varying considerably by class, locality and region. An important aspect of these changes is the development of the formal policy-making innovation and rhetoric of equality and equal opportunities, both as parts of the process of EU application.

In particular the relation of the transitional nations to the EU and the more Western parts of Europe is likely to be a major focus of economic, social, political and cultural development and change in the coming years. This includes:

- (i) the differential position of the transitional nations in the EU application process in relation to the EU and the EU member nations;
- (ii) the impact of EU gender equality and associated social and economic policies on the transitional nations in their application phase and, at least in some cases, likely EU membership (especially in the context of some of the more negative trends in the lives of many women in some of these states noted above);
- (iii) the particular and differential processes of both the reproduction of men's power and the marginalisation of some men in the transitional nations, and how they link with and sometimes contrast with the patterns in existing EU nations;
- (iv) the social, economic, political and cultural impacts of forms of masculinities and men's practices from the EU nations upon the transnational nations, and also increasingly those impacts from the transnational nations upon the EU nations;

(v) the specific position and relation of those countries of the EU which are geographically adjacent to the transitional nations, such as Finland and Germany.

The Research Network has facilitated greater understanding of changing social processes of gender relations and gender construction particularly in the context of welfare responses to the associated social problems. It has also brought together existing, up to date research, statistical and policy data on a national basis. Moreover, the Research Network has also revealed a number of major gaps and shortcomings in existing research and policy-oriented knowledge. These gaps have particularly concerned: (i) the understanding of men in a transnational rather than a national context; and (ii) those men who are most powerful and those men who are least powerful.

The outcomes from the Network have clearly demonstrated that there remains a massive deficit in critical transnational studies of men's practices and their impact upon/interactions with the lived experiences of women. Furthermore, there is an equally massive deficit in the sources available for such study. Strategies to counter these deficits will be critical to both the ongoing development of the European Research Area and the concomitant promotion of the European Social Agenda .

II. 5. THE CHANGING POLICY CONTEXT AND THE CHANGING FORMS OF MASCULINITIES

Men and masculinities are understood as set within changing policy contexts. There have been huge historical changes in forms of masculinity and men's practices. Yet there are also stubborn persistence in some aspects of men and masculinity. Perhaps the most obvious of these is men's domination of the use of violence. Moreover, changing gender relations both constitute governments and other policy-making institutions, and provide tasks for governmental, partnership and third sector agencies to deal with. In this sense governments and other policy institutions can be seen as both part of the problem and part of the solution.

The historical legacy inherited by the EU includes attempts to develop broad social democracy and stop fascism happening again. The EU itself can be understood as a project of positive possibilities largely led and negotiated by men politicians after the Second World War in contradiction to short-term nationalistic interests. It can be seen as a project devised to reduce men's historical tendency to nationalistic conflict and war, and so achieve relative stability in Europe. There is indeed increasing recognition of the central place of men and masculinity in the collective violence of war (Enloe 1990; Higate 2002), and the apparent increased use of rape by men and sexual violence in war.

To understand the national and transnational policy context also involves considering the relevance of 'the social problem of men' within organisational and governmental policy formation, in national, regional and indeed EU institutions. It is thus necessary to analyse and change the place of men within the gender structure of governmental, transgovernmental and other policy-making organisations. This includes the question of the relative lack of attention to men in power, including men in the EU, the implications of mainstreaming for men, and men's relations to gender equality more generally.

The social problem of men also relates closely to existing EU social agendas, including EU policies on equality, gender equality, social exclusion, and racism. There is thus a need to develop policy options on men, including 'best practices' and other illustrative policies on men.

Addressing policy around men and masculinities is an important and urgent matter. There are indeed risks and dangers in non-action, for example, in the intersection of various 'new' and 'old' masculinities, nationalisms, racisms and xenophobias. There are also key issues around the changing policy context in Europe. These include the relation of the EU to eastward expansion, including the specific conditions of application and accession; questions of migration, especially of young men, and their implications for women and men, in countries of both emigration and immigration; trafficking in women, children and men, especially the actions of men as the consumers within the EU member countries. The 'social problem' of men is thus of central and urgent interest to the EU and the applicant countries.

There are also many other transnational organisations and groupings, for example, the Council of Europe, the UN, UNESCO, UNICEF, ILO, the Nordic Council of Ministers, the EU Women's Lobby, and various NGOs which have come to recognise the importance of the place of men in the movement towards gender equality. The UN held a Beijing+5 Special Event on Men and Gender Equality in New York, June 2000 (http://www.undp.org/gender/programmes/men/men_ge.html#Beijing + 5 Special). Further governmental and transgovernmental interest seems likely to develop.

It is necessary to analyse and change the place of men within the gender structure of governmental and other policy-making organisations. There is also a need to develop policy options on men, including best practices and policies on men. Addressing policy around men and masculinities is an important and urgent matter. We now introduce the four main policy areas.

II. 6. MEN'S RELATIONS TO HOME AND WORK

Recurring policy themes include men's occupational, working and wage advantages over women, gender segregation at work, many men's close associations with paid work, and increasingly men in non-traditional occupations. There has been a general lack of attention to men as managers, policy-makers, owners and other power holders. In many countries there are twin problems of the unemployment of some or many men in certain social categories, and yet work-overload and long working hours for other men. These can especially be a problem for young men and young fathers; they can affect both working class and middle class men, for example, during economic recession. Work organisations are becoming more time-hungry and less secure and predictable. Time utilisation emerges as a fundamental issue of creating difference in everyday negotiations between men and women. Men's unemployment can have clear and diverse effects on men's life in families.

Another recurring theme is men's benefit from avoidance of domestic responsibilities, and the absence of fathers. In some cases this tradition of men's avoidance of childcare and domestic responsibilities is very recent indeed and still continues for the majority of men. In some cases it is being reinforced through new family ideologies within transformation processes. In many countries there is a general continuation of traditional 'solutions' in domestic arrangements, sometimes reinforced by "family values" or political right wing

approaches. There is also in many countries a growing recognition of the micro-politics of fatherhood, men's domestic responsibilities, home-work reconciliation at least for some men, and increasing emphasis on men's caring, sometimes linked to a gender equal status perspective.

It is not surprising if there may be a degree of cultural uncertainty on men's place in the home and as fathers and a growing recognition of ambivalence, even when there is a strong ideology of familialism. There is also in some countries a growing interest in the reconciliation of work and home; and growing variety of ways of approaching this. Given the considerable difference that still exists between men's and women's earnings, it is not surprising that it is the woman who stays at home after the birth of a child. Since she is usually the person with the lower income, a couple does not need to be wholehearted advocates of traditional domestic ideology to opt for the traditional solution. Evidence from Nordic countries shows that parental leave which is left to negotiations between men and women, is mostly taken up by women, although most people, men especially, say they want a more balanced situation. Men and indeed fathers are clearly not an homogeneous group.

Many research studies have noted how there have been contradictions between the ideas men profess and the way men actually live. The fact that men and women living together do not always give the same assessment of their relationship in general and the distribution of tasks between them in particular has become a much discussed topic in methodology. The paradoxical ways in which gender conflicts on the distribution of housework may be negotiated may be illustrated from German research: while in the early 1980s women living with men were generally more likely than men to claim that they did more of the work, some studies in the 1990s have shown the opposite.

EU, European-wide and transnational policy priorities include:

- to encourage men to devote more time and priority to caring, housework, childcare, and the reconciliation of home and paid work;
- to remove men's advantages in paid work and work organisations, as with the persistence of the gender wage, non-equal opportunities practices in appointment and promotion, and domination of top level jobs;
- policies on men in transnational organisations and their development of equality policies;
- to encourage men's positive contribution to gender equality;
- to remove discriminations against men, such as compulsory conscription of men into the armed forces, and discriminations against gay men.

II. 7. THE SOCIAL EXCLUSION OF MEN

This has been one of the most difficult area to pre-define, but in some ways one of the most interesting. Social exclusion often figures in the research literature in different ways, such as:

- unemployment and poverty,
- ethnicity, racialisation and racism,
- homosexuality,
- homelessness,

- social isolation,
- poor education.

The social exclusion of certain men links with unemployment of certain categories of men (such as less educated, rural, ethnic minority, young, older), men's isolation within and separation from families, and associated social and health problems. These are clear issues throughout all countries. They are especially important in the Baltic, Central and East European countries with post-socialist transformations of work and welfare having dire consequences for many men, and thereby often for women and children.

Even in Nordic countries, which are relatively egalitarian with a relatively strong social security system, new forms of problems have emerged. In the last decade, new forms of marginalisation have developed, with shifts from traditional industry to more postindustrialised society. Globalising processes may create new forms of work and marginalisation. Some men find it difficult to accommodate to these changes in the labour market and changed family structure. Instead of going into the care sector or getting more education, some young men become marginalised from work and family life. Working class men, especially young, marginalised working class men, are frequently considered particularly vulnerable to social exclusion.

There is a lack of attention to men engaged in creating and reproducing social exclusions, for example, around racism, and the intersections of different social divisions and social exclusions.

EU, European-wide and transnational policy priorities include:

- to reduce the social exclusion of men, especially young marginalised men, men suffering racism, and men suffering multiple social exclusions;
- to reduce the effects of the social exclusion of men upon women and children;
- to ameliorate the effects of rapid socio-economic change that increase the social exclusion of men;
- to specifically address the transnational aspects of social exclusion of men, in, for example, transnational migration, and homosexual sexual relations;
- to change men's actions in creating and reproducing social exclusions.

II. 8. MEN'S VIOLENCES

The recurring theme here is the widespread nature of the problem of men's violence to women, children and other men, and in particular the growing public awareness of men's violence against women. Men are overrepresented among those who use violence, especially heavy violence. This violence is also age-related. The life course variation in violence with a more violence-prone youth phase has been connected to increasing exposure to commercial violence and to other social phenomena, but these connections have not been well mapped.

Violence against women by known men is becoming recognised as a major social problem in most of the countries. The range of abusive behaviours perpetrated on victims include direct physical violence, isolation and control of movements, and abuse through the control of money. There has been a large amount of feminist research on women's experiences of violence from men, and the policy and practical consequences of that

violence, including that by state and welfare agencies, as well as some national representative surveys of women's experiences of violence, as in Finland. There has for some years been a considerable research literature on prison and clinical populations of violent men. There is now the recent development of some research in the UK and elsewhere on the accounts and understandings of such violence to women by men living in the community, men's engagement with criminal justice and welfare agencies, and the evaluation of men's programmes intervening with such men. The gendered study of men's violence to women is thus a growing focus of funded research, as is professional intervention.

Child abuse, including physical abuse, sexual abuse and child neglect, is now also being recognised as a prominent social problem in many countries. Both the gendered nature of these problems and an appreciation of how service responses are themselves gendered are beginning to receive more critical attention, both in terms of perpetrators and victims/survivors.

There has been a strong concern with the intersection of sexuality and violence in Italy, the UK and elsewhere. This is likely to be an area of growing concern. There is some research on men's sexual abuse of children but this is still an underdeveloped research focus in most countries. In some countries sexual abuse cases remain largely hidden, as is men's sexual violence to men.

There has also been some highlighting of those men who have received violence from women. Men's violence to ethnic minorities, migrants, people of colour, gay men and older people are being highlighted more, but are still very unexplored. They remain important areas for further policy development.

EU, European-wide and transnational policy priorities include:

- to stop men's violence to women, children and other men, assisting victims and survivors;
- to enforce the criminal law on clear physical violence, that has historically often not been enforced in relation to men's violence to known women and children;
- to make non-violence and anti-violence central public policy of all relevant institutions;
- to assist men who have been violent to stop their violence, such as men's programmes, should be subject to accountability, high professional standards, close evaluation, and not be funded from women's services;
- to recognise the part played by men in forms of other violence, racist violence.

II. 9. MEN'S HEALTH

The major recurring theme here is men's relatively low life expectancy, poor health, accidents, suicide, morbidity. Some studies see traditional masculinity as hazardous to health. Men suffer and die more and at a younger age from cardiovascular diseases, cancer, respiratory diseases, accidents and violence than women. Socio-economic factors, qualifications, social status, life style, diet, smoking and drinking, hereditary factors, as well as occupational hazards, can all be important for morbidity and mortality. Gender differences in health arise from how certain work done by men are hazardous occupations. Evidence suggests that generally men neglect their health and that for some men at least

their 'masculinity' is characterised by risk taking, especially for younger men (in terms of smoking, alcohol and drug taking, unsafe sexual practices, road accidents, lack of awareness of risk), an ignorance of their bodies, and a reluctance to seek medical intervention for suspected health problems. There has been relatively little academic work on men's health and men's health practices from a gendered perspective in many countries.

EU, European-wide and transnational policy priorities include:

- to improve men's health;
- to facilitate men's improved health practices, including use of health services;
- to connect men's health to forms of masculinity, such as risk-taking behaviour;
- to focus on the negative effects of men's health problems upon women and children;
- to ensure that focusing on men's health does not reduce resources for women's and children's health.

II. 10. INTERRELATIONS BETWEEN POLICY AREAS

There are many important interrelations between the various aspects of men's positions and experiences, and their impacts on women, children and other men. There are strong interconnections between the four main policy areas. This applies to both men's power and domination in each theme area, and between some men's unemployment, social exclusion and ill health. Men dominate key institutions, such as government, politics, management, trade unions, churches, sport; yet some men suffer considerable marginalisation as evidenced in higher rates of suicide, psychiatric illness and alcoholism than women. These are key issues for both policy development and further focused research.

EU, European-wide and transnational policy priorities include:

- to address the interrelations between policy areas, such as home and work, fatherhood and men's violence, social exclusion and men's health.

REFERENCES

Alber, J. (1995) 'A framework for the comparative study of social services', *Journal of European Social Policy*, 5(2): 131-49.

Anthias, Floya and Yuval-Davis, Nira (1992) *Racialised Boundaries: Race, Nation, Gender, Colour and Class and the Anti-Racist Struggle*, London/New York: Routledge.

Anttonen, Anneli and Sipilä, Jorma (1996) 'European social care services: is it possible to identify models?', *Journal of European Social Policy*, 6: 87-100.

Aslanbeigu, N. Pressman, S. and Summerfield, G. (1994) *Women in the Age of Economic Transformation*, London: Routledge.

Brod, Harry (ed.) (1987) *The Making of Masculinities: the New Men's Studies*, London: Allen and Unwin.

Bulmer, S. (1998) "The protection of pregnant women at the workplace" in Armstrong, K. and Bulmer, S. *The Governance of the Single European Market* Manchester: Manchester University Press.

Carrigan, T., Connell, R.W. and Lee, J. (eds) 1985. *Toward a new sociology of masculinity*. *Theory and Society*, 14(5): 551- 604.

Clatterbaugh, K. (2000) What is problematic about masculinities? *Men and Masculinities*, 1(1): 24-45.

Communication from the commission to the council, the European parliament, the economic and social committee and the committee of the regions (2000) Brussels, 28.6.2000 COM(2000) 379 final.

Connell, R. W. (1987) *Gender and Power*. Cambridge: Polity.

Connell, R.W. (1991) 'The big picture- a little sketch: changing western masculinities in the perspective of recent world history', Paper Presented at the Research and Masculinity and Men in Gender Relations Conference, Sydney 7-8 June 1991. Also in *Theory and Society* 1993.

Connell, R.W.(1995) *Masculinities*, Cambridge: Polity Press.

Connell, R.W.(1998) 'Masculinities and globalization', *Men and Masculinities*, 1(1): 3-23.

Daly, M. (2000) *The Gender Division of Welfare*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Dominelli, Lena (1991) *Women Across Continents: Feminist Comparative Social Policy*, Hemel Hempstead: Harvester Wheatsheaf.

Donaldson, M. (1993) What is hegemonic masculinity? *Theory and Society*, 22(5): 643-657.

Duncan, Simon (1995) 'Theorizing European gender systems' *Journal of European Social Policy*, 5(4), 263-84.

Duncan, Simon and Pfau-Effinger, Birgit (eds.) (2001) *Gender, Work and Culture in the European Union*, London/New York: Routledge.

Ekenstam, Claus (ed.) (1998) *Radd Att Falla*, Hedemora: Gidlunds Forlag.

Enloe, C. (1990) *Bananas, Beaches, and Bases: Making Feminist Sense of International Relations*. London: Pluto; Berkeley: University of California Press.

Esping-Andersen, Gosta (1990) *The Three Worlds of Welfare Capitalism*, Cambridge: Polity Press.

- Esping-Andersen, Gosta (ed.) (1996) *Welfare States in Transition*, London: Sage.
- Esping-Andersen, Gosta (1999) *Social Foundations of Postindustrial Economies*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Grogaard, Jens B. (ed.) (1996) *Estonia in the Grip of Change. The NORBALT Living Conditions Project*. Talinn.
- Harder, M. and Pringle, K. (eds) (1997) *Protecting Children in Europe: Towards a New Millennium*, Aalborg: Aalborg University Press.
- Hearn, J. (1987) *The Gender of Oppression: Men, Masculinity and the Critique of Marxism*. Brighton: Wheatsheaf; New York: St Martin's.
- Hearn, J. (1992) *Men in the Public Eye*. London: Routledge.
- Hearn, J. (1996) Deconstructing the dominant: making the one(s) the other(s)', *Organization*, 3(4), 611-26.
- Hearn, J. (1998) *The violences of men*. London: Sage.
- Hearn, J. (1999) A crisis in masculinity, or new agendas for men? In S. Walby (ed.) *New agendas for women*. London: Macmillan, pp. 148-68.
- Hearn, J. and Collinson, D. L. 1993. Theorizing unities and differences between men and between masculinities. In Brod, H. and Kaufman, M. (eds.) *Theorizing Masculinities*. Newbury Park, Ca. and London: Sage, pp. 97-118.
- Hearn, J., Pringle, K., Müller, U., Oleksy, E., Lattu, E., Chernova, J., Ferguson, H., Holter, Ø. G., Kolga, V., Novikova, I., Pitch, T., Ventimiglia, C., Olsvik, E., Tallberg, T. 2002a. Critical Studies on Men in Ten European Countries: (1) The State of Academic Research. In *Men and Masculinities*, Vol. 4 (4) 380-408.
- Hearn, J., Pringle, K., Müller, U., Oleksy, E., Lattu, E., Chernova, J., Ferguson, H., Holter, Ø.G., Kolga, V., Novikova, I., Ventimiglia, C., Olsvik, E. and Tallberg, T. 2002b. Critical studies on men in ten European countries (2): the state of statistical information. *Men and Masculinities*, 5(1): 5-31.
- Hearn, J., Pringle, K., Müller, U., Oleksy, E., Lattu, E., Tallberg, T., Chernova, J., Ferguson, H., Holter, O.G., Kolga, V., Novikova, I., Ventimiglia, C., Olsvik, E. 2002c. Critical studies on men in ten European countries (3): the state of law and policy. *Men and Masculinities*, 5(2): 192-217.
- Hearn, J., Pringle, K., Müller, U., Oleksy, E., Lattu, E., Tallberg, T., Chernova, J., Ferguson, H., Holter, O.G., Kolga, V., Novikova, I., Ventimiglia, C., Olsvik, E. (2003) 'Critical studies on men in ten European countries (4): newspapers and media representations', with twelve others, *Men and Masculinities*, Vol. 6(1).
- Higate, P. (ed.) (2002) *Military Masculinities: Identities and the State*. Connecticut: Greenwood.

Hirdman, Y. (1988) Genussystemet - reflexioner kring kvinnors sociala underordning. *Kvinnovetenskaplig Tidskrift*, 3, pp. 49-63.

Hirdman, Y. (1990) *Att Lägga Livet till Rätta: Studier i Svensk Folkhemspolitik*. Stockholm: Carlssons.

Hobson, Barbara (ed.) (2002) *Masking Men into Fathers: Men, Masculinities and the Social Politics of Fatherhood*, Cambridge/New York: Cambridge University Press.

Holter, Øystein Gullvåg and Aarseth, Helene (1993) *Menns Livssammenheng*, Oslo: Ad Notem Gyldendal.

Holter, Øystein Gullvåg (1997) *Gender, Patriarchy and Capitalism: a Social Forms Analysis*, Oslo: Arbeidsforskningsinstituttet.

<http://www.norden.org/jaemst/sk/maend.asp?lang=4>

http://www.unesco.org/culture/worldreport/html_eng/stat2/table13.pdf

<http://www.unhchr.ch/html/intlinst.htm>

http://www.undp.org/gender/programmes/men/men_ge.html#Beijing + 5 Special

Kaufman M. (ed.) (1987) *Beyond Patriarchy. Essays by Men on Pleasure, Power. and Change*. Toronto: Oxford University Press.

Kimmel, Michael S. (ed.) (1987) *Changing Men: New Directions in Research on Men and Masculinity*, Newbury Park, Ca.: Sage.

Kimmel, M.S. (1996) *Manhood in America. A Cultural History*. New York: Free Press.

Kimmel, M., Hearn, J. and Connell, R.W. (eds.) (2003) *Handbook on Studies of Men and Masculinities*, Thousand Oaks, Ca.: Sage.

Kolga, Voldemar (2000) *Estonia National Report on Research on Men's Practices. Workpackage 1. HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem of Men and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities*.

Langan, M. and Ostner, I. (1991) *Gender and welfare: towards a comparative framework*. In Room, G. (ed.) *Gendering Welfare States*. London: Sage.

Leira, Arnlaug (1992) *Welfare States and Working Mothers: The Scandinavian Experience*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Leira, Arnlaug (1994) 'Combining work and family: working mothers in Scandinavia and the European Union', in Brown, P. and Crompton, R. (eds.) *Economic Restructuring and Social Exclusion*, London: UCL Press.

Lewis, Jane (1992) 'Gender and the development of welfare regimes', *Journal of European Social Policy*, 2(3), pp. 159-73.

Lewis, Jane. and Ostner, Ilona (1991) 'Gender and the evolution of European social policies', paper at CES Workshop on Emergent Supranational Social Policy: The EC's Social Dimension in Comparative Perspective. Center for European Studies: Harvard University.

Liebert, Ulrike (1999) 'Gender politics in the European Union', *European Societies*, 1(2), pp. 197-239.

Lister, Ruth (1998) 'From equality to social inclusion', *Critical Social Policy*, 18(2), pp. 215-225.

MacInnes, J. (1998) *The End of Masculinity*. Buckingham: Open University Press.

McMahon, A. (1993) Male readings of feminist theory: the psychologization of sexual politics in the masculinity literature. *Theory and Society*, 22(5): 675-696.

Metz-Göckel, S. and Müller, U. (1986) *Der Mann*, Weinheim/Basel: Die Birgitte Studie.

Mies, Maria (1998) 'Globalization of the economy and woman's work in a sustainable society', *Gender, Technology and Development*, 2(1), 3-37.

Mueller, Ursula (2000) Germany National Report on Research on Men's Practices. Workpackage 1. HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem of Men and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities.

Månsson, S.-A. (1994) Vad hander med mannen? Om mans vald och sexualitet i det moderna samhället. In Bjornberg, U. (ed.) *Janus och genus: Om kon och social identitet i familj och samhälle..* Stockholm: Brombergs.

Novikova, I. and Kambourov, D. (eds.) (2003) *Men and Masculinities in the Former Soviet Countries* (provisional title), Helsinki: The Aleksanteri Institute, University of Helsinki.

Novikova, Irina, Pringle, Keith, Hearn, Jeff, Mueller, Ursula, Oleksy, Elzbieta, Ferguson, Harry, Kolga, Voldemar et al. (2003) 'Men, Masculinities and 'Europe'' in Connell, R.W., Hearn, J. and Kimmel, M. (eds.) *The Handbook of Studies on Men and Masculinities*, Thousand Oaks, Ca.; Sage.

O'Connor, Julia S. (1993) 'Gender, class and citizenship in the comparative analysis of welfare state regimes: theoretical and methodological issues', *British Journal of Sociology*, 44(3): 501-18.

Oleksy, Elzbieta (2000) Poland National Report on Research on Men's Practices. Workpackage 1. HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem of Men and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities.

Orloff, Ann S. (1993) 'Gender and the social rights of citizenship: state policies and gender relations in comparative research', *American Sociological Review*, 58(3): 303-28

Ostner, Ilona (1994). The women and welfare debate. In Hantrais, Linda and Morgan, S. (eds.) *Family Policy and the Welfare of Women. Cross-National Research Papers, Third Series, 3*. European Research Centre, University of Loughborough

Pease, Bob and Pringle, Keith (eds.) (2001) *A Man's World? Changing Men's Practices in a Globalized World*, London: Zed Books.

Pease, Bob and Pringle, Keith (eds.) (2002) *A Man's World? Changing Men's Practices in a Globalized World*, London: Zed Books.

Peterson, V. Spike and Runyan, Anne Sisson (1999) *Global Gender Issues, 2nd Ed.*, Boulder, Co.: Westview.

Popay, Jennie, Hearn, Jeff and Edwards, Jeanette (eds.) (1998) *Men, Gender Divisions and Welfare*, London: Routledge.

Pringle, K. (1995) *Men, Masculinities and Social Welfare*, London: UCL Press.

Pringle, K. (1998a) *Children and Social Welfare in Europe*, Buckingham: Open University Press.

Pringle, Keith (1998b) 'Profeminist debates on men's practices and social welfare', *British Journal of Social Work*, August.

Pringle, K. and Harder, M. (1999) *Through Two Pairs of Eyes: A Comparative Study of Danish Social Policy and Child Welfare Practice*, Aalborg, Aalborg University Press.

Rai, S., Pilkington, H. and Phizakerlea, A. (eds.) (1992) *Women in the Face of Change: the Soviet Union, Eastern Europe and China*, London: Routledge.

Sainsbury, Diane (ed.) (1994) *Gendering Welfare States*, London: Sage.

Sainsbury, Diane (1996) *Gender, Equality and Welfare States*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Sainsbury, Diane (ed.) (1999) *Gender and Welfare State Regimes*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Segal, Lynne (1990) *Slow Motion: Changing Masculinities, Changing Men*. London: Virago Press.

Siim, B. (2000) *Gender and Citizenship. Politics and Agency in France, Britain and Denmark*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Trifiletti, Rosanna (1999) 'Southern European welfare regimes and the worsening position of women', *Journal of European Social Policy*, 9(1): 49-65-

Tyyskä, Vappu (1995) *The Politics of Caring and the Welfare State: the Impact of the Women's Movement on Child Care Policy in Canada and Finland, 1960-1990*, Helsinki: Suomalainen Tiedeakatemia, ser B tom 277.

UN Human Development Report (2001) New York: UN.
Available at: <http://www.undp.org/undp/hdro/01gdi.htm>

Ventimiglia Carmine (1987) *La violenza negata. Ricerca sulla violenza sessuale in Italia*. Milano: Angeli.

Walby, S. 1986. *Patriarchy at Work: Patriarchal and Capitalist Relations in Employment*. Polity Press, Cambridge.

Walby, Sylvia (1990) *Theorising Patriarchy*. Basil Blackwell, Oxford.

Walby, Sylvia (1997) *Gender Transformations*, London: Routledge.

Walby, Sylvia (1999) 'The European Union and equal opportunities policies', *European Societies*, 1(1), pp. 59-80.

Waters, Malcom (1989) 'Patriarchy and viriarchy', *Sociology*, 23(2): 193-211.

Zulehner, Paul M. and Volz, Rainer (1998) *Maenner im Aufbruch. Wie Deutschlands Maennersich selbst und wie sie frauen sehen*. Ostfildem.

Further extensive information is contained in the 40 national reports, the 4 summary reports (academic research, statistical information, law and policy, newspaper representations) and in the 10 "Policy Option Papers I: National Options and Priorities", produced by the Research Network, all of which are available at: www.cromenet.org

Appendix 13: Publications from the Network and Network members

Publications

Chernova, J. 2000. *Russian Federation national report on research on men's practices. Workpackage 1.* HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem of Men and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Chernova, J. 2001. *Russia National Report on Statistical Information on Men's Practices. Workpackage 2.* HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem of Men and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Chernova, J. 2001. *Russia National Report on Law and Policy Addressing Men's Practices: Workpackage 3.* EU FP5 Thematic Network: The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at: www.cromenet.org

Connell, R.W., Hearn, J. and Kimmel, M. (eds.). Forthcoming. *Handbook of Studies on Men and Masculinities.* Thousand Oaks, Ca./London: Sage.

Ferguson, H. 2000. *Ireland national report on research on men's practices. Workpackage 1.* HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem of Men and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Ferguson, H. 2001. Men and masculinities in late-modern Ireland. In Pease, B. and Pringle, K. (eds.). *A Man's World? Changing Men's Practices in a Globalised World.* London: Zed Books, pp. 118-134.

Ferguson, H. 2001. *Ireland National Report on Statistical Information on Men's Practices. Workpackage 2.* HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem of Men and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org.

Ferguson, H. 2001. *Ireland National Report on Law and Policy Addressing Men's Practices: Workpackage 3.* EU FP5 Thematic Network: The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at: www.cromenet.org

Ferguson, H. with the assistance of C. Mackinnon, 2001. *Ireland National Report on Newspaper Representations on Men and Men's Practices Workpackage 4.* HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Hanmer, J. and Hearn, J. 2000. Gendering research on men's violence to women. *Men and Violence Against Women*, Seminar Proceedings, Council of Europe, 7-8 October 1999, Strasbourg, pp. 32-40.

Hearn, J. 2000. Forskning om mænd i fire dele af verden: USA, Australia, England og Norden. *NIKK Magasin*, Nr. 1, pp. 7-9.

Hearn, J. 2000. Quelle politique pour les études critiques sur les hommes In Welzer-Lang, D. (ed.) *Nouvelles approches des hommes et du masculin*. Toulouse, Les Presses Universitaires du Mirail, Féminin-Masculin Series, The Equipe Simone Research Team, Toulouse, pp. 255-259.

Hearn, J. 2000. On the complexity of feminist intervention in organisations. *Organisation: The Interdisciplinary Journal of Organisation, Theory and Society*. 7 (4), pp. 609-624.

Hearn, J. 2000. Men, (pro-)feminism, organising and organisations. *Finnish Journal of Business Economics*, 3, pp. 350-372.

Hearn, J. 2000. The naming of men: national and transnational perspectives. *The Network Newsletter. Promoting Gender Equality (The British Council)* No. 21, pp. 4-5.

Hearn, J. and Collinson, D.L. 2000. Critical research studies on men, masculinities and management's. In Davidson, M.J. and Burke, R.J. (eds.) *Women in Management: Current Research Issues Volume II*. London : Paul Chapman/Sage, pp. 263-278.

Hearn, J. and Jyrkinen, M. 2000. Uudet teknologiat: globalisaatio ja seksiteollisuus. (New technologies, globalisation and the sex industry) *Naistutkimus*, 4, pp. 67-71.

Hearn, J. and Kovalainen, A. 2000. *Gender Relations in Transnational Organisations: A Theoretical, Conceptual and Methodological Overview*. Helsinki: The Swedish School of Economics and Business Administration, Working Paper Series, 2000.

Hearn, J. and Lattu, E. 2000. CROME: Kriittistä tutkimusta miehistä Euroopassa (CROME: critical studies on men in Europe). *Naistutkimustiedote*, 2/3, pp. 14-17.

Hearn, J. and Lattu, E. 2000. *Finland National Report on Research on Men's Practices. Workpackage 1*. HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem of Men and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Hearn, J., Müller, U., Oleksy, E., Pringle, K., Chernova, J., Ferguson, H., Holter, O.G., Kolga, V., Novikova, I., Pitch, T., Ventimiglia, Lattu, E. C. and Olsvik, E. 2000. *Summary Report on Workpackage 1 on Ten National Reports on Research on Men's Practices: Deliverable 2*, EU FP5 Thematic Network, 'The Social Problem of Men'. Helsinki: Swedish School of Economics and Business Administration, 140 pp. Available at: <http://www.cromenet.org/>

Hearn, J. 2001. Men, social work and men's violence to women. In Christie, A. (ed.) *Men and Social Work*. London: Macmillan, pp. 63-86.

Hearn, J. 2001. Academia, management and men: making the connections, exploring the implications. In Brooks, A. and Mackinnon, A. (eds.) *Gender and the Restructured University: Changing Management and Culture in Higher Education*. Buckingham and Philadelphia: Open University Press, pp. 69-89.

Hearn, J. 2001. Nation, state and welfare: the cases of Finland and the UK. In Pease, B. and Pringle, K. (eds.) *A Man's World? Changing Men's Practices in a Globalised World*. London: Zed Books, pp. 85-102.

Hearn, J. 2001. Men organising and working against men's violence to women: campaigns, programmes, commitments, development. *Development: the Journal of the Society for International Development*, 44 (3), pp. 85-89.

Hearn, J. and Collinson, D.L. 2001. Naming men as men: implications for work, organisations and management. In Whitehead, S. and Barrett, F. (eds.) *The Masculinities Reader*. Cambridge, Polity, pp. 144-169. (Reprinted from *Gender, Work and Organisation*, 1994).

Hearn, J., Green, L. and Parkin, W. 2001. Power. In Wilson, E (ed.) *Organisational Behaviour Reassessed: the Impact of Gender*. London: Sage, pp. 186-212.

Hearn, J., Lattu, E., and Tallberg, T., 2001a. *Finland National Report on Statistical Information on Men's Practices. Workpackage 2*. HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem of Men and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Hearn, J., Lattu, E. and Tallberg, T. 2001b. *Finland National Report on Law and Policy Addressing Men's Practices. Workpackage 3*. EU FP5 Thematic Network: The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at: www.cromenet.org

Hearn, J., and Lattu, E. and Tallberg, T. 2001c. *Finland National Report on Newspaper Representations on Men and Men's Practices. Workpackage 4*. HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Hearn, J., Müller, U., Oleksy, E., Pringle, K., Chernova, J., Ferguson, H., Holter, O.G., Kolga, V., Novikova, I., Pitch, T., Ventimiglia, C., Lattu, E., Tallberg, T., Millett, J., and Olsvik E. 2001. *Summary Report on Workpackage 2 on Ten National Reports on Statistical Information on Men's Practices: Deliverable 2*, EU FP5 Thematic Network, 'The Social Problem of Men'. Helsinki: Swedish School of Economics and Business Administration. Report to the European Commission, 180 pp. Available at: <http://www.cromenet.org/>

Hearn, J., Müller, U., Oleksy, E., Pringle, K., Chernova, J., Ferguson, H., Holter, O.G., Kolga, V., Novikova, I., Pitch, T., Ventimiglia, C., Lattu, E., Tallberg, T., Millett, J., and Olsvik, E. 2001. *Summary Report on Workpackage 3 on Ten National Reports on Law and Policy on Men's Practices: Deliverable 5*, EU FP5 Thematic Network, 'The Social Problem of Men'. Helsinki: Swedish School of Economics and Business Administration. Report to the European Commission, 121 pp. Available at: <http://www.cromenet.org/>

Hearn, J., Müller, U., Oleksy, E., Pringle, K., Chernova, J., Ferguson, H., Holter, O.G., Kolga, V., Novikova, I., Ventimiglia, C., Lattu, E., Tallberg, T., Millett, J. and Olsvik, E. 2001. *Summary Report on Workpackage 4 on Ten National Reports on Media Representations of Men's Practices: Deliverable 7*, EU FP5 Thematic Network, 'The

Social Problem of Men'. Helsinki: Swedish School of Economics and Business Administration. Report to the European Commission, 109 pp. Available at: <http://www.cromenet.org/>

Hearn, J., Müller, U., Oleksy, E., Pringle, K., Kolga, V., Novikova, I., Pitch, T., Ventimiglia, C., Millett, J. and Olsvik, E. 2001. Research note: European research network on men in Europe. *Journal of European Social Policy*, 11 (2), pp. 171-173.

Hearn, J., Müller, U., Oleksy, E., Pringle, K., Kolga, V., Novikova, I., Ventimiglia, C., Millett, J. and Olsvik, E. 2001. Information Networking on Men's Practices in Europe. *European Information*, Issue 16.

Hearn, J. and Parkin, W. 2001. *Gender, Sexuality and Violence in Organisations: the Unspoken Forces of Organisation Violations*. London: Sage.

Hearn, J. and Pringle, K. (with Network Partners). 2001. Thematic Network on the Social Problem and Societal Problematization of Men and Masculinities (MEN). In Hantrais, L. (ed.) *Researching Family and Welfare from an International Comparative Perspective*. Brussels: Directorate Technology Foresight and Socio-Economic Research, European Commission, pp. 58-62.

Hearn, J. 2002. Men, fatherhood and the state: national and transnational perspectives. In Hobson, B. (ed.) *Making Men into Fathers: Men, Masculinities and the Social Politics of Fatherhood*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 245-272, 293-294.

Hearn, J. 2002. Alternative conceptualisations and theoretical perspectives on identities and organisational cultures: a review of research on men in organisations. In Aaltio-Marjosola, I. and Mills, A. (eds.) *Gender, Identities and the Culture of Organisations*, London and New York : Routledge, pp. 39-56.

Hearn, J. 2002. Critical Studies on Men in four parts of the world. *NIKK Magasin*, Nr. 3, pp. 12-15.

Hearn, J. 2002. On men, women, militarism and the military. In Higate, P. (ed.) *Military Masculinities: Identities and the State*. Connecticut : Greenwood.

Hearn, J. 2002. Education as intervention against men's violence to women. In Eliasson, M (ed.) *Research on Violence to Women*. Stockholm: The Swedish Government.

Hearn, J. 2002. *Gender divisions and gender policies of top Finnish corporations*. Stockholm: European Academy of Management 2002, Stockholm University. Available at: http://www.sses.com/public/events/euram/complete_tracks/gender_issues/hearn_kovalainen_tallberg.pdf

Hearn, J. 2002. Men's violences to women, gendered power and 'non-violent' institutions. In *Kön och våld i Norden. Rapport från en konferens i Køge, Danmark, 23-24 November 2001 / Gender and violence in the Nordic countries. Report from a conference in Køge, Denmark, 23-24 November 2001*. Copenhagen: The Nordic Council of Ministers' series TemaNord.

Hearn, J., Kovalainen A. and Tallberg, T. 2002. *Gender Divisions and Gender Policies in Top Finnish Corporations*. Helsinki: Swedish School of Economics and Business Administration, Series B.

Hearn, Jeff, Kovalainen, Anne and Tallberg, Teemu (2003): Organising Knowledges, Gender Divisions and Gender Policies: the Case of Large Finnish Corporations. *Journal of Internet and Enterprise Management* Vol. 2.

Hearn, J. and Lattu, E. (eds.) 2002. *Gender, Men and Masculinities*. Special Issue *NORA: Nordic Journal of Women's Studies*, 10.

Hearn, J. and Lattu, E. 2002. Men, masculinities and gender. *NORA: Nordic Journal of Women's Studies*, 10(1): 3-5.

Hearn, J. and Lattu, E. 2002. The recent development of Finnish studies on men: a selective review and a critique of a neglected area. *NORA: Nordic Journal of Women's Studies*, 10(1): 49-60.

Hearn, J. Lattu, E. and Tallberg, T. 2003. *Minne mies menossa? Miehiä koskevan tutkimuksen kehitys Suomessa*. [How's it going, Men? The Development of Studies on Men in Finland]. Naistutkimus 1/2003, pp. 18-29

Hearn, J., Müller, U., Oleksy, E., Pringle, K., Chernova, J., Ferguson, H., Holter, O.G., Kolga, V., Novikova, I., Pitch, T., Ventimiglia, C., Lattu, E., Tallberg, T., Millett, J., Olsvik, E., Jacobsen, A. and Rydzewska, J. 2002. *The European Research Network on Men in Europe: The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities: Interim Final Report: "The Social Problem of Men"*, EU FP5 Thematic Network, 'The Social Problem of Men'. Helsinki: Swedish School of Economics and Business Administration. Report to the European Commission, 95 pp.

Hearn, J., Müller, U., Oleksy, E., Pringle, K., Chernova, J., Ferguson, H., Holter, O.G., Kolga, V., Novikova, I., Pitch, T., Ventimiglia, C., Lattu, E., Tallberg, T. and Olsvik, E. 2002. *The European Research Network on Men in Europe: The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities: Draft Final Report: "The Social Problem of Men"*, EU FP5 Thematic Network, 'The Social Problem of Men'. Helsinki: Swedish School of Economics and Business Administration. Report to the European Commission, 130 pp.

Hearn, J., Pringle, K., Müller, U., Oleksy, E., Lattu, E., Chernova, J., Ferguson, H., Holter, O.G., Kolga, V., Novikova, I., Ventimiglia, C., Olsvik, E. and Tallberg, T. 2002. Critical studies on men in ten European countries (1): the state of academic research. *Men and Masculinities*, 4(4): 64-92.

Hearn, J., Pringle, K., Müller, U., Oleksy, E., Lattu, E., Chernova, J., Ferguson, H., Holter, Ø.G., Kolga, V., Novikova, I., Ventimiglia, C., Olsvik, E. and Tallberg, T. 2002. Critical studies on men in ten European countries (2): the state of statistical information. *Men and Masculinities*, 5(1): 5-31.

Hearn, J., Pringle, K., Müller, U., Oleksy, E., Lattu, E., Tallberg, T., Chernova, J., Ferguson, H., Holter, O.G., Kolga, V., Novikova, I., Ventimiglia, C., Olsvik, E. 2002. Critical studies on men in ten European countries (3): the state of law and policy. *Men and Masculinities*, 5(2): 192-217.

Hearn, J., Pringle, K., Müller, U., Oleksy, E., Lattu, E., Tallberg, T., Chernova, J., Ferguson, H., Holter, O.G., Kolga, V., Novikova, I., Ventimiglia, C. In Press. Critical studies on men in ten European countries (4): newspaper and media representations. *Men and Masculinities*, 5.

Hearn, J. and Collinson, D.L. In Press. Naming men as men: implications for work, organisations and management. In Foldy, E. (ed.) *The Blackwells Reader in Gender, Work and Organisation*. Oxford/New York: Blackwells. (Reprinted from *Gender, Work and Organisation*, 1994).

Hearn, J. and Collinson, D.L. In Press. Breaking the silence: on men, masculinities and managements. In Foldy, E. (ed.) *The Blackwells Reader in Gender, Work and Organisation*, Oxford/New York: Blackwells. (Reprinted Collinson, D.L. and Hearn, J. (eds.) *Men as Managers, Managers as Men: Critical Perspectives on Men, Masculinities and Management's*. London : Sage, 1996).

Hearn, J. and Collinson, D.L. Forthcoming. Work, organisations, management and leadership. In Connell, R.W., Hearn, J. and Kimmel, M. (eds.) *The Handbook of Studies on Men and Masculinities*. Thousand Oaks, Ca./London Sage.

Hearn, J. and Wessels, H. In Press. Men's violence to women: an urgent issue for education. In Davison, K. and Frank, B. (eds.) *Masculinities, Sexualities and Schooling*. Halifax, Nova Scotia : Fernwood Publishing.

Heiskanen, Markku & Sirén, Reino & Tallberg, Teemu (2001) *Kotitaloudet omaisuusrikosten kohteena*. (Households as Victims of Crimes against Property.) Tilastokeskus Oikeus 2001:9 / Oikeus-poliittisen tutkimuslaitoksen tutkimustiedonantoja 52. Helsinki. 62 p.

Heiskanen, Markku & Tallberg, Teemu (2001) *Omaisuusrikosten määrä, rakenne ja poliisin tietoon tuleminen*. In Heiskanen, Markku & Sirén, Reino & Tallberg, Teemu (2001) *Kotitaloudet omaisuusrikosten kohteena*. (Households as Victims of Crimes against Property.) Tilastokeskus Oikeus 2001:9 / Oikeus-poliittisen tutkimuslaitoksen tutkimustiedonantoja 52. Helsinki. pp. 11-16

Holter, Ø. G. and Olsvik, E. 2000. *Norway National Report on Research on Men's Practices. Workpackage 1*. HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem of Men and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Holter, Ø. G. 2001a. *Norway National Report on Statistical Information on Men's Practices. Workpackage 2*. HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem of Men and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Holter, Ø. G. 2001. *Norway National Report on Law and Policy Addressing Men's Practices. Workpackage 3.* EU FP5 Thematic Network: The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at: www.cromenet.org

Holter, Ø. G. 2001. *Norway National Report on Newspaper Representations on Men and Men's Practices. Workpackage 4.* HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Holter, Ø. G. Forthcoming. Men and masculinities in the social sciences. In Connell, R. W., Hearn, J. and Kimmel, M. (eds.) *Handbook on Studies of Men and Masculinities*. Thousand Oaks, Ca./London: Sage.

Kolga, V. 2000. *Estonia national report on research on men's practices. Workpackage 1.* HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem of Men and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Kolga, V. 2001. *Estonia National Report on Statistical Information on Men's Practices. Workpackage 2.* HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem of Men and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Kolga, V. 2001. *Estonia National Report on Law and Policy Addressing Men's Practices. Workpackage 3.* EU FP5 Thematic Network: The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at: www.cromenet.org

Kolga, V. 2001. *Estonia National Report on Newspaper Representations on Men and Men's Practices. Workpackage 4.* HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Lattu, E. 2001. Naisiin kohdistuva väkivalta Sri Lankassa (Violence against women in Sri Lanka), in Mattila, R and Nard, L. (eds.). *Yhdessä väkivaltaa vastaan (Together against violence)*. Helsinki : Ministry of Foreign Affairs.

Müller, U. 2000. *Germany national report on research on men's practices. Workpackage 1.* HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem of Men and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Müller, U. 2001. *Germany National Report on Statistical Information on Men's Practices. Workpackage 2.* HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem of Men and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Müller, U. 2001. *Germany National Report on Law and Policy Addressing Men's Practices. Workpackage 3.* EU FP5 Thematic Network: The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at: www.cromenet.org

Müller, U. and Jacobsen, A. 2001. *Germany National Report on Newspaper Representations on Men and Men's Practices. Workpackage 4.* HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU

FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Müller, U. Forthcoming. Men, masculinities and feminist theory. In Connell, R. W., Hearn, J. and Kimmel, M. (eds.) *Handbook on Studies of Men and Masculinities*. Thousand Oaks, Ca./London: Sage.

Müller, U. Geschlecht im Management: ein soziologischer Blick (Gender in Management: A Sociological Perspective), in: *Wirtschaftspsychologie*, Vol. 4, 1/2002, p.5-10, Pabst Science Publishers

Müller, U. Von Buben und Damen. Anmerkungen zur „Männerforschung“ (On knaves and queens. Annotations on „Men’s Studies“ , in: *Zeitschrift für Erwachsenenbildung Journal of Adult Education*), IV/2000

Müller, U. Several short articles in : *Bielefelder Universitaetszeitung* (Journal of Bielefeld University) and *IFF-Info* (Journal of the Interdisciplinary Women’s Studies Centre)

Müller, U. Masculinities – Structures and Discourses. A closer look at German developments, in : Irina Novikova/Dimitar Kambourov, (*working title*), Helsinki University Press

Müller, U. Masculinities – A New Area of Research in the Social Sciences, in: Alison Woodward et al., eds., *Masculinities. Weten mannen waaarom; Mannelijkheid feministisch bekeken or: Do Men Know Why? Masculinity through feminist eyes* (working title), Vrije Universiteit Brussel Press (VEB Press)

Müller, U. Impact, side effects, and interaction: Contextualizing Feminist Research on Men and Masculinities, in: Robert W. Connell/Jeff Hearn/Michael Kimmel (eds.), *The Handbook of Men and Masculinities*, Sage

Novikova, I. 2000. *Latvia National Report on Research on Men’s Practices. Workpackage 1*. HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem of Men and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Novikova, I. 2001. *Latvia National Report on Statistical Information on Men’s Practices. Workpackage 2*. HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem of Men and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Novikova, I. 2001. *Latvia National Report on Law and Policy Addressing Men’s Practices. Workpackage 3*. EU FP5 Thematic Network: The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Novikova, I. 2001. *Latvia National Report on Newspaper Representations on Men and Men’s Practices. Workpackage 4*. HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Novikova, I. 2002. *A Coward Does Not Play Hockey, Or How to Burn Flags With No*

Monuments to Destroy? In Oushakin, S. (ed) *On Masculinity*. Novoe Literaturnoe Obozrenie, Moscow.

Novikova, I. 2002. Representations of Masculinity and War in Soviet and Russian Films. In Zherebkina, I. (ed.) *Gendernye issledovania* (Gender Studies), Nr. 6, 2001, Kharkhov. University press.

Novikova, I. and Kambourov, D. (ed.) 2003. *Men and Masculinities in the Former Soviet Countries*, Kikimora Publishers, The Aleksanteri Institute, University of Helsinki, Helsinki.

Novikova, I., Pringle, K., Hearn J., Müller, U., Oleksy, E., Chernova, J., Ferguson, H., Holter, O.G., Kolga, V., Lattu, E., Tallberg, T. and Ventimiglia, C. Forthcoming. Men, Masculinities and Europe. In Connell, R. W., Hearn, J. and Kimmel, M. (eds.) *Handbook on Studies of Men and Masculinities*. Thousand Oaks, Ca./London: Sage.

Oleksy, E. 2000. *Poland National Report on Research on Men's Practices. Workpackage 1*. HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem of Men and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Oleksy, E. 2001. *Poland National Report on Statistical Information on Men's Practices. Workpackage 2*. HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem of Men and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Oleksy, E. 2001. *Poland National Report on Law and Policy Addressing Men's Practices. Workpackage 3*. EU FP5 Thematic Network: The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at: www.cromenet.org

Oleksy, E. 2001. *Poland National Report on Newspaper Representations on Men and Men's Practices. Workpackage 4*. HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Oleksy, E. 2002. Women in revolt, in Mikula, M. (ed.), *Women, Don't Interfere With Us, We are Fighting for Poland. Polish Mothers and Transgressive Others* London: Routledge
Oleksy, E and Ostrowska, E. (eds.). 2001. *Gender – Film – Media*. Krakow: Rabid.

Pease, B. and Pringle, K. (eds.), 2001. Introduction: studying men's practices and gender relations in a global context. In Pease, B. and Pringle, K. (eds.). *A Man's World? Changing Men's Practices in a Globalised World*. London: Zed Books, pp. 1-18.

Pease, B. and Pringle, K. (eds.), 2001. *A Man's World? Changing Men's Practices in a Globalised World*. London: Zed Books.

Pringle, K. 2000. *UK National Report on Research on Men's Practices. Workpackage 1*. HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem of Men and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Pringle, K., Raynor, A. and Millett, J. 2001. *UK National Report on Statistical Information on Men's Practices. Workpackage 2.* HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem of Men and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Pringle, K. with the assistance of A. Raynor and J. Millett. 2001. *UK National Report on Law and Policy Addressing Men's Practices. Workpackage 3.* HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Pringle, K., Raynor, A. and Millett, J. 2001. *UK National Report on Newspaper Representations on Men and Men's Practices. Workpackage 4.* HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Russian Federation national report on Newspaper Representations on Men and Men's Practices. Workpackage 4. 2001. HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem of Men and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Tallberg, Teemu (2000) *Raamatulla päähän ja vähän lähimmäisen rakkaudellakin – uskontopuhe ja homoseksuaalisuus ylioppilasaineissa.* In Lehtonen, Jukka (ed.) (2000) *Yhtä tavallisia kuin muut. Lukiolaisten käsityksiä seksuaalivähemmistöistä.* (As Ordinary as Others. Upper Secondary Students' Views on Sexual Minorities.) Seta-julkaisu 12. Helsinki. pp. 56-73

Tallberg, Teemu (2000) *Siviilistä sotilaaksi, pojasta mieheksi* (From Civilian to Soldier, from Boy to Man). Nuorisotutkimus 2000/4. pp. 58-68

Tallberg, Teemu (2003): *Miesten koulu? Mieskuva varusmieskoulutuksessa käytettävissä opetuselokuvissa.* Naisiin kohdistuvan väkivallan ehkäisyprojekti / STAKES, Helsinki. 117 p.

Ventimiglia, C. and Pitch, T. 2000. *Italy National Report on Research on Men's Practices. Workpackage 1.* HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem of Men and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Ventimiglia, C. and Pitch, T. 2001. *Italy National Report on Statistical Information on Men's Practices. Workpackage 2.* HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem of Men and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Ventimiglia, C. 2001. *Italy National Report on Law and Policy Addressing Men's Practices. Workpackage 3.* EU FP5 Thematic Network: The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at: www.cromenet.org

Ventimiglia, C. 2001. *Italy National Report on Newspaper Representations on Men and Men's Practices. Workpackage 4.* HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The

Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Appendix 14: Conference Announcement and Programme

Conference Title:

**MEN: THE SOCIAL PROBLEM AND THE SOCIAL POSSIBILITIES.
AN EU CONFERENCE ON RESEARCH, POLICY AND PRACTICE**

Time: Friday 31st January – 2nd February 2003

Place: Helsinki, Finland

The organiser: The EU Framework 5 Thematic Network: The European Research Network on Men in Europe “The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities”

Topic and purpose:

- **What is men’s position in European societies, and how is this changing?**
- **What are the main features of men’s relations to women and children?**
- **What are the main problems that men cause?**
- **What are the main problems experienced by men?**
- **How do these questions differ in different European countries?**
- **What is the role of national governments, the EU, other governmental bodies, business, and NGOs in this process?**

There is nowadays increasing recognition that questions of gender equality and gender inequalities concern both women and men. There is a growing debate on men’s social position and men’s practices throughout Europe. This includes debate on the social problems that men cause for women, children, and other men, as well as the social problems experienced by men themselves.

For the last two years, the EU European Research Network on Men in Europe project “The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities” has been studying these questions. The project, which runs until March 2003, is funded by the Research Directorate of the European Commission under its Framework 5 Programme. The Network consists of women and men researchers from 10 countries: Estonia, Finland, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Norway, Poland, the Russian Federation and the UK. There are also associated members of the Network in Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Denmark, and Sweden. The Network comprises women and men researchers who are researching on men and masculinities in *an explicitly gendered way*. Four main areas have been examined: men’s relations to home and work, the social exclusion of some men, men’s violences, men’s health.

Type and target group:

This conference, ‘The Social Problem of Men’, is the outcome of this work. It is designed for selected researchers, policy-makers and practitioners. It will:

- present the results of the Network’s research work;
- provide an opportunity for other researchers, policy-makers and practitioners to present their work;
- act as a forum for research, policy and practice debate on these issues;
- be a means of formulating initiatives to the research community, government, business and NGOs.

Conference programme

Day 1: Friday 31st January

1030-1230 Check in at Hotel, Conference Registration, Lunch

i. Check in at Hotel: Hotel Helka, and Lunch

ii. Conference Registration and Location:

Swedish School of Economics / Svenska handelshögskolan

Arkadiankatu 22 / Arkadiagatan 22

1230-1400 'The state of research on men in Europe: research findings from the EU Research Network 2000-2003'

Professor Jeff Hearn, Swedish School of Economics, Helsinki, and University of Manchester, UK, and Professor Ursula Müller, University of Bielefeld, Germany

Welcome from Eva Biaudet, Minister of Health and Social Services (responsible for equality issues), Finland

1400-1500 Workgroups 1: discussion of the general *research* findings

1500-1530 Tea/coffee

1530-1630 Panel on 'Key themes: (home and work, social exclusion, violences, health)' Professor Harry Ferguson, University of West of England, UK Professor Voldemar Kolga, University of Tallinn, Estonia, Dr Irina Novikova, University of Latvia

1630-1730 Workgroups 2: (by major *theme*) home and work, social exclusion, violences, health (co-led by members of the Research Network)

1730-1800 End plenary discussion

1800 Reception

1930 Evening meal, Hotel Helka

Day 2: Saturday 1st February

0900-0930 'Developing local, national and supranational policies on men' Professor Keith Pringle, Sunderland University

0930-1030 'Policy and practical initiatives of working with men'

Alan O'Neill (Men's Development Network, Ireland)

Dr Tana Lace (Riga Stradins University, Latvia)

In this session others who wish to present information on policy and practice initiatives are welcome to make short presentations

1030-1100 Coffee/tea

1100-1130 'The social problem of men: media perspectives'

Professor Elzbieta Oleksy and Joanna Kazik, University of Lodz, Poland

1130-1215 Plenary

1215-1330 Lunch: Hotel Helka

1330-1445 Workgroups 3: *policy* development (by region: Finnish, Nordic, transitional nations / Central and East Europe, Western, and Southern Europe)

1445-1515 Tea/coffee

1515-1700 Feedback from workgroup rapporteurs, and Final plenary

1930 Evening buffet, music and dancing (informal dress):

Taidehalli (Art Gallery)

Address: Taidehallin Klubi, Aionkatu 3

Day 3: Sunday 2nd February

1130-1245 For those who wish, there can be an optional networking meeting

Casa Academica, 4th Floor, Seminar Room,

Address: Perhonkatu 6B.

This is 2 minutes from the main university building. Coming out from the main entrance of the university, turn left then immediately left again down Lapuankatu / Lappogatan. After 50 metres you will see on your right Perhonkatu and an entrance to an underground car park. Go along the left hand side of that car park entrance for 20 metres. The entrance to 6B is just before Ravintola Pastilli. Take the lift to the 4th floor, then turn right along the corridor.

1230-1330 Sandwich lunch

Casa Academica, 4th Floor, Seminar Room,

Address: Perhonkatu 6B,

1330-1600 Coach tour of Helsinki

Leaving from and returning to outside Hotel Helka, Pohjoinen Rautatienkatu 23.

With walking depending on the weather.

Appendix 15: Conference participants

List of participants

Surname	Forename	Affiliation Details
Biaudet	Eva	Minister of Social Affairs and Health, Finland
Bredeson	Ole	Norway
Danielsson	Maria	The National Board of Health & Welfare, Sweden
Einasto	Heili	Estonia
Ferguson	Harry	Faculty of Health & Social Care, University of West England, Bristol, UK
Fremerey	Ulrike	Ministry of Family, Older people, Women and Youth, Germany
Frank	Blye	Faculty of Medicine, Dalhousie University, Canada
Hatakka	Elina	The Green Women's Association, Finland
Hearn	Jeff	Swedish School of Economics, Finland University of Manchester, UK
Helfferrich	Cornelia	Protestant University of Applied Sciences, Germany
Höyng	Stephan	Work Changes Gender Network, Germany
Johansson	Marjana	Swedish School of Economics, Finland
Jokinen	Arto	The Dept. of Women's Studies, Univ. of Tampere, Finland
Kambourov	Dimitar	University of Sofia, Bulgaria
Kazik	Joanna	Institute of English Studies, Lodz, Poland
Ketokoski	Anja-Riitta	Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Finland
Kolga	Voldemar	Department of Psychology, Tallinn, Estonia

Korrovits	Paul	Andrology Unit, Puusepa 1A, Estonia
Krolikowska	Dorota	University of Lodz, Poland
Lace	Tana	Riga Stradins University, Latvia
Lammi	Johanna	Stakes, Finland
Lattu	Emmi	Swedish School of Economics, Finland
Lehtonen	Jukka	Dept. of Sociology, University of Helsinki, Finland
Lindqvist Lyon	Sofia Dawn	Åbo Akademi, Finland Gender Studies Programme, Robert Schuman Centre, European University Institute, Italy
Maimik	Peeter	Tallinn Pedagogical University, NGO Estonian Family, Estonia
Moore Mueller	Martin Ursula	University of Sunderland, UK Dept of Sociology, University of Bielefeld, Germany
Nielsen	Steen- Baggoe	Roskilde University, Dept. of Educational Research, Denmark
Niemi	Hertta	Swedish School of Economics, Finland
Nordberg	Marie	Karlstad University, Division for Social Sciences, Sweden
Nousiainen	Kevat	Faculty of Law, University of Helsinki, Finland
Novikova	Irina	Centre for Gender Studies, University of Latvia
Oleksy	Elzbieta	Women's Centre Studies, University of Latvia, Riga, Latvia
Olsvik	Eivind	NIKK, Oslo, Norway
O'Neill	Alan	Men's Development Network, Ireland
Oviir	Siiri	Minister of Social Affairs, Tallinn, Estonia

Papp	Ulle-Marik	Ministry of Social Affairs, Bureau of Gender Equality, Estonia
Picukane	Elizabete	Centre for Gender Studies, University of Latvia, Latvia
Pringle	Keith	University of Sunderland, School of Humanities and Social Sciences, Sunderland, UK
Puchert	Ralf	Work Changes Gender Network, Germany
Rantalaiho	Minna	Norwegian Centre for Child Research, NTNU Trondheim, Norway University of Tampere, Finland
Richardson	Noel	Health Promotion Unit, Kilkenny, Ireland
Rongevaer	Oeyvind	Equal Rights Department, Norwegian Confederation of Trade Unions, Norway
Ruspinii	Elisabetta	Department of Sociology and Social Research Faculty of Sociology University of Milano-Bicocca, Italy
Salmela-Aro	Katariina	University of Jyväskylä, Finland
Sarmala	Jukka	University of Lapland, Finland
Smidova	Iva	Dept of Sociology, Gender Centre, School of Social Studies Masaryk University, Czech Republic
Synnott	Noel	Department of Justice, Equality and Law Reform, Dublin, Ireland
Taipale	Iikka	Member of Parliament, Finland
Tallberg	Teemu	Swedish School of Economics, Helsinki, Finland
Temkina	Anna	St. Petersburg Gagarinskaia 3 European University, Russia
Terry	Patricia	Dept. for Culture, Media & Sport, Central Government, London, UK

Ulgas	Juri	Tallinn Pedagogical University, Tallinn, Estonia
Varanka	Jouni	Council for Gender Equality, Finland
Varuzhan	Hovakimyan	President of TMWWU, 51/26 Pushkin str., Yerevan 02, Armenia
Zvinkliene	Alina	Institute for Social Research, Dept. of Theory and Methodology, Saltoniskiu, Lithuania

Appendix 16: Conference Workgroups

WORKGROUP 1

1.1 Participants: Frank Blye, Ole Bredesen, Emmi Lattu, Jukka Lehtonen, Dawn Lyon, Ursula Müller, Noel Synnott

-It was discussed how generalisable our results are as some countries are not involved in the project. How can we deal with the data in so different frameworks? It was emphasised that the project did not aim to generalise. Diversity and differences are important in network's work.

-It was mentioned the western discourse is dominant in the east Europe also. E.g. the word 'social exclusion' does not exist in Estonia.

-Project's publications have different audiences, eg. POPs.

-There is a need to gender men, eg. in Ireland health documents include just sex differences.

-The project raises a lot methodological concern

-Men do not live in categories, for ex. homosexuality is dealt as a category. Complexity and fluidity are important.

-Workgroup members agree that the project should more use cultural notions/reportaires to make more sense of country findings. It was pointed out that religion is almost entirely missing, however it is extremely important eg. in Ireland.

-For network member, one surprising result of the project was newspaper and normality linked to them. Scandalisation of certain issues was also important, it seems that there is not much space for reflexion

1.2. Research findings

Participants: Ulrike Fremerey, Stephan Höyng, Arto Jokinen, Kevät Nousiainen, Irina Novikova, Jukka Sarmala, Teemu Tallberg, Anna Temkina, Alina Zvinkliene

- the results were mostly found not very surprising, but still necessary as, for example, a collection of data to be referred to; still what was found interesting was
 - o Contradictions around other men being in power and other being marginalised
 - o Contradicting discourses, images and representations around men
 - o Numbers on homicide and suicide
 - o Cross national similarities
 - o Heterogeneity of discourses and theoretical frameworks
- things that were mentioned as missing from the study / not looked into in detail:
 - o Economics
 - o Men in powerful positions
 - o Self-images of men (men's own reflections of their role in society)
 - o Formal vs. informal situations (?)

- other issues discussed:
 - What do these results mean for researchers? What is the difference (for men, gender situation) discussing the issues in here?
 - Conceptualisations of gender in the study differ from the Russian use of terms; “In Russia gender and power would not be discussed by the minister!”
 - Studies on men as a form of re-patriarchalisation
 - Distinctions needed for analyzing men in different situations and spheres: crime, economics, politics, ownership, “bonus pater familias”
 - Distinction should be made between things that can be discussed as voluntary choice and as structural issues
 - Real power has escaped parliaments to businesses; globalisation as a forcing power in economic discourses → gender issues something ‘too expensive’ to go into
 - EU’s demands for harmonizing legislation as form of backlash (in Latvia)
 - How, by comparison, learn to avoid certain problems to evolve (suicide rates, income differences etc.)

WORKGROUP 2 THEMES

2.1 HOME AND WORK (a)

Key points of the discussion:

1. Resources. This group discussed parental leave measures in particular contrasting the situations in Latvia and Norway. In Latvia, there is no payment for the recently introduced entitlement to paternity leave, which has not been taken up as a result. In Norway, employers are legally obliged to pay for paternity leave for at least one month, and it is widely taken up. The importance of such measures being well-resourced is evident.

2. Gender and identity. The question of how to get men to change arose out of the discussion as evidence both of change and resistance to it were presented. In Germany, it was argued that men now want to be both ‘breadwinners’ and ‘good fathers’ in the sense of increased presence and involvement in the care of children, suggesting changing forms of masculinity and family roles. Responses to paternity leave measures also point to the attachment and investment in mothering roles by some women.

3. The cultural dimension. The group discussed – and disagreed on! - the relevance of social and cultural practices in gender norms, notably religion, and what this implies for effecting change.

4. Diversity in households. Participants suggested that the focus of attention on households with two parents and children risks neglecting other arrangements, eg gay and lesbian partnerships, households without children, households where no one is active in the labour market.

5. Time. The group raised the issue of the implications for children, and for partnerships, of heavy time investments in the labour market. In addition to time spent in domestic work

or in employment, attention should also be given to ‘time for citizenship’, ie participation in civil society.

6. Rethinking relations between home and work. The theme of home and work was explored in the research network primarily through measures and regulations such as parental leave, unemployment, women’s participation in the labour market, childcare etc. The group discussed how further work might explore the home-work interface in some additional ways. In addition to the counting of hours, the character of time is important in understanding how work and home are related. Some research indicates that in households where investments in the labour market are high, some domestic activities become more rationalised, taking on the temporality of work. Contemporary prescriptive literature for managers emphasises the blurring of boundaries between home and work. Research might also explore to what extent this translates into the incursion of work into domestic space and less the presence of the domestic in working spaces.

7. Policies: From family-friendly to working fathers

The terminology of working fathers was thought to be a positive shift. Family-friendly policies were most often directed at women. To talk about working fathers directs attention at men’s lives, and how their roles are socially constructed.

2.1 Home and work (b)

Participants: Heili Einasto, Johanna Lammi-Taskula, Ursula Müller, Steen-Baggøe Nielsen, Marie Nordberg, Minna Rantalaiho, Iva Smidova, Teemu Tallberg, Jouni Varanka

According to the personal introductions of the workgroup members, the three main issues that were started from were 1) the reconciliation of home and work, 2) men in non-traditional workplaces, and 3) state policies (parental leave). Arguments and questions that were raised in the discussion:

- dynamics between EU legislation and discourses of nationalism(s); how laws develop (having their ‘own lives’)
- questions were raised about a) the naming of the theme in the project: why *home* and work, why not *family*? b) what is ‘a family’ nowadays?
- it seems that there is a strong link between having quotas (part of parental leave can only be used by fathers) and men taking leave
- in Denmark the direction of discourse has changed recently in relation to parental leave. Now the question is raised, why does the state interfere and force men/parents taking leaves?
- day-care:
 - o ‘Cynical version of liberalism’: privatising day-care brings better quality.
 - o Low salaries in the childcare sector seem to enforce people using private childcare and men working there to leave the sector for better-paid ‘male arenas’.
 - o Public day-care may suffer from its historical background as *social service* (orphanages etc.), not as more valued *education*
 - o Day-care has also a relevant role in issues of ethnic integration/assimilation
- attention should be paid to a) pressures from companies on workers b) family-friendly workplaces c) changing attitudes: does work or family come first?

2.2 SOCIAL EXCLUSION

Participants Frank Blye, Jeff Hearn, Dorota Krolikowska, Emmi Lattu, Hertta Niemi, Elisabetta Ruspini, Ilkka Taipale, Anna Temkina, Anna Zvinkliene,

The discussion was much around the question of definition of social exclusion and how it is or is not possible to compare it between countries. The term 'social exclusion' itself is a problematic one. There is a need to develop a more complex and diverse model to analyse social exclusion so that it would be comparable between the countries.

Cultural dimension of social exclusion becomes important for example in ex-soviet countries. There is going on a process of creation of new cultural memory and history in transitional countries. In this process the exclusion of some groups is inevitable.

It was pointed out that the distinction of 'old' types (such as poverty) and 'new' types (often based on sexuality) of social exclusion is important. The workgroup members found it surprising that in many Network's countries work did not discuss sexuality and its relation to social exclusion.

A Finnish workgroup member emphasised the situation of single, homeless and marginalised men in Finland. As men constitute the majority of homeless people in Finland, this question should be more highlighted in politics as well in research.

There are also invisible forms of social exclusion. For example, in most countries single men cannot adopt children.

The reproduction of social exclusion should be looked at in more detail. This relates to general governmental policy making which should pay attention to details like building houses which cater for people living alone as well as families.

3.VIOLENCES

1. Prevention per ps (? perpretrators?)
2. Also primary prevention→UK/ Ireland-schools→Germany, kindergarten
3. But with a gendered awareness
4. Self-defense- when is violence justified?
5. Women as perps. of violence→problmes of conflict scales
6. Do we need different measures of 5.? Problems of men disclosing when they have been abused
7. Why do men not abuse? More research→May help us to know how to stop it.
8. Violences to children→Procedures for reporting
9. Ombudsman? Sweden?
10. Child sexual abuse-Ireland, UK. What about elsewhere?
Child sexual exploitation, commercial→Barnardos→UK

WORKGROUP 3 POLICY ISSUES

3.1 FINLAND: POLICY ISSUES

Participants Minna Rantalaiho, Emmi Lattu, Hertta Niemi, Teemu Tallberg, Jouni Varanka, Arto Jokinen, Johanna Lammi-Taskula, Peeter Maimik.

It was suggested that an email-list should be established for critical studies on men in Finland. Arto Jokinen promised to process this and coordinate it at first. The group will be started via email.

The coming elections will change the way the Finnish Parliament is structured and the Council for Gender Equality will also be renewed. Therefore it is unclear how the position of equality issues will be taken into consideration in future policy-making.

Jouni Varanka says that the official side lacks especially the "men sensitive" point of view. It is still unclear how the organisational restructuring of the gender equality organisations will affect the general situation of gender equality in Finland, especially men.

It was suggested that there are two main points the group should discuss. These are:

1. What are the most central themes in CSM (critical studies on men)?
2. What would be the most effective way to gain more importance for the issues regarding men in policy-making and governmental levels of decision-making.

The group discussed point 2. first and it was suggested that arts and theatre could be taken advantage of. Researchers could co-operate and collaborate together with artists from different fields. This would gain more media attention and thus governmental attention for gender issues.

Thorough examination and evaluation of the POPs was pointed out to be very important for future development. It was also stressed that there is a lack of critical studies on boys and boyhood studies in Finland (especially when compared with studies on girls).

It was also clear to the group that it would be beneficial for both research and policy-making if there would be a so called win-win situation. In other words, if critical research could provide the policy makers with relevant and specific information for e.g. making laws, this would then in turn result in more resources for future research.

3.2 NORDIC REGION: POLICY ISSUES

Participants: Anja-Riitta Ketokoski, Eivind Olsvik, Marie Nordberg, Maria Danielson, Ole Bredesen (ref.), Steen B. Nielsen, Øyvind Rongevær, Sofia Lindqvist

Summary in English:

The draft/project identifies that the Nordic countries are in fore front of the development of policy in the area of 'home and work', but that research and policies in the areas of 'men's health' and 'marginalisation of men' underdeveloped compared to some of the other participating countries. The discussions the group considered different ways to boost research and policies in these two areas.

The group expressed the challenge as to develop the relative success of policy in relation to 'men's home and work' to the these other gender political areas. Although there are considerable differences within the Nordic countries in relation to the functioning of communications between researchers, policymakers and politicians, there exist personal resources and relations that constitutes a favourable starting point.

Hvordan bli bedre på norden på temaområdene "menns helse" og "marginaliseringen av menn"?

- Finne anledninger til å uttrykke ovenfor politikere at 'menns helse' og 'marginalisering av menn' er områder vi har lite kunnskap om, og at det er nødvendig og satse på forskning.
- Lage en ressurspersonoversikt. Det ble uttrykt bekymring over de store tilfeldighetene i hvilke kontakter som ble gjort mellom forskere-politikuttformere-politikere, spesielt på området menn og helse. Eivind Olsvik orienterte om det er en oversikt på FEMDOK som kan være til hjelp.
- Marginalisering av menn og menns helse er i utgangspunktet forskningsområder som er svært politisk ladet, så det er en „vare“ som ikke skulle være mulig å „selge“. Og i norden er vi flinke til å lage møtepunkter mellom forskere og politikere. Utfra dette ble det tatt en runde rundt bordet om tilstanden mellom kjønnsforskning og politikk i de ulike nordiske landene.
Norge: Det ble gitt uttrykk for at i Norge ble det drevet mye politisk ladet mannsforskning og en dyktighet til å lage knytepunkter.
Sverige: Utfra sin arbeid med Rapporten „Kan menn?“ hadde Øyvind Rongevær hadde erfaring med at mange Svenske forskere var redde for å politisere sin forskning. Norberg og Danielson ga uttrykk for at det er få politiske aktører som er viser interesse.
Danmark. Steen Baagø Nielsen uttrykte at de politiske miljøer viste svært lite interesse for forskning på menn. En side ved denne manglende interessen kunne være at dansk mannsforskning var svake på den offentlige arena.
Finland – fikk jeg ikke med hvordan situasjonen ble beskrevet. Imidlertid ble det uttrykt tilfredshet med den Finske sosialministerens, i sin åpningstale, var inspirert av rapporten „Kann menn?“.
- Konferansen „Kön och makt : Organisasjoner i forandring“ som skal avholdes på Hanken 15-16.5.2003 kan være anledning til å fremme temaene menns helse og marginalisering av menn. Viktig å fortelle at dette er områder vi har liten kunnskap om.

Marie Danielson uttrykte at hovedproblemet med området menns helse ikke er at vi har få lite kunnskap til å uttale oss om viktige spørsmål. Selv om det er lite forskning på menn i et kjønnsperspektiv i Norden, ligger det mye kunnskap i å se på menns helse i et folkehelseperspektiv, kjønne eksisterende medisinsk forskning og „snu“ forskning på kvinners helse. Det er andre hindringer som ligger i veien:

- Det er rett å slett for få personer som til å drive forskningsformidlingsarbeid på feltet menns helse.

- Et viktig problem er at menn i politikkproduksjon har vanskelig for å se på seg selv som kjønne.
- De naturvitenskapelige vitenskapsidealene fører oppmerksomheten bort fra de sosiale aspektene ved befolkningens helsetilstand.
- Fare for at den farmasøytiske industrien bruker et økt fokus på menns helse til å øke sitt salg, uten at det fører til noen reell helsegevinst. (Danielson fortalte at det er nærmest en myte at menn generelt sett er en underforbruker av offentlige helsetjenester. Det som er et problem er at menn i liten grad søker hjelp for sine psykiske problemer. Det som er utslagsgivende i forhold til menns levealder og helse er hvordan menn lever sine liv.)

Hvordan forbedre kommunikasjon mellom mannsforskningsfeltet og politikfeltet?

- Øyvind Rongevær uttalte at forskere må bli mer opptatt av å dele kunnskap, og ikke kun snakke sitt "eget stammespråk" i tidsskrifter som ingen utenforstående leser. Veldig mange politikkprodusenter er mer enn nok godt kvalifiserte til å sette seg inn sakene. Det som mangler er kontakt og levering av forskningsprodukter til de rette personene.
- En økende mangfoldiggjøring og distribusjon av akademiske/kjønnspolitiske arbeider til interessenter i fulltekstdokumenter konstnadsfritt vil være en utvikling i riktig retning.
- Siden antallet mannsforskere i Norden er så lavt ble det uttrykt både behov for egne konferanser uten kravet om å sjele til kjønnspolitiske spørsmål. Et slikt formål med en konferanse utelukker ikke nødvendigvis at deltakere med en primær politisk interesse er med. Samtidig ble det uttrykt nødvendighet av møtepunkter med politikkprodusenter på politikkprodusentenes premisser.

Gruppen kom også med noen kommentarer til utkastet til hovedrapport. Hvordan kan rapporten få gjennomslagskraft?

- For at rapporten skal få politisk gjennomslagskraft er det nødvendig at den er lesbar for flere enn de som allerede er orientert på feltet. Et forslag var å lage en "populærutgave" skrevet av en person fra en utsideperson.
- En annen viktig måte å få rapporten til å få gjennomslagskraft er å finne gode arenaer å kommunisere innholdet i rapporten på.

Henvisninger:

Kan menn? Menn og likestilling i arbeidslivet - et idédokument. København : Nordisk Ministerråd, 2000. (Nord ; 2000:24)

(For bestilling se: <http://www.nikk.uio.no/mansforskning/publikationer.html>)

Kvinnens helse i Norge : Norges offentlige utredninger NOU 1999: 13

<http://odin.dep.no/hd/norsk/publ/utredninger/NOU/030005-020023/index-dok000-b-n-a.html>

3.3 CENTRAL AND EASTERN EUROPE: POLICY ISSUES

Rapporteur: Iva Smidova

a) The group did not discuss specific policies on men, but rather general levels of policy making and its conditions.

b) three major themes appeared in the discussion

1) How to organize ourselves as academics working within the field of men and masculinities:

- we perceive an absence of structural and systematic monitoring of existing research on gender themes, absence of co-ordination of such research studies as a result of which there is a limited shared knowledge and space of secondary analyses that should help policies making

- all resources are distributed on informal basis and many projects are very personally based (once the leading persons withdraws the project, the project is over as well).

Sometimes competition for funding or information sources is experienced rather than co-operation which is meant as a critical comment to ourselves.

- a good start could be a website but later on a "physical" centre and person would suit better the purpose of coordinating and systematizing data available. It would also be better for dissemination and knowledge management to have one physical centre based either in the administrative national structures (ministry), or at some university or even run by an NGO.

- to make use of such data collections, we find it very useful to launch some kind of European Gender Institute or Network to help all nationals in easing their access to relevant data for international comparative research studies

2) How to get our data and our policies options to politicians. This was our second but least elaborated theme. The method of gender mainstreaming was discussed. With a clear priority given to research that would then be used in mainstreaming. And collected examples of best and/or effective gender practices should be brought to politicians as practical guideline.

3) How to make politicians interested?

The third theme was mostly discussed on the level of power: how to make pressure upon them. Possible mediators could be:

*massmedia

*NGO's (as it is easier to train them than politicians)

*EU (also pressure coming from outside and above)

* "language" how to develop understandable communication? Perhaps the use of "economic impacts" would be more comprehensive than "human rights" terminology. But again politicians are not homogenous which would mean to develop languages to talk to left wing, right wing and maybe also other varieties of the kind.

3.4 WESTERN AND SOUTHERN EUROPE: POLICY ISSUES

The group began by discussing the role of men in the future.

- continuing relations of power

- economic demands and changes
- emotional demands and changes
- simple survival, especially for men who are displaced

Much of the discussion focused on:

What is the strategy to include gender equality into different levels of political and policy activity and other arenas of change?

Strategies can developed at several different levels of change:

- broad societal level
- governmental and transgovernmental (including EU)
- business, especially large businesses, as vehicles of change
- gender equality machinery more specifically
- gender mainstreaming
- grassroots
- at all levels

Future next steps were discussed and evaluated:

- use of the Network and other related networks
- Learning from other parts of the world, for example, Canada: gender issues in policy development, in teacher training, etc.
- broaden training throughout all occupations, professions, management etc
- pressures on and cooperation with government, business, transnational agendas
- links with NGOs
- central European point for gender equality resources, information and strategy development

Bibliography

Alasuutari, P. and Siltari, J. 1983. *Miehisen vapauden valtakunta. Tutkimus lähiöravintolan tikkakulttuurista*. Tampere: Tampere University serie B 37.

Alber, J. 1995. A framework for the comparative study of social services. *Journal of European Social Policy* 5(2): 131-49.

Anttila, J. and Ylöstalo, P. 1999. *Working life barometer in the Baltic countries*. Helsinki: Ministry of Labour, Labour Policy Studies, No 214.

Anttonen, A. and Sipilä, J. 1996. European social care services: is it possible to identify models? *Journal of European Social Policy*, 6: 87-100.

Aslanbeigu, N., Pressman, S. and Summerfield, G. 1994. *Women in the age of economic transformation*. London: Routledge.

Bekkengen, L. 2002. *Man Far Valja*. Malmö: Liber.

Berger, M. et al. (eds) 1995. *Constructing Masculinity*. New York: Routledge.

Bergman, H. and Hobson, B. (2001) "Compulsory Fatherhood: the coding of Fatherhood in the Swedish Welfare State" in B. Hobson (ed) *Making Men into Fathers: Men, Masculinities and the Social Politics of Fatherhood*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Berthoud, R. and Iacovou, M. n.d. (2002) *Diverse Europe: Mapping Patterns of Social Change Across the EU*, Report produced for the E.S.R.C. Conference in London, October 2002

Borowicz, R. and Lapinska-Tyszka, K. 1993. *Syndrom bezrobocia*. Warszawa: Polish Academy of Science.

Braithwhite, M. 2001. *Gender in Research. Gender Impact Assessment of the specific programmes of the Fifth Framework Programme*. Brussels: European Commission.

Brandes, H. and Bullinger, H. (ed.) 1996. *Handbuch Maennerarbeit*. Weinheim.

Breines, I., Connell, R. and Eide, I. (eds.) 2000. *Male Roles, Masculinities and Violence: a Culture of Peace Perspective*. Paris: UNESCO.

Brod, H. (ed.) 1987. *The making of masculinities: The new men's studies*. London: Allen and Unwin.

Busch, G., Hess-Diebaecker, D. and Stein-Hilbers, M. 1988. *Den maennern die haelfte der familie, den Frauen mehr chancen im beruf*. Weinheim: Deutcher Studienverlag.

Carrigan, T., Connell, R.W. and Lee, J. (eds) 1985. Toward a new sociology of masculinity. *Theory and Society*, 14(5): 551- 604.

Castelli, C. 1990. *Sesso e aggressività. Alle radici della violenza sessuale*. Milano: Angeli.

Chernova, J. 2000. *Russian Federation national report on research on men's practices. Workpackage 1*. HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem of Men and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Chernova, J. 2001a. *Russia National Report on Statistical Information on Men's Practices. Workpackage 2*. HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem of Men and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Chernova, J. 2001b. *Russia National Report on Law and Policy Addressing Men's Practices: Workpackage 3*. EU FP5 Thematic Network: The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at: www.cromenet.org

Connell, R. W. 1987. *Gender and Power*. Cambridge: Polity.

Connell, R.W. 1991. The big picture - a little sketch: changing western masculinities in the perspective of recent world history. Paper Presented at the Research and Masculinity and Men in Gender Relations Conference, Sydney 7-8 June 1991. Also published in *Theory and Society* 22 (1993): 597-623.

Connell, R.W. 1995a. *Masculinities*. Cambridge: Polity Press.

Connell, R.W. 1995b. Sexual revolutions. Paper Presented at Conference on New Sexual Agendas, University of Middlesex, London, 14 – 15 July 1995.

Connell, R.W. 1998. Masculinities and globalization. *Men and Masculinities*, 1(1): 3-23.

Collinson, D. L. and Hearn, J. 1994. Naming men as men: implications for work, organizations and management. *Gender, Work and Organization*, 1(1): 2-22.

Cornwall, A. and White, S. C. (eds.) 2000. Special Issue on 'Men, Masculinities and Development: Politics Policies and Practices'. *IDS bulletin*, 31(2).

Craib, I. 1987. Masculinity and male dominance. *Sociological Review*, 35(4): 721-743.

Craig, S. (ed.) 1992. *Men, Masculinity and the Media*. Newbury Park. Ca.: Sage.

Crouch, C. 1999. *Social Change in Western Europe*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Dominelli, L. 1991. *Women across continents: Feminist comparative social policy*. Hemel Hempstead: Harvester Wheatsheaf.

Donaldson, M. 1993. What is hegemonic masculinity? *Theory and Society*, 22(5): 643-657.

Duncan, S. 1995. Theorizing European gender systems. *Journal of European Social Policy*, 5(4), 263-84.

Duncan, S. 2000. Introduction. Theorising comparative gender inequality. In Duncan, S. and Pfau-Effinger, B. (eds) *Gender, Economy and the Culture in the European Union*. London: Routledge, pp. 1-23.

Duncan, S. and Pfau-Effinger, B. (eds.) 2000. *Gender, Economy and the Culture in the European Union*. London: Routledge.

Edley, N. and Wetherell, M. 1995. *Men in Perspective*. Hemel Hempstead: Harvester Wheatsheaf.

Edwards, T. 1997. *Men in the Mirror*. London: Cassell.

Ekenstam, C. (ed.) 1998. *Rädd att falla*. Hedemora: Gidlunds Forlag.

Enloe, C. 1990. *Bananas, Beaches, and Bases: Making Feminist Sense of International Relations*. London: Pluto; Berkeley: University of California Press.

Equal Opportunities to Everybody in Latvia 2001. Draft document, The Ministry of Welfare. Latvia.

Esping-Andersen, G. 1990. *The three worlds of welfare capitalism*. Cambridge: Polity Press.

Esping-Andersen, G. (ed.) 1996. *Welfare states in transition*. London: Sage.

Europe in Figures. 1999. The Economist. 3rd edition. London: Profile Books Ltd.

Eurostat (<http://www.europa.org/>)

Ferguson, H. 1995. The Paedophile priest: A deconstruction. In *Studies* 84 (335): 247-56.

Ferguson, H. 2000. *Ireland national report on research on men's practices. Workpackage 1*. HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem of Men and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Ferguson, H. 2001a. *Ireland National Report on Statistical Information on Men's Practices. Workpackage 2*. HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem of Men and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org.

Ferguson, H. 2001b. *Ireland National Report on Law and Policy Addressing Men's Practices: Workpackage 3*. EU FP5 Thematic Network: The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at: www.cromenet.org

Ferguson, H. with the assistance of C. Mackinnon, 2001c. *Ireland National Report on Newspaper Representations on Men and Men's Practices Workpackage 4*. HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Frerichs, P. and Steinruecke, M. 1994. Sie tun nur das, was sie sollen, und auch das nicht immer... *Arbeit* 3: 203-19.

Glasgow Women's Support Project/Glasgow Evening Times. 1990. *Violence Against Women Survey*. Glasgow: Glasgow Women's Support Project.

Greig, A., Kimmel, M. and Lang, J. 2000. Men, Masculinities and Development: Broadening our Work towards Gender Equality. *Gender in Development Monograph Series*, #10. New York: UNDP. Available at: <http://www.undp.org/gender/resources/monograph.html>

Hanmer, J. 1990. Men, power and the exploitation of women. In Hearn, J. and Morgan, D. (eds.) *Men, Masculinities and Social Theory*. London and New York: Unwin Hyman/Routledge, pp. 21-42.

Hanmer, J. and Hearn, J. (1999) Gender and welfare research. In Williams, F., Popay, J. and Oakley, A. (eds.) *Welfare Research: A Critical Review*. London: UCL Press, pp. 106-130.

Harcourt, W. (ed.) 2001. Special Issue: Violence Against Women and the Culture of Masculinity. *Development: the Journal of the Society for International Development*, 44(3).

Harder, M. and Pringle, K. (eds.) 1997. *Protecting Children in Europe: Towards a new millennium*. Aalborg: Aalborg University Press.

Hearn, J. 1987. *The Gender of Oppression: Men, masculinity and the critique of Marxism*. Brighton: Wheatsheaf; New York: St Martin's.

Hearn, J. 1992. *Men in the Public Eye*. London: Routledge.

Hearn, J. 1996a. Deconstructing the dominant: making the one(s) the other(s). *Organization* 3(4): 611-26.

Hearn, J. 1996b. Is masculinity dead? A critique of the concept of masculinity/masculinities. In M. Mac an Ghail (ed.) *Understanding masculinities.*, Buckingham: Open University Press, pp. 202-17.

Hearn, J. 1998a. Theorizing men and men's theorizing: men's discursive practices in theorizing men. *Theory and Society*, 27(6): 781-816.

Hearn, J. 1998b. *The Violences of Men*. London: Sage.

Hearn, J. 1999. A crisis in masculinity, or new agendas for men? In S. Walby (ed.) *New Agendas for Women*. London: Macmillan, pp. 148-68.

Hearn, J. and Collinson, D. L. 1993. Theorizing unities and differences between men and between masculinities. In Brod, H. and Kaufman, M. (eds.) *Theorizing Masculinities*. Newbury Park, Ca. and London: Sage, pp. 148-162.

Hearn, J., Kovalainen, A. and Tallberg, T. 2002. *Gender Divisions and Gender Policies in Top Finnish Corporations*, Helsinki, Yliopistopaino

Hearn, J. and Lattu, E. 2000. *Finland National Report on Research on Men's Practices. Workpackage 1*. HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem of Men and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Hearn, J., Lattu, E., and Tallberg, T., 2001a. *Finland National Report on Statistical Information on Men's Practices. Workpackage 2*. HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem of Men and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Hearn, J., Lattu, E. and Tallberg, T. 2001b. *Finland National Report on Law and Policy Addressing Men's Practices. Workpackage 3*. EU FP5 Thematic Network: The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at: www.cromenet.org

Hearn, J., and Lattu, E. and Tallberg, T. 2001c. *Finland National Report on Newspaper Representations on Men and Men's Practices. Workpackage 4*. HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Hearn, J., Pringle, K., Müller, U., Oleksy, E., Lattu, E., Chernova, J., Ferguson, H., Holter, Ø. G., Kolga, V., Novikova, I., Pitch, T., Ventimiglia, C., Olsvik, E., Tallberg, T. 2002a. Critical Studies on Men in Ten European Countries: (1) The State of Academic Research. In *Men and Masculinities*, Vol. 4 (4) 380-408.

Hearn, J., Pringle, K., Müller, U., Oleksy, E., Lattu, E., Chernova, J., Ferguson, H., Holter, Ø.G., Kolga, V., Novikova, I., Ventimiglia, C., Olsvik, E. and Tallberg, T. 2002b. Critical studies on men in ten European countries (2): the state of statistical information. *Men and Masculinities*, 5(1): 5-31.

Hearn, J., Pringle, K., Müller, U., Oleksy, E., Lattu, E., Tallberg, T., Chernova, J., Ferguson, H., Holter, O.G., Kolga, V., Novikova, I., Ventimiglia, C., Olsvik, E. 2002c. Critical studies on men in ten European countries (3): the state of law and policy. *Men and Masculinities*, 5(2): 192-217.

Hearn, J., Pringle, K., Müller, U., Oleksy, E., Lattu, E., Tallberg, T., Chernova, J., Ferguson, H., Holter, O.G., Kolga, V., Novikova, I., Ventimiglia, C. 2002d. Critical studies on men in ten European countries (4): newspaper and media representations. *Men and Masculinities*, 5.

Heiskanen, M. and Piispa, M. 1998. *Usko, toivo, harkkaus. Kyselytutkimus miesten naisille tekemästä väkivallasta*. Helsinki: Tilastokeskus.

Higate, P. (ed.) 2002. *Military Masculinities: Identities and the State*. Connecticut: Greenwood.

Hirdman, Y. 1988. Genussystemet - reflexioner kring kvinnors sociala underordning. *Kvinnnovetenskaplig Tidskrift*, 3, pp. 49-63.

Hirdman, Y. 1990. *Att Lägga Livet till Rätta: Studier i Svensk Folkhemspolitik*. Stockholm: Carlssons.

Hobson, B. 2002. *Making Men into Fathers. Men, Masculinities and the Social Politics of Fatherhood*. Cambridge: University Press.

Hoepflinger, F., Charles, M. and Debrunner, M. 1991. *Familienleben und berufsarbeit*. Zuerich: Seismo.
Holter, Ø.G. 1997. *Gender, patriarchy and capitalism: a Social forms analysis*. Oslo: Arbeidsforskningsinstituttet.

Holter, Ø.G. and Aarseth, H. 1993. *Menns livssammenheng*. Oslo: Ad Notem Gyldendal.

Holter, Ø.G. and Olsvik, E. 2000. *Norway National Report on Research on Men's Practices. Workpackage 1*. HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem of Men and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Holter, Ø.G. 2001a. *Norway National Report on Statistical Information on Men's Practices. Workpackage 2*. HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem of Men and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Holter, Ø.G. 2001b. *Norway National Report on Law and Policy Addressing Men's Practices. Workpackage 3*. EU FP5 Thematic Network: The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at: www.cromenet.org

Holter, Ø.G. 2001c. *Norway National Report on Newspaper Representations on Men and Men's Practices. Workpackage 4*. HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

<http://www.cromenet.org>

<http://www.stakes.fi>

http://www.stat.fi/tk/tp/tasku/taskus_vaesto.html

http://www.unesco.org/culture/worldreport/html_eng/stat2/table13.pdf

http://www.undp.org/gender/programmes/men/men_ge.html#Beijing + 5 Special

<http://www.undp.org/hdr2000/>

<http://www.unhcr.ch/html/intlinst.htm>

Hughes, D. 2002 (forthcoming). The Use of New Communication and Information Technologies for Sexual Exploitation of Women and Children. *Hastings Women's Law Journal*.

Human Development Report 2000. 2000. New York: United Nations Development Programme.

International Crime Statistics. 1997. Interpol.

Jokinen, A. 2000. *Panssaroitu maskuliinisuus. Mies, väkivalta ja kulttuuri*. (Armoured masculinity. Man, violence and culture.) Tampere: Tampere University Press.

Jurczyk, K. and Rerrich, M. S. 1993. *Die Arbeit des alltags*. Muenchen: Lambertus.

Kempe, J. (ed.) 2000. *Miesnäkökulmia tasa-arvoon*. (Men's Viewpoints on Gender Equality.) Equality Publications 2000:5. Council for Equality, Ministry of Social Affairs and Health. Helsinki.

Kiely, G. 1996. Fathers in Families. In McCarthy, C. (ed.): *Irish Family Studies: Selected Papers*.. Dublin: University College Dublin.

Kimmel, M. (ed.) 1987a. *Changing men: New directions in research on men and masculinity*. Newbury Park, Ca.: Sage.

Kimmel, M. 1987b. The contemporary 'crisis' of masculinity in historical perspective. In Brod, H. (ed.) *The Making of Masculinities: the New Men's Studies*. Boston and London: Allen & Unwin, pp. 121-153.

Kimmel, M. 2001. Global Masculinities: Restoration and Resistance. in B. Pease and K. Pringle (eds.) *A Man's World? Changing Men's Practices in a Globalized World*. London: Zed Books.

Kivivuori, J. 1999. *Suomalainen henkirikos. Teonpiirteet ja tekojen olosuhteet vuosina 1988 ja 1996*. Helsinki: Oikeuspoliittisen tutkimuslaitoksen julkaisuja 159.

Kmiecik-Baran K. 1999. *Młodzi i przemoc. Mechanizmy socjologiczno-psychologiczne*. Warsaw: Chief Statistical Office.

Kolga, V. 2000. *Estonia national report on research on men's practices. Workpackage 1*. HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem of Men and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Kolga, V. 2001a. *Estonia National Report on Statistical Information on Men's Practices. Workpackage 2*. HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem

of Men and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Kolga, V. 2001b. *Estonia National Report on Law and Policy Addressing Men's Practices. Workpackage 3.* EU FP5 Thematic Network: The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at: www.cromenet.org

Kolga, V. 2001c. *Estonia National Report on Newspaper Representations on Men and Men's Practices. Workpackage 4.* HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Koncepcija dzimumu līdz tiesības īstenošanai. 2000. (The Gender Equality Initiative. Draft document.) The Ministry of Welfare. Latvia.

Kortteinen, M. 1982. *Lähiö. Tutkimus elämäntapojen muutoksesta.* Helsinki: Otava.

Lammi-Taskula, J. 1998. *Miesten perhevapaat. Työ ja perhe – kyselyaineiston tuloksia.* Working papers. 3/1998. Helsinki: STAKES.

Lammi-Taskula, J. 2000. Combining work and fatherhood in Finland. In Harvey, C. D. H.: *Walking a tightrope: Balancing work and family.* Aldershot: Ashgate, pp. 1-24.

Langan, M. and Ostner, I. 1991. Gender and welfare: towards a comparative framework. In Room, G. (ed.) *Gendering Welfare States.* London: Sage, pp. 170-187.

Latvia Human Development Report. 1999. UNDP.

Leira, A. 1992. *Welfare states and working mothers: The Scandinavian experience.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Leira, A. 1994. Combining work and family: working mothers in Scandinavia and the European Union. In Brown, P. and Crompton, R. (eds.) *Economic Restructuring and Social Exclusion.*, London: UCL Press.

Lempert, J. and Oelemann, B. 1995/1998. "...dann habe ich zugeschlagen". *Gewalt gegen Frauen. Auswege aus einem fatalen Kreislauf.* Hamburg (pocket edition in Munich 1998).

Lewis, J. 1992. Gender and the development of welfare regimes. *Journal of European Social Policy*, 2(3), pp. 159-73.

Lewis, J. and Ostner, I. 1991. Gender and the evolution of European social policies. Paper at CES Workshop on Emergent Supranational Social Policy: The EC's Social Dimension in Comparative Perspective. Center for European Studies: Harvard University.

Liberty. (ed.) 1999. *Liberating Cyberspace: Civil Liberties, Human Rights and the Internet.* London: Pluto Press/Liberty.

Liebert, U. 1999 'Gender politics in the European Union', *European Societies*, 1(2): 197-239. Loader, B. D. (ed.) 1997. *The Governance of Cyberspace*. London: Routledge.

MacInnes, J. 1998. *The End of Masculinity*. Buckingham: Open University Press.

Mackay, J. 2000. *The Penguin Atlas of Human Sexual Behavior. Sexuality and Sexual Practice Around the World*. New York: Penguin.

McKeown, K., Ferguson, H. and Rooney, D. 1998. *Changing fathers? Fatherhood and family life in modern Ireland*. Cork: Collins Press.

McMahon, A. 1993. Male readings of feminist theory: the psychologization of sexual politics in the masculinity literature. *Theory and Society*, 22(5): 675-696.

Metz-Göckel, S. and Müller, U. (1986) *Der Mann*. Weinheim/Basel: Die Birgitte Studie.

Middleton, P. 1992. *The Inward Gaze*. London: Routledge.

Mooney, J. 1993. *The Hidden Figure: Domestic Violence in North London*. London: Centre for Criminology, Middlesex University.

Müller, U. 2000. *Germany national report on research on men's practices. Workpackage 1*. HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem of Men and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Müller, U. 2001a. *Germany National Report on Statistical Information on Men's Practices. Workpackage 2*. HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem of Men and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Müller, U. 2001b. *Germany National Report on Law and Policy Addressing Men's Practices. Workpackage 3*. EU FP5 Thematic Network: The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at: www.cromenet.org

Müller, U. and Jacobsen, A. 2001. *Germany National Report on Newspaper Representations on Men and Men's Practices. Workpackage 4*. HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Munday, B. 1996. Social care in the member states of the European Union: contexts and overview. In Munday, B. and Ely, P. (ed.) *Social care in Europe*. Hemel Hempstead: Prentice Hall Europe, pp. 21-66.

Månsson, S.-A. 1994. Vad hander med mannen? Om mans vald och sexualitet i det moderna samhället. In Bjornberg, U. (ed.) *Janus och genus: Om kon och social identitet i familj och samhälle..* Stockholm: Brombergs.

Neale, S. 1983. Masculinity as spectacle. *Screen*, Vol.24, No 6, pp.2-16.

The network newsletter (British Council) 2000, Special issue 'Gender and Masculinities', 21.

Niemi I., Eglite P., Mitrikas A., Patrushev V. and Paakonon H. 1991. *Time use in Finland, Latvia, Lithuania and Russia*. Helsinki: Central Statistical office in Finland.

Nixon, S. 1997. Exhibiting Masculinity. In Hall, S. (ed.) *Representation. Cultural Representations and Signifying Practices*. London: Sage/Open University Press.

Notz, G. 1991. "Du bist als Frau um mehr gebunden als der Mann". *Die Auswirkungen der Geburt des ersten Kindes auf die Lebens- und Arbeitsplanung von Muettern und Vaettern*. Bonn: Dietz.

Novikova, I. 2000. *Latvia National Report on Research on Men's Practices. Workpackage 1*. HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem of Men and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Novikova, I. 2001a. *Latvia National Report on Statistical Information on Men's Practices. Workpackage 2*. HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem of Men and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Novikova, I. 2001b. *Latvia National Report on Law and Policy Addressing Men's Practices. Workpackage 3*. EU FP5 Thematic Network: The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Novikova, I. 2001c. *Latvia National Report on Newspaper Representations on Men and Men's Practices. Workpackage 4*. HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

O'Connor, J. S. 1993. Gender, class and citizenship in the comparative analysis of welfare state regimes: theoretical and methodological issues. *British Journal of Sociology* 44(3): 501-18.

Oakley, A. and Alan S. R. 1998. Are men good for the welfare of women and children. In Popay, J., Hearn, J. and Edwards, J. (eds.) *Men, gender divisions and welfare*. London: Routledge, pp. 101-127.

Oleksy, E. 2000. *Poland National Report on Research on Men's Practices. Workpackage 1*. HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem of Men and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Oleksy, E. 2001a. *Poland National Report on Statistical Information on Men's Practices. Workpackage 2*. HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem of Men and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Oleksy, E. 2001b. *Poland National Report on Law and Policy Addressing Men's Practices. Workpackage 3.* EU FP5 Thematic Network: The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at: www.cromenet.org

Oleksy, E. 2001c. *Poland National Report on Newspaper Representations on Men and Men's Practices. Workpackage 4.* HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Orloff, A. S. 1993. Gender and the social rights of citizenship: state policies and gender relations in comparative research. *American Sociological Review*, 58(3): 303-28.

Ostner, I. 1994. The women and welfare debate. In Hantrais, L. and Morgan, S. (eds.) *Family Policy and the Welfare of Women.* Cross-National Research Papers, Third Series, 3. European Research Centre, University of Loughborough.

Pease, B. and Pringle, K (eds.) 2001. *A man's world?: Changing masculinities in a globalized world.*, London: Zed Books.

Pedersen, T. B. et. al. 1996. Kjønn i media (gender in the media). Conference report. Oslo: The Women's Studies Secretariat, Research Council of Norway.

Penttilä, M. 1999. Paperiin piirretty mies. *Cosmoksen, Men's Healthin ja Miehen Glorian mieskuva. Tiedotustutkimus* 22(2): 22-29

Pielkova, A. 1997. Postrzeganie przez meza bezrobotnego własnej pozycji w obrebie rodziny. *Roczniki Socjologii Rodziny* # 9.

Popay, J., Hearn, J. and Edwards, J. (eds.) 1998. *Men, Gender Divisions and Welfare.* London: Routledge.

Power, A. and Tunstall, R. 1997. *Dangerous Disorder: Riots and Violent Disturbances in thirteen areas of Britain 1991-1992.* York: York Publishing Services.

Pringle, K. 1995. *Men, masculinities and social welfare.* London: UCL Press.

Pringle, K. 1998a. *Children and social welfare in Europe.* Buckingham: Open University Press.

Pringle, K. 1998b. Current profeminist debates regarding men and social welfare: some national and transnational perspectives. *British Journal of Social Work* 28: 623-33.

Pringle, K. 1998c. Men as workers in professional child care settings. In Owen, C., Cameron, C. and Moss, P. (eds.) *Men as workers in services for young children. Issues of a mixed gender workforce.* London: Institute of Education, University of London.

Pringle, K. 2000. *UK National Report on Research on Men's Practices. Workpackage 1.* HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem of Men and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Pringle, K., Raynor, A. and Millett, J. 2001a. *UK National Report on Statistical Information on Men's Practices. Workpackage 2.* HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem of Men and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Pringle, K. with the assistance of A. Raynor and J. Millett. 2001b. *UK National Report on Law and Policy Addressing Men's Practices. Workpackage 3.* HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Pringle, K., Raynor, A. and Millett, J. 2001c. *UK National Report on Newspaper Representations on Men and Men's Practices. Workpackage 4.* HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Pringle, K. and Harder, M. 1999. *Through Two Pairs of Eyes: A Comparative Study of Danish Social Policy and Child Welfare Practice.* Aalborg: Aalborg University Press.

Rai, S., Pilkington, H. and Phizakerlea, A. (eds.) 1992. *Women in the Face of Change: the Soviet Union, Eastern Europe and China.* London: Routledge.

Research and Development Statistics of British Home Office. <http://www.homeoffice.gov.uk/rds/index.htm>

Rikollisuus ja seuraamusjärjestelmä tilastojen valossa. 1997. Helsinki: Oikeuspoliittinen tutkimuslaitos.

Rowbotham, S. 1979. The trouble with patriarchy. *New Statesman.* 98: 970.

Russian Federation Economy. OECD Economic Surveys. 1997. OECD. Paris.

Russian Federation national report on Newspaper Representations on Men and Men's Practices. Workpackage 4. 2001. HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem of Men and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Sainsbury, D. (ed.) 1994. *Gendering Welfare States.* London: Sage.

Sainsbury, D. 1996. *Gender, Equality and Welfare States.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Sainsbury, D. (ed.) 1999. *Gender and Welfare State Regimes.* Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Segal, L. 1990. *Slow Motion: Changing Masculinities, Changing Men.* London: Virago Press.

The Statistical Yearbook of Latvia. 2000. Riga: State Committee for statistics of the Republic of Latvia.

Sulkunen, P., Alasuutari, P., Nätkin, R. and Kinnunen, M. 1985. *Lähiöravintola*. Helsinki: Otava.

Sweetman, C. (ed.) 1997. *Men and Masculinity*. Oxford: Oxfam.

Tarkowska, E. 1992. *Czas w zyciu Polakow*. Warszawa: Polaska Akademia nauk.

Tartakovskaya, I. 2000. The changing representation of gender roles in the Soviet and post-Soviet press. In Ashwin, S. (ed.) *Gender, State and Society in Soviet and Post-Soviet Russia*. London and New York: Routledge, pp. 118-136.

Tigerstedt, C. 1994. Kotityö ja isyys uusina projekteina? In Roos, J.P. and Peltonen, E. (eds.) *Miehen elämää* Helsinki: Tietolipas, pp. 68-85.

Trifiletti, R. 1999. Southern European welfare regimes and the worsening position of women. *Journal of European Social Policy*, 9(1).

Tyyskä, V. 1995. *The Politics of Caring and the Welfare State: The Impact of the Women's Movement on Child Care Policy in Canada and Finland, 1960-1990*. Helsinki: Suomalainen Tiedeakatemia, ser B tom 277.

Urlanis, B. 1978. And over again – take care of men. *Literaturnaya gaseta*. 7, June.

Ventimiglia, C. 1987. *La Differenza Negata. Ricerca sulla Violenza Sessuale in Italia*. Milano: Angeli.

Ventimiglia, C. and Pitch, T. 2000. *Italy National Report on Research on Men's Practices. Workpackage 1*. HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem of Men and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Ventimiglia, C. and Pitch, T. 2001a. *Italy National Report on Statistical Information on Men's Practices. Workpackage 2*. HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem of Men and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Ventimiglia, C. 2001b. *Italy National Report on Law and Policy Addressing Men's Practices. Workpackage 3*. EU FP5 Thematic Network: The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at: www.cromenet.org

Ventimiglia, C. 2001c. *Italy National Report on Newspaper Representations on Men and Men's Practices. Workpackage 4*. HPSE-CT-1999-0008 EU FPV Thematic Network: The Social Problem and Societal Problematisation of Men and Masculinities. Available at www.cromenet.org

Walby, S. 1986. *Patriarchy at Work: Patriarchal and Capitalist Relations in Employment*. Polity Press, Cambridge.

Walby, S. 1990. *Theorising Patriarchy*. Basil Blackwell, Oxford.

Walby, S. 1997. *Gender Transformations*, London: Routledge.

Walby, S. 1999. 'The European Union and equal opportunities policies', *European Societies*, 1(1): 59-80. Waters, M. 1990. Patriarchy and viriarchy. *Sociology*, 23 (2), 193-211.

Whitehead, S. 2002. *Men and Masculinities*, Cambridge: Polity.

Woodward, A. 1996. 'Multinational masculinities and European bureaucracies' in David L. Collinson and Jeff Hearn (eds.) *Men as Managers, Managers as Men: Critical Perspectives on Men, Masculinities and Managements*, London: Sage.
WHO (www.who.org)

Zavadskaya, L. (ed.) 2001. *Gender examination of Russian legislation Moscow. Constitution of Russian Federation (1993)*. Moscow.