

#### **University of Huddersfield Repository**

Orr, Kevin

An Ecology Of Performativity: the gap between policy and practice in the English Further Education Sector

#### **Original Citation**

Orr, Kevin (2009) An Ecology Of Performativity: the gap between policy and practice in the English Further Education Sector. In: University of Huddersfield Research Festival, 23rd March - 2nd April 2009, University of Huddersfield. (Unpublished)

This version is available at http://eprints.hud.ac.uk/id/eprint/4807/

The University Repository is a digital collection of the research output of the University, available on Open Access. Copyright and Moral Rights for the items on this site are retained by the individual author and/or other copyright owners. Users may access full items free of charge; copies of full text items generally can be reproduced, displayed or performed and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided:

- The authors, title and full bibliographic details is credited in any copy;
- A hyperlink and/or URL is included for the original metadata page; and
- The content is not changed in any way.

For more information, including our policy and submission procedure, please contact the Repository Team at: E.mailbox@hud.ac.uk.

http://eprints.hud.ac.uk/

# An ecology of performativity: the gap between policy and practice in the English Further Education Sector

Policy has been laid on policy, and for New Labour that has

meant organisation laid upon organisation. So, CPD in FE

over the past decade has been under the direction of the

Department for Education and Employment which was

replaced by the Department for Education and Skills, later split in two and replaced by the Department for Innovation,

Skills and Universities and the Department for Children,

Families and Schools. In addition, the Further Education

Funding Council, replaced by the Learning and Skills Council

which currently funds FE, have both been significant; as is

Lifelong Learning UK, the body currently responsible for FE

in England. The Quality Improvement Agency, now replaced

by the Learning and Skills Improvement Service have also

both had a role in implementing CPD policy. Besides these is

the nominally independent professional body for teaching

staff in FE, the Institute for Learning (IfL), whose website (IfL

2008) helpfully contains 250 acronyms used in the sector.

Note, though, that IfL "do not expect [this list] to be

comprehensive". Little wonder then, that one of the

result of the sheer scale of change

Such legislative complexity itself becomes an important

factor in the implementation of any policy initiative. As a

coping strategy FE teachers and managers have become adept at meeting targets, even when those targets represent little change in practice. The CPD initiative exemplifies this.

Sector instability and Reform Overload in FE that the key delivery partners become

distracted from delivering "business as usual"

due to uncertainty over the future

organisational shape of the sector, or as a

(DIUS 2008: 6)

government's "top seven corporate risks" is:

An avalanche of policies

## New Labour's unachievable policies

New Labour, elected in 1997, identified Further Education (FE) as the means to deliver two central policies in England: social justice through widening participation in education and enhancing national economic competitiveness through improving the workforce's skills. Therefore, the government has increasingly scrutinised and controlled colleges and FE teachers, who now must annually carry out thirty hours of continuing professional development (CPD) in order to maintain their licence to practice.

However, FE cannot accomplish the government's principle policy aims because the causal link between education, social justice and economic growth is unfounded, despite the notion's hegemony. Reporting on a recent major research project into education, globalisation and the knowledge economy, Brown et al (2008: 17) found that, "While the skills of the workforce remain important, they are not a source of decisive competitive advantage.'

Moreover, they found that the expansion of access to Higher Education (HE) in the UK "has failed to narrow income inequalities even amongst university graduates". So, FE is subject to even greater control and accountability, such as compulsory CPD, to achieve policies that cannot be achieved.

This gap between intention and implementation primarily explains the quantity of policy in FE as one initiative demands another to achieve what the former failed to.



Social Justice through widening participation in education

Whatever else you could say about Labour's educational policies there is certainly no shortage of them. (Ball 2008: 86)





Enhance the skills of the workforce to cope with globalisation

# An ecology of performativity

Data from 21 colleges of FE demonstrates that the new requirement has little altered patterns of engagement in CPD. However, respondents from all but two of the organisations could describe the systems in place to achieve the government's targets by recording the thirty hours of CPD and membership of IfL. One college had a "master spreadsheet"; others used databases; and others had "frameworks" in place. What respondents described were mechanisms to ensure compliance could be verified systematically and quantitatively, even where there had been little new engagement in CPD. This is not deception; the targets have been achieved. Indeed, college managers have become used to creating systems which accurately "evidence" achievement, on which their funding depends and these systems have grown in symbiosis with government reforms to form an ecology of performativity.

There is a democratic deficit created by the unequal relationship between the government's agencies who fund and run FE on one side, and those working in colleges on the other which means that the government will be told the truth; targets have been achieved; but not the whole truth; those targets do not reflect changed practice. Thus the gap between policy and practice maintains.

### References:

Brown, P.; Lauder, H. & Ashton, D. (2008) Education, globalisation and the knowledge economy A Commentary by the Teaching and Learning Research Programme London: TLRP. DIUS (2008) DIUS 2008-09 business plan at a glance: investing in our future. London: DIUS. Institute for Learning (IfL) (2008) Sector Acronyms and Abbreviations available on line at http://www.ifl.ac.uk/services/p wwv page?id=640

Ball, S. (2008) The Education Debate. Bristol: Policy Press.

