

University of Huddersfield Repository

Muhammad, Nabeel, McElwee, Gerard and Dana, Leo-Paul

Barriers to the Development and Progress of Entrepreneurship in Rural Pakistan

Original Citation

Muhammad, Nabeel, McElwee, Gerard and Dana, Leo-Paul (2017) Barriers to the Development and Progress of Entrepreneurship in Rural Pakistan. International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behaviour & Research, 23 (2). pp. 279-295. ISSN 1355-2554

This version is available at http://eprints.hud.ac.uk/id/eprint/30680/

The University Repository is a digital collection of the research output of the University, available on Open Access. Copyright and Moral Rights for the items on this site are retained by the individual author and/or other copyright owners. Users may access full items free of charge; copies of full text items generally can be reproduced, displayed or performed and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided:

- The authors, title and full bibliographic details is credited in any copy;
- A hyperlink and/or URL is included for the original metadata page; and
- The content is not changed in any way.

For more information, including our policy and submission procedure, please contact the Repository Team at: E.mailbox@hud.ac.uk.

http://eprints.hud.ac.uk/

International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behaviour & Research



Barriers to the Development and Progress of **Entrepreneurship in Rural Pakistan**

Journal:	International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior & Research
Manuscript ID	IJEBR-08-2016-0246.R2
Manuscript Type:	Research Paper
Keywords:	Developing Countries, Entrepreneurs

SCHOLARONE[™]

Manuscripts



Barriers to the Development and Progress of Entrepreneurship in Rural Pakistan Abstract

Purpose - Focusing on entrepreneurs' experiences inhibiting them from launching a business – at the micro level – the objective of this empirical study is to identify issues that limit rural entrepreneurship in Pakistan. To identify the cultural, social, economic and religious traditions and settings that discourage entrepreneurship thus hindering economic development.

Design/methodology/approach - An ethnographic approach is used to obtain a picture of current problems and perspectives of rural inhabitants. Members of 84 families were interviewed.

Findings - Religious, socioeconomic and structural forces play a significant role in suppressing social capital, limiting cultural capital and stifling entrepreneurial spirit in rural areas of Pakistan, explaining the low level of entrepreneurship in these areas. Social and cultural capital require a specific socioeconomic context for entrepreneurship to thrive.

Originality/value – This study examines the determinants of very low levels of entrepreneurship in rural settings in the agro-based regions of interior Sindh, Pakistan; this contributes to the gap of understanding the context of rural entrepreneurs in agro-

based economies. This study makes recommendations for policy makers to promote entrepreneurship in such areas.

Keywords - Rural development, cultural capital, economic development, entrepreneurship, Pakistan, social capital.

Introduction

A rich literature largely of research in developed economies, has focused on entrepreneurship from a macro perspective (Audretsch and Thurik, 2001). Simultaneously, indigenous entrepreneurship has received increasing academic attention in terms of economic development in developed countries, i.e., the Fourth World defined as, 'those native peoples whose lands and cultures have been engulfed by the nations of the First, Second and Third Worlds' (Graburn, 1981:61); this has been the focus of a growing literature (Dana, 1995; 2015; Dana and Light, 2011; Peredo et al., 2004). Fourth world theory (Seton, 1999) focuses on the depressed existence of indigenous nations largely in modern, developed countries (Dana and Anderson, 2007).

In developing countries too, indigenous people face similar struggles: around 62% of the population of Pakistan (i.e., approximately 115 million people) live in rural areas (World Bank, 2015) with poor socioeconomic conditions. Pakistan ranks 147th out of 188 countries on the United Nations Development Programme's 2015 Human Development

Index – a comparative measure of life expectancy, literacy, education and standards of living for countries worldwide (Human Development Report, 2015). Urban migration further aggravates the socio-economic situation in already poor rural areas, as many educated and skilled workers migrate in pursuit of employment.

Academic interest in entrepreneurship in developing countries has mainly concentrated on mostly urban, small-scale industries (Coad and Tamvada, 2012) and microenterprises (Jones et al., 2014). However, entrepreneurship in rural areas of developing economies does not reflect that of urban areas. Sindh, Pakistan, is one such example as those who would like to be more entrepreneurial are restricted in doing so by cultural, socioeconomic, religious and structural factors.

About two thirds of the population of the Indian sub-continent: Bangladesh, India and Pakistan reside in rural areas, directly or indirectly associated with income from an agricultural based economy. However, there is little empirical research that informs understanding of indigenous entrepreneurship and business development in rural settings of agricultural based economies. There is a lack of understanding at a very micro level of what other phenomena, along with some obvious socioeconomic conditions, inhibits the rural population of these agricultural based economies from engaging in entrepreneurship. Therefore, the objective of this paper is to contribute to the gap of understanding the context of rural entrepreneurs in agricultural based economies and examine the determinants of very low levels of business start-ups in

rural settings in the agricultural regions of interior Sindh, Pakistan. The cultural, social, economic and religious traditions and settings that discourage and hinder entrepreneurship and economic development in these specific areas are identified. This study focuses on rural entrepreneurs' perceptions at a micro level. It also proposes certain solutions for Pakistani policy makers and government to promote entrepreneurship in these areas, and in doing so discuss sustainable entrepreneurship and good governance, particularly for indigenous people.

This paper reports on an ethnographic research study that takes and examines rural entrepreneurs' experiences inhibiting them from starting and sustaining a business. Data has been utilised from several rural areas including villages and towns in the interior of Sindh surrounding these semi-urban areas such as: Dadu, Khairpur, Mirpur Khas, Nawabshah, Shikarpur, Sukkur and Thatta. Sindh has a population of over 46 million of whom approximately 25 million, many of whom are in poverty, reside in rural areas. The research questions are: *What factors in addition to structural issues hinder the environment for entrepreneurship among these rural areas of interior Sindh? How can agricultural based rural communities reconcile innovation and tradition to create successful entrepreneurial ventures for their economic development? What and where the intervention is needed by government and social sector organisations?*

The paper is structured as follows. The next section explores how entrepreneurship scholars have discussed social and cultural capital in the context of

entrepreneurship. After a detailed account of entrepreneurship in rural areas, historical landscape of the studied region, and the research approach and methodology, the empirical findings are presented, on the basis of which a theoretical proposition is suggested for future analysis. Finally, these findings are discussed in relation to the extant literature and considers implications for theory, practice and policy.

Islam & Entrepreneurship

Islamic teaching encourage Muslims to be involved in productive and entrepreneurial endeavour (Adas, 2006; Kayed and Hassan, 2011). One interesting aspect that distinguishes Islamic entrepreneurs is that Western entrepreneurs usually measure success in terms of financial gains while Muslim entrepreneurs not only try to maximize their potential financial gains but also their religious goals for rewards in a perceived afterlife (Campante and Yanagizawa-Drott, 2013). Gümüsay (2015) discusses entrepreneurship from an Islamic perspective based on three interconnected pillars: entrepreneurial, socio-economic/ethical, and religio-spiritual. Islam not only shapes entrepreneurs at the micro level but also at meso and macro-level. Furthermore, research on Islamic entrepreneurship has prompted researchers to look into specific characteristics of Islamic entrepreneurs, such as fear of Allah, honesty and truthfulness, morality, vision, optimism, patience, social welfare, Halal earnings and wider economic concerns (Hoque et al 2014).

Islam's definition of business development is very encompassing because it not only drives entrepreneurial activity (Ramadani, et al 2015), but also requires [entrepreneurs] to provide a due share of their incomes to the poor to maintain equality in society.

In the case of rural Sindh, Pakistan, despite Islam being a majority religion, feudal lords have been exploiting the poor and the farmers for many decades. Furthermore, the situation of the poor and their attitude towards entrepreneurship and business suggest a very contradictory but interesting account of what is inhibiting them to take up business as a vocation.

Entrepreneurship in a rural setting

Wealth generated from agriculture is not equally divided among the inhabitants of rural areas as landlords appropriate a significant proportion of it. Equally dispersed rural development can only be achieved while primarily linking it to enterprise development and entrepreneurship in these areas.

As economies are becoming increasingly globalised, competition affects the agricultural sector of developing countries (Mathew and Adegboye, 2013) including Pakistan (Afzal, 2007; Awais et al., 2011; Malik et al., 2011, Muhammad et al., 2016). It is no surprise that entrepreneurship is gaining importance for the survival of economic activities in rural areas of developing countries. However, entrepreneurship requires an enabling environment in order to flourish. In rural areas of Sindh, the cultural, financial, political and social environment is neither conducive or supportive of entrepreneurship and new business development.

Entrepreneurship in emerging markets, especially in rural areas of these regions is distinctive from that practiced in more developed countries or in urban centres of developing countries. A more nuanced understanding of these distinctions is critical to rural sector development in developing countries so as to increase opportunity, create employment and subsequently reduce poverty. This helps understand the barriers to the development of new and growth-oriented enterprises in these regions (McElwee, 2008, De Rosa and McElwee, 2015).

Entrepreneurship is conceptualised in many different ways. As innovation (Schumpeter, 1911, Byrd, 1987), risk-taking (Brockhaus, 1980), market stabilising force (Tyson et al., 1994) and starting, owning and managing a small business (Jones and Sakong, 1981). A growing interest in rural entrepreneurship emphasises the importance

of mix of these attributes in a shaping successful entrepreneurs (McElwee, 2006, Smith and McElwee, 2015). Pertin (1994) suggests that in a rural context, entrepreneurship will only be supported if there is a national agricultural policy incorporating special programs for the development and channelling of entrepreneurial talent. People in rural areas of Sindh are also involved in other activities in addition to farming and livestock, such as carpentry, spinning, blacksmithing, brick building, fish farming, dairy products (meat, milk), servicing (farming and livestock consulting), industrial applications (engineering, crafts), fertiliser and medicine distribution, retailing, professional and technical training and recreating facilities.

Faggio and Silva (2014) note that there is a high correlation between selfemployment and business creation and innovation in urban markets but not in rural markets. Their results are rather tautological in that they suggest that self-employment, business creation and innovation are well manifested in urban areas because of the presence of entrepreneurship in these areas. Furthermore, Savitha et als (2009) study of the behaviour of female entrepreneurs in rural and urban areas in Bangalore, India identify three factors which are effective on the creation of new businesses: personal characteristics, socio-economic characteristics, and enterprise-related variables.

Social & cultural capital in rural areas

Cetin et al (2013) analyse the effect of different forms of capital – financial, human and social – finding that social capital plays an integral role in affecting entrepreneurship. Social capital is widely used to explain a broad range of business development and social phenomena (e.g., McKeever et al., 2014). Coleman (1990) further elaborates how social capital can facilitate social action. Fukuyama (1995) and Putnam (1995) focus on how social capital helps to create mutual trust among members, which eventually helps to foster effective development resulting in social action. Social capital or social action embedded in networks (Anderson et al., 2007) are also highlighted as critical for the success of ventures; a network can enable business owners to obtain financial and human capital through their social capital. The empirical work focuses on entrepreneurship in rural areas, where social action and mutual trust are essential components for business to sustain and thrive because of the nature of the small established villages acting as separate units and accommodating various tribes/groups.

Cultural capital is determined by the entrepreneur's capacity to approrpriate and use cultural institutions and societal products (De Clercq and Voronov, 2009). Cultural capital is very important for people in rural areas as most of their work involves particular technical expertise (Follett, 2010; Stevenson, 2010); and cultural capital is transmitted from one generation to the next (Lareau and Weininger, 2003).

Interaction among communities, families, and individual entrepreneurs is important, (Maula et al, 2005). Some communities have been found to have little

entrepreneurship despite much social capital (Anderson et al., 2006; Peredo et al., 2004). Light and Dana (2013) find that high social capital among Alutiiq people in Old Harbor, Alaska, does not promote entrepreneurship and conclude that social capital only promotes entrepreneurship when coupled with supportive cultural capital.

In other cases, social capital has also been found to suppress entrepreneurship because powerful groups lock subordinate groups out of entrepreneurship (Crow, 2004). Light and Dana (2013) further explain that this suppression works best when the dominant group's social capital is stronger than subordinate groups' social capital. Similarly, in rural areas of Pakistan, the majority of poor people's social capital is weak compared to that of their landlords. The research goes further regarding entrepreneurship holistically in communities in rural settings. Weak social and cultural capital is not sufficient to provide solutions; hence this study aims to examine as why and how cultural capital and social capital is subdued by various other factors; and what should be done to unlock the potential of social and cultural capital in these rural communities.

Context of the study: Interior Sindh, Pakistan

This is the first study which considers the suppressed aspects of entrepreneurial conducive social and cultural capital and entrepreneurship in communities across the

rural region of Sindh, Pakistan. Sindh is one of the provinces of Pakistan, and home of one of the world's oldest civilisations, the people of the Indus Valley (Possehl, 1997).

Currently, land inequality is a significant issue in rural areas of Pakistan. According to the Pakistan Institute of Labour Education and Research (PILER) about two-third of Pakistan's farmland is owned by only 5% of agricultural households (Habib, 2012). 60% of rural population in rural areas of Sindh do not own their own land and work for someone else (Sindh United Party, 2008). Millions of poor households across Pakistan depend on wealthy landowners for livelihoods, natural resources and patronage. These feudal lords have established strong footholds in rural areas of Pakistan, especially rural Sindh. They have their own private gaols and can utilise the services of armed criminal gangs. Various accounts in Pakistan note the involvement of these landlords in land grabbing exploiting their political influences (Ali, 2012), and diverting flood waters from poor villages (BBC, 2010). Feudalism in Pakistan is understood as a relatively small group of politically active and powerful landowners, whose attitude is often associated with a combination of arrogance and entitlement (Haeri, 2002). Feudal lords seldom make any direct contribution to agricultural production and subsistence peasants or tenants do most of the work. Many of the peasants are controlled by landlords because of debt bondage passed down from generation to generation, and most of the landlords have tight control of the

distribution of water, fertilisers, tractor permits and agricultural credit along with control of local government (Shuja, 2007).

Currently, the economy of Sindh is the 2nd largest of all the provinces in Pakistan. Sindh comprises 23% of Pakistan's population and 18% of its land area. With 23% of country's population, its contribution to the national GDP is around 33%. As of 2012, Sindh has a gross provincial product of \$67 billion and covers a cultivated area of 4.9 million hectares of which 3.9 million hectares is cropped (Husain, 2014). It has the highest concentration of urban population at 49% as compared to an overall country average of 32.5%, making it the most urbanized province in the country (Sindh Board of Investment, 2015). As of 2012, 20.4 million people constitute a labour force of which 9.2 million are involved in agriculture. Around 14% of wheat, 30% rice, 30% sugar cane, 25% cotton and 30% vegetable crops grown in Pakistan are sourced in Sindh (Sindh Board of Investment, 2015). Rural areas have a high dependence on agricultural income (Husain, 2014).

The feudal system and poor education are just two aspects which hinder development in the rural areas of Sindh. However, different socioeconomic factors at micro level suppress entrepreneurship in this region. Several cultural, economic, religious and social factors have caused the change in attitudes of people, which combined, restrict entrepreneurship and growth.

Methodology & data collection

The unit of analysis in this study is rural families. We ask how variations in cultural, social, religious and economic resources inhibit entrepreneurship. This study aims to further understand the scope of interlinked socioeconomic factors suppressing entrepreneurship.

Families were interviewed from the surrounding villages of the major conurbations of Dadu, Khairpur, Mirpur Khas, Nawabshah Shikarpur, Sukkur, and Thatta. These dispersedly located regions allow a representative population sample of Sindh Province. Using a snowball methodology (Goodman, 1961), personal referrals allowed the generation of a sample of 84 families. 21 owned their own businesses and 63 derived their major source of income from wage labour. Different family members were interviewed so as to understand the views of different members of the family. In Pakistan, especially in rural Pakistan, people still live in big families and the face of the family carries more importance for decision making rather than individual preferences. This disparity in sample is due to the reason that entrepreneurs are very few in these areas and difficult to locate. Furthermore, few feudal lords were also interviewed over this general lack of entrepreneurship in rural Sindh, to understand their side of the argument too as in what is causing the lack of entrepreneurship and what role they are

playing to discourage it or may be to promote it. Most of the feudal lords altogether rejected to talk to us or gave us very elusive answers.

Following an inductive data collection method, it was necessary to be submerged into local life for an extended period of time, such as to develop closeness in the shared sense. The study was carried out over a period of almost seven months in 2015 with on and off visits to these areas with at least three visits to each place on different times by the lead author. The interviews were conducted in Urdu and Sindhi, and lasted on average ninety minutes. Data was compiled in Urdu, a local assistant took notes simultaneously in Sindhi were necessary, and both sets were compared for accuracy. Data was also collected from families by asking general questions about religion, social and economic scenarios, politics, business and life. Major themes that evolved from these interviews have been summarised below. Following a naturalistic inquiry method, interviews, data collection, analysis, and interpretation in a hermeneutic The interviews are further supported by case studies, which manner (Spiggle 1994). developed out of narration of life experiences of cultural and social practices and opinions of some of the entrepreneurs and non-entrepreneurs, and their families that were involved or not involved in these ventures. Triangulation was used for verification, as recommended by Patton (1990).

Findings: barriers

Negative externalities of feudal system

Among the participants, peasants/tenants related stories of the relationships with landlords from last two generations in these rural areas. They are not able to pay back their loans because of the high interest rates charged by these landlords; and these loans keep accumulating resulting in stronger hold on those who are already bonded labours. Interest rates can be above 100% per annum, making it almost impossible for poor tenants to pay back loans. For one respondent

"No, I cannot run, because my whole family is there, and even if I am able to run alone, the landlord will kidnap my sisters/family or will throw my brothers in his jail. I cannot fight him... and he owns the whole system, I cannot even file a complaint to police of someone else. I cannot even imagine to stand or raise a voice against him..."

Fear of retribution is common.

"You know it better {the landlord's identity}, I don't want to get in problem, by slipping his name from my tongue..."

Around 70% of the population of various villages that encountered work either directly or indirectly for some big landlords for very low wages; and people accept their position. This landlord-tenant relationship is oppressive, and poor farmers are not given their social and financial rights in society. There are no checks and balances on

 minimum wages or on the power of feudal lords in these areas. There are cases where landlords have extorted the lands from the poor farmers, and their complaints to police and government officials result in further physical attacks from landlords to suppress their voices and rights. Farmers are often looked upon as inferior class people by these landlords. Moreover, many locals consider themselves and their traditions and lifestyles subordinate to their landlords. For instance, one local entrepreneur recounted:

"I am smart and have better solutions and know markets in cities, but I am not free in any way to show that to others because our presumed supreme leader is our wadera saeen (landlord) and all things should pass from him, and I can be called and punished by his people to act too smartly. Whole village just believes that everything that wadera saeen does is right and all else is meaningless or wrong."

In discussion with 3 feudal lords over this general phenomenon of entrepreneurship in rural Sindh, Pakistan. They rejected this phenomenon altogether or they came up with elusive explanation that they want greater good for all society and they know better how to handle business and provide subsistence to so many under them. For instance, one feudal lord, avoided the question like this:

"Interviewer: Do you feel like that feudal lords are suppressing the poor in their areas and not helping the people below them?

Feudal lord: This is not all true...the problem lies with these people and whole system. They don't want to work hard themselves and they don't want to study. All they want is average life.

And when they don't see opportunities, we create opportunities for them by creating jobs for them in our mills, farms and factories. And we and our forefathers have been doing this for century. I am not bragging, but we have been chosen by God to represent them and provide a source of income to them. And that's why they consider us as their teachers and mentors."

Feudal lords in Pakistan, especially in the region of Sindh and Baluchistan, are known for the atrocities they perpetrate on the people. Most of them are parliamentarians or bureaucrats, which further allows them to instil fear. Above all, they own the major share of land, and generally poor people do not have any other choice but to work for them. They suppress education in their areas, discourage ruralurban migration and do not allow the formation of groups in villages. For instance, one man when asked about his business noted:

"I want to open a shop, but Saeen (landlord) does not want me to leave his land; hence I cannot do it even if I want this...I even can't give my savings to someone else to open shop on my behalf; no one will dare to go against the wish of Saeen..."

Asking a feudal lord if bonded labour is still a grave concern for poor in Pakistan, he gave us this explanation:

"Ohh, you are educated and have not lived with these people for long. They are poor and their poverty has taught them wrong ways of having sympathy to get money from you or someone else from urban areas. There is no such thing as bonded labour anymore. If anyone will leave, we will

have many more to come and work with us. Rather than random wage they get by working in big cities, we provide them with continuous source of income and a place to live forever on our lands."

Hence, these facets of feudal systems in these areas have developed a sense of fear and inferior complexity have resulted in reluctant masses who do not want to challenge the *status quo* to change their lives. Historically attempts by successive governments have been taken to ensure reformation of land rights in Pakistan, but these efforts have been ignored by these feudal lords.

Hubris over choice of certain occupations

 Much of the rural population also demonstrated hubris for certain professions. For instance, one small farmer turned restaurateur recounted:

"... I am unlucky that unfortunately now I am running restaurant and have forsaken the honourable profession of being a farmer. Actually, I had to sell my land because of some mishaps. Those lands were ours from the last 3 generations...You know having your own land and being a farmer is like king of your destiny with only master Allah ... you can grow crops of your choice, eat of your choice and live as per your choice...unlike this restaurant where I am just serving people unlike a farmer who is serving mother land, people, generations and generations to come..."

On another occasion,

"...Farming is the pride of Pakistan, and other professions in Sindh and Punjab are still considered low as compared to farming, but unfortunately youth is going for new things in cities, but you know they are not happier than their farmer fathers or grandfathers. They are just stuck in more problems, and have no peace at heart..."

For the majority of the people, there is a preference and prestige for subsistence

farming followed by auxiliary professions also related to farming, such as aarti or

patwari (land record officer at sub-division or *tehsil* level). This preference for agriculture, despite its low source of income, is primarily because of the freedom that owning a land and animals provides and continuous supply of food from land and

animals.

Educated youth is also less interested in following the farm profession. One

young respondent demonstrated his negative concerns:

"In my village, people show me respect, but in a big city, I am just like a simple bird, who is trying to make his way. No one does care, what I do or what I will become. That life sucks. I want to be recognized and known, and my village offers me all that. Then why would I try to move out of it... above all, city has very intense competition and corruption too to succeed there...why not try more hard in village"

In all the interviewed families, the majority of the male participants expressed

their desires for government jobs as their first preference for themselves, their family

and anyone, if they have to work for someone. This choice was further elaborated to us

by one participant like this:

"...Here, if I have a government job, then I am the most reliable person and have great respect in society...because government jobs are for permanent and a source of life-long income, and one cannot be removed from government job in Pakistan; however you are not sure of private or even multinational companies, they can fire you anytime...yes and through government jobs you can be more close to big landlords and their connections do help you to grow socially and professionally."

Findings suggest that there is a preference for farming and government jobs with less

desire for entrepreneurship because of the cultural and social norms prevailing in the

society which bestow more respect to these two specific professions.

Most of the people in these rural areas live in forms of *"braadri"* or *"qabila"* (*tribes or groups*) and prefer to give first preference to the members of their *braadris* or *qabilas* in their localities. It is considered very bad for the reputation of the families if someone marries outside his/her *braadri*. For instance, one old woman told us:

"My son made the mistake of falling in love with a girl outside our braadri and when the girl's brothers did not agree for marriage, he eloped with the girl and this brought disrepute to both the families; and our landlord even asked us to look for new place to work and since then my husband is now working in a brick factory. It has been a year and I have not heard from my son yet, and that evil girl who did magic on my son..."

On being asked the value of *braadri* in terms of business, *patwari* (land record officer at sub-division or *tehsil* level) said:

"You can trust a guy from your braadri and helping someone or doing business in your braadri is good for overall braadri and you get good respect from people; however, if you choose someone else outside your braadri, then you should be ready to face slander from people... this is how relations work in villages..."

Residents of these rural areas also prefer same *braadri* marriages, which result in limited expansion of social and cultural capital because most of the *braadris* settled in one village are small in size. Business partners are also chosen and given first preference primarily from the same *braadris*. Moreover, it also results in limited exposure to financial and human capital. Mutual trust among groups, financiers and workers is needed to establish businesses in these areas. This system of *braadris* and *qabilas* is

inhibiting people from developing new relations with new people of different intellect, status, skills and social status.

Limited view of education

Religion plays an integral role in Pakistan, where most of the decisions ranging from life, eating habits, marriage and even business are made based on religion. For instance, Sunni Muslims discourage marrying a Shia Muslim and vice versa in Pakistan. Rural Sindh constitutes a majority of population of Muslims with very few Hindus living in minority populations across the province Sindh, Pakistan. There is a high level of literacy among Hindus; however rural population of Muslims have a different view of the value of education. Most of the rural population prefer Islamic knowledge of Hadith and Quran above any other education; and hence formal education is something of secondary value among them. For instance, one poor farmer noted:

"You know that religion has restricted us from running after wealth, we should only earn as much as enough for us; hence I am happy until I am feeding three times food to my children...that's it...more wealth makes us disgusting and greedy...and more money only brings tension...hence all I need is religious education which can bring in peace..."

Contrary to this observation, Islam does not discourage creation of wealth but advocates ethical and honest business. For instance, a line from Quran: "*O you who have*

believed! Do not devour one another's property by unlawful ways; but do business with mutual *consent.*" (Quran 4: 29)

The Prophet Muhammad was asked what type of earning was best, and he replied: "*A man's work with his hands and every (lawful) business transaction.*" (Al-Tirmidhi, Hadith 846).

Some of the respondents have practical plans for doing business. In many of the recorded conversations people are more interested in discussing politics and religion over general business discussions. This is primarily due to the low levels of education among children, youth and adults; and partially because of subdued will to develop themselves in businesses. An elderly man recounted his views on business:

"What we would do by talking of business, when we can't do something, we are poor. We are happy with tea and our ghutka (eatable); this business talk is for waderas (landlords), who can afford to do it. And above all, religion has not encouraged us to earn a lot. Allah is there to feed all stomachs even if I am poor; then why try for something which is not in your reach ..."

Burdened familial settings: large families

Despite low incomes, most families in rural Sindh do not believe in limiting family size. Some are not aware of birth control procedures and others do not want to control the birth rate. Furthermore, for some farmers more children mean more working hands for them to support them on farms and provide prestige to their manhood. Unlike

developed countries most of the work is done by manual labour rather machinery. Local religious leaders/figures exacerbate the situation by conveying to people that birth control is *haram* (prohibited) in Islam. One *maulvi* (religious figure in local mosque in a village) suggested:

"...this is western propaganda to limit Muslims' population...they fear our and Allah's strength...that's why they want us to control our birth rate...I ask them there is no such concept as birth control thousand years back but still everyone was eating food and living then...and you know interestingly at that time, Muslims were in power...I suggest you to go back to your school and read Muslim history..."

Thus poor households have more children and families remain in poverty; resulting in very limited or no education for their children. For one mother: *"Why should I send my kids to schools, and waste their time, when they can start earning well and can settle early to bear more kids"*. Most of the parents are more concerned with opportunity cost of sending their kids to schools. This is partially because of ignorance and partially because of poverty. This way, with low education families are stuck for forever in a poverty trap and low-income businesses. The majority of the people we interviewed are involved in the same occupation as their father; and most of them gave the reason that they do not have other choice of occupation.

For, one poor peasant the cost of starting a business is prohibitive: "I try a lot to save for the dowry of my 6 daughters; but it is very hard, I only make PKR.300 per day, and with that I can only feed them. I am really not sure as how I will get them married or who will marry them without any good dowry. Our hopes are with God...and how can you expect me to start a new business with zero savings..."

Big families also result in low or almost no savings further aggravating any hope for the future of these families. Almost all our participants reported that they have zero or very low savings; which cannot help them to afford education for their children, move to city or start a new business.

Infrastructural issues for business development: middlemen, lack of financial capital, industry size

Inadequate infrastructure and economic resources inhibiting entrepreneurship rural markets have no equal flow of financial capital for everyone. The poor exist in a cycle of poverty. Most banks do not provide loans that easily; and most of the money easily goes to landlords because of their connections with banks' employees. These landlords also do create problems if they try to get loans because of the fear of losing cheap labour.

Furthermore, *aartis* (middlemen, who purchase crops from farmers and then sell them to others) takes the major share of profits and only do give very minimal share of price to small farmers. Hence, most of the landlords have their own *aartis* settled in

major markets to sell their yield with best rates. However, poor people are left with no choice but to be a part of this distribution chain involving *aartis*.

Furthermore, markets are very small and limited for most of the farmers and other craft workers in these rural areas because of the lack of the information. They do not have many buyers in their villages or towns and it is hard for them to develop markets in cities. Smallness and remoteness of rural areas make it difficult to develop economies of scale and critical mass. The results are higher prices for goods and lower demand for services (Dabson, 2001).

For instance, one respondent noted:

"My wife is very good at embroidering clothes and her clothes are worn by people in cities, like Karachi and Hyderababd;... but she does not make a lot of money despite her good talent because she got very low price for her products, like Rs. 150 and these things sell like Rs. 1500 in cities. I have seen it myself..."

Why don't you try to sell these items yourself in cities?

"Hmm...no one will buy from me, you need to have a shop in city to sell and you need too much time to go to city and sell there, hence we chose middlemen to take it from us and then it is his responsibility to sell in city...and it is really hard to trust people in city; you know the political conditions better there as you live there"

This reluctance was observed among many families and in different businesses, which demonstrate that people are not willing to take initiatives and expand their business because of the limited information about doing business, and fear of political turmoil in big cities.

Parochial Lifestyle

Woo et al. (1991) describe lifestyle entrepreneurs as those who start new firms to provide a family income or support a desired lifestyle. These entrepreneurs typically seek independence and control. Classic examples are "mom and pop" stores, such as the family-owned grocery store, or the local hardware store. In this study there is very limited entrepreneurship in rural areas and much is something similar to lifestyle entrepreneurship. For instance, some people have opened up mobile shops, which trade sporadically and these entrepreneurs do not demonstrate any desire to grow their business.

Furthermore, most of the people do not have savings to enable them to invest in business or to start a new business or to grow their existing business. This is because of no awareness and desire for the value of saving. For instance, one respondent, who has a mobile phone and accessories shop said:

"I am quite happy with my life; I am earning Rs. 200-300 per day from shop, and life is going well and next year I am going to get married...no I don't have much saving but we do have land and we will sell that for my and my sister's wedding..."

Furthermore, most of the labour in rural areas spend around 30% of their daily wages on tea and *gutka* (tobacco and other ingredients mixed powder) and consider it

Page 27 of 41

as the important part of daily consumption; despite the fact that *gutka* is harmful and is prohibited and is sold illegally in shops and stalls. An example of what Smith and McElwee (2013) label illegal rural entrepreneurship.

Parental entrepreneurship is of particular importance in stimulating involvement with entrepreneurial pursuits among those falling in this group. An empirical research on Swedish population has shown that parental entrepreneurship is likely to increase entrepreneurship among their children by 60% (Lindquist, el al 2012). It is not only true for biological parents, but was also found for adoptive parents. This is also true for a developing country like Pakistan, where Muslim Memon parents are more likely to make their children work for themselves (Muhammad and Dana, 2015) because of their beliefs on the importance of commerce. However, in rural areas less educated parents are more likely to make their children work on their farms or on landlords' farms rather than trying something else – i.e., being entrepreneurial. However, few educated parents in these rural areas are prone towards making their children engineer or doctor.

DISCUSSION

This research demonstrates that not only social and cultural capital are sufficient to promote entrepreneurship, but a mix of appropriate religious, socioeconomic and structural forces are important to encourage and develop entrepreneurship and enable the use of social and cultural capital.

Most of the people in rural areas of Sindh Pakistan are still economically and culturally subdued by the landlords; are very poor and work on the lands owned by few big landlords in every other area. This landlord-tenant relationship is quite oppressive, and poor farmers have limited rights, wealth and status in society. These landlords regard farmers as second-class citizens. Bonded labour is significant as many individuals are in long term debt with loans with very high and abnormal interest rates, further suppressing entrepreneurial opportunity. Moreover, we also come across observations when in many instances we found locals considering themselves and their traditions and lifestyles below their landlords. Feudal lords rejected many of our observations or labelled them as fake ways of the poor to get sympathy of people from urban areas and not-for-profits. This does not facilitate community development, which can smoothen and multiply the flow of financial, human and social capital while simultaneously catering to the various demands of the community members, such as employment, mode of investment and mutual welfare of the community.

Furthermore, in these small rural remote areas, the basic purpose of community, fostering inter-dependence among its members is very low, and most of the dependence is directed through the local landlords for social and financial security or somehow indirectly regulated under the dominance of landlords. Somehow landlords are primarily very crucial for facilitating one or another form of capital from providing finances, food, social status and jobs.

In addition, peoples' lower level of education in these areas have developed certain shared perspectives among the majority of the people. For instance, most preferred profession after farming/livestock is having a government job. These people have no preference or value for private or multinational jobs. Despite the fact that the majority of the margins were taken by *aartis* from small farmers in agricultural business, we note that certain agricultural occupations are respected among the residents of these rural areas. Education is necessary to make the inhabitants of these areas realise the concept of opportunity cost in working in low income sector such as agriculture. Furthermore, education can also let them to think of other possibilities of earning money too.

Residents of these rural areas also prefer same *braadri* (group/tribe) marriages, which result in limited expansion of social and cultural capital because most of the *braadris* settled in one village are small in size. Business partners are also chosen and given first preference primarily from the same *braadris*. Hence, the preference of limiting themselves to only small groups prohibits individuals from increasing their capital. Moreover, it also results in limited exposure to financial and human capital. Mutual trust among groups, financiers and workers is needed to establish businesses in these areas.

The most discussed topics are politics and religion for many people and aspiration for money is often looked upon as indicative of evil and greed. Furthermore,

there is a higher level of preference for religious education over proper education. Furthermore, larger families are considered as a source of more hands, prestige for father's fertility and something granted by God. Most of the people are quite satisfied with their lives and consider poverty as something natural. The preference for large families result in limited savings and low level of education for children resulting in more prolonged poverty. Religion can be used especially by the poor as an excuse to overcome the consequences of circumstances which are out of their control.

To grow and develop, these communities do need certain regulated government and not-for-profits' attention. In addition, it may be suggested:

To provide and extend funds to entrepreneurs. A flow of capital into their lives can help them to come up with practical solutions to many problems in rural areas. Examples of such success in rural areas in developing economies is shown in Iran (Hosseini et al, 2012) in Uganda, Lourenço et al (2014) and Mexico, (Díaz-Pichardo et al).

To provide training and development programmes. Rural communities need to be trained by experts. Not only is vocational training is needed to impart skills but also enterprise awareness training is required, so that alert people to non-agricultural opportunities. Inhabitants of rural areas need to learn and realize that all professions do carry equal importance.

To regulate religious teachings and education. Socially aware madrassas (religious schools) and religious teachers, who can impart right knowledge about Islam, are needed in

these areas. These people need to understand different and new processes of reengagement on social, religious, and cultural levels to understand the real meaning of Islam and its teachings and their values in society.

To implement strict government regulations and checks. Government needs to enact strict measures that can curb corruption and the influence of landlords in these areas. Further research could be cross-cultural comparative studies, for example studying Indian and Bangladeshi rural entrepreneurial settings.

CONCLUSION

Most often, low levels of education and lack of structural facilities in these areas are attributed as reasons which inhibit the development of agro based rural areas; however, we believe that the problem is much more complex and lies in understanding the underlying paradigms of social and cultural capital. By now, substantial research has investigated an entrepreneurship in urban regions of developed and developing countries, however this paper has focused at a micro level on exploring the cultural, religious, structural and economic factors, eventually resulting in an antientrepreneurial environment in the rural economies of a developing country.

The paper contributes to the literature on the embeddedness perspective, which has systematically studied family embeddedness (Aldrich and Cliff, 2003), communities (Dana and Light, 2011; Light and Dana, 2013;) and networks (Greve and Salaff, 2003). For Aldrich and Cliff (2003.2) the family embeddedness perspective suggests that understanding family entrepreneurship necessitates that scholars should consider all family dimensions to understands the family business. They note that 'Connecting the ''unnaturally separated'' social institutions of family and business will pave the way for more holistic—and more realistic—insights into the fascinating processes by which new business opportunities and new business ventures emerge.' (2003.2). We have attempted to do this in this unique analysis of family enterprises in rural Pakistan. We have considered, both the endogenous and exogenous factors which determine a family business's

The findings are similar to those of Light and Dana (2013) to the extent that entrepreneurial cultural and social capital is a requirement in entrepreneurship, however reporting findings from 84 interviews with families in rural areas of Sindh, clear evidence demonstrates that social and cultural capital despite being very important comes secondary in need for promoting entrepreneurship after the initial and more essential need of conducive environment for entrepreneurship. We agree with an earlier insight by Light and Bhachu (1993) who propose that cultural and structural factors are crucial factors in helping individuals develop their entrepreneurial potential. Social and cultural capital relevant to entrepreneurship cannot sustain or prosper in an

environment that is not supportive of entrepreneurship. Furthermore, in a rural setting of Pakistan, people are still living in large families where they are dependent on each other and usually the main head of the family is the primary source of income. Proper government intervention is necessary for structured and sustainable development of these areas through entrepreneurship and business development.

This paper deals with circumstances that are hindering the environment for entrepreneurs. It has identified that providing entrepreneurial settings will not only help rural communities with positive economic outcomes, but also will be positive towards social outcomes thus adding value for the whole community. We believe that in conducive cultural environment, where they are not inhibited by feudal lords controlling them, middlemen charging high margins and lack of human and financial capital that these communities can develop high-growth entrepreneurs, subsequently generating new economic value for their communities. In the past, entrepreneurs have been found to add jobs, create wealth, raise incomes and standard of living, and help overall rural communities to develop. The outcome is to challenge the depiction of societal structures and government intervention in these areas and other areas of similar nature.

Acknowledgements

We like to thank all the families who shared their lives with us; and especial thanks to local guides who helped us to reach out these families and collect data.

- Adas, E. B. (2006), "The making of entrepreneurial Islam and the Islamic spirit of capitalism", *Journal for Cultural Research*, Vol. 10 No. 2, pp. 113-137.
- Afzal, M. (2007), "The impact of globalization on economic growth of Pakistan", *The Pakistan Development Review*, Vol. 46 No.4, pp. 723 734.
- Aldrich, H. E. and Cliff, J.E. (2003), "The pervasive effects of family on entrepreneurship: toward a family embeddedness perspective", *Journal of Business Venturing*, Vol. 18 No. 5, pp. 573–596.

Ali, A. Y. The Meaning of The Holy Qur'an, Kuala Lumpur: Persatuan Al-Hunafa.

- Ali, M. T. (2012), "Feudal curse and brutality", Pakistan Today, 28 March [online] http://www.pakistantoday.com.pk/2012/03/28/comment/editors-mail/feudalcurse-and-brutality/ (accessed 4 September 2015).
- Anderson, A. R., Park. J., and Jack, S. L. (2007), "Entrepreneurial social capital: conceptualizing social capital in new high-tech firms", *International Small Business Journal*, Vol. 25 No. 3, pp. 243–267.
- Anderson, R. B., Dana, L-P. and Dana, T. E. (2006), "Indigenous land rights, entrepreneurship, and economic development in Canada: 'opting-in' to the global economy", *Journal of World Business*, Vol. 41 No. 1, pp. 45–55.
- Ashourizadeh, S., Rezaei, S., Schott, T. and Vang. J. (2014), "Entrepreneurs' human and social capital: direct and reinforcing benefits for export", *International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Small Business*, Vol. 21 No. 2, pp. 246-267.
- Audretsch, D. B. and Thurik, R. (2001), *"Linking Entrepreneurship to Growth"*, Paris: OECD Directorate for Science, Technology and Industry, Working Papers.
- Azmat, F. and Samaratunge, R. (2009), "Responsible Entrepreneurship in Developing Countries: Understanding the realities and complexities", *Journal of Business Ethics*, Vol. 90 No. 3, pp. 437-452.

- Awais, S, Tipu, A. and Manzoor, F. (2011), "Managing success factors in entrepreneurial ventures: a behavioral approach", *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior & Research*, Vol. 17 No. 5, pp. 534 560
- Barba-Sánchez, V. and Atienza-Sahuquillo, C. (2012), "Entrepreneurial Behavior: Impact of Motivation Factors on Decision to Create a New Venture", *Investigaciones Europeas de Dirección y Economía de la Empresa*, Vol. 18 No. 2, pp. 132-138.
- Baron, R. A., Hmieleski, K. A. and Henry, R. A. (2012), "Entrepreneurs' dispositional positive affect: the potential benefits – and potential costs – of being "up"", *Journal* of Business Venturing, Vol. 27 No. 3, pp. 310-324.
- BBC. (2010), "Pakistan landlords 'diverted flood water", *BBC*, 2 September [online] http://www.bbc.com/news/world-south-asia-11160995 (accessed 4 September 2015).
- Brockhaus, R. H. (1980), "Risk taking propensity of entrepreneurs", Academy of Management Journal, Vol. 23. No. 3, pp. 509-520.
- Byrd, W. A. (1987), *Entrepreneurship, Capital and Ownership*, Washington, D.C, The World Bank, Mimeo.
- Campante, F., and Yanagizawa-Drott, D. (2013), "Does religion affect economic growth and happiness? evidence from ramadan. *NBER Working paper no. 19768*. http://www.nber.org/papers/w19768.
- Cetin, D., Fernández-Zubieta, A. and Mulatero, F. (2013), "Formal and informal social capital as determinants of European entrepreneurship and their role in explaining entrepreneurship among women and immigrants", 11-13 September, 11th International Globelics Conference.
- Clercq, D. D. and Voronov, M. (2009), "Toward a practice perspective of entrepreneurship: entrepreneurial legitimacy as habitus", *International Small Business Journal*, Vol. 27 No. 4, pp. 395-419.

- Coad, A. and Tamvada, J. P. (2012), "Firms growth and barriers to growth among small firms in India", *Small Business Economics*, Vol. 39 No. 2, pp. 383-400.
- Coleman, J. S. (1990), *Foundations of Social Theory*, Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Crow, G. (2004), "Social networks and social exclusion: an overview of the debate", in Phillipson, C., Allan, G. and Morgan, M (Ed.), *Social Networks and Social Exclusion*, Aldershot, U.K: Ashgate pp. 7–19
- Dabson, B. (2001), "Supporting rural entrepreneurship", *Exploring Policy Options for a New Rural America*. Proceedings of a conference sponsored by *Federal Reserve Bank of Kansa City, Center for the study of Rural America*, pp. 35-48.
- Dana, L-P. (1995), "Entrepreneurship in a remote Sub-Arctic community", *Entrepreneurship: Theory and Practice*, Vol. 20 No. 1, pp. 57-73.
- Dana, L-P., ed. (2007), Handbook of Research on Ethnic Minority Entrepreneurship: A Coevolutionary View on Resource Management, Cheltenham, United Kingdom: Edward Elgar.
- Dana, L-P. (2015), "Indigenous entrepreneurship: an emerging field of research", *International Journal of Business and Globalisation*, Vol. 14 No. 2, pp. 158-169.
- Dana, L-P., and Anderson, R. B., eds. (2007), *Handbook of Research on Indigenous Entrepreneurship*, Cheltenham, United Kingdom: Edward Elgar.
- Dana, L-P. and Light, I. (2011), "Two forms of community entrepreneurship in Finland: Are there differences between Finnish and Sámi Reindeer husbandry entrepreneurs?", Entrepreneurship & Regional Development: An International Journal, Vol. 239 No. 5-6, pp.331–352.
- Daud, A. and S. bin Al-Asy"ats Al-Sijistani. Sunan Abu Dawud (Internet). [online]. Available: http://www.searchtruth.com/searchHadith.php.

- De Clercq, D. and Voronov, M. (2009), "The role of cultural and symbolic capital in entrepreneurs' ability to meet expectations about conformity and innovation", *Journal of Small Business Management*, Vol. 47 No. 3, pp. 398-420. DeRosa, M., & McElwee. G. (2015) 'An empirical investigation of the role of rural development policies in stimulating rural entrepreneurship in the Lazio Region of Italy' Society and Business Review 10(2) 4-22 Díaz-Pichardo, R. Cantú-González, C, López-Hernández, P & McElwee, G. (2012) 'From farmers to entrepreneurs: The importance of collaborative behaviour' Journal of *Entrepreneurship* **21**(1) **91-116** Faggioa, G. and Silvaa, O. (2014). "Self-employment and entrepreneurship in urban and rural labour markets", Journal of Urban Economics, Vol. 84, pp. 67-85. Follett, G. (2010), "Visual craft practitioner", in Valentine, L. and Follett, G. (Ed.), Past, Present & Future Craft Practice, Edinburgh: NMS Enterprises, pp. 22-37. Fukuyama, F. (1995), Trust: Social Virtues and the Creation of Prosperity, NY: Free Press. Goodman, L. A. (1961), "Snowball Sampling', Annals of Mathematical Statistics", Vol. 32, pp. 148–170. Graburn, N.H.H. (1981), "1, 2, 3, 4 ... anthropology and the fourth world", Culture, Vol. 1 No. 1, pp. 66–70. Greve, A. and Salaff, J.W. (2003), "Social networks and entrepreneurship", *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, Vol. 28 No. 1, pp. 1-22. Gümüsay, A. A. (2015). "Entrepreneurship from an Islamic perspective", Journal of Business Ethics, Vol. 130 No. 1, pp. 199-208. Haeri, S. (2002), No Shame for the Sun: Lives of Professional Pakistani Women, Syracuse University Press.
- Hoque, N., Mamun, A. and Mamun, A. M. A. (2014), "Dynamics and traits of entrepreneurship: an islamic approach", *World Journal of Entrepreneurship*, *Management and Sustainable Development*, Vol. 10 No. 2, pp. 128-142.

- Hosseini, S J, McElwee, G., Soltani, S & Smith, D J. (2012) 'The innovation performance of small rural enterprises and cooperatives in Tehran province, Iran' *Local Economy* Vol 27 No 2 181 - 190
- Human Development Report. (2015), "Statistical Annex", [online] http://hdr.undp.org/sites/default/files/hdr_2015_statistical_annex.pdf (accessed 29 February 2016)
- Husain, I. (2014), "The Economy of Sindh", [online] http://ishrathusain.iba.edu.pk/speeches/new-2013-14/The_Economy_of_Sindh_Concluding_Keynote_Address_at_the_2nd_Internatio
- Hosseini, S. J., McElwee, G., Soltani, S. and Smith, D. J. (2012), "The innovation performance of small rural enterprises and cooperatives in Tehran province Iran", *Local Economy*, Vol. 27 No. 2, pp. 181-190

nal_Seminar_on_Sindh_through_the_Centuries.docx (accessed 12 August 2015)

- Jones, L. and Sakong, I. (1980), *Government, Business and Entrepreneurship in Economic Development: Korean Case,* Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Jones, P., Simmions, G., Packham, G., Beynon-Davies, P. and Pickernell, D. (2014), "An exploration of the attitudes and strategic responses of sole-proprietor microenterprises in adopting information and communication technology", *International Small Business Journal*, Vol. 32 No. 3, pp. 285-306.

Kayed, R. N., and Hassan, M. K. (2011), Islamic Entrepreneurship. New York: Routledge.

- Lareau, A., and Weininger, E. B. (2003), "Cultural capital in educational research: a critical assessment", *Theory and Society*, Vol. 32 No. 5, pp. 567-606.
- Light, I., and Dana, L-P. (2013), "Boundaries of social capital in entrepreneurship", *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, Vol. 37 No. 3, pp.603–624.
- Lindquist, M. J., Sol, J. and Praag, M. V. (2012), "Why do entrepreneurial parents have entrepreneurial children?", *IZA DP No.* 6740 [online] http://ftp.iza.org/dp6740.pdf (accessed 16 October 2015).

- Lourenço, F., Sappleton, N., & McElwee, G. (2014) 'Experience of entrepreneurial training for female farmers to stimulate entrepreneurship in Uganda' Gender in Management: An international journal 29(7), 382-401 Malik, S. Chaudhry, I. S., Javed, H. I. (2011), "Globalization and employment: evidence from Pakistan", Pakistan Journal of Social Sciences, Vol. 31 No. 2, pp. 215-226. Maula, M., Autio, E. and Arenius, P. (2005), "What rives micro-angel investments?", Small Business Economics, Vol. 25 No. 5, pp. 459-475. McElwee, G. (2006), "Farmer's as entrepreneurs: developing competitive skills", Journal of Developmental Entrepreneurship, Vol. 11 No. 3, pp. 187-206. McElwee, G. (2008), "A taxonomy of entrepreneurial farmers", International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Small Business, Vol. 6 No. 3, pp. 465-478. McKeever, E., Anderson, A. and Jack, S. (2014), "Entrepreneurship and mutuality: social capital in processes and practices", Entrepreneurship & Regional Development: An International Journal, Vol. 26 No. 5-6, pp.453-477. Muhammad, N. and Dana, L-P. (2015), "Collective efficacy of a regional network: extending the social embeddedness perspective of entrepreneurship", Paper presented at 2015 Inaugural Entrepreneurship Research Society (ERS) Research Conference and Meetings, October 29-30, Baruch College, City University of New York, New York, N.Y. Muhammad, N., Ullah, F. and Warren, L. (2016), "An institutional perspective on entrepreneurship in a conflict environment" International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior and Research, Vol. 22 No. 5, pp. 698 - 717 Patton, M.Q. (1990), Qualitative Evaluation and Research Methods, Sage, Newbury Park, CA.
- Peredo, A.M., Anderson, R.B., Galbraith, C.S., Honig, B. and Dana, L-P. (2004), "Towards a theory of indigenous entrepreneurship", *International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Small Business*, Vol. 1 No. 1/2, pp. 1–20.

- Possehl, G.L. (1997), "The transformation of the Indus Civilization", *Journal of World Pre-history*, Vol. 11 No. 4, pp. 425–472.
- Putnam. R. (1995), "Bowling alone" America's declining docial capital", *The Journal of Democracy*, Vol. 6 No. 1, pp. 65-78.
- Ramadani, V., Dana, L-P., Ratten, V. and Tahiri, S. (2015). "The context of Islamic entrepreneurship and business: concept, principles and perspectives", *International Journal of Business and Globalisation*, Vol. 15 No. 3, pp. 244-261.
- Savitha, C. M., Siddaramaiah, B. S. and Nataraju, M. S. (2009), "Development of a scale to measure the entrepreneurial behaviour of rural and urban women entrepreneurs", *Mysore Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, Vol. 43 No. 1, pp. 119-121.

Seton, K. (1999), "Fourth world nations in the era of globalisation: an introduction to contemporary theorizing posed by Indigenous nations", *Fourth World Journal*, Vol. 4, No. 1.

- Shuja, S. (2007), "The sources of Pakistan's insecurity", *Contemporary Review*, 22 June [online] http://www.thefreelibrary.com/The+sources+of+Pakistan's+insecurity.a0167926118 (accessed 21 September 2015).
- Sindh Board of Investment (2015), "Sindh Economy", [online] http://www.sbi.gos.pk/sindh-economy.php (accessed 15 July 2016)
- Sindh Forests (2015), "Public Forest Nurseries", [online] http://sindhforests.gov.pk/public-forest-nurseries (accessed 12 July 2016)
- Sindh United Party (2008), "Facts and Figures about Sindh", [online] http://www.worldsindhicongress.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/08/SindhFacts.pdf (accessed 15 July 2016).
- Smith, R., & McElwee, G (2013) 'Confronting social constructions of rural criminality: a case story on 'illegal pluriactivity' in the farming community' *Sociologia Ruralis*, Vol. 53, No. 1, pp.112-134.

- Smith, R & McElwee, G. (2015) Developing qualitative research streams relating to illegal rural enterprise: reflections on researching qualitatively at the margins of entrepreneurship research International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behaviour & Research 21(3) 364 - 388
- Spiggle, S. (1994), "Analysis and interpretation of qualitative data in consumer research", Journal of Consumer Research, Vol. 21, pp. 491-503.
- Tyson, L., Petrin, T. and Rogers, R. (1994), "Promoting entrepreneurship in Central and Eastern Europe", Small Business Economics, Vol. 6 No. 3, pp. 1–20.
- Woo, C., Cooper, A. and Dunkelberg, W. (1991), "The development and interpretation of entrepreneurial typologies", Journal of Business Venturing, Vol. 6 No. 2, pp. 93-111.
- <text> World Bank (2015), "Rural population (% of total population)", [online] http://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SP.RUR.TOTL.ZS (accessed 15 October 2015).