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Teacher educator collaboration in the Lifelong Learning sector in England during a period of de-regulation: a “messy text” consisting of “complexity, unpredictability, difficulties and dilemmas”.

David Powell
d.powell@hud.ac.uk
Overview

• Introduce contextual information about my research;
• Unpack four dimensions of “messiness”: gaining access to the field; the data collection process; the consequences of Neo-liberalism policies; my own contribution as a teacher educator.
• Concluding remarks
• Questions
My research methodology

• Critical participatory action research (Kemmis et al., 2014b) using a second-person approach (Chandler and Torbert, 2003, p.142)

• Research “with” rather than “on” people…” (p.143)

• Working collaboratively with a group of teacher educators and their student teachers to explore the use of modelling within an in-service teacher training programme at one further education college

• Using stimulated recall interview (with teacher), semi-structured interview (with teacher), focus group (with teachers’ students), materials from filmed classes and “teacher talk and conversations” (Hardy, 2010, p.131)
Research question

• What happens when teacher educators work collaboratively to improve the pedagogy of teacher education?

• Draws on Seagall’s (2002, p.170) notion of teacher education as a “messy text”

• “Messiness...refers to complexity, unpredicatability, difficulties and dilemmas” (Adamson and Walker, 2011, p.29)
The *sayings, doings and relatings* of a practice hang together in the *project* of the practice

(Kemmis et al., 2014a, p.33)
The Education complex and the theory of ecologies of practices

(Kemmis et al., 2014a, p.52)
Bourdieu’s Organisational field (Emirbayer and Johnson, 2008)

The Education complex (site) made up of:
Students’ academic and social practices (learning)
Teachers’ classroom educational practices (teaching)
Professional development/learning
Educational leadership and administration
Education research and evaluation
Methodology

• Reflexive account
• Drawing on data from the study and my own notes
• Make explicit the decisions I have made and the actions I have taken as part of the research process (Guillemin and Gillam, 2004)
• Aim of enabling the reader to scrutinise and judge my actions and decisions and the validity of any subsequent claims to knowledge arising from the study (Altheide and Johnson, 1998)
Access to the field: a “messy” process

- Institutional consent sought during a merger between two colleges
- Consent request offered a copy of the study upon its completion
- During “times of performativity” teachers’ feelings and experiences of vulnerability are exacerbated (Kelchtermans, 2009, p.266)
- A “regress of mistrust” (Ball, 2003, p.226) existed
- 4 of a team of 8 volunteered to participate
The “messiness” of the data collection process

- Two people dropped out within first month of study
- All but 2 of Student Group B would not sign the consent forms
- Time to meet up to do stimulated recall interview
- Time demands of data collection: participants and my own
- Two of the teachers’ teaching loads changed and so they were unable to continue in the second cycle
Neo-liberalism’s contribution to this “messiness”

- Introduction of higher fees has impacted significantly on the number of in-service student teachers
- “Savage funding cuts” (Simmons, 2013, p.82) in the sector
- De-regulation resulting from Lingfield review
- “Managerialism” (Pollitt, 1990 in Randle and Brady, 1997, p.125).
My contribution to this “messiness”

• “Significant tensions” (Murray, 2012, p.19) between the pedagogic and research roles of teacher educators;
• Changes in my job role during the period of this research.
The Education complex (site) made up of:

- Students’ academic and social practices (learning)
- Teachers’ classroom educational practices (teaching)
- Professional development/learning
- Educational leadership and administration
- Education research and evaluation

“practices can sustain or suffocate other practices” (Kemmis et al., 2014a, p.50)
Questions?

• What is the value of documenting the “messiness” of our research?
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