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Abstract. Conventional condition monitoring techniques such as vibration, acoustic, ultrasonic and thermal techniques require additional equipment such as sensors, data acquisition and data processing systems which are expensive and complicated. In the meantime modern sensorless flux vector controlled drives can provide many different data accessible for machine control which has not been explored fully for the purpose of condition monitoring. In this paper polynomial models are employed to describe nonlinear relationships of variables available from such drives and to generate residuals for real time fault detection and performance comparisons. Both transient and steady state system behaviours have been investigated for optimal detection performance. Amongst 27 variables available from the drive, the torque related variables including motor current, $I_d$, $I_q$ currents and torque signals show changes due to mechanical misalignments. So only these variables are explored for developing and optimising detection schemes. Preliminary results obtained based on a motor gearbox system show that the torque feedback signal, in both the steady and transient operations, has the highest detection capability whereas the field current signal shows the least sensitivity to such faults.

1. Introduction

Shaft misalignment in rotating machinery is one of the most common problems in machines. Misalignments may cause a lower operating efficiency and in the long-run it can result in catastrophic failures due to additional stress and vibration on bearings and shafts; so a badly aligned machine can cost a factory 20% to 30% [1]. Several methods have been studied and examined aiming at developing more cost-effective and early fault detection schemes in rotor systems. In most cases, these methods can be based on vibration [1, 2], wireless sensors [3, 4], stator current analysis [5], temperature/thermal image [6, 7], motor current signature analysis (MCSA) [8, 9], acoustic emission and lubrication oil analysis [8, 10]. Unfortunately, none of these methods can always be fully utilized because of high cost, low reliability and unsatisfactory accuracy [5, 10].

Whether the driven system is a pump, a compressor or any other machinery, when controlled by a sensorless variable speed drive (VSD), many variables have to be measured, calculated and estimated to fulfill various control tasks. Investigating the potential of using these signals for detecting mechanical misalignments could possibly lead to developing a cost-effective and reliable, real time, fault detection and diagnosis scheme. In this case, all treated signals are related to the system being monitored; therefore the interference with other signals is neglected. In addition, control parameters based monitoring can also be implemented away from the monitored machines for remote monitoring where all data is available from the drive and it is not necessary to fix external mechanical sensors or any other equipment. In this way, this overcomes the problems with sensors in terms of noise, reliability, cost, accuracy, fittings and location requirements. [11].

The main objective of this paper is to utilize data obtainable from a commercial VSD installed on a multi-stage gearbox transmission system by a model based detection approach. The behaviour of the control system is analysed by considering stator current, torque, field and torque current components and power signals. The capability of these signals is examined by analyzing its transient and steady state operations based on the developed polynomial models. The work includes
identifying the optimal variable that will best detect mechanical misalignments with different severities.

2. Model Based Fault Detection

A. Overview. The demand on the efficient, reliable, non-invasive, real time and cost effective monitoring and fault detection for the induction motor has significantly increased in industry [11, 12]. However, techniques developed for induction machines (IMs) which are fed with open loop control systems cannot be easily used for those IMs driven by field oriented drives, due to the fact that the control strategy utilised by such drives changes any effect caused by the fault, where the drive regulators and control parameters excite the control signals compensating for any disturbances. Therefore, frequency components are masked by the drive actions [13]-[16]. Moreover, ACIMs drives are dynamic systems where the field flux and reactive power change with respect to the current and torque changes. The drives’ regulators outputs are dependent on the field angle, flux position, current and the load power factor. The relationships between the drives’ input and output are relatively complicated. Additionally, temperature plays a considerable role, e.g. winding resistances of the machine change with the temperature causing current variations. Consequently, sensorless ACIMs drives are non-linear, multi-variables and uncertain systems [17]-[22]. As such, the demand for developing more efficient and suitable approaches direct the attention into the area of model based fault detection schemes.

Model based fault detection schemes use analytical and mathematical relations between different measurable system variables to capture any possible changes which may occur due to unseen faults. The aim is to describe the dependencies between signals that oscillate and vary in relation to faults within the system; Fig. 1 represents the general structure of model based fault detection and diagnosis [17, 18, 19 and 21]. By creating a special mathematical model for the measured signals, residuals are calculated and compared with that for the healthy operation. Any deviations from these normal behaviours are then considered for diagnosis [19-21]. The main advantages of using model-based detection approaches are [12]: 1) software methods, no need for additional equipment. 2) if the process is accurately modelled, developing faults can be reliably detected. 3) models and algorithms can be easily upgraded without any additional hardware; more faults can be detected.

![Figure 1 General structure of model-based fault detection and diagnosis [19].](image)

B. The Polynomial Model. The polynomial method, amongst other model-based techniques, such as physical, neural and fuzzy logic models, can be effectively used for real time mechanical misalignment detection. That is, neural networks are numerically oriented “black box” and it is difficult to clearly detect potential causal relationships between variables; it is not easy to understand and report into expressive fault diagnosis rules; involves excessive mathematical calculations that consume resources time; and the learning process may lead to over-fitting, resulting poor
performance. Similarly fuzzy systems are also highly dependent on the instinctive experience of implementer; fuzzy logic is weak in self-learning which is necessary in many diagnostic circumstances [27, 28].

Polynomial models are quite simple, include only simple algebraic operations, little resource time needed when applied online and reasonably flexible for following data trends. Also, it can be used to represent both linear and non-linear relationships, therefore, a wide range of data can be represented in a unified manner and further insight into differences between the data is gained [23].

Normally, commercial VSDs make many signals available to operators. These signals in most cases are represented in rms values, where instantaneous values are not always available and traditional techniques such as spectrum analysis for dynamic signals are not valid. For easy implementation in real time, a polynomial model is developed to incorporate these control variables in the fault detection tasks. The polynomial formula is represented as follows:

\[ y = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \ldots + a_2 x^2 + a_1 x + a_0 \]  

(1)

where \( n \) defines the order of the model and takes a non-negative integer. The order of the model needs to be carefully chosen, as a high value may disturb the model and make it unstable. The \( n \) value depends on the structure of the data, where more complicated data structure may need higher \( n \) values. Also it can be either calculated or empirically defined. However, complicated data structure may require very complicated calculations. Matlab functions and tools have been used, as it can be simply applied to empirically defining the suitable \( n \) value.

C. Fault explanation. It is well known that shaft misalignment produces a directional force on the rotor and bearings which causes a preload applied on the shaft through the coupling and constantly pushes the rotor sideways. In addition, misalignment causes eccentricity of both rotor ends in its bearings. The eccentricity means that the air gap in the motor is not uniform. This produces a magnetic force on the rotor that pulls it away from the stator centerline as well as adding an extreme stress on the rotor and considerably accelerates bearings’ wear. Advanced stages of misalignment may cause core damage and stator windings failure [24]; for more details see [24, 25, 26].

When the air gap is not uniform, the flux density and induced field also is not symmetrical. With the existence of the sensorless VSD, the calculated and estimated variables will be affected directly by this distortion. The drive keeps the speed at the desired value and compensates for the defect. Therefore, the load related variables are treated with regard to the misalignment and their behaviors reflect the health of the system.

3. Test facility and method

As shown in Fig. 2 and 3, the employed test facility has two main parts: the mechanical part and the electrical control part. The mechanical part consists of a 15kW AC induction motor as the prime driver, two back to back 2 stage helical gearboxes for coupling the DC motor with flexible spider rubber couplings. The first gearbox operates as a speed reducer while the second is a speed increaser so that the system maintains sufficient speed for loading. The control system consists of a programmable logic controller (PLC) for setting up test profiles requested by the operator, an AC variable speed drive (VSD) under sensorless flux vector control mode for controlling the speed and load controls of the system, a DC variable speed drive (VSD) providing a controlled variable load on the AC motor by regulating the torque of the DC motor/generator. In addition, two data acquisition systems are employed to log the data from both the sensorless flux vector control and PLC.

As shown in Fig 3, the required test program is entered into the test rig via a touch screen interface to the PLC. Settings include speed, load set-points and time duration for each operating cycle. Up to 15 cycles can be programed. When the user starts the test, the PLC sends the required values to both the AC and DC VSD.
The AC VSD obtains the required speed from the PLC and precisely runs the AC motor at this speed, benefits from the built in PID controller and uses the Model Reference Adaptive System (MRAS), which allows steady operation of the AC motor even at a high starting torque by using a motor model which continually simulates the motor operation instead of receiving a speed feedback from an encoder or the tachometer.

The DC drive receives the load set-points from the PLC and subsequently provides the control signal to the DC motor so that it produces the required load onto the AC motor. This is achieved by regulating the armature and field currents of the DC motor using two phases of the three phase supply. As the DC motor currents vary, the load onto the AC motor varies proportionally. This provides the ability to study the control system’s behaviour under different speed and load conditions as well as the transient process between different conditions. With these facilities, tests can also be repeated within exactly the same load and speed condition.

In addition, the PLC also obtains feedback signals from both AC and DC VSD, which include AC current, load feedback (DC armature current), speed feedback. All these feedback signals and set-points are then sent to the computer via a data acquisition system for post analysis. In the meantime,
the AC drive is connected directly to a separate PC and almost all signals inside the AC drive are obtained and monitored via P3 port of the drive using the DSELite software.

All data collected by the data acquisition system is sent to a PC for processing and analysis. A speed encoder is attached to the AC motor shaft and connected directly to the data acquisition system for speed indication. Signals are processed and analyzed using a Matlab program. Fig. 3 represents the schematic diagram of the test rig. Gearbox temperatures are also monitored to ensure that all tests are implemented in the same temperature conditions.

4. Development of detection approaches

A. Variable Characteristics. Tests carried out are based on a variable speed and a constant load operating profile, as illustrated in Fig. 4, to simulate VFD possible operating conditions. During each test the speed is set to 20%, 40%, 60%, 80% and 100% of full speed, each of which operates 1 minute, while the load is fixed during the test. The load is changed every test, taking the values of 0%, 40%, and 80%. Before any data is collected the system is tuned to produce the minimal level of vibration. Under this condition, data is then collected and taken as the baseline for comparison with different faults induced subsequently. To evaluate the performance of using control data, three different levels of parallel misalignment were induced by moving the DC motor from its optimal position by 0.5 mm, 1.00 mm and 1.80 mm and corresponding data was collected under identical operating conditions.

![Figure 4 Data sets for baseline and 1.0mm misalignment under 80% load](image)

Fig. 4 presents a representative data set of several common variables under both baseline and a faulty case. It can be seen that both speed related and torque related variables show clear changes for different speed steps set in the test. However, comparing between the baseline and the faulty case shows that load related variables such as torque in Fig 4 (c), motor current Fig 4 (b) and I_q current Fig. 4(e) show slightly higher amplitudes through all operating periods, giving high potential for fault detection. On the other hand, the speed shown in Fig. 4(a) and its related variables such as power Fig. 4(f) show little changes between baseline and faulty case. In particular the speed data is nearly the same. This is due to the fact that the AC VSD is designed to maintain the required speed regardless of any load or speed disturbances. From the point of view of fault detection and
diagnosis, the speed variation due to faults is masked by the control process and may not be suitable for mechanical fault detection.

Further study of the data in Fig. 4 has found that there are clear transient effects during speed changes. This may indicate that more control efforts are required in the transient process and hence it may have more information for fault detection as the misalignment has more disturbances. By separating the transient data from the steady data through setting a threshold to speed change rate, Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 show respectively the torque versus speed in the steady process and the transient process for all data cases. From these figures it can be observed that the data from transient process may be better for fault detection because of its bigger changes, even though the data in steady process also shows clear changes between the baseline and the faulty cases. In addition, the data in both processes shows gradual increases with an increase in misalignment levels. So they may be used for fault classification.

B. Polynomial model based detection. As shown in Fig. 5 and 6 torque signals are affected by the existence of misalignments. Both steady and transient responses of faulty sets have shown differences to that of healthy data sets. Similarly, the same variations have been observed in other variables. However, it seems difficult to distinguish the fault when it is as small as 0.5mm. Moreover, a set of variables that produce optimal detection also need to be identified for real-time implementation. Therefore, polynomial models have been developed to describe the relationship between these variables and the speed under both the transient and steady state operations. Based on the fundamental connection between torque related variables and speed, the order of models is set to 4 for describing the nonlinear correlation and then it is trained using the baseline data. Subsequently, the model is used to generate residuals for different condition cases. The residual from baseline is taken as the detection threshold for differentiating different faulty cases.

![Figure 5 Torque data behavior during steady period at 80% load](image)
Fig. 7 shows a typical model based detection process performed on the current data sets between the baseline and 1.00mm misalignment under 40% load. For the steady operation, the model produces a lower current prediction than the measured ones. It means that more current is required under the same load and speed conditions to indicate the system is abnormal. Moreover, this abnormality can be clearly observed from the residual data based detection shown in Fig. 7 (e) in which the residual data from the faulty case exceeds the threshold developed according to mean squared error during modelling process. In addition, the residual data shows higher amplitude in high speed operation, showing that it needs more current in higher load conditions due to higher energy dissipation of coupling element and bearing friction. For the speed transient operation, the model prediction and residual data exhibit similar behaviour with that of the steady process. However, it does not show clear differences as expected earlier and is difficult to make a judgement on which is better in detecting the fault.

C. Optimal detection variables. To make a comparison of detection performance based on the two types of data and between different variables, a performance index parameter is defined based on the residual data as

\[ Z = \frac{\bar{r}_b - \bar{r}_f}{\sqrt{S_b^2 + S_f^2}} \]  

(2)

Where \( \bar{r}_b \) and \( \bar{r}_f \) are the residual mean for baseline and faulty data respectively, and \( S_b \) and \( S_f \) are the standard deviation of baseline residual data and faulty residual respectively. Obviously, the larger the mean difference and the smaller the variance the better the performance in separating two set of data. In addition, because the original data is normalised, the amplitude from equation (2) can be directly compared between different variables.
Fig. 8 presents the comparison result for all torque related variables. As can be seen from this the differences of the performance parameter between healthy and faulty signals are quite clear for both steady and transient data sets. Moreover, the differences are also clear between different faulty cases except for $I_q$. These show that either of variables can be used for both misalignment detection and severity diagnosis.

Furthermore, the feedback torque signal produces the highest detection capability for both types of data. However, when the misalignment is as small as 0.5 mm the stator current gives better detection. While $I_q$ has obtained good detection capability for 1.0 mm and 1.8 mm misalignments. In all cases, $I_d$ has shown the poorest detection ability over the different test situations. This is understandable as under the normal operation conditions $I_d$ is independent of load variation. Thus it is not influenced by load disturbances due to misalignments. Surprisingly, the steady data outperforms the transient data.

5. Conclusion

The study shows that it is feasible to use signals from sensorless flux vector control drives for mechanical misalignment fault detection. For easy implementation in real time, polynomial models can be employed to describe nonlinear relationships of variables available and generate residuals for fault detection and performance comparisons. From analytic studies it is understood that shaft misalignments mainly cause deviations to torque related variables such as motor current, $I_d$, $I_q$, current and torque signals. So only these variables are useful in developing the model based detection scheme and identifying the optimal variables.
Applying the model based method to experimental data sets from a motor-gearbox drive system has found that the developed model and detection approach for the variable of interest can discriminate between different misalignment severities, showing that it is feasible to use any of the torque related variables for monitoring misalignments. Moreover, both transient operation data and steady operation data yield similar detection and diagnosis performance. However, the comparison between different variables shows that the feedback torque signal has the highest detection capability whereas the field current signal shows the least sensitivity to such faults. It means that the torque signal is the best one for detecting the misalignment problem. Obviously, substantial studies in using data from AC variable speed drives are needed to fuse different variables for detecting and diagnosing more complicated fault cases such as motor faults, gearbox deterioration and system looseness and their combinations.
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