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Mixing and internal dynamics of droplets impacting and coalescing on a solid surface

J. R. Castrejón-Pita, K. J. Kubiał§, A. A. Castrejón-Pita, M. C. T. Wilson§, and I. M. Hutchings

Department of Engineering, University of Cambridge, 17 Charles Babbage Road, Cambridge, CB3 0FS, United Kingdom, and
§School of Mechanical Engineering, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9JT, United Kingdom

The coalescence and mixing of a sessile and an impacting liquid droplet on a solid surface are studied experimentally and numerically in terms of lateral separation and droplet speed. Two droplet generators are used to produce differently coloured droplets. Two high speed imaging systems are used to investigate the impact and coalescence of the droplets in colour from a side view with a simultaneous grey-scale view from below. Millimetre-sized droplets were used with dynamical conditions, based on the Reynolds and Weber numbers, relevant to microluidics and commercial inkjet printing. Experimental measurements of advancing and receding static contact angles are used to calibrate a contact angle hysteresis model within a lattice Boltzmann framework, which is shown to capture the observed dynamics qualitatively and the final droplet configuration quantitatively. Our results show that no detectable mixing occurs during impact and coalescence of similar-sized droplets, but when the sessile droplet is sufficiently larger than the impacting droplet vortex ring generation can be observed. Finally we show how a gradient of wettability on the substrate can potentially enhance mixing.
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PACS numbers: 47.55.db (Drop and bubble formation) and 47.80.Jk (Flow visualization and imaging)

I. INTRODUCTION

Coalescence and mixing of droplets on a solid surface are of great interest not only to the established inkjet printing industry, but also to emerging applications such as the non-contact printing of functional electronics and biological materials, and in the fields of microfluidic devices, microchemistry and fast prototyping [1–5]. The advantages of the inkjet printing of liquid materials over traditional delivery techniques are many and based on the technological ability of printheads to generate homogeneously sized droplets on demand at a determined speed and direction. These characteristics create a scenario where precise volumes of reagents and/or reactive components can be dispatched at a specific location at precise times. The process of non-contact printing involves the generation, deposition and coalescence of droplets to make patterns for graphics applications or three-dimensional structures in other manufacturing processes [6]. In graphical applications, the coalescence of droplets on a substrate needs to be controlled to reduce pixelation and increase the resolution of printing. In contrast, in additive manufacturing applications such as in the synthesis of nylon 6 in situ via inkjet printing of reactive fluids, good mixing during drop-on-drop deposition is essential [7].

For printing applications, it is the coalescence and mixing of consecutively printed droplets (i.e. the impact of a falling droplet onto a sessile droplet) that is of particular importance. Regardless this, most previous studies in droplet deposition have focused only on the external dynamics — e.g. the free-surface shape, extent of spreading, and final footprint of the composite droplet [8–13] — and only a few works have explored the internal dynamics or mixing [5, 7, 14, 15].

Castrejón-Pita et al. [14] used particle image velocimetry (PIV) within millimeter-size droplets to observe the internal fluid velocity field during the coalescence of a sessile droplet and an impacting one. Yang et al. [5] explored the movement of fluorescent particles during evaporation of a composite droplet formed from two consecutively printed droplets, focusing on particle deposition dynamics. Both of the above works relied on viewing the coalescence process from below and using transparent droplets, so that (in the case of PIV) seeding particles could be identified and analyzed to compute the internal flow. Due to the statistical nature of the PIV algorithms, the method does not rely on the identification of individual tracking particles or fluid features, and so the study of mixing is impossible with that technology. The PIV flow visualisations were also limited essentially to one plane within the flow.

In developing reactive inkjet printing for polyurethanes, Kröber et al. [15] used a fluorescent dye and confocal laser scanning microscopy to assess the chemical reaction produced by drop-on-drop deposition of two reagents. No gradients in concentration were observed, leading to the conclusion that complete mixing was achieved. However, the dynamics of the reaction or mixing could not be observed. Similarly, Fathi & Dickens [7] have recently investigated drop-on-drop deposition of reagents necessary for polymerisation of nylon 6 within inkjet deposited droplets. Following difficulties in achieving individual drop-on-drop placement, they explored the local mixing of components by jetting multiple droplets to form larger drops. They viewed the system from directly above, using fluorescence to assess the lateral extent of mixing assuming that the liquid is well mixed in the vertical direction. Based on this approach they concluded that a high degree of mixing...
The mixing and coalescence in flight of two free droplets (i.e., not in contact with a solid surface) have been studied extensively. An effective visualisation of mixing in this case has been a simple colouring of one of the droplets [16, 17]. A key observation when a small droplet coalesces with a larger one is the generation of a vortex ring [16] as the small droplet is pulled into the larger one by surface tension. This occurs for sufficiently low viscosities and for coalescence from an initially motionless state, and is a phenomenon well known from studies of droplets falling into a liquid pool [18–22]. An extensive experimental study of binary mid-air drop collisions in air was conducted by Ashgriz & Poo [17], who characterised different regimes of coalescence and separation in terms of Weber number and an impact parameter measuring the degree to which the drop centres were offset from a head-on collision. Since one of the drops was dyed, this study also revealed that for offset collisions, substantial mixing can occur within the combined drop as a result of the free-surface deformations. These processes illustrate the potential for promoting mixing within a composite droplet.

When one or both droplets is in contact with a solid surface, coalescence is complicated by the dynamics of the three-phase contact line, particularly as a result of contact angle hysteresis [14]. Yet the initial stages of sessile droplet coalescence still represent a dramatic change in free-surface shape that produces an internal flow pattern within the composite droplet. In millimeter-sized systems, this flow is short-lived, decaying within hundreds of microseconds, and the following dynamics are governed only by diffusion [23]. Previous studies of mixing driven by free-surface movement [24–26] have shown that free-surface dynamics can be effective in enhancing mixing within the enclosed liquid, even under conditions where molecular diffusion is negligible. However, the success of such chaotic advection-driven mixing or stirring relies on repeated stretching and folding of the ‘interface’ between the two liquids being mixed. In ‘pure’ surface-tension driven sessile droplet coalescence, i.e., where the two sessile droplets are not driven into each other by other means, there is no repeated stretching and folding, and experiments on such a system have not shown effective mixing [2]. On the other hand, in sessile droplet coalescence where one droplet is driven into the other by a wettability gradient [23], stretching and folding of the ‘interface’ can occur, resulting in ‘fingers’ of each liquid penetrating the other and an enhancement of the mixing.

This work explores the mixing of two coalescing droplets where one droplet is driven into the other by the impact of a falling droplet on to a sessile one. The process is studied experimentally and numerically in terms of lateral separation between the droplets, droplet size and impact speed. We aimed to separate the effects of other variables such as drying, curing, and density and viscosity gradients from purely dynamical effects. To provide a clear picture of the mixing the approach of colouring one of the droplets, which has been useful in visualising the mixing during in-air coalescence of two free droplets [17] and two sessile droplets [23], is applied. The experimental results are compared with numerical simulations by the lattice Boltzmann method showing that mixing is not achieved within the combined volume of the coalescing droplets. It is also shown that mixing is not affected by the lateral separation between the sessile and the impacting droplet. Simulations are also used to predict the mixing of droplets under conditions not explored by experiments. Details of the experimental and computational methods are given in sections II and III respectively, the results are presented in IV and conclusions drawn in V.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

Two droplet generators were used to ejection differently coloured droplets whose viscosities and densities, surface tension, contact angle and hysteresis, were carefully matched. The liquids used for these experiments were chosen in such a way that both the sessile and the impacting droplet are, from the fluid dynamical point of view,
identical. The impact, coalescence and relaxation of the droplets were recorded by colour high-speed imaging to allow the identification of regions of fluid with different colours.

The design of the droplet generator has been presented elsewhere, [27, 28]. Briefly, it consists of a reservoir with a base containing a nozzle orifice and an upper boundary formed by a flexible rubber membrane. Droplets are ejected by the action of an electromagnetic actuator (V200, LDS Test and Measurement Ltd, UK) on the upper membrane. The actuation occurs in response to an electrical signal (waveform), the shape of which can be modified to vary the jetting characteristics. In these experiments, the drive waveform consists of a single pulse whose amplitude and width were adjusted to produce single droplets of different sizes and speeds.

The schematic view of the experimental setup is shown in Fig. 1. Two identical droplet generators were positioned with their nozzles where 100 mm apart. The droplet generators with 2.2 mm diameter nozzles with 45° conic inlets were driven independently by two pulse generators [28]. Below the droplet generators, a plane transparent polymer substrate (polymethylmethacrylate, PMMA, Perspex) was mounted on a translation stage.

As shown in Fig. 1, two visualization methods were used. One high-speed camera (Phantom V640) captured colour side-views of the impact and deposition process and a second camera (Phantom V310) was used to simultaneously capture gray-scale images from below. To acquire the colour images, a front-illumination arrangement was employed, consisting of a 500 W tungsten lamp placed 0.5 m from the point of drop coalescence and oblique to the substrate. The imaging system from underneath the substrate did not require (and could not accommodate) an independent illumination source. The angle of incidence and the position of the lamp were chosen to maximize the contrast and brightness in both views. In this way, the light entered obliquely to the substrate to provide illumination to both systems. The colour camera was coupled to a Tamron macro AF90 lens at maximum aperture and set to record at a frame interval of 1 ms with a frame exposure of 400 µs; under these conditions a resolution of 65 ± 0.4 pixels/mm was achieved.

The grey-scale camera was used with a Navitar 12× microscope lens system and set to record at a frame interval of 3.33 ms and frame exposures of 3.33 ms; the resolution of this system was 56.8 ± 0.6 pixels/mm. Resolution and frame speed are competing characteristics in most single-sensor high-speed cameras. In this work, the resolution of the side-view images was prioritized over their frame speed and over the characteristics of the visualization from beneath the substrate. Both cameras were focused on the impact and coalescence zone on the substrate beneath the second droplet generator. In this way, the jetted droplet produced by the second generator impacted at the center and in the focal plane in both fields of view. Spatial or directionality accuracy (reproducibility) of the drop generators was measured to be < 200 µm.

During the experiments, the first generator was used to deposit, by jetting, the droplet that later became sessile on the substrate. Droplets were jetted using a drive waveform of a single square pulse whose amplitude was varied to adjust the desired droplet speed. The pulse duration and amplitude were adjusted within the range of 5.0 to 6.5 ms and 15 to 20 mbar to produce single droplets without satellite drops from both generators. In previous work with a similar system, it was shown that the internal liquid dynamics and surface retraction are completely damped within the first half second [14]. After landing, a resting time of 5 s was used to ensure that the first droplet had stopped spreading and retracting. After this time, the deposited, now sessile, droplet was moved by means of a 2-axis translation stage to the impact zone beneath the second droplet generator. The position of the sessile droplet was then adjusted by aligning its edges to pre-established fiducial pixel coordinates on both camera views. The separation between the impacting and the sessile droplet (z-axis) was adjusted by a micrometer-driven stage.

The properties of the coloured and uncoloured glycerol-water mixtures were measured and adjusted to produce a system with no interfacial gradients of density, viscosity or surface tension. The fluid properties are shown in Table I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Density: ( \rho )</td>
<td>1220 ± 2 kg/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viscosity: ( \mu )</td>
<td>85.8 ± 0.5 mPa.s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface tension: ( \sigma )</td>
<td>67.1 ± 0.5 mN/m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advancing contact angle: ( \alpha_a )</td>
<td>70.0 ± 1.0 degrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receding contact angle: ( \alpha_r )</td>
<td>45.0 ± 1.5 degrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Static contact angle: ( \alpha_s )</td>
<td>63.2 ± 0.2 degrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature:</td>
<td>23.5 ± 0.2 Celsius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time between droplets deposition:</td>
<td>20.0 ± 0.5 s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE I: Fluid and droplet properties for coloured and uncoloured mixtures.

All experiments were carried out at 23.5 ± 0.2 Celsius. Liquid densities were measured by weighing a 100 ml measured volume of liquid on a precision balance (Sartorius BP211D). Fluid viscosities were measured with a vibrational viscometer (Viscolite 700, Hydramotion Ltd.) before and at the end of experiments with consistent results. Surface tension was measured with a bubble tensiometer (SITA t-15) at a bubble lifetime range of 15 to 5000 ms. The dye used to colour the liquid in the second drop generator was a synthetic blue food colouring solution containing E132 indigo carmine dye, glycerol and water (Silver Spoon, UK). The coloured mixture was produced by adding 3 ml of the dye (with a viscosity of 388.0
± 0.5 mPa s), to a 100 ml sample of the uncoloured solution. Pure water was then added to the dyed solution until the viscosities of the coloured and uncoloured fluids were matched.

The liquid-substrate interaction was characterised by the measurement of the equilibrium contact angle and the contact angle hysteresis, using a similar method to that in [29]. A shadowgraph system was used to illuminate, from behind, sessile droplets resting on a substrate mounted on a rotational stage. Equilibrium contact angles were imaged with the substrate horizontal. Receding (αr) and advancing (αa) contact angles were recorded by tilting the substrate up to the first point of slip; an example of this is shown in Fig. 2. From the image analysis of these recordings, the contact angles were calculated by linear and polynomial fitting. The same methodology was used on both fluid solutions (coloured and uncoloured). No difference, within experimental error, was observed in the contact angles for the coloured and uncoloured droplets.

FIG. 2: (Colour online) Contact angle hysteresis analysis showing advancing and receding contact angles of a drop on an inclined PMMA surface just starting to slip. The image shows a comparison of experimental measurement and numerical modelling of an uncoloured mixture of glycerine and water with a viscosity of 85.8 mPa s. The droplet starts to slip when the surface is inclined at approximately 25°.

### III. SIMULATION METHOD

Reliable, predictive simulations of droplet impact and coalescence could provide a useful tool to complement experimental visualisation, allowing access to pressure fields, fluid flow trajectories and other data that is difficult to obtain experimentally. However, modelling flows with moving contact lines, particularly in 3D, is very challenging. In addition to the computational resource issue associated with capturing 3D time-dependent flow, the crucial difficulty with most simulation methods, for example the popular volume-of-fluid (VoF) approach, is that the dynamic contact angle needs to be prescribed, often in a complicated fashion [30–33] dependent on experimental measurements. Simulations of flows such as the impact and spreading of droplets are particularly sensitive to the dynamic contact angle behaviour. Yokoi et al. [33] found that accurate dynamics could only be achieved when using a dynamic contact angle based on experimental observations.

In contrast, the lattice Boltzmann method used here does not require the dynamic contact angle to be specified. Instead, the wetting characteristics of the solid surface are included only through the static contact angle, and the dynamic behaviour emerges during the simulation. This makes the method particularly suitable for the asymmetric, fully three-dimensional coalescence configuration considered here, since the dynamic contact angle varies continuously around the whole perimeter defined by the contact line. Of course real surfaces do not in general exhibit a unique static contact angle; indeed contact angle hysteresis plays an important role in determining the final composite droplet footprint. Hence the model used here includes hysteresis and its inputs are only the advancing and receding static contact angles rather than the dynamic contact angle that varies widely and must be specified at all points along the contact line (as in VoF). In this work, the static contact angles were measured experimentally by using a tilted substrate, as described in §II. Once calibrated by simulating this experimental arrangement to produce the correct angles (see Fig. 2), the model is used without any further adjustment to simulate the droplet impact and coalescence.

Rather than solving the Navier-Stokes equations by conventional direct discretisation of the partial differential equations, the LB approach is based on a velocity space discretisation of the Boltzmann equation in which molecular velocities are represented by a set of (typically in 3D) 19 microscopic velocities, $\vec{e}_a$ ($a = 0, \ldots, 18$). The $\vec{e}_a$ are given by the zero vector and the vectors connecting each node to its 18 nearest neighbours in a cubic lattice structure, and each has associated with it a probability distribution function, $f_a$. The macroscopic fluid density, $\rho$, and velocity, $\vec{u}$, at each lattice node are found from moments of the distribution functions:

$$\rho = \sum_{a=0}^{18} f_a \quad \text{and} \quad \rho \vec{u} = \sum_{a=0}^{18} f_a \vec{e}_a. \quad (1)$$

The dynamics of the flow emerge as the values of $f_a$ across the whole lattice evolve following a two-step process at each time step: (i) relaxation towards a local Maxwellian equilibrium distribution, capturing the effect of molecular collisions, and (ii) ‘streaming’, in which the value of each $f_a$ moves along its associated vector to the neighbouring node. Using a single relaxation time, $\tau$, which is related to the fluid kinematic viscosity, the process can be written as

$$f_a(\vec{x} + \vec{e}_a, t + \Delta t) = f_a(\vec{x}, t) - \frac{[f_a(\vec{x}, t) - f_a^{eq}(\vec{x}, t)]}{\tau} \quad (2)$$

where the local Maxwellian equilibrium distribution is given by:

$$f_a^{eq}(\vec{x}, t) = w_a \rho \left[ 1 + 3 \vec{e}_a \cdot \vec{u} c_s^2 + \frac{9 (\vec{e}_a \cdot \vec{u})^2}{2 c_s^4} - \frac{3 \vec{u}^2}{2 c_s^2} \right] \quad (3)$$

for $a = 0, \ldots, 18$. Here $w_a$ are weights associated with each vector $\vec{e}_a$, $\vec{x}$ is the position within the lattice, $t$ the...
Table II: Experimental conditions explored in this work.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Series:</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Impacting droplet radius ($r_0$):</td>
<td>$1.39 \pm 0.05$ mm</td>
<td>$1.20 \pm 0.05$ mm</td>
<td>$1.19 \pm 0.05$ mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial radius of sessile droplet:</td>
<td>$1.40 \pm 0.05$ mm</td>
<td>$1.30 \pm 0.05$ mm</td>
<td>$1.52 \pm 0.05$ mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impacting droplet speed ($v$):</td>
<td>$1.12 \pm 0.04$ m/s</td>
<td>$1.08 \pm 0.04$ m/s</td>
<td>$2.23 \pm 0.08$ m/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimensionless time factor ($T$):</td>
<td>$2.48 \pm 0.18$ ms</td>
<td>$2.22 \pm 0.17$ ms</td>
<td>$1.07 \pm 0.08$ ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimensionless time for maximum spreading:</td>
<td>$1.6 \pm 0.3$ ms</td>
<td>$1.8 \pm 0.4$ ms</td>
<td>$2.8 \pm 1.2$ ms</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIG. 3:** (Colour online) Colour high-speed imaging of the impact and coalescence of an uncoloured sessile and an impacting coloured droplet. In these experiments, the impact speed is $1.12 \pm 0.04$ m/s (Series 1 in Table II).

There are various means of modelling multiphase flow using the LB framework, e.g. [35–37]. In this work the Shan-Chen [38] model is used since it was found to give the closest qualitative agreement with the free-surface shapes seen in the experiments. This efficient model introduces an interaction potential between neighboring lattice nodes, which can be expressed as:

$$F(\vec{x}, t) = -G \psi(\vec{x}, t) \sum_{a=0}^{18} w_a \psi(\vec{x} + \vec{e}_a, t) \vec{e}_a$$

(4)

where $F$ is fluid-fluid interaction force, $G$ is an interaction strength parameter (negative for particle attraction), and $\psi$ is a potential function that depends on density:

$$\psi(\rho) = \rho_0 \left[ 1 - \exp\left( -\rho / \rho_0 \right) \right]$$

(5)

where $\rho_0 = 1$. This model produces a non-ideal equation of state supporting the coexistence of a heavy phase of density $\rho_h$ and a light phase of density $\rho_l$. However, use of this equation of state limits the density ratio between the heavy and light phases to about 100 and results in large spurious currents at the liquid-gas interface that hamper the tracking of passive tracer particles in this region. Here, following previous work [39, 40], the Carnahan-Starling equation of state

$$p = \rho RT \frac{1 + b\rho/4 + (b\rho/4)^2 - (b\rho/4)^3}{(1 - b\rho/4)^3} - a\rho^2$$

(6)
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is used (with parameters $a = 1$, $b = 4$ and $R = 1$) to extend the density ratio to 525 ($G = -0.0553$, $\rho_h = 0.43112$, $\rho_l = 0.000819668$) and reduce the spurious currents at interface while keeping the simulation stable.

Further increase of the density ratio led to instabilities and simulation failure, but further increase was deemed unnecessary since the simulation predictions were insensitive to density ratio at $\rho_h/\rho_l = 525$. Two relaxation times, $\tau_h = 1.0$ and $\tau_l = 0.6$, for the heavy and light phases respectively, are used to capture the different viscosities of the phases, and a linear interpolation based on local density value is used to calculate the relaxation time locally at every lattice node. Setting the lattice spacing at $dx = 6.071 \times 10^{-5}$ m and time step as $dt = 4.0 \times 10^{-5}$ s produces a kinematic viscosity ratio of $\nu_h/\nu_l = 4.741$.

The wetting characteristics of the substrate are incorporated by specifying an artificial fluid density, $\rho_s$, at the solid surface, such that $\rho_l \leq \rho_s \leq \rho_h$ [41, 42]. This produces an equilibrium contact angle between zero and 180° measured through the heavy phase. For convenience the surface density is defined in terms of a normalised ‘wetting parameter’,

$$\eta = \frac{\rho_s - \rho_l}{\rho_h - \rho_l},$$

so that $\eta = 1$ corresponds to equilibrium angle $\alpha_e = 0°$ and $\eta = 0$ to $\alpha_e = 180°$. Such specification of an artificial fluid density at the solid wall will generate an adhesion force at the solid-fluid interface through the multiphase model [4].

Inclusion of contact angle hysteresis is essential for correct modelling of the dynamics of impacting and coalescing droplets [14], and hysteresis is included here using a similar method to that in Ref. [14]. Initially, the value of $\eta = 0.44$ on the unwetted solid surface is set to correspond to an equilibrium angle equal to the advancing static contact angle, $\alpha_a$, found experimentally. Parts of the surface that become wetted then have their value of $\eta = 0.61$ modified to correspond to the experimentally determined receding contact angle. Making the change in $\eta$ over a controllable time scale also allows the effects of surface adhesion saturation times [43] to be incorporated. When a wetted part of the surface is dewetted, the surface density returns to its original (advancing contact angle) value over a controllable time scale. Since $\rho_l \leq \rho_s \leq \rho_h$, the wetted/unwetted parts of the solid surface can be identified by the gradient of density normal to the surface, with $\partial \rho/\partial n > 0$ corresponding to a wetted patch and $\partial \rho/\partial n < 0$ to an unwetted part.

The hysteresis model was calibrated by simulating the tilted substrate experimental arrangement used to measure the contact angle hysteresis and ensuring that the advancing and receding contact angles matched those measured experimentally. A plot showing the resulting droplet on the inclined surface is given in Fig. 2. To analyse the internal flow evolution during droplet coalescence, passive tracer particles were followed using trilinear interpolation of the velocity field from the eight nearest nodes at each timestep. Particles were initially seeded uniformly throughout the droplets.
The following article appeared in Phys. Rev. E 88, 023023 (2013) and can be found at http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevE.88.023023

The authors K. Kubiak, E. Hamm, and M. Thorsrud discuss the experimental analysis of droplet coalescence and mixing under various dynamic regimes. They explore the effect of drop size and speed on the spreading behavior of droplets and the impact of lateral separation.

### IV. RESULTS

Three series of experiments were carried out to explore the coalescence and mixing under various dynamic regimes. Lateral drop separation, drop size and impacting speed were varied to study the capabilities of the system. Only conditions which produced single droplets from the generators were used. Table II summarizes the details of these experiments.

Under these conditions, the system can be dynamically characterized by the Reynolds (Re = \( \rho v r / \mu \)), Weber (We = \( \rho r_0 v^2 / \sigma \)) and Ohnesorge (Oh = \( \sqrt{\text{We}/\text{Re}} \)) numbers of the impacting droplet. Experimentally these numbers lie in the following ranges: Re=20–23, We=29–33 and Oh=0.25–0.26. These dimensionless numbers were chosen to lie within the operating parameters found in most commercially available inkjet printers, e.g. for typical inkjet printing systems \( \rho \approx 1,000 \text{ kg/m}^3, r_0 \approx 50 \mu\text{m}, v = 5 \text{ m/s}, \mu = 10 \text{ mPa s} \) and \( \sigma = 45 \text{ mN/m} \).

It has been demonstrated that the behaviour of impacting droplets of different size and temporal scales can be compared using the dimensionless time to reach the maximum spreading diameter and by scaling the time by \( 2r_0/v \). These scaling parameters are shown in Table II to facilitate the comparison with other systems.

In addition to variation of the drop size and speed, the droplet separation was varied from axisymmetric impact conditions (i.e. zero separation) to the lateral separation of 4 mm from drop center to drop center.

#### A. Internal views of equal-size droplets merging

Fig. 3 presents experimental images of a coloured droplet impacting at 1.12 \( \pm \) 0.04 m/s on to an uncoloured sessile droplet. Time \( t = 0 \) is taken to be the moment of first contact of the droplets. The first sequence corresponds to the axisymmetric case, where the centre of the impacting droplet lies directly above that of the sessile droplet. As can be seen, the impact produces a large disturbance to the free surface as the combined droplet flattens and spreads out into a pancake shape. At \( t = 5 \text{ ms} \) spreading of the combined droplet has reached its full extent, and at this point the coloured and uncoloured parts appear to have been completely mixed. However, this is not the case, as later frames show the recoil of the free surface and reveal that no intermixing of the initial droplets has occurred. The substantial disturbance to the free surface clearly produces a stretching of the ‘interface’ between the coloured and uncoloured regions, but crucially there is no folding of this interface upon itself. Repeated stretching and folding of the interface is the basis for mixing enhancement via chaotic advection. Without folding of this interface, when the combined droplet recoils the interface shrinks again to reach the final lens-like shape of the larger sessile droplet. At much later times, molecular diffusion drives a slow intermixing of the coloured and uncoloured parts.

The lower image sequences show the impact and coalescence process when the centre of the impacting droplet is laterally displaced with respect to the centre of the sessile droplet. For droplet separations of 1 mm and 2 mm, the free surface is again substantially disturbed, but one can now clearly see that the impacting droplet simply pushes the liquid in the sessile droplet ahead of it as it spreads. The uncoloured liquid resists this, as the contact line at the left of the combined droplet does not move, but again the internal boundary of the coloured liquid is not folded over, and the coloured and uncoloured regions remain distinct as the final shape is reached. If the sessile droplet contact line could be driven into the

FIG. 5: (Colour online) Internal flow analysis of sessile and impacting droplet during spreading phase using lattice Boltzmann simulations. The configuration corresponds to experimental Series 2.
The following article appeared in Phys. Rev. E 88, 023023 (2013) and can be found at http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevE.88.023023

FIG. 6: (Colour online) Colour high-speed imaging of the impact and coalescence of an uncoloured sessile droplet and an impacting coloured droplet. These experiments correspond to Series 3 in which the impacting droplet has approximately twice the speed of the one in Series 1.

combined droplet (for example by a wettability gradient [23]) while the impacting droplet spreads above it, then folding of the interface between the droplets could occur.

Under the dynamic conditions and offset alignment of these experiments, the collision of two free droplets would produce a degree of mixing within the combined droplet [17] as it spins, stretches and oscillates due to the asymmetric contact. Here, the presence of the substrate inhibits this motion, and the deformation of the free surface is further restricted by the dynamics of the moving contact line. Hence there remains a sharp interface between the dyed and undyed liquids and no mass transfer between the original droplets.

In all cases the final combined droplet shape is the same as that obtained without colouring the impacting droplet. Also as expected, increasing the droplet separation results in the coloured part of the final, combined droplet being located further to the right.

The droplet separation of 4 mm (lowest row of images) approaches the maximum separation at which coalescence is still possible. Under these conditions the disturbance of the sessile droplet is small, apart from the formation of the neck between the droplets, as in the coalescence of two sessile droplets. It is therefore not surprising to see the coloured and uncoloured parts of the droplet remaining separate.

The lack of mixing during droplet impact and coalescence is beneficial for graphical printing applications where good colour separation is required. However this could be problematic for applications where the mixing of components or colour is desired — particularly for droplet-based chemistry [45], where good mixing is essential.

B. Coalescence of different-sized droplets

FIG. 7: (Colour online) Numerical simulation showing vortex ring generation when a small droplet impacts on a sufficiently large sessile droplet.

The same effect is seen in the particle-based visual-
The following article appeared in Phys. Rev. E 88, 023023 (2013) and can be found at http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevE.88.023023

FIG. 8: High-speed imaging of the impact and coalescence of an uncoloured sessile droplet and an impacting coloured droplet. Images are taken from underneath the substrate by oblique illumination. In these experiments, the impacting speed is of 1.12 ± 0.04 m/s. Images have been colour-inverted to show the contact line and shapes more clearly.

...
of the composite droplet and the expansion of the ‘neck’ region when the initial droplet separation is large. The elongated shape of the composite droplet further illustrates the damping effect that the substrate has on the free surface movement and hence the scope for mixing within the combined droplet.

To illustrate the importance of capturing contact angle hysteresis correctly, Fig. 9 shows the evolution of the droplet footprint predicted by the simulation with and without contact angle hysteresis. In the upper sequence, a single equilibrium contact angle is used, whose value is set to the average of the experimentally measured advancing and receding contact angles, whereas in the lower sequence the correct advancing and receding angles are used. During the initial spreading phase of motion, there is little difference between the two sequences, though it can be seen that the neck width develops more slowly with hysteresis included. As the droplet reaches its maximum extent and starts to retract, the effect of contact angle hysteresis is very apparent: without it, all composite droplets will eventually reach a circular footprint, whereas in practice the contact line becomes pinned. Note that the rightmost image in each sequence corresponds to the final state.

The sensitivity of the composite droplet footprint to the contact angle hysteresis makes this system a good test for the hysteresis model incorporated in the lattice Boltzmann simulations. Fig. 10 shows a comparison of the final footprints obtained experimentally and numerically with different droplet separations. As can be seen, excellent agreement is observed. Recall that in the lattice Boltzmann method used, the dynamic contact angle is not prescribed anywhere, and the surface wettability is accounted for only by the advancing and receding static contact angles measured experimentally. There is no means of artificially pinning the contact line.

D. Dynamics of droplet coalescence on a surface with a wettability gradient

Lai et al. [23] explored the coalescence of two sessile droplets in a configuration where one droplet was driven into the other by a gradient in the wettability of the solid surface. It was shown that fingers of liquid from each droplet penetrated into the other droplet, and mixing between the two was therefore promoted. This resulted from a traction acting on one side of the composite droplet from the gradient in surface wettability. Although this scenario could not be explored experimentally, it is nevertheless possible to study it by lattice Boltzmann methods. To draw parallels between the present work and that of Lai et al., Fig. 11 shows the results of a simulation in which a sessile droplet rests
on a region of a solid surface having a uniform wettability (with static contact angle 90°), but close to a region in which the static contact angle decreases linearly with distance. Rather than pushing the droplets together, as shown in [23], this gradient in wettability produces transport of the entire composite droplet.

The impacting droplet lands on the boundary between the regions of uniform and varying wettability, and spreads into the sessile droplet. Once coalescence of the two droplets has been initiated, the entire volume of the sessile droplet is rapidly dragged off the uniform region and on to the impacted droplet. The composite droplet dewets the uniform region, then travels along the surface with the wettability gradient, moving towards the more wettable area. As the composite droplet moves, the change in contact angle causes a continuous reduction in its aspect ratio. This creates a continuous variation in the flow field which promotes intermixing of the liquids from each droplet. This happens on a much shorter time scale that the slow coalescence observed by Lai et al. [23]. Recent experiments carried out elsewhere have shown that the speed at which the substrate is moving dictates the deposition dynamics and the onset of splashing (or lack thereof) [47]. As a consequence, the effect of a moving substrate on mixing dynamics is something that is yet to be studied. Another topic of future interest is that of chemical reactions within droplets; e.g. experiments where pH indicators or other reagents could be added to the droplet or the substrate in order to monitor the progress of a chemical reaction or the extent of spreading and pinning of the contact line on chemically treated substrates.

V. CONCLUSIONS

Despite the large free-surface deformations that arise in the impact and coalescence process, no mixing of the two droplets occurs for the conditions explored here, which are dynamically equivalent to the conditions typical of drop-on-demand inkjet printing. The impact and coalescence happen on a time scale much shorter than that of diffusion, and mixing can only be enhanced by advection if the ‘interface’ between the coloured and uncoloured liquids is stretched and folded to create fingers of liquid interpenetrating the original droplet volumes. Though rapid stretching of this ‘interface’ occurs during impact and coalescence, especially at small lateral droplet separations, it quickly contracts again without folding. Under these dynamic conditions, free droplets colliding asymmetrically can exhibit mixing in the combined drop due to the spinning, stretching and oscillation caused by the collision. However, the presence of a substrate inhibits much of this motion and prevents mixing. Furthermore, pinning of the contact line inhibits movement along the substrate.

The observations indicate that under conditions found in traditional inkjet technologies, the lack of mixing would clearly be problematic. A parametric study varying liquid and substrate properties is required to identify conditions of good and bad mixing for droplet-based chemistry applications.

Numerical simulations using the lattice Boltzmann method with the Shan-Chen multiphase model and a contact angle hysteresis model show good qualitative agreement with experiments in terms of the internal dynamics, and excellent quantitative agreement with the final printed footprint. The model is first calibrated by simulating the experimental measurement of the advancing and receding contact angles, then used without further adjustment. A key feature of the model is that only these static contact angles are needed — the dynamic contact angle emerges from the simulation, without the need for complicated treatment. Simulations also reveal the appearance of the well-known vortex ring when the impacting droplet is sufficiently small compared with the sessile droplet. Finally, simulations show that, when a surface wettability gradient is used to maintain movement and continuous extension of the composite droplet, mixing of the two liquids can be enhanced.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (Grant No. EP/H018913/1, Innovation in industrial inkjet technology) and the KACST-Cambridge Research Centre.
References


This article may be downloaded for personal use only.
Any other use requires prior permission of the authors and the American Physical Society.