



# *University of* **HUDDERSFIELD**

## **University of Huddersfield Repository**

Cerutti, Federico, Oren, Nir, Strass, Hannes, Thimm, Matthias and Vallati, Mauro

A Benchmark Framework for a Computational Argumentation Competition

### **Original Citation**

Cerutti, Federico, Oren, Nir, Strass, Hannes, Thimm, Matthias and Vallati, Mauro (2014) A Benchmark Framework for a Computational Argumentation Competition. In: 5th International Conference on Computational Models of Argument, 9th-12th September 2014, Pitlochry, Scotland. (Unpublished)

This version is available at <https://eprints.hud.ac.uk/id/eprint/20367/>

The University Repository is a digital collection of the research output of the University, available on Open Access. Copyright and Moral Rights for the items on this site are retained by the individual author and/or other copyright owners. Users may access full items free of charge; copies of full text items generally can be reproduced, displayed or performed and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided:

- The authors, title and full bibliographic details is credited in any copy;
- A hyperlink and/or URL is included for the original metadata page; and
- The content is not changed in any way.

For more information, including our policy and submission procedure, please contact the Repository Team at: [E.mailbox@hud.ac.uk](mailto:E.mailbox@hud.ac.uk).

<http://eprints.hud.ac.uk/>

# A Benchmark Framework for a Computational Argumentation Competition

Federico CERUTTI <sup>a</sup>, Nir OREN <sup>a</sup>, Hannes STRASS <sup>b</sup>, Matthias THIMM <sup>c,1</sup>, and  
Mauro VALLATI <sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Department of Computing Science, University of Aberdeen, UK*

<sup>b</sup> *Computer Science Institute, Leipzig University, Germany*

<sup>c</sup> *Institute for Web Science and Technologies, University of Koblenz-Landau, Germany*

<sup>d</sup> *School of Computing and Engineering, University of Huddersfield, UK*

**Abstract.** We introduce *probo*, a general benchmark framework for comparing abstract argumentation solvers.

**Keywords.** Argumentation, argumentation semantics, argumentation competition

## 1. Introduction

Computational models of argumentation are nowadays an active research discipline within Artificial Intelligence that has grown since beginning of the 90s [1]. Although the community is still quite young, compared to more matured research areas such as SAT solving and Logic Programming, there has been serious interest in its development due to its intuitive approach and similarities to human reasoning. However, research in Computational models of argumentation is still mostly theoretical in nature and is concerned with aspects such as abstract argumentation, semantics, structured argumentation, and dialogues, to name just a few. There are, however, already several existing domain-independent implementations such as ASPARTIX, CEGARTIX, ConArg, Dung-O-Matic, and Tweety, but so far there has been no organized and thorough comparison of different solvers, see [2] for some exception. Other research communities such as SAT solving, Logic Programming, and Planning showed that conducting competitions on problem solvers nurtures research and rapid development of effective algorithms and implementations. These competitions serve as a comparison platform for state-of-the-art research and have been proven to accelerate the evolution of a field from theoretical discussions to the development of applicable solutions. We argue that the time has come for a first competition on computational models of argumentation.

In this extended abstract we present *probo* (lat. “judge”), a general benchmark framework for comparing abstract argumentation solvers. This benchmark framework has been developed to serve as the comparison platform for a competition on compu-

---

<sup>1</sup>Corresponding author

tational models of argumentation which is currently being organized and scheduled for the year 2015. The aim of this framework is to easily compare different implementations for solving argumentation problems in terms of *correctness* and *performance*. The framework provides a very general interface (command line and **Java**) that can be implemented by a solver in order to be assessed by the framework. Currently, our framework focuses on abstract argumentation but extensions to structured argumentation frameworks is foreseen for the future.

## 2. Implementation Overview

**probo** is written in **Java** and publicly available at SourceForge<sup>2</sup>. It provides a simple interface that can be implemented by developers of abstract argumentation solvers and supports comparison of solvers on a variety of semantics (conflict-free, admissible, complete, preferred, stable, semi-stable, grounded, CF2, ideal, stage), different computational problems (e. g., enumerating extensions, deciding skeptical/credulous inference), and different file formats (e. g. trivial graph format, Aspartix). Solvers are asked to solve problems on different argumentation graphs that have been either artificially generated using different randomization models, generated from other more general problems (e. g. graphs that represent the factorization problem), or application-oriented problem instances. Correctness of solutions is verified by the Tweety solver [4], which directly implements the semantical definitions of the different types of extensions but is slow in practice.

## 3. Discussion

We see our field as a promising field within Artificial Intelligence and believe that it is high time to go beyond theoretical discussions and into implementations and applications. With our contribution and the idea of a competition on computational argumentation we aim at providing a common platform for comparing and evaluating practical approaches within the field.

The benchmark framework **probo** is still under development but we aim at organizing a first competition on computational models of argumentation for the year 2015. A description of the current command line interface used by **probo** can already been found in our repository. We invite developers of abstract argumentation solvers to comment on the framework and to get ready for the first competition.

## References

- [1] T. J. M. Bench-Capon and Paul E. Dunne. Argumentation in Artificial Intelligence. *Artificial Intelligence*, 171:619–641, 2007.
- [2] S. Bistarelli, F. Rossi, and F. Santini. A First Comparison of Abstract Argumentation Systems: A Computational Perspective. In *Proceedings CILC'13*, 2013.
- [3] M. Thimm. Tweety - A Comprehensive Collection of Java Libraries for Logical Aspects of Artificial Intelligence and Knowledge Representation. In *Proceedings KR'14*, 2014.

---

<sup>2</sup><https://sourceforge.net/projects/probo/>