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Developing and Sustaining a Placement Research Partnership for Work Integrated Learning
Overview

• Why research WIL?
• Early approaches to WIL research
• Our research journey – getting started through to building knowledge
• Discussion: Research design, developing resources, cross boundary working
• Conclusions
Why research WIL?

- Work Integrated Learning (WIL) broadly defined as educational activities that integrate theoretical learning with its application in the workplace (Cooper et al., 2010)
- UK context tuition fee reforms (Browne, 2010)
- Universities challenged to design programmes which give the skills, knowledge and experience to increase employability (Bates, 2008)
- UK CIM Survey 75% failures in education contributing to a skills crisis (Woodman & Hutchins, 2011)
Early approaches to WIL research

- I first encountered WIL research in 2007 WACE Singapore.
- Zerwaard reported, up to 1997 WIL research had been ‘limited, uncertain and sparse’ (Zegwaard & Coll, 2007)
- ‘What has been published is good’ (Bartkus & Stull, 2004)
- WIL research now diverse and multidisciplinary, recognition for the potential of WIL as pedagogical philosophy, rather than model of links between education and work (Kjellen, 2010)
• Choices of methodology: demonstrable quality and potential conflict with practitioner researcher
• And articulating the philosophical underpinnings and appropriate research design (Coll & Chapman, 2000)
• Beyond the JCEI, main problem lack of quantitative research, accuracy in methods (convenience sampling) (Bartkus, 2007)
• Coll & Kalnin (2009) reinforced that research should be grounded in theory
• Two re-invigorated journals
  – JCEI
  – APJCE
• WACE Research Communities
• National Research Communities
  – UK ASET Practice and Research Network
Our research journey – my journey

- Systems engineer, operational and service management in public and private sector, different careers
- Salaries, jobs, degree classifications (Ward, 2006)
- Student Placement Journeys: students, employers and tutors (Ward & Gaffikin, 2007 to 2009)
- Meet with Drysdale, WACE 2010, Graz. Subsequently joined the international research project
- 2010 Director of ASET – International Placements and Collaborations
- 2013 Co-chair ASET Research and Practice Group
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Our research journey – UK Research Team

• Literature on limitations of practitioner researchers
• Find experienced local research partners
  – Professor - with expertise in Qualitative Methods
  – Senior Lecturer / Researcher with expertise in Quantitative Methods
  – Note, no tenure in UK universities
• Successful bid to Innovation Fund
  – RA for 40 days ‘Are placement students better psychologically prepared for life and work?’
Our research journey
Challenges

• University bureaucracy – 6 months for approval to start
• Strong field
• Short term contracts -> staff turnover -> RA leaving
• Steering group: careers and SU
• Research ethics, delays
• On-line survey design, marketing campaign balanced with lit review – multi-tasking
• Analysis very tight
• Publication simultaneous (condition of funding)
First Phase – Quantitative Study Results

• 716 undergraduate responses to on-line study
• UK Students who pursue WIL compared to non-WIL peers have
  – Sig higher hope
  – Sig higher confidence in attaining goals
  – Lower test anxiety
• Multiple experiences of WIL
  – As above plus academic self-efficacy
Second Phase – Qualitative Study

- Innovation Funding, ‘The More the Merrier: A qualitative examination of the contribution of multiple WIL experiences to student learning, personal development and preparation for employment.’

- A new RA for 40 days, project underway.
Discussion: Research design

• Mixed methods. The first and second stages of our project fit neatly together
• The quantitative study informs the qualitative study
• Quantitative study – overview of psychological factors
• Large sample size in UK
• Trial interviews developing methods
• Current research underway
Discussion: Developing Resources

- Sustainability of the project is a major issue
- Busy day jobs
- Continuity of team members
- Efforts to find further sources of funding
- Bid writing – matching criteria
- Research Assistants
  - Helps publications
  - Knowledge goes away with them
  - Stop / go project

Inspiring tomorrow’s professionals
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year One</th>
<th>Jan</th>
<th>Feb</th>
<th>Mar</th>
<th>Apr</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>Jun</th>
<th>July</th>
<th>August</th>
<th>Sept</th>
<th>Oct</th>
<th>Nov</th>
<th>Dec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phase 1 RA recruitment</td>
<td>Phase 2 bid-writing &amp; review</td>
<td>Internal recruitment processes</td>
<td>Phase 2 RA recruitment</td>
<td>Internal recruitment process</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Two</th>
<th>Phase 1 RA recruitment</th>
<th>Phase 2 bid-writing &amp; review</th>
<th>Internal recruitment processes</th>
<th>Phase 2 RA recruitment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PHASE 1: SURVEY STUDY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Three</th>
<th>ASET bursary application</th>
<th>Await Outcome</th>
<th>PHASE 2: INTERVIEW STUDY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VIGE conference</td>
<td>Journal submission</td>
<td>ASET conference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ASET conference</td>
<td>ACP conference</td>
<td>ACP conference</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1:** Developing and sustaining the research project.
• ‘Boundary spanning is an area of theory and practice with great applicability to a better understanding of the activity of WIL departments’ (Peach et al., 2011)
• Boundary spanning can be applied to research partnerships bridging local and international boundaries
• Reflects importance of collaboration and dissemination
• Towards shared understanding and new ideas
Conclusions

• Taken on board the lessons from the literature
• Multi-disciplinary, multi-method nature of WIL research
• Heart of the process
  – Research quality
  – Partnerships
  – Dissemination
• Sustainability – challenges
  – RA turnover
  – Bidding for funding
ACEN Conference October 2012

Designing a Qualitative Study into Multiple Work Integrated Learning Experiences
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