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Sir,

Skin malignancies are the most common cancers in humans, the majority of which are non-melanoma skin cancers. These have a relatively low morbidity and propensity to metastasize and are generally considered to have little impact on quality of life. Complete surgical excision can often be achieved without leaving an extensive cosmetic or functional defect and is generally performed in a high-turnover, day case setting. Consequently patients with basal and early squamous cell carcinomas are rarely offered formal support or counselling.

However, since 80% of non-melanoma skin cancers occur in the head and neck region, both the skin cancer and the scars following surgical excision are frequently highly conspicuous. Minor as well as major degrees of facial disfigurement can result in high levels of anxiety, depression and social isolation, the severity of which often bears little relationship to the magnitude of the defect itself. This study was designed to quantify the social, emotional and aesthetic impact of facial skin malignancies on patients before and after surgical excision.

Fifty three patients presenting to plastic surgery outpatients with a cutaneous malignancy located in the head and neck region were prospectively recruited. Structured questionnaires were used to obtain basic demographic and clinical data. The impact of the malignancy was assessed before and three months after surgery using the Skin Cancer Index (SCI). This is a 15 item, validated, disease-specific quality of life (QOL) assessment tool which measures three distinct subscales: emotion, social and appearance. Standardised scores range from 0-100 with higher scores reflecting an improvement in QOL. Ethical approval for the project was granted by the Leeds East Research and Ethics Committee.

A power analysis was conducted to determine an adequate sample size. Mean SCI scores with standard deviations were calculated for total SCI and individual SCI subscales pre- and post-operatively and for the change between pre-operative and post-operative scores.

There were 29 (55%) men and 24 women recruited to the study. The majority were in the older age group with 70% patients aged 66 years or above. The predominant lesion excised
(62%) was basal cell carcinoma (BCC). Squamous cell carcinomas (SCCs) comprised 19% and the remaining patients had a diagnosis of melanoma (11%) or benign lesions (8%). Reconstruction following excision was by primary closure (28%), skin graft (53%) or local flap (19%).

Surgical excision led to a significant improvement in both the total SCI score (from 70.0 to 82.4, \( p<0.001 \)) and in each of the individual subscale scores (Table 1). The greatest overall improvement was seen in the appearance subscale, from 68.7 to 85.2 (\( p<0.001 \)). Patients with SCCs exhibited an improvement in total SCI score over 3 times greater than the corresponding improvement shown by patients with BCCs (\( p=0.016 \)) (Table 2). Women had lower scores than men both pre- and post-operatively, but showed greater improvement than men in the emotional and appearance subscales (Table 2). Men showed greater improvement than women in the social sub-scale. None of the differences observed between genders achieved statistical significance. Patients with scar lengths over 50cm showed a greater change in the appearance subscale (\( p=0.037 \)); not significant when corrected for multiple comparisons. Age and social isolation factors did not significantly affect total or subscale scores.

Morbidity assumes greater importance than mortality in many patients with cutaneous malignancies, making quality of life a more relevant endpoint in assessment of the disease process. Compared with other dermatological QOL tools the SCI captures issues specific to facial skin cancers such as scarring, disfigurement and concerns about possible recurrence. The individual subscales allow identification of anxieties related to self-image and social confidence as well as those directly related to cancer.

Consistently lower pre-operative SCI scores found in this study indicate the presence of anxiety among patients attending with suspicious skin lesions, despite the relatively indolent behaviour of their tumours. In our cohort this was more evident, although not significantly so, in female patients. In other malignancies, the early period surrounding diagnosis is widely
recognised to be the most stressful to patients.\textsuperscript{4,5} The challenge raised here is to design support strategies appropriate to both a widely varying group of patients and to the high turnover setting in which they are managed. Self help leaflets, ready access to support organisations, the presence of a psychological specialist in the clinic setting and engaging friends or relatives in the support process are possible options to consider.

Overall the results of this study indicate that surgical excision improves social, emotional and cosmetic well being in patients with facial skin malignancies. This is likely to reflect reassurance experienced by the knowledge a lesion has been completely removed. Currently surgery remains the cornerstone of treatment for patients with cutaneous malignancies. As topical, non-surgical treatments continue to emerge as alternatives, further study in this area may serve as a useful indicator of the patients (rather than clinicians) preference.
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Table Legends

Table 1: Pre-and post operative mean (SD) SCI total and sub-scale scores and assessment of effect of surgery.

Table 2: Pre-and post operative mean (SD) SCI total and sub-scale scores partitioned by demographic and clinical factors.
Tables

Table 1: Pre-and post operative mean (SD) SCI total and sub-scale scores and assessment of effect of surgery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCI scale</th>
<th>Pre-surgery mean (SD)</th>
<th>Post-surgery mean (SD)</th>
<th>Change mean (SD)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total score</td>
<td>70.0 (25.3)</td>
<td>82.4 (18.4)</td>
<td>12.4 (17.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional score</td>
<td>66.6 (27.1)</td>
<td>77.6 (20.0)</td>
<td>11.0 (17.6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social score</td>
<td>75.5 (28.3)</td>
<td>85.7 (23.1)</td>
<td>10.2 (26.3)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appearance score</td>
<td>68.7 (34.3)</td>
<td>85.2 (23.1)</td>
<td>16.5 (27.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Pre-and post operative mean (SD) SCI total and sub-scale scores partitioned by demographic and clinical factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCI scale</th>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Pre-surgery mean (SD)</th>
<th>Post-surgery mean (SD)</th>
<th>Change mean (SD)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total score</td>
<td>Histology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BCC</td>
<td>74.8 (22.3)</td>
<td>81.9 (20.8)</td>
<td>7.1 (13.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SCC</td>
<td>59.8 (31.9)</td>
<td>83.0 (13.4)</td>
<td>23.2 (23.4)</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>76.2 (24.6)</td>
<td>89.0 (11.3)</td>
<td>12.8 (15.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>62.5 (24.5)</td>
<td>74.4 (22.1)</td>
<td>11.9 (19.5)</td>
<td>0.198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scar size</td>
<td>&lt;10cm</td>
<td>62.9 (21.6)</td>
<td>71.7 (24.8)</td>
<td>8.7 (18.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11-30cm</td>
<td>68.8 (27.0)</td>
<td>83.6 (17.0)</td>
<td>14.9 (19.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31-50cm</td>
<td>82.7 (21.9)</td>
<td>87.0 (19.1)</td>
<td>4.3 (10.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;50cm</td>
<td>62.5 (29.0)</td>
<td>81.9 (15.9)</td>
<td>19.4 (14.8)</td>
<td>0.298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional score</td>
<td>Histology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BCC</td>
<td>71.8 (25.4)</td>
<td>78.0 (21.0)</td>
<td>6.3 (13.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SCC</td>
<td>60.4 (28.3)</td>
<td>76.8 (17.1)</td>
<td>16.4 (20.4)</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>73.9 (24.9)</td>
<td>83.4 (16.9)</td>
<td>9.5 (14.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>57.9 (27.6)</td>
<td>70.7 (21.6)</td>
<td>12.8 (20.9)</td>
<td>0.124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scar size</td>
<td>&lt;10cm</td>
<td>60.3 (27.2)</td>
<td>67.4 (27.0)</td>
<td>7.1 (6.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11-30cm</td>
<td>61.2 (26.5)</td>
<td>78.3 (19.9)</td>
<td>11.1 (19.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31-50cm</td>
<td>76.4 (26.1)</td>
<td>84.3 (17.7)</td>
<td>7.9 (16.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;50cm</td>
<td>60.1 (36.1)</td>
<td>77.4 (16.7)</td>
<td>17.3 (24.2)</td>
<td>0.847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social score</td>
<td>Histology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appearance score</td>
<td>Histology</td>
<td>BCC</td>
<td>SCC</td>
<td>p-value</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCC</td>
<td></td>
<td>79.2 (25.8)</td>
<td>83.3 (27.8)</td>
<td>4.1 (25.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCC</td>
<td></td>
<td>61.0 (35.1)</td>
<td>88.0 (13.0)</td>
<td>27.0 (25.6)</td>
<td>0.053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCC</td>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>77.4 (26.7)</td>
<td>90.7 (18.1)</td>
<td>13.3 (23.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCC</td>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>73.1 (30.5)</td>
<td>79.6 (27.1)</td>
<td>6.5 (29.4)</td>
<td>0.709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scar size</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;10 cm</td>
<td>11-30 cm</td>
<td>31-50 cm</td>
<td>&gt;50 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCC</td>
<td>Scar size</td>
<td>72.5 (28.5)</td>
<td>76.3 (31.5)</td>
<td>3.8 (43.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCC</td>
<td>Scar size</td>
<td>71.5 (29.3)</td>
<td>85.9 (21.3)</td>
<td>14.4 (25.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appearance score</td>
<td></td>
<td>31-50 cm</td>
<td>84.5 (28.4)</td>
<td>88.5 (28.0)</td>
<td>4.0 (11.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCC</td>
<td>Appearance score</td>
<td>77.5 (29.8)</td>
<td>88.3 (13.3)</td>
<td>10.8 (25.6)</td>
<td>0.819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCC</td>
<td>Appearance score</td>
<td>72.5 (28.5)</td>
<td>76.3 (31.5)</td>
<td>3.8 (43.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCC</td>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>79.6 (30.6)</td>
<td>94.0 (10.7)</td>
<td>14.4 (22.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCC</td>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>55.6 (34.5)</td>
<td>74.7 (29.3)</td>
<td>19.1 (33.1)</td>
<td>0.116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scar size</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;10 cm</td>
<td>11-30 cm</td>
<td>31-50 cm</td>
<td>&gt;50 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCC</td>
<td>Scar size</td>
<td>53.1 (32.4)</td>
<td>73.9 (24.2)</td>
<td>20.8 (28.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCC</td>
<td>Scar size</td>
<td>67.9 (36.5)</td>
<td>86.7 (23.7)</td>
<td>18.8 (28.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appearance score</td>
<td></td>
<td>31-50 cm</td>
<td>94.2 (8.8)</td>
<td>90.8 (23.7)</td>
<td>-3.3 (18.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCC</td>
<td>Appearance score</td>
<td>43.1 (31.4)</td>
<td>81.9 (22.0)</td>
<td>38.9 (18.0)</td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>